

Message

---

**From:** ginger.wolf@... Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]  
on behalf of G Barrett Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) ]  
**Sent:** 10/23/2017 4:25:47 AM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group  
(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=168ecb5184ac44de95a913297f353745-Beck, Nancy]  
**Subject:** Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

Hello, Dr Beck--

First, congratulations on joining the EPA! This branch of the government has many important duties, one of which you are now an integral part.

I implore you, as a citizen of this great country, to consider closely the names of your Office (Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention) and the EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) as you proceed in your work. Your job is now to protect the environment and, more specifically, ensure chemical safety and prevent pollution on behalf of me and everyone in this country. Anything that fights against or obstructs these goals is counter to your Office's specific, clear mission.

If you cannot or will not do this, as some of your recent actions seem to indicate (Did you indeed contribute to "...the rewriting of a rule to make it harder to track the health consequences of the chemical, and therefore regulate it"? See the NY Times article from yesterday, 10/21/2017), then you have no business working at the EPA.

Your duty is to the mission of the EPA and your specific Office now. Here is the mission, from EPA.gov's About the Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention page:

"Using sound science as a compass, OCSPP's mission is to protect you, your family, and the environment from potential risks from pesticides and toxic chemicals. Through innovative partnerships and collaboration, we also work to prevent pollution before it begins. This reduces waste, saves energy and natural resources, and leaves our homes, schools and workplaces cleaner and safer."

All I ask, as a citizen and taxpayer, is that you do your job as indicated here. Your former work must not affect your current mission. Your job is protect all of us from potentially unsafe chemicals, which means you should be making the testing and tracking of the effects of chemicals an easier thing to do -- not harder.

I'm trying very hard to conclude that you are following your own moral compass, and not chasing money or prestige of some kind; but actions like those reported on by the NY Times yesterday, that go against the interest of the U.S.'s citizenry and our right to know what's in our water and air, are making it very difficult to do so.

Please do the right thing for all of us. Please help to keep us safe from harm.

--Ginger