

Message

From: Beck, Nancy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=168ECB5184AC44DE95A913297F353745-BECK, NANCY]
Sent: 6/29/2017 3:19:57 PM
To: Gray, Jason [Jason.Gray@mail.house.gov]
Subject: RE: technical assistance request -- "One Chemicals Program"

Hey Jason,

Good to see you as well. I love that there are so many friendly familiar faces around. I don't know how total outsiders can take a job like this and survive.

Thanks for the heads up on this one—I'll be prepared to answer, although I'm quite sure you can guess at what the response would be. I think EPA leadership is aligned on this one..

Nancy

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Gray, Jason [mailto:Jason.Gray@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2017 11:13 AM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: technical assistance request -- "One Chemicals Program"

Hi Nancy – It was nice seeing you last week. I just sent Ed the following note so you may see this soon work its way through the chain of command. Would appreciate your thoughts/ideas on a "One Chemicals Program" as tee'd up below. I know you're up to your ears in TSCA implementation, and I'm working on a short timeframe. So the timing is not great, and it's a little late in the process to be mulling over such a change that wasn't in the PB, but if might have value then it is worth considering, in my opinion.

Would appreciate your feedback. My direct Ex. 6 easier to discuss this or any other future issues.

Jason

From: Gray, Jason
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2017 11:04 AM
To: Walsh, Ed <Walsh.Ed@epa.gov>
Subject: technical assistance request -- "One Chemicals Program"

Hey Ed – I'm going to try to ask a complex question very simply. And, given our compressed schedule here, I'm hopeful that you may be able to take it to the right people, and run with it. Of course, feel free to give me a call if you have questions.

What resources would need to be moved (and to where) in order to support a "One Chemicals Program" concept at EPA with TSCA serving as the overarching umbrella? We have all of these various pieces within EPA with different offices offering different expertise. I understand that. However as I look at the budget it seems to make sense if that was all consolidated in one area. If someone were to ask me "please support EPA's chemicals program" then it would be much easier to point to one area – I'm almost thinking about it along the lines of pg9 of the powerpoint briefing in the Hill

highlights book. That includes TSCA, Pesticides and IRIS. Obviously we have the OCSSP which oversees both TSCA and pesticides. So perhaps I'm answering my own question – would moving the IRIS program and resources to OSCCP help align with a “One Chemicals Program” concept?

If the committee were to consider moving such a direction, could you check with folks to see what changes would need to be included in the FY18 bill ? What signals in report language would be necessary? Are there other programs and resources I need to consider that I haven't mentioned here?

Thanks
Jason