

Message

From: Chris Bentley [Ex. 6]
Sent: 8/10/2017 6:03:51 PM
To: Daguillard, Robert [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=bbe9682b940c4f2c90732e4d37355dd4-Daguillard,]
CC: Press [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b293283291dc44e0b5d1c36be9281d8a-Press]
Subject: Re: TSCA & the built environment--Architect magazine inquiry

Thanks, Robert.

On Thu, Aug 10, 2017 at 1:18 PM, Daguillard, Robert <Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Chris,

A quick word to acknowledge receipt.

Thanks, R.

Robert Daguillard

Office of Media Relations

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, DC

[+1 \(202\) 564-6618](tel:+12025646618) (O)

Ex. 6 (M)

From: Chris Bentley [mailto:Ex. 6]
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 12:57 PM
To: Press <Press@epa.gov>
Subject: TSCA & the built environment--Architect magazine inquiry

Hello,

I'm writing for Architect magazine about the Toxic Substances Control Act with a deadline of Tuesday, and I'm hoping you can offer some more detail about Tuesday's EPA announcement that "the backlog [of new chemical cases] is eliminated."

1. Could you clarify what that means, exactly? To what backlog are you referring? Which chemicals?
2. How did you eliminate that backlog so quickly? Do you worry you're cutting corners on matters of public health?
3. With regards to the built environment, some architects are particularly concerned about asbestos. What is EPA's intent with asbestos regulations? Do you intend to exclude from TSCA chlorine manufacturing, which involves asbestos?

Thank you for your help.

Chris Bentley

Ex. 6

cabentley.com

@cementley

--

Chris Bentley

Ex. 6

cabentley.com

@cementley