

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Presentation for LastFire summit
Date: 13 September 2021 20:13:00

Thanks [REDACTED] for the Lastfire Summit programme. I will try to join several sessions (I received the weblink but not the agenda).

Regarding our submission we have two options: submitting at the next window, i.e. mid-January (which should be sufficient for us) or July, at the same time as the planned submission of the Universal PFAS restriction. The latter option would allow a full consistency with the Universal PFAS dossier on the hazard/concern part (their draft is now expected for November, which should normally allow us to polish it by January. They plan to make it final first part of 2022) but the "ice-breaker" idea - i.e. testing the approach with RAC before the UPFAS submission - would not be valid anymore in that case. Both submission slots have their pros and cons and will be presented to you I guess by [REDACTED]

Thank you for your feedback on the marine sector, I will do so.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 13 September 2021 18:50
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Presentation for LastFire summit

Thanks [REDACTED]

Do you think that the delay will mean that the dossier will not be ready this year? In any case, I've amended the title as you suggest. I will indeed discuss this with [REDACTED] on Wednesday.

I sent you the agenda, if you want to join you can contact directly [REDACTED]

Concerning the ships, I agree with your proposal. You can keep in the dossier all the information on the IMO requirements without concluding on what will need to be done.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2021 2:15 PM
To: [REDACTED] (ENV) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Presentation for LastFire summit

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for sharing your presentation. The main comment I have is regarding the timelines indicated in slide 11. We are currently having internal discussions about the submission time of our proposal. We will have a meeting on Wednesday with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] on this and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] will be able to tell you more during his call with you the same day but since you indicate these timelines in your presentation I think it is good to inform you already about the main issue. You and other Commission colleagues will receive a more official

communication on this issue hopefully soon after Wednesday.

In a nutshell we are missing important and large pieces of information on the SID, hazard and concern part (we should normally come from the Universal PFAS restriction group) and also from our consultant on the emissions and costs calculations for the five RMOs that we assess. We are also trying to incorporate as much as possible the input from the PFHxA process. Therefore, a submission in October would be hard to meet with a good dossier and we are considering suggesting postponement of a few months to get everything right.

So, maybe you could amend slide 11 with e.g. "Planned timeline".

As regards to the call for evidence, I would not specify any information gap at this stage. In my view we have already quite a lot of information and I would leave it for RAC and SEAC to decide on which elements they would like to get further insight as a priority, since you can always dig further on any topic. The call for evidence would be based on this feedback.

Can I use this opportunity to ask you two questions:

1. Would you mind sending me the programme of the Lastfire Summit? I asked [REDACTED] several times but never received it. Thank you!
2. Per the conversation I had with [REDACTED] from DG MOVE (see attached email) I understand that REACH would not be directly applicable to EU ships but that a REACH restriction would be the trigger for Commission to raise the issue at international level and implement it in the EU via the Directive 2014/90/EU on marine equipment. In our restriction proposal I was considering treating the marine sector (i.e. sea-going ships) as the other sectors in the analysis (like Wood did) and briefly mention this IMO/marine equipment Directive aspect but not elaborating this further since this is more a policy issue. Would that be fine in your view?

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 10 September 2021 19:10
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Presentation for LastFire summit

Dear [REDACTED]

I attach my draft presentation for next Wednesday. Could you please let me know if you have comments? I'm not sure if I should keep the slides on the results of the study as I already presented it last year. I could add one slide on the call for evidence and the remaining data gaps, if you send me a few bullet points.

Have a nice week end.

[REDACTED]