

FILE NAME: Fibreboard (FIB)

DATE: 1984

DOC#: FIB025

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Legal - Deposition of Henry A. Perlmutter with Barry Castleman Notes

Dr. Henry Perlmutter, witness for Fibreboard, med sch 1933-37.

- 17 became asst. to Dr. Wahle at Fibreboard 1938, Emeryville
- 26 25% of all his patients came from Fibco
- 29 returned from the War in 1946 to same hrs as co. doc
at Fibco, mornings 5 days/week + on call
- 37 "boarded" radiologist Ed Fang was used for X-rays
of Fibco-workers
- 38 plant tried to keep all the old X-rays
- 44 Dr. Trimble also consulted on chest X-rays, sent reports
- 47 Fibco also made leaded paint, roofing at Emeryville
- 52 Dr. Christopher Adamson replaced Perlmutter at Fibco in 1950
- 61 He learned abt Asis in medical school prior to 1955
- 63 He has never seen a case of Asis, at Fibco or anytime else.
- 64 Fibco made insulation at Emeryville
- 65 He did not think the workers were in danger, nor did he
consider cancer an asbestos disease while at Fibco.
- 66 Dust control in the plant was by a "very adequate exhaust fan".
- 67 Fibco's dust control was copied by other mfgs.
Fibco was "very strict" abt. having each operator wear
respirators
- 89 There were hundreds of ~~chest~~ X-rays ordered by Fibco, +
25% were chest X-rays.
- 92 He was told the X-rays had been destroyed
- 99 Harry Hoopes designed that great dust control system
- 105-6 No one ever showed HP any dust studies
- 110 Workers said the rubber masks weren't comfortable
- 125 In 1946-50 HP took on responsibility for the air comp program at the plant

- 126 He had an office in Emeryville and also space at
Pacor corporate of's in SF. They called him
corporate med. dir.
- 130 Emeryville plant employed 1500-2000
- 131 Periodic chest X rays were not taken.
- 146-7 He didn't know A's had a delayed onset
(The plant had just opened in 1941, so
no wonder there weren't any cases)
- 164 He received JAMA but has no recollection
of seeing the 1949 editorial on asbestos.
He would expect to have paid notice to
that, given his duties in 1949.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

COPY

IN RE: Fibreboard Plantworker Asbestos Cases (Kazan & Kilbourne) Consolidated for Discovery	No. 537064-7
IN RE: Shipyard and Applicator Asbestos Cases (Kazan & Kilbourne) Consolidated for Discovery	No. 537868-7
IN RE: Asbestos Cases Consolidated for Discovery	No. 529948-7
IN RE: Consolidated for Discovery Related Shipyard and Applicator Cases: (Martin, Harrison & DeGarmo	No. 569084-0
IN RE: Sterns, Brown & Finney Consolidated for Discovery Related Shipyard and Applicator Asbestos Cases	No. 573686-9
IN RE: Related Asbestos Cases Consolidated for Discovery (Knapp)	No. 568328-4 GENERAL DISCOVERY FILE

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
of
DEPOSITION
of

HENRY A. PERLMUTTER, M.D.
(Videotaped)

Monday, October 29, 1984
Tuesday, October 30, 1984

Reported by: Harry A. Cannon, CSR
Cert. #68

RECEIVED NOV 16 1984
*Nanda del
fogad*

HARRY A. CANNON, INC.

Certified Reporters and Notaries
HIBERNIA BANK BLDG., SUITE 550
201 CALIFORNIA STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
(415) 391-7421

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

IN RE: RELATED ASBESTOS CASES:

PETER SANDO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LINCOLN ELECTRIC, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 247468

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
OF
DEPOSITION
OF

HENRY A. PERLMUTTER, M.D.
(Videotaped)

Monday, October 29, 1984

Tuesday, October 29, 1984

Reported by:

HARRY A. CANNON, CSR
Cert. #68

HARRY A. CANNON, INC.

Certified Reporters and Notaries

HIBERNIA BANK BLDG., SUITE 550

201 CALIFORNIA STREET

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111

(415) 391-7421

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<u>IN RE: Jennie Azzopardi, and all Halley,</u>	)	Nos. 783714 & 792978
<u>Cornell & Lynch's and McCarthy, Johnson</u>	)	
<u>& Miller's Related Asbestos Cases</u>	)	
<u>IN RE: Related Asbestos Cases</u>	)	No. 804896
<u>Consolidated for Discovery (Knapp)</u>	)	GENERAL DISCOVERY FILE
<u>IN RE: Sterns, Brown & Finney</u>	)	
<u>Consolidated for Discovery Related</u>	)	No. 805555
<u>Shipyard and Applicator Asbestos Cases</u>	)	
<u>IN RE: Shipyard and Applicator Cases</u>	)	
<u>(Clapper & Brayton) Consolidated for</u>	)	No. 804416
<u>Discovery</u>	)	
<u>IN RE: Discovery for Related</u>	)	
<u>Shipyard Asbestos Cases (Herron)</u>	)	No. 795582
<u>IN RE: Consolidated for Discovery</u>	)	
<u>Related Shipyard and Applicator</u>	)	No. 768071
<u>Cases (Martin, Harrison & DeGarmo)</u>	)	
<u>NORMAN BANKS,</u>	)	
Plaintiff,	)	No. 791576
vs.	)	
<u>JOHNS-MANVILLE, et al.,</u>	)	
Defendants.	)	
<u>JOHN KOMAR, et al.,</u>	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	No. 771595
vs.	)	
<u>JOHNS-MANVILLE, et al.,</u>	)	
Defendants.	)	
<u>HELEN CALDWELL,</u>	)	
Plaintiff,	)	No. 765264
vs.	)	
<u>JOHNS-MANVILLE, et al.,</u>	)	
Defendants.	)	

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
of
DEPOSITION
of

HENRY A. PERLMUTTER, M.D.
(Videotaped)

Monday, October 29, 1984
Tuesday, October 30, 1984

Reported by: Harry A. Cannon, CSR
Cert. #68

HARRY A. CANNON, INC.

Certified Reporters and Notaries
HIBERNIA BANK BLDG., SUITE 550
201 CALIFORNIA STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
(415) 391-7421

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SOLANO

IN RE: Clapper & Brayton)
Shipyard and Applicator Asbestos)
Cases Consolidated for Discovery)

No. 959

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
of
DEPOSITION
of

HENRY A. PERLMUTTER, M.D.
(Videotaped)

Monday, October 29, 1984
Tuesday, October 30, 1984

Reported by: Harry A. Cannon, CSR
Cert. #68

HARRY A. CANNON, INC.

Certified Reporters and Notaries
HIBERNIA BANK BLDG., SUITE 550
201 CALIFORNIA STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
(415) 391-7421

Certified Reporters and Notaries
HIBERNIA BANK BLDG., SUITE 550
201 CALIFORNIA STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
(415) 391-7421

1 QUESTIONS DIRECTED NOT TO ANSWER

2

3

PAGE/LINE

4

91/3 98/7 112/6

5

112/8 113/4 113/10

6

116/11 117/13 135/14

7

154/1 159/19 163/5

8

166/17 167/4 173/19

9

205/11 205/20 207/15

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I N D E X

DEPOSITION OF HENRY A. PERLMUTTER, M.D.

Monday, October 29, 1984

Page

Examination by

MR. DAGGETT

15

MR. KAZAN

73

AFTERNOON SESSION

70

Tuesday, October 30 1984

EXAMINATION BY

MR. WARTNICK

182, 209

MR. BURNS

195, 207

MR. DAGGETT

202

E X H I B I T S

1			
2			
3			Page
4	Number		
5			
6	1	Extract The Journal of the AMA	162
7		Volume 140, pages 1219 and 1220	
8	2	Extract PATHOLOGY,	
9		An Introduction to	200
10		Medicine, (5-page document)	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice, and
2 Videotape Deposition, and on Monday, October 29,
3 1984, commencing at the hour of 10:15 o'clock a.m.
4 thereof, at KQED, 500 8th Street, Studio B, San
5 Francisco, California, before me, HARRY A. CANNON, a
6 Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in
7 and for the State of California, personally appeared

8 HENRY A. PERLMUTTER, M.D.

9 called as a witness by Fibreboard Corporation, who,
10 being by me first duly sworn, was thereupon examined
11 and testified as hereinafter set forth.

12
13 STEVEN KAZAN, Attorney at Law, 171 Twelfth
14 Street, Suite #300, Oakland, California 94607,
15 appeared as counsel on behalf of plaintiffs; and

16 CARTWRIGHT, SUCHERMAN, SLOBODIN & FOWLER, 160
17 Sansome Street, Suite #900, San Francisco,
18 California 94104, represented by HARRY F. WARTNICK,
19 Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on behalf of
20 plaintiffs; and

21 KENNETH L. KNAPP, Esquire, 695 Town Center
22 Drive, #1000, Costa Mesa, California 92626,
23 represented by PATRICK BURNS, Attorney at Law,
24 appeared on behalf of plaintiffs; and

25 HALLEY, CORNWELL & LYNCH, 25th Floor, 50

1 California Street, 94111, represented by J. KENNETH
2 LYNCH, Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on
3 behalf of plaintiffs; and

4 MARTIN, HARRISON & DeGARMO, 501 Shatto Place,
5 Suite 100, Los Angeles, California, 90820,
6 represented by GUY LEWIS, Attorney at Law, appeared
7 on behalf of plaintiffs; and

8 BROBECK, PHLEGER & HARRISON, One Market Plaza,
9 Spear Street Tower, San Francisco, California 94105,
10 represented by ROBERT S. DAGGETT, Attorney at Law,
11 appeared as counsel on behalf of Fibreboard
12 Corporation; and

13 ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN, BENTLEY & WAGNER, 655
14 Montgomery Street, Suite #1600, San Francisco,
15 California 94111, represented by EUGENE J. MAJESKI,
16 Attorney at Law, and THOMAS C. NORTON, Attorney at
17 Law, appeared as counsel on behalf of Fibreboard
18 Corporation; and

19 WINNINGHAM, ROBERTS, FAMA and THOMPSON, 425
20 California Street, 4th Floor, San Francisco,
21 California 94104, represented by LYNN M. PETTUS,
22 Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on behalf of
23 Eagle Picher Industries, Inc.; and

24 McCUTCHEN, DOYLE, BROWN & ENERSEN, Three
25 Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California 94111,

1 represented by KEVIN K. CHOLAKIAN, Attorney at Law,
2 appeared as counsel on behalf of GAF; and

3 MULLALLY & CEDERBORG, 1405 Central Building,
4 Oakland, California 94612, represented by LAURIE K.
5 ANGER, Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on
6 behalf of Keene Corporation; and

7 HARDIN, COOK, LOPER, ENGEL & BERGEZ, 2300
8 Ordway Building, One Kaiser Plaza, Oakland,
9 California 94612-3686, represented by ROBERTA E.
10 NALBANDIAN, Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on
11 behalf of Western MacArthur; and

12 BLEDSOE, CATHCART, BOYD, ELIOT & CURFMAN, 650
13 California Street, Suite #2828, San Francisco,
14 California 94108, represented by KATHERYN L.
15 ANDERSON, Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on
16 behalf of Flexitallic Gasket Company, Inc.; and

17 HOOPER, KENDALL & KUBANCIK, 499 - 15th Street,
18 Suite #401, Oakland, California 94612, represented
19 by JOSEPH J. KUBANCIK, Attorney at Law, appearing
20 for ST. CLAIR, ZAPPETTINI, McFETRIDGE & GRIFFIN, 235
21 Montgomery Street, Suite #635, San Francisco,
22 California 94104, as counsel for Nicolet; and

23 Law Offices of WILLIAM DUKE, 433 California
24 Street, Suite #330, San Francisco, California 94111,
25 represented by CHARLENE P. ROSACK, Attorney at Law,

1 appeared as counsel on behalf of H.K. Porter
2 Company, Inc; and

3 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN J. MURRAY, First Interstate
4 Bank Building, 702 Marshall, Suite #250, Redwood
5 City, California 94063, represented by JEROME
6 HARRISON, Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on
7 behalf of United States Gypsum; and

8 HASSARD, BONNINGTON, ROGERS & HUBER, 3500 Wells
9 Fargo Building, 44 Montgomery Street, San Francisco,
10 California 94104, represented by EMILY BROCKMAN
11 (legal assistant), appeared as counsel on behalf of
12 Pittsburg Corning; and

13 GUDMUNDSON, SIGGINS & STONE, 235 Montgomery
14 Street, Suite #710, San Francisco, California 94104,
15 represented by SUSAN PIERCE, Attorney at Law,
16 appeared as counsel on behalf of Armstrong World
17 Industries, Inc.; and

18 POPELKA, ALLARD, McCOWAN & JONES, 601
19 Montgomery Street, Suite #2022, San Francisco,
20 California 94111, represented by CAMILLA D. COCHRAN,
21 Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on behalf of
22 Owens Corning Fibreglass; and

23 STEVENS & DRUMMOND, 1910 Olympic Boulevard,
24 Suite 250, Walnut Creek, California 94596,
25 represented by GARY T. DRUMMOND, Attorney at Law,

1 appeared on behalf of ACL; and

2 LA FOLLETTE, JOHNSON, SCHROETER & DE HAAS, 100
3 Van Ness Avenue, 19th Floor, San Francisco,
4 California, represented by MELANIE SCHROETER (Law
5 Clerk) appeared on behalf of Flintkote; and

6 ERICKSEN, ARBUTHNOT, MCCARTHY, KEARNEY & WALSH,
7 Inc., Pier 1-1/2, The Embarcadero, San Francisco,
8 California 94111, represented by THEODORE C.
9 LUEBKEMAN, Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on
10 behalf of NAAC.

11 ALSO PRESENT: ROBERT A. BECK, Esquire.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

HENRY A. PERLMUTTER,

being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

MR. DAGGETT: We are on the stenographic record. Don't roll the tape yet.

This deposition is taken at this time and place by notice served and filed in the cases in which the deposition is being taken. I am Robert Daggett, appearing as counsel for the witness, Dr. Henry Perlmutter, and also as counsel for Fibreboard.

Because of certain minor conditions of health commonly associated with Dr. Perlmutter's age, we are going to break at four o'clock this afternoon and resume, if necessary, at this time and place, at ten o'clock tomorrow morning.

At the moment we are on the stenographic record only and the video tape has not begun to run.

Does any counsel have any observation, comment, objection or anything else that would be more appropriate to the stenographic record than the video tape record?

All right. Hearing no such, shall we run the tape. (Following proceedings on videotape)

EXAMINATION BY MR. DAGGETT:

1
2 MR. DAGGETT: Dr. Perlmutter, now that you
3 have taken the oath as a witness, will you tell us
4 your full name, sir.

5 A. Henry Abbott Perlmutter.

6 Q. Where do you live?

7 A. I live in Tucson, Arizona, at 460 Valle
8 Del Oro Road.

9 Q. What is your profession?

10 A. I am a surgeon who has retired this year.

11 Q. You are retired and not actively
12 practicing at this time?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. Where did you go to medical school?

15 A. Northwestern University Medical School.

16 Q. When did you attend Northwestern?

17 A. From 1933 through 1937.

18 Q. Did you receive the M.D. degree in 1937?

19 A. At Northwestern, at that time, at the
20 completion of the academic work a Bachelor of
21 Medicine was conferred, and, after the required
22 one-year internship, the M.D. was given to each
23 candidate.

24 Q. Where did you take your internship?

25 A. At Santa Clara County Hospital, in San

1 Jose.

2 Q. In California?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. And over what year was that, doctor?

5 A. That was 1937-38.

6 Q. After you took your internship at Santa
7 Clara, what did you do?

8 A. I went into general practice.

9 Q. Where did you do that?

10 A. At Berkeley, California, and I also did
11 half time industrial work at Fibre -- at that time
12 it was Pabco, in Emeryville.

13 Q. How did you come to locate your general
14 practice in Berkeley?

15 A. I was looking in the Physicians Register
16 and looking for some senior doctor who had graduated
17 from Northwestern, and there was a typographical
18 error in the Register and instead of "Illinois 6" --
19 "16" from his school, the "1" was obliterated and it
20 said "Illinois 6," which was the code for
21 Northwestern University Medical School. When I saw
22 his age, which was in the late seventies, I called
23 him and asked him if I could come and talk to him,
24 which I did, and we discussed the possibility of his
25 taking on an assistant. And I was hired half time

1 at Pabco, and I opened an office a couple of miles
2 away from the plant.

3 Q. Who was this doctor who was either
4 typographically accurately or inaccurately "Illinois
5 6"?

6 A. His name was Henry Wahle.

7 Q. Did he have a practice in Berkeley at that
8 time?

9 A. No. He did no private practice. He had
10 retired from private practice years ago. He had
11 come to California and worked in the shipyards for a
12 while as a physician during the war.

13 Q. Was Dr. Wahle at Pabco at the time you
14 first talked to him?

15 A. Yes, he was.

16 Q. And as a result of your conversations with
17 Dr. Wahle did you become a part-time physician at
18 Pabco?

19 A. Yes, I became a part-time physician after
20 our discussion.

21 Q. As Dr. Wahle's assistant?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. When was this?

24 A. This was in 1938.

25 Q. And then at the same time did you open an

1 office for the general practice of medicine in
2 Berkeley?

3 A. Yes, I did.

4 Q. Where was that?

5 A. That was up -- I think it was Shattuck
6 Avenue. It was in the American Trust Building.

7 Q. When you opened your office for general
8 practice in Berkeley did you practice by yourself or
9 with someone at first?

10 A. I was a solo practitioner.

11 Q. All right. Did you work five days a week
12 at Pabco or some other number of days a week?

13 A. I worked five days a week and I was on
14 call at other times.

15 Q. What hours in the day did you work at
16 Pabco at the beginning?

17 A. From eight o'clock until noon.

18 Q. Mornings?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. And what did you do with your afternoons?

21 A. I took care of my private practice.

22 Q. What was nature of your private practice
23 in Berkeley?

24 A. I was a general practitioner and I stayed
25 in this type of practice for many years.

1 Q. Now, tell me again, so we are very clear
2 on it, Doctor, what was the year you began working
3 as a part-time plant physician at Pabco?

4 A. To the best of my recollection, it was
5 1938, immediately after I had finished my internship.

6 Q. All right. 1938?

7 A. Now, wait a second. It was either late '38
8 or early '39.

9 Q. It is only about thirty-five years ago,
10 isn't it?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Tell us, please, what were the nature of
13 your duties at the Pabco plant as a plant physician
14 beginning in 1938?

15 A. I was instructed by Dr. Wahle to take care
16 of patients as they came into the medical department.
17 I was to decide whether the particular injury that
18 was sustained -- because most of the people coming
19 on sick call were there because of trauma --
20 I took -- I would take care of them and send them
21 back to work if they were able to work, or send them
22 home. If I saw that they had something that was an
23 illness that had been taken care of by their private
24 physician or that they had some disease entity that
25 needed continuous care, then they were referred to

1 their private doctor.

2 Q. Tell us in language a juror might better
3 understand what you mean by "trauma."

4 A. "Trauma" is any injury sustained during
5 any particular condition, a laceration, a cut, a
6 fracture of a bone, a foreign body in the eye.

7 Q. All right.. Now, did your attention to and
8 treatment of a worker at Pabco differ according to
9 whether the injury or condition the person had was
10 work connected or not work connected, on the job or
11 not on the job?

12 A. Would you explain --

13 Q. Yes. Maybe that's too long a question.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. If somebody came in with a work-connected
16 injury, an on-the-job injury, what was the nature of
17 the care you gave?

18 A. If it was a minor surgical procedure, such
19 as a laceration, we would suture the wound and dress
20 it and have the patient come in for dressings daily
21 until it was healed. If it was a fracture and it
22 was serious, we would call a specialist in
23 orthopedics.

24 Q. Suppose a case in which a worker came into
25 the plant in the morning after he bumped his head in

1 a car accident the night before, would you treat
2 that any differently? What would you do there?

3 A. Well, we would examine the patient and ask
4 him what symptoms he had. If he had headaches or
5 double vision or something that would indicate
6 possibly serious trauma, he would be sent back to
7 his private physician for treatment.

8 Q. Did you take care of workers at Pabco who
9 had a non-work connected injury or complaint?

10 A. Yes. We took care of minor things like
11 upper respiratory infections, minor dermatitis
12 condition.

13 Q. Did you refer those people to their
14 private doctors?

15 A. If it warranted serious follow up, yes.
16 If it was just something that could be taken care of
17 in one or two visits, we would handle it.

18 Q. And was there any difference in the way
19 you treated on-the-job injuries or conditions?

20 A. You mean were there two different classes
21 of treatment?

22 Q. Well, was the length of the treatment and
23 the scope of the treatment any different with
24 on-the-job injuries or conditions than those that
25 were not on-the-job?

1 A. We took care of them, if we accepted
2 treating them, until they were okay and needed no
3 further treatment.

4 Q. What was your practice with respect to
5 referring people to their own private physicians at
6 the Pabco plant?

7 A. If they had anything that we considered
8 serious, we would call the physician who was taking
9 care of the individual and discuss the problem and
10 the findings on examination that we had with their
11 doctor.

12 Q. Were medical specialists available to you
13 for consultation and treatment of patients at the
14 Pabco plant?

15 A. Yes, they were.

16 Q. What kinds of specialists were available?

17 A. We had orthopedists, general surgeons,
18 pulmonary or chest physicians, we had
19 ophthalmologists -- dermatologists, that we would
20 send skin conditions that we felt were beyond our
21 scope of treatment -- and anything else that would
22 require specialty training.

23 Q. All right. Did you typically refer Pabco
24 employees to a specialist if the patient's complaint
25 was for off-the-job, non-work connected problems?

1 A. We'd ask them first if they had their own
2 physician and to go to see their physician, and they
3 might be referred by their physician but we did not
4 tell them where to go for medical treatment if they
5 had their own doctor.

6 Q. Did you refer job-connected injuries to
7 specialists that were available to you?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Who paid for them in the case of
10 job-connected injuries?

11 A. Our self-insured department.

12 Q. Was your work at the Pabco plant related
13 in some fashion or other to workmen's compensation?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. How was that?

16 MR. KAZAN: Excuse me. Let me object for the
17 record on the grounds of ambiguity. You haven't
18 developed it but the doctor had several tenures at
19 Pabco during which his duties may have differed from
20 time to time. And since you have not defined the
21 time with which this question deals, I think it's
22 vague.

23 MR. DAGGETT: I will define the time now.
24 And then I will get to later times in a minute.

25 Q. I am talking about the first year or so

1 you were at Pabco, Doctor. What connection or
2 association was there between your duties, as you
3 understood it, and workmen's compensation for people
4 at the plant?

5 A. We would file a first report of injury and
6 decide that the patient needed treatment, that he
7 might or might not have to be taken off the job, and
8 we would decide what treatment was necessary, and
9 the follow up would fall under the usual routine for
10 industrial accidents that was carried on all over
11 the state. The only difference was instead of being
12 with a private insurance company, the company took
13 care of their own insurance.

14 Q. The company was self insured?

15 A. Right.

16 Q. All the time you were at Pabco it was
17 self-insured?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. And will you tell us whether or not in the
20 case of an on-the-job injury or condition Pabco paid
21 for the treatment by you and also for any
22 specialties?

23 A. I didn't have dual compensation. I was
24 paid on a monthly basis, and -- unless it were after
25 work hours, and then I would charge the usual

1 industrial fee.

2 Q. You were paid a salary by Pabco?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. How much was that?

5 A. Initially, it was at around 115 or 125.

6 This was --

7 Q. Was that a day, Doctor?

8 A. It was a month.

9 Q. A month? This was in the late nineteen
10 thirties?

11 A. '38 when the depression had hit the Coast
12 very hard.

13 Q. Do you know how the specialists to whom
14 you referred workers with on-the-job injuries were
15 paid? Do you know anything about that?

16 A. The specialists?

17 Q. Yes.

18 A. Yes. They adhered to the fee schedule set
19 up by the California Industrial Commission.

20 Q. Now, from time to time did a worker you
21 saw at Pabco become a patient of yours in your
22 general practice office in Berkeley?

23 A. Yes, they did.

24 Q. Will you tell us whether or not one of the
25 reasons you took the job at Pabco in those

1 depression years was to help you build a private
2 practice.

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. Can you give us any order of magnitude
5 about how many or how large a group of Pabco workers
6 became patients in your general practice office?

7 A. Well, I had a successful practice,
8 personal practice, from the time I started. Dr.
9 Wahle would refer patients to me. He never -- many
10 of the workers wanted to see Dr. Wahle as a private
11 physician and he explained repeatedly that he did
12 not do any private practice but that he had a young
13 well-trained, nice physician with him and he
14 referred them to me.

15 Q. Can you give us any estimate, even though
16 its thirty-five odd years later, of approximately
17 how many patients a year at the beginning in your
18 general practice office came from the Pabco plant?

19 A. I would say percentage-wise it would be
20 twenty-five percent of my patients came from Pabco,
21 roughly.

22 Q. All right. Now, if a Pabco worker came in
23 to your general practice office as a patient, will
24 you tell us whether you believed yourself to be
25 responsible for the general health care of that

1 patient to the extent he brought his problems to you?

2 A. Yes. And that they weren't industrial.

3 If I saw him, I would sometimes feel that this was a
4 problem that was due to his work and I'd ask him why
5 he didn't see me down at the Pabco medical center
6 there. And I would tell him to go there, and I
7 wouldn't take care of him privately.

8 Q. Let me see if I can get this straight. If
9 the person had an on-the-job injury, then he could
10 see you at the Pabco plant without paying any
11 professional fee?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. And if he came to your general practice
14 office and paid a fee, normally would that be
15 because he did not have a workmen's comp on-the-job
16 condition or injury?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. All right. Now, how long after you
19 started in 1938 did you stay at Pabco as a physician?

20 A. Well, I stayed until 1942, when World War
21 II broke out.

22 Q. And when World War II broke out then did
23 you do something else?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. What did you do?

1 A. Did you say "what"? What did I do?

2 Q. Yes. I don't want all your war stories,
3 Doctor, but can you just give us in a brief way what
4 you did during World War II when you were not at
5 Pabco?

6 A. I was in the army, initially in an
7 infantry division, following which I was sent
8 overseas and was referred to the Surgeon's Office,
9 and I worked in the Surgeon's Office for some time.
10 Actually, I was never officially on the rolls of the
11 Surgeon's Office but they had me on detached service
12 from the 36th General Hospital, which was the Wayne
13 University.

14 Q. Were you in the army as a doctor?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. How long were you in?

17 A. I was in approximately four years.

18 Q. What was your rank?

19 A. I went in as a first lieutenant and I came
20 out as a lieutenant colonel.

21 Q. All right. When did you come out?

22 A. Let's see -- 19 -- 1946.

23 Q. What did you do then, when you came out?

24 A. I came back and saw Dr. Wahle, and he was
25 eager to see me and he said he was leaving, and I

1 won't go into it in any details, but he just left.

2 Q. How old was Dr. Wahle then, if you know?

3 A. Gosh, he was around eighty.

4 Q. And you came back from the war and saw Dr.
5 Wahle, is that right?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. Did you return to part-time employment at
8 Pabco?

9 A. Yes, I did.

10 Q. Were the hours and the days the same,
11 mornings, five days a week?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Did you --

14 A. And on call subsequently.

15 Q. Yes. Did you resume your general practice
16 in Berkeley?

17 A. I did.

18 Q. At the same address in the American Trust
19 Building, or at a different place?

20 A. No. I moved to 1611 San Pablo, where I
21 bought a duplex and converted one side to medical
22 offices.

23 Q. And lived in the other side?

24 A. Yes. Initially.

25 Q. How long after you got back from the army

1 in 1946 did you continue at Pabco?

2 A. Until roughly 1950. It had always been my
3 intent --

4 Q. No, just tell me how long it was you
5 continued at Pabco?

6 A. From, roughly, 1950.

7 Q. And during the period 1946 to 1950 did you
8 also have your general practice on San Pablo in
9 Berkeley?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Now, did your duties, responsibilities or
12 regimen at Pabco change at any time between 1938 and
13 1950, allowing for the period you spent in the army?

14 A. Well, slightly.

15 Q. How is that?

16 A. I did everything as before. I was in
17 charge of Emeryville, and Dr. Wahle had been in
18 charge of all the various offices, medical offices,
19 but I only had responsibility to Emeryville.

20 Q. After Dr. Wahle left were there any
21 changes?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. What were they?

24 A. Instead of Dr. Wahle running the
25 self-insured program, the San Francisco office took

1 that over, and I would be consulted regarding the
2 people at Pabco.

3 Q. The San Francisco office of Pabco took
4 over the insurance?

5 A. Well, that's where the self-insured office
6 was.

7 Q. All right.. Who was in charge of that, do
8 you know?

9 A. I don't remember who was in charge at that
10 time. Subsequently, I heard that Mrs. Hanson had
11 been.

12 Q. All we are interested in here today is
13 what you knew during your time at Pabco between 1938
14 and 1950, allowing for the war, allowing for being
15 gone for the war. Now, taking into account that
16 there were some changes in the self-insured workers'
17 compensation program when Dr. Wahle left, did your
18 duties, responsibilities as a physician at the Pabco
19 plant in the mornings change?

20 A. No. Except as I mentioned, that I would
21 have to discuss the length of time an individual
22 would be staying off and whether that case should go
23 to trial or whatever.

24 Q. By a "case going to trial" you mean if a
25 worker had an on-the-job injury that needed to have

1 a hearing --

2 A. Right.

3 Q. -- before the Workmen's Compensation
4 Board?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. And after Dr. Wahle left, did your
7 responsibilities as a doctor also include people
8 going off work for injury and returning to work?

9 A. Yes. It always -- I always had that
10 responsibility. If it were a problem case, I would
11 discuss it in consultation with Dr. Wahle, but --
12 either I would do it or during the times when I was
13 on vacation and someone else was taking my place,
14 the decision would be made by the doctor.

15 Q. All right. Is my arithmetic right, that
16 you were at your duties as a physician at Pabco
17 part time for about eleven or twelve years up to
18 1950, except for your absence in the army?

19 A. From 1938 until 1950, minus four years in
20 the service.

21 Q. All right. Did you come to know or have
22 any idea during that period how common or uncommon
23 it was for a manufacturing company like Pabco to
24 have a medical department right in the plant?

25 A. Well, it was not common.

1 MR. KAZAN: Excuse me. I am going to object
2 and move to strike the answer as nonresponsive.

3 MR. DAGGETT: Q. Go ahead and answer the
4 question, Doctor. I think it was responsive. It
5 may have been a little bit broad but that's the only
6 way I know at the moment how--

7 MR. KAZAN: You asked him if he knew.

8 MR. DAGGETT: Oh, I see.

9 MR. KAZAN: And that calls for a "yes" or
10 "no" answer.

11 MR. DAGGETT: All right.

12 Q. Doctor, they want you to be a little bit
13 more precise here. Did you come to know how common
14 or uncommon it was in the Bay Area for manufacturing
15 plants like Pabco to have a medical department at
16 the plant? The question is: did you come to know
17 that?

18 A. I would say there were --

19 Q. Doctor, they want to know -- the lawyers
20 want to know just if you knew that or if you didn't
21 come to know that.

22 A. Well, yes.

23 Q. See, this is what lawyers do.

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. "Yes," you came to know that, is that what

1 you're saying?

2 A. Yes, I came to know that.

3 Q. All right. Now the question is, Doctor,
4 what did you come to know about that?

5 A. I knew that there weren't many industrial
6 companies that had a medical department on the
7 premises.

8 Q. Did you know anybody else who did?

9 A. I knew the sugar refinery in Crockett had
10 a full -- I think they had a full time or half time,
11 I don't know, but they had a medical department.

12 Q. What company was that, do you remember?

13 A. C&W or --?

14 Q. C&H?

15 A. C&H.

16 Q. All right. Did you know anybody else who
17 had a medical department, part time or not?

18 A. Let's see now. No one in Emeryville that
19 I can recall. There were some steel companies that
20 I heard had it but didn't know personally.

21 Q. All right. Can you give us a kind of a
22 description in words, as best you can, of where the
23 medical department was at the Pabco plant and what
24 it consisted of, what the floor plan or layout was.

25 A. It was a small department in relation to

1 the number of square feet. It consisted of two
2 examining and consultation rooms. These were
3 jointly used as both examining rooms and as
4 consultation rooms. There was a much larger room
5 taken up by the nurse with her records and files and
6 with the area where she would clean instruments and
7 autoclave them.

8 Q. Was there one nurse or more than one nurse,
9 at any given time?

10 A. At the time I was there, there was always
11 one nurse, and for a time we had a secretary also.

12 Q. Was the nurse a registered nurse or some
13 other classification?

14 A. The nurse in the medical department was
15 always an RN.

16 Q. All right. When did the secretary start
17 to work there, if you recall?

18 A. I don't recall exactly.

19 Q. What did the nurse do?

20 A. The nurse would help me in the event that
21 there was a suturing that had to be done, and she
22 had to bring the instruments and help prep the area
23 and hand me the instruments, if need be. These were
24 minor so that she always could do it very easily.

25 Q. By "minor" do you mean minor office

1 surgical procedure?

2 A. Minor office surgical procedure.

3 Q. Like stitching up cuts?

4 A. Right.

5 Q. Anything else?

6 A. That's all -- well, foreign bodies removed
7 from the eye, foreign bodies removed from the
8 fingers, avulsion of finger nails, and tidying up so
9 a good dressing could be applied.

10 Q. Cleaning up the wound, do you mean?

11 A. Yes, cleaning up the wound when the
12 fingernail is avulsed, the shreds of tissue. This
13 was a relatively common injury.

14 Q. If somebody broke an arm or a leg while
15 working at Pabco, did you set the fracture at the
16 plant?

17 A. No, we did not.

18 Q. Did you have an x-ray machine at the plant?

19 A. No, we did not.

20 Q. Did you ever have an x-ray machine at the
21 plant?

22 A. We never did.

23 Q. Did you take x-rays at the plant?

24 A. Never -- well, x-rays were taken at the
25 plant under only one condition.

1 Q. What was that?

2 A. That was when the Alameda County TB
3 Association sent the mini-- the vans out to take
4 mini films of chests.

5 Q. The kind of truck that we can all step into
6 off the street sometimes to have a chest picture
7 taken?

8 A. That's right.

9 Q. But Pabco, and you as Pabco's part-time
10 physician, took no x-rays?

11 A. No. We purposely did not.

12 Q. Why purposely?

13 A. Because we felt that we needed a qualified
14 technician to do it and we needed a qualified
15 radiologist to examine the -- to read the film.

16 Q. When x-rays were taken of Pabco workers
17 where were they taken and who took them?

18 A. We sent them to Providence Hospital, some
19 to Meritt, occasionally to Herrick Hospital, and
20 fairly frequently to a private radiologist who was
21 boarded in radiology and who did only radiology.
22 His name was Edward Fong. And I don't know if he's
23 still in practice or not.

24 Q. Was there some reason that you used the
25 radiologist, at times at least, who was not on the

1 staff of a hospital?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Why was that?

4 A. Because the hospitals were considerably
5 busier than the private radiologists, and we got
6 just superb service. If we wanted an x-ray and we
7 wanted to see it right away, it would be delivered
8 to us.

9 Q. When x-rays were taken away from the Pabco
10 plant of Pabco workers what happened to the x-ray
11 films?

12 A. The x-ray films were ordinarily
13 transferred to our department, mailed to us or
14 someone would personally deliver them. But in
15 several instances -- well, quite a few actually --
16 the hospital kept the x-ray in their files, and if
17 we knew that it was there we requested they be sent.
18 There weren't many. But every so often we were
19 informed by the hospital that it was time to get rid
20 of their old x-rays and they were going to destroy
21 them unless we wanted them. Well, of course we
22 wanted them. We kept all our x-rays in two large
23 x-ray filing cabinets.

24 Q. So you kept or tried to keep x-rays at the
25 Pabco plant?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Where were they kept there?

3 A. They were kept right next to where the
4 nurse's desk was located.

5 Q. In some kind of cabinet?

6 A. Yes. Specially constructed x-ray cabinets
7 that were universally used in hospital and elsewhere.

8 Q. An x-ray cabinet for the x-rays, is that
9 right?

10 A. Correct. It's a much larger filing cabinet
11 than usual.

12 Q. All right. During the time you were a
13 part-time physician at Pabco, allowing for your four
14 years away in the army, did you belong to any
15 professional associations or groups concerned with
16 industrial medicine?

17 A. Yes. I did. I had forgotten about it
18 before. I joined when they had the -- when they
19 formed the Western Industrial Society -- I can't
20 even recall the name of the group.

21 Q. Some kind of Western Industrial Society?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And you joined?

24 A. An offshoot of the American Industrial or
25 whatever.

1 Q. Did you go to meetings of that group?

2 A. I only went to two, as I recollect. One
3 was the -- I can't remember the name of the doctor
4 who was at C&H but he was the spark plug behind the
5 organization of the group.

6 Q. Was it a local San Francisco Bay Area
7 group or a West Coast-wide group?

8 A. I can't recall exactly.

9 Q. Did you stop going while the organization
10 continued or did the organization stop?

11 A. They didn't stop because I left.

12 Q. Did you leave?

13 A. It was never too --

14 Q. Doctor, my question was: did you leave?

15 A. Did I leave?

16 Q. Did you stop going?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Why did you?

19 A. Well, I didn't think it was a very
20 effective organization.

21 Q. Why not?

22 A. There was no tremendous enthusiasm for the
23 group. The programs were very pedestrian and didn't
24 seem worthwhile.

25 Q. Do you remember what the programs were

1 about?

2 A. Well, there were discussions about trauma
3 and different problems, and I can't remember any of
4 the programs.

5 Q. Did you belong to any other associations
6 during your time at Pabco which you understood was
7 interested in or had to do with industrial medicine?

8 A. No, I did not.

9 Q. Did you during your time at Pabco read any
10 professional literature for doctors which you
11 considered to be directly related to your work at
12 Pabco?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Did you get any publications at your
15 general practice office?

16 A. No, I did not.

17 Q. You did not.

18 A. When you say "publications," are you
19 referring to industrial publications --

20 Q. Yes.

21 A. -- or just medical ones?

22 Q. No, I am referring now to publications
23 directed to industrial medicine.

24 A. I might have. I can't recall.

25 Q. Did you read while you were at Pabco any

1 professional publications for doctors having to do
2 with the general practice of medicine as opposed to
3 industrial medicine?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. What were they?

6 A. Well, I had joined the American Medical
7 Association and read the Journal of the American
8 Medical Association regularly.

9 Q. Did you ever stop doing that before 1950?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Did you read anything else to keep up to
12 date?

13 A. Well, I also read some -- the New England
14 Journal, and I took that for a short time.

15 Q. For how short a time?

16 A. Oh, approximately a year or less.

17 Q. Now, was it your practice to read these
18 publications from cover to cover enthusiastically or
19 in some other way?

20 A. I would consult the index and see what
21 articles I was particularly interested in. I read
22 most of the Journal --

23 Q. Did -- Excuse me.

24 A. -- of the American Medical Association.

25 Q. Did you have any medical texts in the

1 medical department at Pabco?

2 A. We did not.

3 Q. Did you have any medical texts in your
4 general practice office in Berkeley, before the war
5 and after the war?

6 A. I had some. Most of my texts were at home.

7 Q. I beg your pardon?

8 A. I said I had some in the office but most
9 of my texts were at home.

10 Q. Were at home. Did you have a practice of
11 buying new medical texts or adding medical texts to
12 your library during the time you were at Pabco?

13 A. Yes, I did.

14 Q. What, if you remember, did you add or buy?

15 A. Well, I read -- I'd bought some texts on
16 orthopedics, texts on minor surgery, textbooks of
17 medicine.

18 Q. Let me go back for a moment to something
19 we talked about a little bit earlier. Among the
20 specialists you said you referred Pabco workers to
21 you mentioned chest specialists.

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Can you recall the names of some of the
24 people in the chest specialty that you referred
25 Pabco workers to?

1 A. We referred all our chest problems to Dr.
2 Harold Trimble's group. I --

3 Q. Where was Dr. Trimble.

4 A. He was in Oakland on Pill Hill. And he
5 had a large, effective group. I remember Dr. Eaton,
6 and -- I can't remember the other names. They just
7 slip my memory, it's been so long.

8 Q. Are you able to tell us in general terms
9 the circumstances in which you would refer a Pabco
10 worker to Dr. Trimble as a chest specialist?

11 A. If after examining a patient who had some
12 respiratory complaints and doing a physical on the
13 patient, taking a history, if we felt that the
14 patient should have an x-ray, we ordered an x-ray.
15 And we would call Dr. Trimble and tell him where the
16 x-ray was. Usually -- both Dr. Fong and Providence
17 Hospital was virtually across the street or slightly
18 kittycorner from Dr. Trimble's office and he would
19 go and look at the x-rays and I would send the
20 patient to him if he thought he should see him.

21 Q. Was it Dr. Trimble's practice in chest
22 cases to provide you at Pabco with a report?

23 A. Dr. Trimble, after seeing the x-ray or
24 seeing the patient, he always phoned and discussed
25 the patient with me and always sent a written report

1 for our records.

2 Q. Were those reports of Dr. Trimble kept
3 someplace?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Where?

6 A. They were kept in a special file where we
7 had our initial history and physicals, when the
8 employee was first given a pre-employment physical,
9 and any reports were then stapled to this form,
10 which was a soft cardboard piece of paper.

11 Q. If you are able to do so, recall for us,
12 please, in general, what kind of chest symptoms were
13 referred by you to Dr. Trimble in the case of Papco
14 workers?

15 A. If the patient had any chest discomfort,
16 if he had a cough, if he would be cyanotic, there
17 would be some discoloration in the peripheral blood,
18 in the hands or even in the face, and we would --

19 Q. Maybe you better stop for a minute and
20 tell the jury what cyanotic means. It sounds just
21 awful.

22 A. Yeah. If the individual would have a
23 change in color, this would be due to the fact that
24 there was not enough oxygen in his system, and the
25 blood in the tissues would be darker.

1 Q. In the case of such chest symptoms, were
2 you able to tell right there at the plant examining
3 the patient whether the complaint was work-connected
4 or not work-connected?

5 A. If the patient -- if the employee worked
6 in an area that was hazardous from the standpoint of
7 breathing, if there was a lot of dust, if he was
8 complaining about the atmosphere in his workplace,
9 we would want a checkup by a specialist to see
10 whether this was work related and would explain to
11 the specialist before he was seen what sort of job
12 he was doing and what were the atmospheric
13 conditions where he worked.

14 Q. Was there dust, manufacturing dust,
15 generated in some parts of the Pabco manufacturing
16 plants?

17 A. Yes, there was.

18 Q. Where were they? What parts?

19 A. Well, they were in the asbestos area,
20 where asbestos was used.

21 Q. Anything else?

22 A. In the roofing.

23 Q. In the roofing. Anything else?

24 A. There was some wood dust in the floor
25 covering.

1 Q. Floor covering. Anything else?

2 A. Well, there was dust in various places.

3 Q. Incidentally, Doctor, while you were at
4 Pabco was there a paint manufacturing operation
5 there?

6 A. Yes, there was.

7 Q. Was there any testing of employees in the
8 paint manufacturing operation for any dangerous
9 substance?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What was that?

12 A. There was lead used in the manufacture of
13 paint and we had one or two people who had lead
14 poisoning or beginning lead poisoning. The
15 laboratory results indicated lead poisoning. And as
16 a result, we regularly, every year, would run tests
17 on paint workers to be sure they were not developing
18 any lead poisoning.

19 Q. What was done with the one or two
20 employees who developed lead poisoning?

21 A. We called in a consultant, who was an
22 internist interested in this problem and who had
23 extensive knowledge of the problem. We treated the
24 individuals. We called in the foreman and the
25 superintendent of the paint area, and we felt that

1 there was some problem in sanitation -- the
2 individuals were not careful about washing their
3 hands before eating, smoking, and what not, and we
4 tried to see that their clothing was kept clean so
5 that they didn't carry lead around with them.

6 Q. And do I understand that as a result of
7 these one or two cases there was an annual program
8 for testing lead content in people in the paint
9 department?

10 A. Yes, there was.

11 Q. What year did that start; do you remember?

12 A. I can't remember if Dr. Wahle had
13 initiated it or if I had, but, as far as I can
14 remember, we always did this. But I can't
15 recollect --

16 Q. Did the lead testing program in the paint
17 department start, so far as you know, as soon as
18 Pabco knew it had one or two cases of lead poisoning?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. And how long did that testing program
21 continue?

22 A. It continued all the time. Every year.

23 Q. All the time you were there?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. All right. Now, did I understand you to

1 say, Doctor, that you left Pabco in 1950?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. What happened to cause that?

4 A. I had resumed my training in medicine
5 which started with my following my mentor's advice
6 to go into general practice and become a doctor and
7 then go into a specialty.

8 Q. Who was your mentor?

9 A. Well, it so happened that the one who
10 mentioned this was Loyal Davis, the President's
11 father-in-law, and I was one of his clinical clerks
12 and he had suggested that it would be a good idea
13 for young doctors to go into general practice and
14 this advice was echoed by some of the others.

15 Q. Where did you come in contact with Dr.
16 Davis?

17 A. Dr. Davis was head of the Department of
18 Surgery at Northwestern University.

19 Q. At Medical School?

20 A. Right.

21 Q. All right. And he said to you it's a good
22 idea to become a doctor by being a general
23 practitioner and then specializing?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And you decided to specialize?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And what did you decide to specialize in?

3 A. In general surgery.

4 Q. How did you go about that?

5 A. When I was overseas I had been with a
6 Wayne University Unit and while I was on detached
7 service I spent some time with them on the wards and
8 I thought they were a very good unit, and I decided
9 I was going back there. But meanwhile --

10 Q. Where is "back there," Doctor?

11 A. Back in Detroit.

12 Q. Back in Detroit, Michigan?

13 A. Yes. Wayne State Medical School was in
14 Detroit and the principal university hospital was
15 Detroit Receiving.

16 Q. I'm just trying to find out, Doctor,
17 wholly apart from Michigan, whether you went back
18 there.

19 A. Yes, I did.

20 Q. And when did you do that?

21 A. Well, I did it by increments. I started
22 out in the area of where I was practicing, doing
23 general practice, and I started working in pathology
24 with Dr. Fishback at Herrick Hospital and all my
25 spare time I spent doing autopsies, and I then took

1 residency in -- let's see -- I then took a residency
2 at French Camp at San Joaquin Valley in orthopedics,
3 and when I returned, I returned inside of a year --

4 Q. Just a minute, Doctor, let's not have you
5 return yet. You went in increments to Michigan to
6 take a residency?

7 A. Yes. But I was ahead of that. I said
8 that I was -- to go back to Detroit Receiving, I did
9 that in increments. I was still practicing my
10 general practice and doing my orthopedic -- my
11 surgery residency at San Joaquin where I could come
12 in over weekends and carry on some general practice.

13 Q. All right. Now, at what point in this
14 further medical training did you stop being a
15 part-time doctor at Pabco? Can you give me a year?

16 A. It was probably '51, or '50, '51.

17 Q. '50, '51?

18 A. Uh-huh.

19 Q. And you left your duties at Pabco to take
20 a residency and specialize, is that right?

21 A. Yes, and I went to these various places.

22 Q. And what specialty did you train yourself
23 in?

24 A. General surgery.

25 Q. Did you become a general surgeon?

1 A. Yes, I did.

2 Q. Did you become board certified as a
3 general surgeon?

4 A. Yes, I did.

5 Q. All right. When you left Pabco did any
6 doctor replace you there?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Who was that?

9 A. Dr. Christopher Adamson.

10 Q. All right. Now, following your
11 certification as a general surgeon, did you return
12 to the San Francisco Bay Area?

13 A. Yes, I did.

14 Q. As a surgeon?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Did you open an office?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Where was that?

19 A. Initially, I worked in my old office with
20 Chris until I located an office on Telegraph Avenue
21 in the Medical Building there.

22 Q. In Berkeley or Oakland?

23 A. In Berkeley.

24 Q. All right. After your return to the Bay
25 Area did you have any professional connection with

1 Pabco workers as a surgeon?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. What was that connection?

4 A. I was listed as one of the surgical
5 consultants.

6 Q. Did you have Pabco workers who needed
7 surgery referred to you?

8 A. Dr. Adamson or Dr. Blaisdell would send me
9 industrial injuries that required a general surgeon.

10 Q. On-the-job injuries?

11 A. On the job. There weren't many of these.

12 Q. All right. Not many on-the-job injuries
13 that required surgery?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Now, did you receive off-the-job surgical
16 cases, as for example, someone who needed his
17 appendix out?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And some of those were Pabco workers?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And how long did this referral of Pabco
22 workers to you go on after you came back to the Bay
23 Area?

24 A. Well, it went on in an increasing amount
25 as time went on.

1 Q. How long? Until what year?

2 A. Well, until the medical department in
3 Emeryville was discontinued.

4 Q. And when was that?

5 A. I don't remember the exact time. It was
6 sometime in the early seventies, I think, or late
7 sixties.

8 Q. The medical department was discontinued?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Did you learn why or in what circumstances
11 it was discontinued?

12 A. Well, they were doing less work. I think
13 the total number of employees had diminished enough
14 so that they didn't feel -- the people in management
15 didn't feel it warranted having a regular staff in
16 the medical department and they had contracted with
17 some group in the vicinity. I don't know which
18 group or where they were located.

19 Q. You think that Pabco contracted with a
20 medical group?

21 A. Medical-industrial group, yes.

22 Q. All right. Now, can you tell me again
23 what year it was that you came back to the San
24 Francisco Bay Area as a general surgeon?

25 A. Around 1955.

1 Q. When you came back in 1955 did you go down
2 to the medical department at Pabco in the mornings
3 and see patients anymore?

4 A. No, I did not.

5 Q. You confined yourself to practicing
6 surgery?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. After 1955 how long did you remain in the
9 San Francisco Bay Area?

10 A. I remained there until 1971, I think it
11 was.

12 Q. What did you do then?

13 A. I went back To Wayne State Medical School,
14 Detroit Receiving, and finished up my residency
15 there. Stayed there around four years. I had
16 approximately --

17 Q. No. I'm afraid that my question wasn't
18 understood by you, Doctor. My question was: After
19 you finished your residency and returned in about
20 1955 to the San Francisco Bay Area how long did you
21 stay in the San Francisco Bay Area?

22 A. Until 1971.

23 Q. And did you then take some further
24 training?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Back at Wayne State?

2 A. Pardon me. I sort of mangled the answers
3 as to when I started my residency and I was trying
4 to show where I had started in increments and
5 keeping up some practice.

6 Q. All right. Why don't you treat those
7 mangled answers as a doctor now and see if you can
8 make them well. I am going to ask you this. When
9 did you leave Pabco, what year, to start your
10 further training leading to certification in surgery?

11 A. That was in 1946.

12 Q. 1946, you left Pabco?

13 A. Let me see now.

14 Q. I think you testified before it was 1950
15 to '51.

16 A. Yeah, but I left for my residency in '46.

17 Q. You did?

18 A. Yeah.

19 Q. And how long did the residency take?

20 A. Roughly, four years, plus the time I had
21 spent at French Camp and at Highland.

22 Q. Can you remember what year it was you
23 didn't work mornings at the Pabco plant anymore?

24 A. When I returned in 1950.

25 Q. When you returned in 1950?

1 A. I didn't -- no longer worked a regular
2 four-hour shift.

3 Q. Between 1946 and 1950 did you work regular
4 four-hour morning shifts at the Pabco plant?

5 A. No. 1946 to 1950, I was out of the area.

6 Q. All right. So you stopped working at
7 Pabco in 1946, as now you recall?

8 A. Uh-huh.

9 Q. How old are you now, Doctor?

10 A. Seventy-three.

11 Q. How are you feeling?

12 A. Just like a seventy-three-year-old person
13 should feel.

14 Q. You're in great shape for the shape you're
15 in?

16 A. That's right.

17 Q. All right. We are about to have the need
18 to change tape. Let's take a five or ten minute
19 recess.

20 (Short recess taken)

21 MR. DAGGETT: Q. Now that we have had a
22 little recess, Doctor, I want to ask you the
23 questions again to which you gave the answers you
24 referred to a few minutes ago as "mangled."

25 Now, first of all, when did you start working

1 as a part-time doctor for Pabco?

2 A. 1938.

3 Q. When did you leave for the army for World
4 War II?

5 A. 1942.

6 Q. When did you get back from the army?

7 A. 1946.

8 Q. And when you got back did you return to
9 your doctor's duties at Pabco?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And how long did you continue?

12 A. From 1946 to 1950.

13 Q. And in 1950 what did you do?

14 A. Then I left Pabco and went back to Detroit
15 at Wayne State Medical School, Detroit Receiving
16 Hospital.

17 Q. So you were with Pabco as a part-time
18 doctor from 1938 to 1950 except for four years in
19 the army?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Now, during the period 1946 to 1950, after
22 you got back from the army, did you do some
23 part-time medical work which counted for your
24 residency later?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Does that have anything to do with your
2 telling me you got all mixed up about those year
3 periods, which, after all, are only thirty-five or
4 forty years ago?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Did that have something to do with that?

7 A. Yes, it did.

8 Q. In 1950, you went off to Michigan for your
9 residency to learn to be a surgeon, did you?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. When did you finish that and get certified
12 as a surgeon?

13 A. I finished the training in '55 and I got
14 certified shortly thereafter. There are two parts
15 to the examination and I think it took a year, a
16 year and a half.

17 Q. When you finished the training in 1955 in
18 Michigan where did you go?

19 A. I returned to the Bay Area.

20 Q. And you were a surgeon?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And did you get some cases from the Pabco
23 workers, as you have said before?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And how long did you practice as a surgeon

1 taking referrals of Pabco workers from time to time
2 with your other patients?

3 A. Until '71, just intermittantly, occasional
4 cases that Chris Adamson would have.

5 Q. All right. Now, did you go someplace else
6 in '71?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Where did you go?

9 A. Went to Tucson, Arizona.

10 Q. And how long did you stay there?

11 A. I'm still there.

12 Q. You have been there ever since?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And did you practice for a time in Tucson?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And then did you retire?

17 A. Retired within the last year.

18 Q. Retired within the last year?

19 A. Uh-huh.

20 Q. And is it fair to say that from 1950 --
21 I'm sorry -- from 1971 -- now I'm doing it -- from
22 1971 until the present time you haven't ever
23 practiced in the Northern California area?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Just came here to visit?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Like today?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. All right. When you were in medical
5 school at Northwestern do you recall becoming
6 familiar with a condition called "asbestosis"?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. In medical school, prior to 1938, can you
9 remember what you learned about that?

10 A. In our course in pathology we had occasion
11 to use Boyd's PATHOLOGY, AN INTRODUCTION TO MEDICINE,
12 and there was a short reference regarding THE
13 PNEUMOCONIOSES and listed among the pneumoconioses
14 was asbestos and resultant asbestosis.

15 Q. Did you learn in medical school at
16 Northwestern what pneumoconiosis was?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Tell us what you learned?

19 A. We learned that this was a disease of the
20 lungs primarily that was caused by dust containing
21 irritants, containing silica, anthracite material,
22 and also asbestos.

23 Q. All right. Did you learn in medical
24 school before 1938 that asbestosis was a kind of
25 pneumoconiosis?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Will you tell us what you learned in
3 medical school, if you have anything to add to what
4 you said, about asbestosis?

5 A. Common to most of the pneumoconioses, the
6 particles that caused the pneumoconiosis would cause
7 irritation, inflammation and subsequent fibrosis in
8 the healing process and it would contract the lung.

9 Q. You learned about that in medical school
10 from your book and in class lectures?

11 A. Well, the amount of discussion was very
12 minimal. It --

13 Q. Please don't --

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Please don't tell me that yet because I am
16 coming to that.

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. Now, what you learned about asbestosis in
19 medical school you learned from a book and from
20 class lectures?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. In medical school, did you ever see a case
23 of asbestosis?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Can you give us any idea from your memory,

1 Dr. Perlmutter, forty or more years ago, how much
2 time was spent in your medical school class on
3 asbestosis?

4 A. Virtually none.

5 Q. Now, after you got out of medical school,
6 in your internship did you ever see a case of
7 asbestosis?

8 A. Never.

9 Q. During the first period at Pabco, from
10 1938 until about 1942, did you ever see a case of
11 asbestosis?

12 A. No.

13 Q. After you got back from the army, from
14 1946 to 1950, did you ever see a case of asbestosis?

15 A. No.

16 Q. During the period of your surgical
17 residency training, between 1950 and 1955, did you
18 ever see a case of asbestosis?

19 A. No.

20 Q. As a practicing general surgeon, from 1955
21 onward, did you ever see a case of asbestosis?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Now, my questions which follow relate
24 solely to the period you were at Pabco 1938-1942,
25 1946 to 1950. I do not want you to answer it with

1 respect to what you may know as a physician and
2 surgeon now and I will not permit interrogation of
3 you by other counsel of what the present state of
4 your medical knowledge may be. Confining yourself
5 to the time you were at Pabco, were you aware at any
6 time during those periods that Pabco workers were
7 handling asbestos?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. In what plant or departments were they, if
10 you remember?

11 A. In the Plant Rubber and Asbestos that had
12 moved up to Emeryville and started building a new
13 plant and the plant was in operation either at the
14 end of '41, the early part of '42.

15 Q. What kind of a plant was it?

16 A. It was a plant that produced asbestos
17 products to safeguard equipment that had high
18 temperatures.

19 Q. Did Pabco also have a plant at some time
20 at Redwood City, California?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Did you have anything to do with that?

23 A. None, none whatsoever.

24 Q. None whatsoever. All right. Now, during
25 your time at Pabco and until you left in 1950 did

1 you believe that Pabco workers handling asbestos
2 every day were exposed to any risk of cancer from
3 asbestos?

4 A. I did not know this.

5 Q. Did you believe that Pabco workers
6 handling asbestos every day were exposed to any risk
7 of any other life-threatening or disabling disease
8 from asbestos?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Did you believe as a doctor at Pabco
11 during the time you were there that any of the
12 workers handling asbestos were exposed to a risk of
13 asbestosis or any other severe restrictive lung
14 disease?

15 A. No, I did not know that.

16 Q. Did you know during your time at Pabco in
17 general that there was any association between
18 cancer and asbestos?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did you at some time read the works of Dr.
21 Irving Selikoff of New York on asbestos and health?

22 A. During what time?

23 Q. At any time.

24 A. Why, I have read it recently.

25 Q. How recently?

1 A. Well, within the last two years.

2 Q. Were you aware of Dr. Selikoff's work on
3 asbestos and health at any time that you were
4 working at Pabco?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Or at any time that you were in the Bay
7 Area taking surgical patients from Pabco?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did you nonetheless believe, Doctor, while
10 you were at Pabco that it was necessary to control
11 or suppress general manufacturing dust for the
12 health of the workers?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Was that done at Pabco while you were
15 there?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. What was done?

18 A. The removal of dust, some of which
19 contained asbestos particles, was removed by a very
20 adequate exhaust fan and by a vacuum type removal
21 because of the difference in pressure in the room.

22 Q. How effective did you believe that system
23 or systems was or were while you were at Pabco?

24 A. It was very effective.

25 Q. Why do you think so?

1 A. Because the area, when I went to look at
2 it at different times, was remarkably free of dust.
3 Also, the fact that other people heard of the dust
4 removal system at Pabco and three manufacturers in
5 the States and one in England became licensees of
6 the technique employed at Paraffine.

7 Q. And you knew that?

8 A. Yes, I knew that at the time.

9 Q. Was there use by employees at the
10 Emeryville plant of something called a respirator?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. What was the kind of respirator that was
13 used?

14 A. Well, there were several different types.
15 The throw-away mask type, the filter mask.
16 Principally, these two types.

17 Q. Was there any requirement for use of
18 respirators while you were at the Pabco plant at any
19 places in the manufacturing operations, that you can
20 recall?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What were they?

23 A. They were very strict about having the
24 workers in the area where the asbestos fiber was put
25 into this hopper and mixed into a slurry, and in the

1 bag room where the dust was brought out.

2 Q. Do you know whether or not the rules for
3 respirator use were followed while you were at Pabco?

4 A. I asked people who were working in the
5 area and were in charge how effective was this, were
6 they able to keep the workers in masks, and they
7 said it was a very difficult thing and they had to
8 be after them continuously.

9 Q. Did they say why?

10 A. They said because it was uncomfortable.

11 Q. As a doctor at Pabco during the time you
12 were there, were you responsible for plant safety?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Were you responsible for preventing injury
15 accidents?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Were you responsible for preventing
18 occupational disease, such as lead poisoning?

19 A. When we became aware of it, we gave advice
20 as to what had to be done to prevent it.

21 Q. Who, if anyone, had plant safety
22 responsibility at Pabco?

23 A. Safety engineer.

24 Q. Who was that or what people were they?

25 A. Well, I remember some of them. Not all of

1 them.

2 Q. Give us the names you can remember.

3 A. Oliver Hitchcock, Homer Lambie. I can't
4 remember the other names.

5 Q. All right. Are you aware of any visits to
6 the Pabco plant while you were there of State of
7 California safety inspectors?

8 A. I was aware of it after the fact.

9 Q. But not at the time?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Were you aware at the time while you were
12 at Pabco of any studies or sampling of dust?

13 A. No, I did not know.

14 MR. DAGGETT: All right. I think now we will
15 take our mid-day recess because of the hour and
16 let's see if we can be back at about one o'clock.

17

18 (Luncheon recess taken at 11:45 a.m. to 1:00
19 p.m.)

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 AFTERNOON SESSION, MONDAY,
2 OCTOBER 29, 1984, 1:18 P.M.

3
4 EXAMINATION BY MR. DAGGETT: (Resumed)
5

6 MR. DAGGETT: Q. Dr. Perlmutter, the
7 questions I put to you earlier in your deposition
8 testimony related almost entirely to the period
9 1938-1950 or so. Can you tell us whether your
10 memory for details during the period twenty-five,
11 thirty, thirty-five or more years ago is good, or in
12 what condition do you regard your memory to be?

13 A. It depends. Sometimes it's very good for
14 some of these questions and sometimes it's not so
15 good.

16 Q. Do you remember meeting before the
17 gentleman who sits to your left down the table two
18 persons?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. What is his name?

21 A. Mr. Steven Kazan.

22 Q. He represents the plaintiffs here. Do you
23 recall having your deposition taken once before on
24 video tape?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Was it taken by Mr. Kazan?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Was it about two years ago?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Do you remember the month and year?

6 A. I don't remember the exact month. It was
7 two years ago.

8 Q. Was it the only other deposition taken
9 from you on video tape?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. And was there a written reporter's
12 transcript of that deposition as well?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. At that time, were you represented by
15 other counsel?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. At that time was Fibreboard represented by
18 other lawyers?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. Do you have any recollection of when, if
21 at all, you saw the written transcript of that
22 earlier deposition?

23 A. Yes. It was many months afterwards. I
24 had asked the counsel who was representing
25 Fibreboard why I didn't have it so I could correct

1 it. It was my understanding that I was to get it
2 right after.

3 Q. Did you want to make some corrections in
4 that transcript?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Did you do so?

7 A. Well, the copy I ultimately got was many
8 months old.

9 Q. Did you complain about that?

10 A. I told my attorney that.

11 Q. Did you feel that you were not able to
12 make corrections in that transcript that you may
13 have wanted to?

14 A. Yes. I couldn't remember detail, real
15 sharp detail on what happened.

16 Q. Do you think your memory for details some
17 time ago is about as good today as it was two years
18 ago?

19 A. About the same.

20 Q. About the same. Now, Dr. Perlmutter, as
21 you were leaving this room for lunch today, did you
22 find yourself walking near Mr. Kazan?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Did he make a remark to you?

25 A. Well, he asked me how I felt and I said,

1 "So so."

2 Q. Did he say anything else?

3 A. Yes. He said, "Well, I'll -- if that's
4 so," he says, "I won't torture you physically but
5 mentally."

6 MR. DAGGETT: I have no further questions of
7 Dr. Perlmutter at this time.

8 Other counsel are free to examine. I am told
9 that Mr. Kazan has some scheduling time problems and
10 would like to examine now. If there is no objection,
11 why doesn't he?

12
13 EXAMINATION BY MR. KAZAN:

14
15 MR. KAZAN: Q. You've reviewed your transcript
16 of your 1982 deposition recently, haven't you,
17 Doctor?

18 A. Not for some time. Many months.

19 Q. You didn't review that in preparing for
20 your deposition here today?

21 A. I went through it, and some months ago.

22 Q. Did you ever see the video tape of that
23 deposition?

24 A. Yes, I saw the video tape.

25 Q. When did you see that, sir?

1 A. That's some time ago.

2 Q. Have you reviewed any other video tapes in
3 preparing for your deposition here?

4 A. Some. Yes.

5 Q. And which ones have you reviewed?

6 A. The video tape given by Mr. Oliver
7 Hitchcock and the video tape of a -- let's see -- of
8 Mr. Brady -- I think his name was -- I can't
9 remember for certain. And also Dr. Adamson.

10 Q. And did you also view --

11 MR. DAGGETT: Excuse me, Mr. Kazan. I know
12 the fact if you want assistance with it. The second
13 deposition was not one of anyone named "Brady." It
14 was a deposition of David West, taken in this room.

15 A. David West.

16 MR. KAZAN: Q. And did you also review the
17 deposition on video tape of Miss Hanson?

18 A. No, never saw it.

19 Q. The video tapes that you did review,
20 however, were done at the suggestion of Mr. Daggett,
21 isn't that true?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Did he send those to you at your home in
24 Tucson?

25 MR. DAGGETT: Mr. Kazan, let me be of

1 assistance. I didn't send them to him. I took them
2 to him, and not prior to this deposition here today,
3 but prior to another deposition taken in Tucson in
4 other litigation in which you are not counsel.

5 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, Mr. Daggett is
6 talking about your deposition in the insurance
7 coverage litigation.. Do you remember that
8 deposition, sir?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. When did you give that deposition?

11 A. Well, it's several weeks ago.

12 Q. And in preparing for that deposition you
13 viewed the video tapes of the depositions you just
14 mentioned that have been taken here at KQED?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. Did you just watch the tapes or did you
17 read the transcript?

18 A. I just watched the tape. I didn't see the
19 transcripts.

20 Q. In connection with preparing for that
21 deposition in Tucson, did you also view the video
22 tape of your deposition?

23 A. Yes. It was difficult because of the
24 errors in the -- typographical errors and other
25 things.

1 Q. My question, Doctor, was whether you --

2 A. Yes, I did.

3 Q. -- viewed the video tape.

4 A. Yes, I viewed it.

5 Q. And was that video tape an accurate
6 recording of the questions that were asked of you
7 and your answers that you gave when the deposition
8 was taken?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. So the video tape itself was accurate?

11 A. (Nods head)

12 Q. Is that correct?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. At some other time you also read the
15 written transcript?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. And you had some problem with the accuracy
18 of the transcript?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. DAGGETT: He hasn't said, Mr. Kazan, that
21 it was just with accuracy of transcription. He has
22 testified with respect to his right to correct the
23 transcript, and we all know there is no way to
24 expand upon a video tape. But he said he wanted to
25 do it with respect to the written transcript, which

1 is the only thing he can do it with.

2 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did you in fact make some
3 notes or propose corrections to your video tape or
4 written transcript of November '82 in recent weeks
5 when you reviewed those materials in connection with
6 this other deposition?

7 A. Didn't take any notes, no.

8 Q. Did you make any record of any kind of the
9 corrections you now would like to make in that
10 transcript?

11 A. Of the transcripts of '82?

12 Q. Yes.

13 A. I would like to see an accurate
14 transcription.

15 Q. So that, just to make sure we are clear
16 after Mr. Daggett's comments, you feel that there
17 were some errors in the transcription but not in the
18 video tape itself?

19 A. That's right.

20 Q. All right. And you mentioned that at the
21 time of that deposition you were represented by
22 other counsel?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Who was that other counsel, sir?

25 A. Mr. Hothem and his group.

1 Q. You were represented by Mr. Hothem at that
2 time, Doctor?

3 A. By Mr. Rappeport, and Hothem was actually
4 the counsel for Fibreboard.

5 Q. All right.

6 MR. DAGGETT: Mr. Kazan, I want to point out
7 that the witness had a right under the law to
8 correct more than transcription errors in his former
9 deposition. He had a right to expand or qualify his
10 answers, and his testimony in answer to my questions
11 was consistent with the desire to exercise that
12 right. That lest you think that the only thing open
13 to him was to correct transcription errors,
14 obviously a video tape does not have any
15 transcription errors and his rights were not so
16 limited.

17 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, are you still with
18 me?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. All right. Now, in 1982, it's correct,
21 isn't it, that you were not represented in any way
22 by Mr. Hothem?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. The only attorney representing you at that
25 time was Mr. Rappeport, an attorney in Tucson?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. And did you ever get a copy of your
3 transcript from Mr. Rappeport at that time?

4 A. I did not.

5 Q. Did you ever get a copy of the transcript
6 from him any time in the months following?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Did you ever discuss with him where that
9 transcript was?

10 A. I asked him, and it is my understanding
11 that he called Mr. Hothem and had him -- had someone
12 in his office get a copy of it for me.

13 Q. Isn't it true, Doctor, that Mr. Rappeport
14 refused to let you sign that transcript until he was
15 paid money?

16 A. Not that I know of.

17 Q. He never told you that he was holding --

18 A. Never told me.

19 Q. -- the transcript of your deposition
20 hostage for money payments from plaintiffs' counsel?

21 A. No.

22 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as argumentative.

23 A. No.

24 MR. KAZAN: Q. Now, is Mr. Daggett
25 representing you today?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. When did you hire him?

3 MR. DAGGETT: You don't have to be concerned
4 with when you hired me. The question is: when did
5 you ask me to be your lawyer?

6 A. Before the last deposition I had insurance
7 people.

8 MR. KAZAN: Q. And do you recall
9 approximately when that deposition took place?

10 A. A couple of months ago. Well, it's not
11 that long. It was within a month, roughly.

12 Q. And how long before that deposition did
13 you ask Mr. Daggett to represent you?

14 A. It might have been a week, ten days,
15 something like that.

16 Q. Okay. And that would bring us back to a
17 time maybe a couple of months ago, at the most?

18 A. Roughly.

19 Q. Is that correct?

20 A. Roughly.

21 Q. Before you asked Mr. Daggett to represent
22 you, was anyone else representing you in connection
23 with asbestos litigation, in any of its forms, other
24 than Mr. Rappeport?

25 A. No.

1 Q. Let me go back and ask you some things
2 about what you discussed this morning. During the
3 time you were practicing at the Pabco plant -- at
4 Fibreboard, if we can call it that for convenience
5 sake -- were you acquainted with the existence of
6 any other factories or industrial facilities
7 manufacturing asbestos containing products in the
8 Bay Area?

9 MR. DAGGETT: Is this during Pabco or before
10 Pabco? I'm sorry.

11 MR. KAZAN: No. During that time period.

12 MR. DAGGETT: During Pabco.

13 A. When I was working there.

14 MR. KAZAN: Q. From 1938 to 1950 were you
15 aware of the existence of any other asbestos
16 manufacturing facilities in the Bay Area?

17 A. I knew there was a factory in Redwood City
18 and I didn't know any other factories that were.

19 Q. When you say "a factory in Redwood City,"
20 are you referring to Fibreboard's factory or the
21 Johns-Manville factory?

22 A. No. Fibreboard. And, I'm sorry, I did
23 know that there was a Manville, Johns-Manville,
24 factory in the Bay Area somewhere.

25 Q. Did you know of the existence of their

1 plant in Pittsburg, California?

2 A. I think that's what it was. I'm not
3 certain.

4 Q. Did you know whether that plant had a
5 full-time medical department or had a -- withdraw
6 that. Did you know whether that plant had a
7 physician under contract in much the same manner
8 that you were working at Fibreboard?

9 A. I know there was a doctor there. I don't
10 know what arrangements there was.

11 Q. Do you know whether there was an x-ray
12 machine at that plant?

13 A. No, I don't.

14 Q. Do you know whether there was any kind of
15 medical examination program at that plant for
16 workers?

17 A. I didn't know anything about the details
18 of the program.

19 Q. You knew though that Johns Manville had a
20 factory and had a doctor connected with that factory
21 in some capacity or other?

22 A. I knew there was a factory and I knew
23 vaguely there was a doctor. I wasn't sure what
24 hours he worked, whether it was full time or what
25 the arrangement was.

1 Q. Did you ever have occasion to meet a Dr.
2 David Wise?

3 A. David Wise?

4 Q. Yes.

5 A. No.

6 Q. You mentioned to us earlier that Dr. Wahle
7 was the medical director at Fibreboard when you
8 started in 1938?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. Do you know how long he had served in that
11 capacity?

12 A. Before I came?

13 Q. Yes. Or do you know when he started?

14 A. Oh, it was some years before. I don't
15 know the exact time. He had been there for some
16 years.

17 Q. As I recall, you and Dr. Wahle spent a
18 fair amount of time talking about subjects of
19 interest to Dr. Wahle?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. He liked to tell stories about his growing
22 up on the prairies of Wisconsin or some place?

23 A. Yes, Wisconsin.

24 Q. Wisconsin. And I remember stories about
25 the Army of Virginia. Is that correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And did he tell you about his own
3 professional experience in years before he came to
4 Fibreboard?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. You mentioned this morning that Dr. Wahle
7 had worked as a doctor at the shipyards in
8 California?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And that would have been during World War
11 I?

12 A. As I remember, he was at Moore Dry Dock.
13 It was during the war, so it must have been the
14 preceding war.

15 Q. Okay. Do you remember how long he worked
16 at Moore Dry Dock?

17 A. I don't know.

18 Q. Do you know whether he worked for the
19 Moore Brothers or that company?

20 A. I think it was Moore Dry Dock. I'm not
21 certain.

22 Q. It was your understanding that he was
23 employed by the shipyard itself?

24 A. Yes -- I didn't know any details. We
25 never discussed it.

1 Q. Do you know how long he worked in the
2 shipyard?

3 A. I have no idea. No accurate idea.

4 MR. DAGGETT: Mr. Kazan, we are now on events,
5 as I calculate it, of sixty years ago.

6 MR. KAZAN: That's very interesting. Does
7 that comment have some relevance to these
8 proceedings?

9 MR. DAGGETT: Relevance is what was in my
10 mind. Go ahead.

11 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, do you know when
12 Columbus discovered America?

13 MR. DAGGETT: Doctor, were you there?

14 A. I came a little later.

15 MR. KAZAN: Q. The point is, Doctor, I am
16 not asking you what you remember about events during
17 World War I. We're talking about what Dr. Wahle
18 told you during the years of your association with
19 him.

20 A. Okay.

21 MR. DAGGETT: Since I'm paying for this, can
22 I tell why Columbus was a Democrat? He didn't know
23 where he was going; he didn't know where he was when
24 he got there; and he did it all on government money.

25 MR. KAZAN: Would you now like to make a

1 speech about the nuclear freeze debate?

2 MR. DAGGETT: Yes, but I won't.

3 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did Dr. Wahle tell you what
4 his duties were at the shipyard?

5 A. He handled trauma as it came in. He saw
6 patients for various things. Just as I did at the
7 Pabco medical center.

8 Q. And you understood from Dr. Wahle that
9 during the course of the shipyard work he had
10 occasion to see men at work, not just in a
11 dispensary; is that correct?

12 A. I don't know what his -- what he did other
13 than work as a doctor at the Moore Dry Dock.

14 Q. You understood from Dr. Wahle that at
15 least he was familiar in general with how shipyards
16 worked?

17 A. I guess.

18 Q. You mentioned this morning, Doctor, that
19 you used various facilities to get x-rays taken of
20 workers at the Fibreboard plant?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. And you mentioned, I think, that Dr. Fong
23 did very fine quality work?

24 A. (Nods head) Yes.

25 Q. Were you concerned that when x-rays were

1 ordered that they be done with the highest possible
2 level of professional skill?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. And that they be interpreted similarly
5 with care and skill?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. And you told us also that there were
8 occasions when hospitals would call and tell you
9 that they were about to be destroying some old
10 x-rays and that you would ask that those x-rays
11 instead of being destroyed be sent out to the plant?

12 A. That's right.

13 Q. And that was because you wanted to keep as
14 a part of the permanent records of workers at your
15 plant their old x-rays?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. These obviously would be x-rays that would
18 be what, five, ten, fifteen years old?

19 A. We never threw any away.

20 Q. And before --

21 MR. DAGGETT: Excuse me, Mr. Kazan. I don't
22 understand about how old they would be. If he knew
23 of x-rays kept at the latest in 1950, they would be
24 thirty-four years old today, wouldn't they?

25 MR. KAZAN: That's not what we're talking

1 about.

2 MR. DAGGETT: Well, I'll try to keep up.

3 MR. KAZAN: Okay. If you need some help, Mr.
4 Majeski probably understands this and he can explain
5 it to you.

6 MR. DAGGETT: Oh, I know he does, but I'm
7 proud.

8 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, at the time you
9 would get these x-rays delivered, they would be at
10 least five or ten or fifteen years old?

11 A. It was rare indeed that we had any x-rays
12 at the hospitals, but there was an occasional x-ray
13 that would slip through and stay there and they, as
14 a matter of courtesy because we were good customers
15 of theirs, they would call us: did we want it, and
16 we said, yes, indeed, we wanted it.

17 Q. Do I understand you correctly, then, that
18 the general practice was that when you would send
19 someone to the hospital for x-rays after the films
20 were interpreted the films would be sent to you at
21 the plant?

22 A. Yes, that's correct.

23 Q. And was some proportion or percentage of
24 these films chest x-rays?

25 A. Yes. And one of the reasons for it being

1 taken most of the time in the Oakland area, because
2 if it was a chest film it would be read by Dr.
3 Trimble's group and it would be readily available.

4 Q. Can you give me a ballpark estimate of the
5 percentage of x-rays ordered by your medical
6 department that were chest x-rays?

7 MR. DAGGETT: Over his entire time at Pabco?

8 MR. KAZAN: Yes. If you can give us a
9 ballpark figure.

10 A. Oh, I would say there were hundreds of
11 chest x-rays. I have no idea how many we ordered.
12 It would be just conjecture. I have no idea.

13 Q. Okay. Well, the question wasn't the number
14 of films that you ordered but the percentage of the
15 time that when you ordered x-rays they were chest
16 x-rays as opposed to arms or legs or --

17 A. Oh, I would say twenty-five percent,
18 roughly.

19 Q. Were chest films?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And it was your policy to keep those films
22 as a permanent part of the workers' records?

23 A. We kept all x-rays, including extremity
24 x-rays and that.

25 Q. Did you have occasion to order contrast

1 studies?

2 A. What do you mean, barium enemas?

3 Q. Barium enemas, upper G.I. series.

4 A. Yes, we would occasionally do that.

5 Q. And, similarly, you would keep those as a
6 permanent record?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And you mentioned, I think either today or
9 the last time we met, two large x-ray file cabinets?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And these contained x-rays dating back,
12 let's say as of the time you left in 1950, dating
13 back how far?

14 A. I don't remember if we got the second
15 large x-ray file in before or after I left. I can't
16 remember which one and what time.

17 Q. There were times after you left, though,
18 that you'd have occasion to go back and visit at the
19 plant?

20 A. Not very often.

21 Q. But were there times when you would go see
22 Dr. Adamson or Dr. Blaisdell?

23 A. I don't remember visiting either of them,
24 except socially at their homes or their office. I
25 had nothing -- the only occasion I had was if they

1 asked me to come and see a patient that happened to
2 be in the medical area.

3 Q. Were there occasions after 1950 where you
4 would see patients in consultation at the medical
5 department in Emeryville?

6 A. I would say maybe once or twice. I
7 can't -- I'm not even certain of that.

8 Q. So --

9 A. I would be called but I couldn't remember
10 whether I -- I saw the patients but they were in my
11 office ordinarily.

12 Q. You do, though, remember that the x-rays
13 that were being kept as permanent parts of the
14 record were there in 1950 when you left your regular
15 employment with Fibreboard?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And you don't ever remember a time when
18 you would be at the plant after that where you did
19 not see x-rays; is that correct?

20 A. I did not examine x-rays or see them?

21 Q. No. No. That --

22 A. They're always --

23 Q. They're always there?

24 A. In the files?

25 Q. Right.

1 A. Yes, they were always there. Never
2 examined the interior afterwards.

3 Q. But the cabinets were there?

4 A. The cabinets were there.

5 Q. Were there stacks of x-rays piled on top
6 of the cabinets also?

7 A. No. No.

8 Q. Everything was --

9 A. They were all placed in alphabetical order.

10 Q. Okay. Do you know where those x-rays are
11 today, Doctor?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Has anybody connected with Fibreboard told
14 you about the destruction of those x-rays?

15 A. No.

16 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as assuming this
17 occurred.

18 MR. KAZAN: Q. Has anybody at Fibreboard
19 ever told you that the x-rays were destroyed?

20 A. No one. Wait a minute. I talked to
21 someone -- I said -- as to whether -- where were the
22 x-rays -- because when I first heard there was some
23 pending litigation, I said I would like to see the
24 x-rays and the charts. And they said it was not
25 available. And I asked where they were. And they

1 said they didn't know. They thought the x-rays were
2 destroyed at the time they demolished the medical
3 offices.

4 Q. Is that Mr. Beck that you were talking to?

5 A. No. Well, I talked to Mr. Beck but I
6 didn't discuss this.

7 Q. About the x-rays?

8 A. He didn't know about the x-rays.

9 Q. Do you remember who you talked to about
10 the x-rays?

11 A. I really can't remember. It was a nurse
12 or whether it was Chris Adamson. I can't remember
13 which one it was. It wasn't anything of tremendous
14 consequence because the x-rays obviously weren't
15 available.

16 Q. And you mentioned that at the time you
17 heard something about what this litigation was when
18 you asked about the films?

19 A. Yes, when I --

20 Q. And approximately when was that, Doctor?

21 A. Well, that was approximately when -- let's
22 see -- about -- it was over two years ago.

23 Q. All right. Was this sometime -- let's go
24 back. Your deposition that I took in Tucson was in
25 November of 1982. Was it sometime in the summer of

1 1982 that you first became aware that there was some
2 litigation?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And was that the time when you made some
5 attempts --

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. -- to see if there were x-rays still
8 around?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. You mentioned that there was no medical
11 library or medical books at the medical department
12 of Fibreboard; is that right?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. They never subscribed for you to any
15 journals in occupational medicine or industrial
16 medicine?

17 A. No.

18 Q. At the time you said that you had your own
19 medical library of some kind?

20 A. Yes. I still do.

21 Q. Have you preserved the library that you
22 had all along?

23 A. I got rid of books that were twenty and
24 thirty years old. They're all pretty current now.

25 Q. When did you get rid of the older books?

1 A. When I moved down to Tucson.

2 Q. What did you do with the old books? Did
3 you just throw them out or did you give them --

4 A. Just threw them out. I tried to give them
5 away; no one wanted old medical books.

6 Q. But, as I recall, you still do have your
7 old medical school pathology text?

8 A. Yes. Well, it's the oldest -- it's an
9 antique I'm going to keep.

10 Q. And you are referring there to Boyd's text
11 on pathology that we discussed at your first
12 deposition?

13 A. AN INTRODUCTION TO MEDICINE.

14 Q. All right. You did keep that book and the
15 one that you have at the present time is in fact the
16 actual physical textbook that you used in medical
17 school?

18 A. Yes.

19 MR. DAGGETT: I've still got Mechem on Agency
20 and DeFuniak on Equity and I'll bet you do too.

21 MR. KAZAN: Q. Now, have you kept any other
22 books, let's say, that predate 1965?

23 A. Yes. I was very fond of my professor of
24 anatomy, Dr. Arey, and I kept his book on embryology.

25 Q. Anything else?

1 A. Yes. I have some other old ones. Let's see.
2 Some old surgeries -- I can't remember which ones.

3 Q. You viewed Dr. Adamson's video tape, you
4 said?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And do you recall seeing in that that Dr.
7 Adamson and I discussed some ten or fifteen books
8 from his library?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Did you own any of those books?

11 A. Books that he discussed, no. The books I
12 have now are almost exclusively anatomy, surgery,
13 orthopedics, pathology, and I have a few books,
14 medical books.

15 Q. But in terms of the books that you had but
16 threw out when you moved to Arizona, were any of
17 those among the texts --

18 A. No.

19 Q. -- that Dr. Adamson talked about in his
20 deposition?

21 A. I can't remember. If you could --

22 MR. DAGGETT: If you recall, Doctor.

23 MR. KAZAN: Q. If you recall.

24 A. No, I don't recall any of them. They were
25 primarily medical texts, as I remember.

1 Q. Have you ever gone to the trouble of
2 indexing or cataloging your medical library, Doctor?

3 A. No, I haven't.

4 Q. All right. Can you give me an estimate of
5 how many texts you still own that were published
6 before 1965?

7 A. Before 1965...

8 Q. Or 1970, if that's an easier dividing line.

9 MR. DAGGETT: Mr. Kazan, let me ask. I
10 believe this is pure discovery, looking to the
11 possibility of a demand on your part to inspect at a
12 later date Dr. Perlmutter's medical library at home.
13 Would you like to stop the video tape and just do
14 this on the stenographic record?

15 MR. KAZAN: No. This is fine.

16 MR. DAGGETT: All right. That's said with an
17 awareness that my client is paying for it, I'm
18 afraid.

19 MR. KAZAN: That's what happens when you
20 notice the deposition.

21 Q. Go ahead, Doctor. Do you have the
22 question in mind?

23 A. Repeat it.

24 MR. KAZAN: Mr. Reporter, would you be good
25 enough to read it back for us.

1 (Pending question read)

2 A. Fifteen to twenty-five.

3 Q. Doctor, would you have any objection to
4 providing us with a list of those titles and authors?

5 MR. DAGGETT: I will respond to that if you
6 will direct that to me. As I have already told you
7 on the telephone, I will respond to that and will
8 take that under advisement with every indication of
9 considering it favorably.

10 MR. KAZAN: Is that a "yes"?

11 MR. DAGGETT: That's an answer from his
12 lawyer. You will get no answer from him.

13 MR. KAZAN: Do you instruct him not to answer
14 my question?

15 MR. DAGGETT: Yes. I represent him. And you
16 make arrangements with me and everything will work.

17 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor would you have any
18 objection to making those fifteen to twenty-five
19 books available for our inspection?

20 MR. DAGGETT: Instruct the witness not to
21 answer. I'll take care of that, Dr. Perlmutter.

22 MR. WARTNICK: Would you please certify those
23 two questions?

24 THE REPORTER: I do this ordinarily.

25 MR. DAGGETT: He certifies all of those.

1 MR. WARTNICK: Thank you.

2 MR. DAGGETT: All I am asking is that counsel
3 direct discovery requests looking to the future to
4 me as his counsel and they will receive speedy
5 action. I have already told Mr. Kazan we see no
6 reason why this can't occur.

7 MR. KAZAN: Q. You mentioned something this
8 morning about the dust control at the Fibreboard
9 plant and you referred to some licenses?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Would you run that by me again so I am
12 sure I understand what you have to say?

13 A. I had talked to Harry Hoopes years ago,
14 when I was working at Paraffine and I asked him
15 about their program for removal of dust and he was
16 proud of this. He had a great deal to do with the
17 development of the dust removal program. And I
18 said -- I asked him if it is indeed true that I
19 heard that some other people have been interested in
20 this and that some asbestos factories have been
21 licensed to get the technique that was set up at
22 Pabco. And he said -- and in addition, they had a
23 licensee in England; I think it was in Darlington,
24 England. That's how I heard.

25 Q. And Mr. Hoopes told you that Fibreboard

1 made available to these companies the techniques and
2 technology of manufacturing the insulation materials?

3 A. Well, under some sort of license. I didn't
4 go into details.

5 Q. But he also told you that they made
6 available under license the techniques and
7 technology that they used to control the dust; is
8 that true?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And this was something that Mr. Hoopes
11 told you at the time when you were still employed at
12 Fibreboard?

13 A. Yes. Right.

14 Q. And you knew at that time that he was
15 working for Fibreboard also, in some executive
16 capacity?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. You told us this morning that there was
19 some program at the Fibreboard plant directed toward
20 the prevention and surveillance with respect to lead
21 exposure; do you recall that testimony?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. There was a program involving some form of
24 testing of workers who had potential lead exposure;
25 is that correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. And what were these, blood tests of some
3 kind?

4 A. Blood and urine.

5 Q. Do you know in time when that testing
6 program began?

7 A. I can't remember whether it was initiated
8 by Dr. Wahle before I was there or afterwards, but
9 it had been there for years. I can't remember. I
10 remember vaguely that the --

11 I better not --

12 MR. DAGGETT: Doctor, try to confine yourself
13 to answering the questions as they are put and leave
14 out vague recollections in which you don't have
15 confidence.

16 A. Okay.

17 MR. KAZAN: Q. So this testing program was
18 in place when you first came there?

19 A. I said I can't remember exactly if it was
20 before or just after I came there.

21 Q. At the time you first came there, had
22 there already been cases of lead poisoning?

23 A. I was not told then. I knew subsequently
24 that there was some lead poisoning and I can't
25 remember whether I was present when we discovered

1 this or not.

2 Q. Do you know whether the cases of lead
3 poisoning were discovered before or after the
4 testing program was begun?

5 A. They were suspected before and they were
6 tested and, indeed, they had high lead levels.

7 Q. So it's correct, then, that Fibreboard,
8 through its medical department, initiated a program
9 of testing workers for signs of lead poisoning based
10 on a suspicion that there might be a problem from
11 lead exposure at the plant?

12 A. There was no --

13 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to for lack of
14 foundation. There is no testimony here that the
15 program was initiated by the medical department.

16 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, do you know who
17 initiated the testing program?

18 A. The medical department.

19 Q. Thank you.

20 MR. DAGGETT: Now, there is.

21 MR. KAZAN: Q. This program was initiated
22 in response to a concern that there might be a
23 problem of lead exposure causing disease among the
24 workers; is that correct?

25 A. Yes. They realized this because they had

1 two -- one or two patients -- workers -- who had
2 problems and --

3 Well, go ahead.

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. I'm just here to answer questions not to
6 talk.

7 MR. DAGGETT: That's right, Doctor.

8 A. Right.

9 MR. DAGGETT: This is not a seminar. You have
10 come here as a witness to facts while you were at
11 Pabco, to answer questions.

12 A. Okay.

13 MR. KAZAN: Q. See, it's different. When I
14 ask the questions, you are supposed to be brief and
15 not volunteer anything. And when Mr. Daggett asks
16 the questions it's different.

17 A. No, he didn't -- he makes me answer just
18 the questions.

19 MR. DAGGETT: No, Mr. Kazan can't remember
20 even what happened this morning, much less
21 thirty-five years ago, when he was barely here.

22 MR. KAZAN: Q. Now --

23 MR. DAGGETT: Maybe he was "barely" here. I
24 don't know.

25 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, were there cases of

1 diagnosed lead poisoning at Fibreboard before the
2 testing program was initiated?

3 A. When the testing program was initiated two
4 cases -- one to two cases, I don't remember,
5 certainly, which it was, but enough to make us
6 concerned that we didn't want any exposure that
7 wasn't monitored. It was a known problem.

8 Q. And so once they knew that they had a case,
9 your medical department decided that they better do
10 something to examine workers on a regular basis?

11 A. Yes.

12 (Short recess taken)

13 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, back at the time.
14 when you and Mr. Hoopes talked about the licensing
15 that we discussed a few moments ago did he ask you
16 about what you or the medical profession considered
17 to be safe levels of asbestos dust exposure?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Did he ask you anything about what you
20 felt medically would be appropriate precautions to
21 protect workers?

22 A. No. He didn't ask me that.

23 Q. Did anyone in the Fibreboard management
24 come to you and ask you for advice or information
25 concerning what the medical profession thought to be

1 safe levels of dust exposure?

2 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as assuming the
3 medical profession thought anything about that at
4 the time.

5 If you can answer, go ahead.

6 A. No one asked me about this.

7 MR. KAZAN: Q. At the time you worked at
8 Fibreboard were you familiar with an organization
9 called the American Conference of Governmental
10 Industrial Hygienists?

11 A. I didn't know the name of this group. I
12 knew there were hygienists in government.

13 Q. Did you know also that there was a group
14 of hygienists in government that set threshold
15 limit value levels for various airborne contaminants?

16 MR. DAGGETT: The question is simply: did you
17 know that, Doctor?

18 A. No.

19 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did anyone at -- withdraw
20 that. Did Mr. Hoopes come to you and ever show you
21 dust studies or dust counts done at the Emeryville
22 plant?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Did anyone in management ever show you
25 such studies?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Did anyone in management ever discuss with
3 you whether such studies would be advisable?

4 A. No.

5 Q. During your discussion with Mr. Hoopes was
6 there ever any mention of the California general
7 industrial safety orders that applied to
8 manufacturing workplaces?

9 A. No.

10 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as vague. What
11 discussion, please?

12 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, did you understand
13 that I was talking about the discussion you
14 mentioned earlier with Mr. Hoopes concerning
15 licensing?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. All right. During that discussion, did
18 you and he talk about the general industrial safety
19 orders promulgated in California?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Did you ever discuss those safety orders
22 with Mr. Hoopes?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Did you ever discuss those safety orders
25 with anyone in Fibreboard's management?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Did you ever discuss the California
3 regulations as they related to asbestos exposure
4 with anyone in Fibreboard management while you were
5 working at the plant?

6 A. No.

7 Q. You mentioned that you understood that
8 masks were used in two areas of the insulation
9 factory?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Do you know what kind of masks were used?

12 A. They had a filter mask and they had a
13 disposable mask similar to the surgical mask they
14 have now.

15 Q. And you understood that the disposable
16 type surgical mask was used in certain portions of
17 the insulation plant?

18 A. I wasn't certain where they were used.
19 The instructions were that in the area where they
20 dumped the asbestos into the hopper there and where
21 they -- where they were taking care of the bag in
22 the bag room, they were supposed to use a filter
23 mask.

24 MR. DAGGETT: Doctor, excuse me, the question
25 is simply whether you knew where they were used.

1 MR. KAZAN: Okay. You have to answer audibly
2 so that we have a transcription that is accurate as
3 well as a video tape.

4 A. Repeat the question so that I can --

5 Q. Okay. Let's go at it this way. You
6 understood that the filter type mask was to be used,
7 among other places, in the room where the raw
8 asbestos was dumped into the mixing process?

9 A. It was my understanding, yes.

10 Q. All right. You understood that that mixing
11 room or batch room, as they called it, was on the
12 third floor of the insulation factory?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And workers there were handling bags of
15 pure raw asbestos?

16 A. I don't know how pure it was.

17 Q. Well -- but they were handling asbestos --

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. -- the raw material, mixing it into the
20 slurry; is that right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And this filter mask that you are
23 describing, this is a rubber face mask with a felt
24 filter that snaps in and out?

25 A. I don't know the exact components present

1 in it, in the mask.

2 Q. Did anyone in Fibreboard's management come
3 to you and ask you whether that mask was adequate to
4 protect those workers?

5 A. Never.

6 Q. Did you know whether those masks were
7 adequate to protect workers from inhaling dangerous
8 levels of asbestos dust?

9 A. No.

10 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as assuming there
11 was any knowledge of dangerous levels at that time.

12 MR. KAZAN: Q. Do you have the question in
13 mind, Doctor?

14 THE REPORTER: He answered.

15 MR. KAZAN: And what was the answer?

16 THE REPORTER: "No."

17 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did you know whether the
18 filter mask was more, the same, or less effective at
19 protecting workers from inhaling dust than was the
20 surgical type mask?

21 A. Not from personal observation.

22 Q. Well, from any other way?

23 A. It was supposed to be more effective. The
24 problem was it was difficult to make people use it.

25 It was uncomfortable and difficult to use.

1 Q. And did you learn that from talking with
2 workers directly or just from management?

3 MR. DAGGETT: Learn what?

4 MR. KAZAN: That the masks were uncomfortable
5 to use and difficult.

6 A. From the workers.

7 Q. What was your understanding of the
8 discomfort or the reasons that led people to be
9 unhappy about using them?

10 A. They said it was difficult to get -- to
11 breathe well.

12 Q. Have you ever worn such a mask yourself,
13 Doctor?

14 A. No. Never.

15 Q. You have worn a whole lot of surgical type
16 masks in your life?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Are those tolerable for breathing?

19 A. They're difficult after awhile. But
20 they're used to it -- you get used to it. Used to
21 it.

22 Q. Did anyone connected with the management
23 come to you and ask for your assistance in helping
24 to educate workers about the necessity of wearing
25 masks?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Did anyone in management ever come to you
3 and ask for your advice or counsel with respect to
4 whether the use of masks was the best way to protect
5 workers?

6 A. No.

7 Q. At the time you worked at Fibreboard did
8 you have an opinion as to whether the use of masks
9 was the best method to protect workers from inhaling
10 dust?

11 A. I always felt that the best method of
12 protecting workers was prevention so that the
13 employees would not be breathing any of this dust,
14 and that the exhaust fan and the complete dust
15 prevention program was an excellent program at
16 Fibreboard.

17 Q. You understood that it was more desirable
18 to use engineering and exhaust to remove the dust
19 from the vicinity of the worker as a means of
20 protecting the worker?

21 A. Should've been used in conjunction -- I
22 mean both things, depending on the amount of dust
23 present.

24 Q. But that the ideal method was to control
25 the dust through engineering techniques so that

1 there would not be dust in the actual vicinity of
2 the worker?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. Did you ever discuss that concept with the
5 safety directors or other management persons at
6 Fibreboard?

7 A. No one came by to discuss it with me.

8 Q. Was it your understanding that they knew
9 this as well you did?

10 MR. DAGGETT: Now, don't answer that, Doctor.
11 It calls for somebody else's state of mind.

12 MR. KAZAN: Q. Well, I am asking about your
13 state of mind, Doctor. Was it your impression that
14 other members of management knew this as well?

15 MR. DAGGETT: Instruct the witness not to
16 answer on the same ground.

17 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, did you know whether
18 other members of management knew that the best
19 method of dust control was to use engineering and
20 not to rely on masks?

21 A. I didn't know that. However, they did use
22 a very good system of exhausting the dust particles.

23 Q. You didn't know whether they knew it or
24 not; is that true?

25 A. I can't tell you what they thought. They

1 recognized the single most important thing in the
2 prevention of exposure to dust particles, and that
3 is exhausting and cleaning the air.

4 Q. How do you know that they recognized it?

5 MR. DAGGETT: I instruct the witness not to
6 answer. I will permit no more testimony from him on
7 states of minds of other people, whether he thinks
8 he knows or not, that are more than twenty-five
9 years old as of today.

10 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, are you anxious to
11 come back to San Francisco for another deposition?

12 MR. DAGGETT: Don't answer that, doctor.
13 This isn't the first time this man has threatened
14 you. It may not be the last. But he is not going
15 to get away with it.

16 MR. KAZAN: You should be ashamed, Robert.

17 Q. Now, Doctor, did anyone in the Fibreboard
18 management ever ask you whether in your medical
19 opinion the dust control system in the plant was
20 adequate to protect workers from exposure to
21 asbestos dust?

22 A. No.

23 MR. DAGGETT: Asked and answered, Mr. Kazan.

24 Go ahead, and answer it again, Doctor.

25 A. No.

1 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did you view at any time
2 one of your responsibilities to be the counseling of
3 workers with respect to potential occupational
4 disease hazards at the Fibreboard plant?

5 A. No. There was already a program
6 instituted by the safety officer.

7 Q. My question was whether you thought that
8 was one of your responsibilities.

9 A. No. Because there was something already
10 in effect.

11 Q. You understood, then, that someone else
12 had that responsibility?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. And, to your understanding, who had that
15 responsibility?

16 A. Mr. Lambie was recruited as a safety
17 officer. Prior to this he had been used as a
18 consultant when he was with one of the -- a
19 university or a state --

20 MR. DAGGETT: Dr. Perlmutter, the question
21 was simply who by name had that responsibility.

22 A. Okay.

23 MR. DAGGETT: I must suggest to you that when
24 you add these additional details you put examining
25 counsel under pressure to follow up with all the

1 stuff you have added that wasn't called for by the
2 question.

3 MR. KAZAN: Q. I think, Doctor, the
4 question was: who had the responsibility?

5 A. The safety officer.

6 Q. You mentioned a Mr. Lambie. Was he the
7 first safety officer, to your knowledge, that was
8 employed by Fibreboard?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Do you remember who was the safety officer
11 in 1938, when you came in?

12 A. No. No.

13 Q. Do you know whether in fact they had one
14 at that time?

15 A. I don't remember.

16 Q. Was it your understanding that when Mr.
17 Lambie came to work as an employee at Fibreboard
18 that he had responsibility for the counseling of
19 workers with respect to occupational disease hazards?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Did you ever discuss occupational disease
22 hazards with Mr. Lambie while you worked at
23 Fibreboard?

24 A. Not in any depth. He just chatted with me.
25 He never brought up a real problem and asked to go

1 over it with me.

2 Q. Did you ever discuss asbestos with Mr.
3 Lambie?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Did you ever discuss the question of lead
6 poisoning with Mr. Lambie?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Now, you told us before that there were
9 perhaps one or two cases that led to the institution
10 of this testing program.

11 Are there various forms of lead poisoning,
12 Doctor?

13 MR. DAGGETT: Just a moment, please. I
14 understand the sincerity with which that question is
15 meant, Mr. Kazan, but I am going to instruct him not
16 to answer any questions, including that one, calling
17 for present medical expertise. He is not a medical
18 expert who has come here to express opinions about
19 the present state of the art or his present
20 knowledge. He has come here to testify, and he
21 testified on direct examination, to what he knew
22 while he was at Pabco.

23 If you want to put the question about different
24 kinds of lead poisoning in terms of what he
25 remembers when he was at Pabco, that will be fine.

1 MR. KAZAN: Well, without accepting your
2 misstatement of the doctor's role, let me go on and
3 say:

4 Q. At the time you worked at Fibreboard were
5 you aware of different forms of lead poisoning?

6 A. No.

7 Q. What, to your understanding at that time,
8 did lead poisoning mean?

9 A. Well, I don't quite understand your
10 previous question. That's why I said "no." But as
11 you're bringing this up, do you mean severe cases,
12 mild cases or what?

13 Q. Well, okay. Are there various degrees of
14 lead poisoning?

15 MR. DAGGETT: I instruct the witness not to
16 answer. The question relates to the present state
17 of his knowledge.

18 Relate it to the time that he was at Pabco and
19 he will.

20 MR. KAZAN: Q. Prior to 1950, did you know
21 if there were various levels of severity of lead
22 poisoning?

23 A. I just answered that there are severe,
24 there are mild, and there are the average type thing.
25 If that's what you want.

1 Q. Well, prior to 1950, did you know whether
2 there was a chronic form of lead poisoning?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Did you know if there was an acute form of
5 lead poisoning?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Was there a chronic form?

8 A. When we discovered the fact that the
9 employees concerned had elevated lead levels, we
10 called in expert consultation and they handled the
11 problem.

12 Q. My question, Doctor, is whether you knew
13 by 1950 of the existence of a chronic form of lead
14 poisoning.

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And you also knew of the existence of an
17 acute form of lead poisoning?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Did you know at that time of various forms
20 in which lead poisoning could become symptomatic?

21 A. I never was an expert on lead poisoning.
22 The question you are asking is characteristic of all
23 disease entities, "chronic," "severe," "acute," and
24 this is what I knew.

25 MR. DAGGETT: Doctor, it's not necessary for

1 you to explain or defend something that you are not
2 an expert on. Just answer the questions as they are
3 put to you, please.

4 A. All right.

5 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did the two cases, the one
6 or two cases of -- let me withdraw that. Is it
7 correct that what prompted Fibreboard to call in
8 consultants and institute a surveillance program for
9 lead exposure was a finding of elevated lead levels
10 in the blood or urine of one or two workers?

11 A. Yes, and there were symptoms in at least
12 one of them.

13 Q. What symptoms were there?

14 A. I don't remember.

15 Q. Did you know before 1950 what symptoms
16 were connected with lead poisoning?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Do you now remember what you
19 remembered or knew in prior to 1950?

20 A. Well, there are changes in peripheral
21 nerves and lead line that you have in x-rays.

22 Q. What significance, if any, did the fact
23 that one of these men had symptoms of lead
24 poisoning -- in your decision to call in consultants
25 and institute a surveillance program?

1 MR. DAGGETT: It's a little bit vague. Do
2 you understand the question?

3 A. No, I don't, because I --

4 MR. DAGGETT: Then ask him -- don't say "I
5 don't because." Just ask him to repeat it.
6 Rephrase it. It will make it quicker.

7 A. Repeat it, because I had made an answer to
8 the --

9 MR. DAGGETT: Not because -- why you don't
10 understand the question is not something we want to
11 spend time with.

12 A. Okay.

13 MR. DAGGETT: It's his job to make it clear.

14 A. Rework it, please.

15 MR. KAZAN: Mr. Reporter, would you repeat the
16 question, please, and let's see how we're doing.

17 (Pending question read)

18 A. We acknowledged the fact that we weren't
19 experts in this and we wanted it taken care of
20 promptly and expeditiously. This is why -- the
21 reason why we called specialists in this area in.

22 Q. Was the reason that you called in
23 specialists the fact that one worker developed
24 symptoms of lead poisoning?

25 A. No. The fact is that we had a potential

1 problem there and we had someone with positive
2 findings and we wanted to correct it.

3 Q. And "positive findings" refers to the
4 elevated lead levels in the blood or urine?

5 A. Yes. Plus some --
6 Well, that's all.

7 Q. The answer is "yes"?

8 A. Yes. Yes. And --

9 Q. Okay. Now, did you know at that time
10 whether elevated lead levels in and of themselves
11 necessarily meant that someone would develop
12 symptoms?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Did it mean that they would have symptoms?

15 A. Not necessarily.

16 Q. Would it be fair to say, Doctor, that by
17 1950, during the period you worked at Fibreboard,
18 you understood that abnormal laboratory results of
19 lead levels served at least as a marker that a
20 worker had potentially hazardous exposure to lead?

21 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as vague and
22 indefinite in form, both scientifically and in plain
23 English.

24 MR. KAZAN: Q. Go ahead. You can answer
25 the question.

1 MR. DAGGETT: If you can answer it, go ahead.

2 A. With this chit chat, would you repeat it,
3 please?

4 MR. DAGGETT: He wants to know if --

5 MR. KAZAN: No -- excuse me, Counsel. I will
6 ask my questions. If he would like the question
7 repeated ask the court reporter.

8 MR. DAGGETT: It is objected to in form as
9 grotesque, Mr. Kazan, but if you want to stick with
10 it, go ahead.

11 MR. KAZAN: Mr. Cannon, would you read the
12 question back.

13 (Pending question read)

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. And that was sufficient in your mind to
16 justify steps to institute surveillance programs and
17 test workers and take precautions?

18 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as assuming that
19 Dr. Perlmutter took those steps. He said he can't
20 remember whether they were taken after he came to
21 Pabco or before.

22 MR. KAZAN: Q. Go ahead, Doctor.

23 A. That's true.

24 Q. Thank you. Now, you were asked some
25 questions earlier about your duties during the two

1 periods you worked at Fibreboard, and, if I
2 understand you correctly, the first four-year period,
3 approximately, you worked with Dr. Wahle providing
4 medical care at the Emeryville plant; is that
5 correct?

6 A. Yes. Correct.

7 Q. At that time, did you have any
8 administrative responsibility for the workers'
9 compensation self-insured program?

10 A. The only administrative problem was
11 filling out the report, dictating it to the
12 secretary or the nurse.

13 Q. At that time, Dr. Wahle was the medical
14 director of the entire corporation?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. And one of his duties had to do with the
17 administration or supervision of the self-insured
18 program?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. And that covered all the Fibreboard plants?

21 A. Right.

22 Q. Other than your involvement perhaps as a
23 treating doctor seeing an industrial injury, you had
24 no administrative or supervisory responsibility for
25 the workers' compensation self-insured program

1 during the period 1938 to 1942, is that correct?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. You came back after the war and resumed
4 sometime in 1946, is that right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Do you remember what month it was that you
7 returned to Fibreboard?

8 A. I think it was November-December. I'm not
9 certain.

10 Q. Of 1946 or 1945, Doctor?

11 A. In '46. I think. I would have to change
12 that. I am not sure if it was the end of '45 or the
13 first part of '46.

14 Q. Okay. When you came back, as I recall,
15 you told me last time, Dr. Wahle greeted you, put on
16 his hat and left for retirement.

17 A. That's right.

18 MR. DAGGETT: Dr. Perlmutter, don't answer
19 with respect to what he says you told him last time.
20 Answer with respect to the fact as you now recall it,
21 if you do.

22 A. Dr. Wahle said, "Hello" to me and
23 "Goodbye."

24 MR. KAZAN: Q. And left you with the
25 Emeryville medical department?

1 A. Right.

2 Q. And from 1946 to 1950 did you have some
3 administrative responsibility for the self-insured
4 workers' compensation program?

5 A. At Emeryville only.

6 Q. Was there ever another Dr. Perlmutter, to
7 your knowledge, who worked for Fibreboard?

8 A. There couldn't have been.

9 Q. The answer, I take it, is no, you don't
10 know of any?

11 A. No.

12 Q. All right. Now, do you recall being given
13 the title of Medical Director of Fibreboard in 1946?

14 A. I was the medical director of Emeryville,
15 for whatever that means. It was nothing -- I had no
16 jurisdiction over anything except Emeryville.

17 Q. Do you recall a time when you were
18 authorized to sign checks drawn on Fibreboard bank
19 accounts or one bank account?

20 A. I might have. I can't remember. I was
21 responsible for the Emeryville employees.

22 Q. And do you recall the board of directors
23 conferring upon you the title of Medical Director?

24 A. No. No, I don't remember that.

25 Because --

1 MR. DAGGETT: The question was: do you
2 remember that, Doctor?

3 A. No, I don't remember it.

4 MR. KAZAN: Q. Where did you maintain your
5 office from 1946 to 1950 as it related to your
6 duties with Fibreboard?

7 A. Down in Emeryville, and I would spend a
8 few hours in San Francisco.

9 Q. Where in San Francisco, Doctor?

10 A. At -- I don't remember the address -- it
11 was Bryant Street or -- where the offices were.

12 Q. Is that on Brannan Street?

13 A. Brannan or -- yes, I suppose that was. I
14 don't remember the address.

15 Q. But at least it was at the offices of --

16 A. Right.

17 Q. -- Pabco?

18 A. Right.

19 Q. And did you work in a particular room or
20 rooms at the corporate offices?

21 A. Well, I was allotted some space there. I
22 didn't have a private room or anything.

23 Q. Did you have a desk?

24 A. No. I sat down wherever there was a place
25 to write.

1 Q. And was this in the office that ran the
2 self-insured medical program?

3 A. I don't know what part of it was. I just
4 okayed the patients who worked at Emeryville.

5 Q. Was the office that you worked in when you
6 went to the city the same office where Miss Hanson
7 had her desk?

8 A. No, I didn't know Miss Hanson. I don't
9 remember her.

10 Q. Did you receive medical reports and mail
11 at the San Francisco address?

12 A. I could have. I don't know because I was
13 there a couple of times a week.

14 Q. Did you go there on a regular schedule,
15 Doctor?

16 A. I don't remember. I just had to show up a
17 couple of times a week.

18 Q. And when you showed up it was to sign
19 papers?

20 A. It was to review any medical problem that
21 would have to be taken care of by the insurance
22 company -- our self-insured company.

23 Q. By the self-insured?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And when you say any "medical problem,"

1 does that refer to workers at places other than
2 Emeryville?

3 A. No. Absolutely not.

4 Q. So are you telling me then that you were
5 never asked to review or comment or pass upon
6 workers' compensation claims brought by workers from
7 any other Fibreboard facility?

8 A. I don't remember ever having done that
9 other than Emeryville.

10 MR. DAGGETT: Excuse me, Mr. Kazan. He said
11 that at San Francisco his duties included okaying
12 Emeryville employees. You want to get what that
13 means or let that lay?

14 MR. KAZAN: Sure.

15 A. Okay. Okaying that they were eligible for
16 compensation or whatever because of their injury or
17 illness or whatever, anything that had to do --
18 that was compensable under the laws.

19 Q. Going back to the time you started at
20 Fibreboard in 1938. Did you have occasion to
21 perform pre-employment physicals on workers?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And of what did those examinations consist,
24 Doctor?

25 A. History and physical.

1 Q. In 1938, do you know whether Fibreboard
2 had insulators working out of the Emeryville complex?

3 A. I didn't know about any at that time.

4 Q. Did you ever learn that Fibreboard had
5 insulators working out of the Emeryville complex who
6 applied Fibreboard insulation in shipyards or
7 buildings or anywhere else?

8 A. I never discussed this with anyone. No
9 one told me about the insulators. I was under the
10 impression that they were contract workers.

11 Q. What did you understand "contract workers"
12 to refer to?

13 A. That they contracted from some -- with
14 some firm for application. I did not know that they
15 had any definite standing with Fibreboard.

16 Q. You did on occasion do physical
17 examinations and provide treatment for these
18 insulators; is that right?

19 A. We provided treatment. I don't remember
20 doing physicals on them. I can't be sure this far
21 away.

22 Q. All right. Do you know whether insulators
23 who worked at Emeryville went through the same
24 Pre-employment screening program that factory
25 workers went through?

1 A. I can't remember if they did. I was under
2 the impression that all the people I was examining
3 were employees of Pabco.

4 Q. And how many employees were there in
5 Emeryville in your first four-year period, if you
6 recall?

7 A. I think that it was well over a thousand.
8 I think it varied between a thousand five hundred
9 and over two thousand. And I am not certain about
10 it. Of course, during the war years they had
11 multiple shifts and everything.

12 Q. And after the war, what was the size of
13 the work force, as best you can estimate it for me?

14 A. Around a thousand.

15 Q. Did the pre-employment physical
16 examination program at any time you worked at
17 Fibreboard ever include chest x-rays?

18 A. Yes. It was not a routine portion of the
19 examination.

20 Q. What circumstances would lead to a chest
21 x-ray being taken?

22 A. If someone gave a history of being a miner,
23 a hard rock miner, we would take x-rays, or if he
24 had been exposed to severe upper respiratory
25 infections, recurrent pneumonias or what not.

1 Q. During your years at Fibreboard was there
2 ever a regular periodic physical examination program
3 for hourly factory employees?

4 A. A regular?

5 Q. Yes.

6 A. No.

7 Q. No program where they would come in once a
8 year or once every two years to be checked-over?

9 A. No. They were entitled to come in and ask
10 for a physical examination if they felt they should
11 have one. I mean if they said they didn't feel
12 well, and so we did a physical on them. But this
13 was just a history and physical and if we found
14 anything they were immediately referred to their own
15 doctor. That was a little touchy at that time.
16 Industrial doctors were not to take care of private
17 doctors' patients.

18 Q. Was there, during your years at Fibreboard,
19 a program whereby management personnel were given
20 periodic physical examinations at company expense?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And did those examinations include chest
23 x-rays?

24 A. At a certain time -- I can't remember the
25 exact year -- it was popular to run physical

1 examinations on key employees so that there would be
2 available some backup in the event of physical
3 disability or death.

4 Q. Maybe I don't follow, but how does the
5 periodic physical examination program of key
6 employees produce backup if there is a disability or
7 death?

8 A. Well, at that time it was felt that yearly
9 physical examinations would prevent serious illness.
10 They could be picked up in the embryonic stage of an
11 illness and something could be done. Since then the
12 doctors do not believe that this merits all this
13 without any symtomatology at all.

14 Q. Back at the time before 1950, did you
15 accept what I think you have just said was the
16 conventional wisdom of doing yearly physical
17 examinations for preventative purposes?

18 A. Each executive type employee was offered
19 this examination by the medical deparment. Not
20 everyone took it. Many of them elected to go to
21 their own doctor. For example, we wouldn't do
22 sigmoidoscopic examinations and that sort of thing
23 in the office because it would tie up a room too
24 long.

25 Q. My question, though, Doctor, was whether

1 at the time you believed that yearly examinations
2 was a useful tool to pick up illnesses early?

3 A. I wasn't convinced of this but it was a
4 request of the medical department and I didn't feel
5 strongly enough to deny that.

6 Q. And this examination program that was
7 offered to executives included x-rays, didn't it,
8 chest x-rays?

9 A. And some of them refused x-rays and some
10 of them we would discuss whether they wanted x-rays
11 or not.

12 Q. Did any of the -- withdraw that.
13 Approximately how many employees fell within the
14 classification of key executives or executives that
15 were offered this yearly examination program?

16 A. There weren't very many of them. I would
17 say, roughly, thirty-five, maybe fifty at the most.

18 Q. Were these all from the Emeryville plant?

19 A. All from the Emeryville plant.

20 Q. You told us some things this morning about
21 what you learned in medical school with respect to
22 the subject of asbestos and I would like to spend a
23 few moments talking about that, if I may. You
24 learned that asbestos could produce a form of
25 pneumoconiosis?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And that that form of the disease was
3 called "asbestosis"?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Did you know in medical school when the
6 disease "asbestosis" was first described in the
7 medical literature by that name?

8 A. Asbestosis?

9 Q. Yes.

10 A. I don't remember the exact date.

11 Q. Did you learn approximately -- was it a
12 disease that had been -- a term that had been used
13 for hundreds of years, fifty years, ten years?

14 A. I just can't remember anything -- I mean,
15 it wasn't considered important enough to discuss in
16 detail.

17 Q. You learned, though, that asbestosis was a
18 form of pneumoconiosis?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. You learned that inhaling asbestos
21 particles or fibers could produce an irritation in
22 the lungs?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And that this irritation could go on to an
25 inflammation?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And that that inflammation could resolve
3 with the formation of scar tissue?

4 A. Yes, but --

5 Well, I'm just going to answer the question.

6 Q. And you also learned that that scar tissue
7 was called by physicians "interstitial fibrosis"?

8 A. Yes, that's okay.

9 Q. You mentioned this morning that you
10 learned that asbestosis could contract the lung? I
11 think that was the term that you used.

12 A. The fibrosis would cause some contraction
13 in varying amounts throughout the lung.

14 Q. And what happens when there is contraction
15 of the lungs?

16 MR. DAGGETT: I instruct the witness not to
17 answer the question framed as it does to get his
18 present medical understanding.

19 MR. KAZAN: Well, it wasn't framed that way
20 at all.

21 MR. DAGGETT: Do you want it read back? Do
22 you want to hear the words "what happens when"?
23 That was the question.

24 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, we're talking what
25 you learned in medical school.

1 MR. DAGGETT: Fine.

2 A. I did not learn anything specific about
3 this.

4 MR. KAZAN: Q. Okay. You didn't learn in
5 medical school that asbestosis could produce a
6 restriction of the lungs?

7 A. Asbestosis as per se was not discussed.

8 Q. Did you learn in medical school that
9 pneumoconiosis could produce a constriction or
10 restriction of the lungs?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Did you learn in medical school that
13 pneumoconiosis could produce a narrowing in the air
14 spaces of the lungs?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Did you learn in medical school that
17 pneumoconiosis could produce a reduction in
18 breathing capacity?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. When you were in medical school was a
21 distinction drawn between obstructive lung disease
22 and restrictive lung disease?

23 A. I don't remember that far back.

24 Q. You don't remember if that distinction was
25 drawn?

1 A. No, I don't.

2 Q. When you were in medical school did you
3 learn that pneumoconiosis could produce shortness of
4 breath?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Did you learn that pneumoconiosis could
7 produce dyspnea on exertion?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And that refers to shortness of breath
10 with activity or exercise, doesn't it?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Did you learn in medical school, Doctor,
13 that pneumoconiosis could produce cyanosis?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And Mr. Daggett asked you to explain that
16 earlier. The cyanosis referred to the development
17 of a bluish tinge in the extremities or other
18 portions?

19 A. In many places.

20 Q. And that -- what did you learn was the
21 cause of that bluish discoloration?

22 MR. DAGGETT: He told you this morning. Tell
23 him again, Doctor.

24 A. Restriction of the lung and inability to
25 have the proper percentage of oxygen.

1 MR. KAZAN: Q. And did you learn in medical
2 school, Doctor, that pneumoconiosis could produce
3 clubbing of the extremities?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And would you tell us, please, what you
6 understood "clubbing" to refer to when you were in
7 medical school?

8 A. "Clubbing" was a thickening and widening
9 of the extremities of the fingers.

10 Q. And did you learn in medical school that
11 the pneumoconioses could produce changes on x-ray?

12 A. Chest x-ray, you say?

13 Q. Yes.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What did you understand to be the x-ray
16 changes that could occur with pneumoconiosis?

17 A. Well, there are various things, and you
18 would have to specify what form of pneumoconiosis,
19 silicosis, anthracosis, that sort of thing?

20 Q. What did you learn in medical school about
21 the x-ray appearance of silicosis?

22 A. There could be foreign materials evident
23 in the lung.

24 Q. Did you learn that silicosis could produce
25 particular types of x-ray pictures?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Did you learn that that would include the
3 appearance of generally round opacities in the lung
4 fields?

5 A. Foreign materials, yes.

6 Q. When you say "foreign material," are you
7 referring to the formation of fibrosis or scar
8 tissue as a result of exposure to these materials?

9 A. Right. Correct.

10 Q. Did you learn in medical school that there
11 could be in some forms of pneumoconiosis the
12 development of a haziness in the lung fields?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Of opacification of the lung fields?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Of some calcification around the lungs?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And did you learn in medical school that
19 there were any treatments for pneumoconiosis?

20 A. The only adequate treatment was prevention.

21 Q. That was something that was clear to you
22 from your medical school training; is that correct,
23 Doctor?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And so you learned that there really was

1 no treatment per se for somebody once they developed
2 a pneumoconiosis?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. All right. That the goal was to prevent
5 the development of the disease preferably with
6 engineering controls to keep the worker away from
7 the pneumoconiosis producing dust?

8 A. That's right.

9 Q. And the term "pneumoconiosis," Doctor,
10 means "dusty lungs," doesn't it?

11 A. I think that is the definition.

12 Q. You learned in medical school that
13 pneumoconiosis in its various forms was a group of
14 illnesses that fell within the field of chest
15 medicine?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And that you learned obviously that chest
18 specialists could be expected to know a whole lot
19 more about these diseases than general practitioners?

20 A. It was a specialty. Most specialists know
21 more about their specialty than general
22 practitioners.

23 Q. At least one hopes that they do?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. All right. You learned in medical school

1 also, Doctor, didn't you, that respirators, dust
2 masks, were not the best way to prevent
3 pneumoconiosis?

4 MR. DAGGETT: The question is: did you learn
5 that, Doctor?

6 A. No.

7 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did you know during your
8 medical school time that getting workers to wear
9 respirators was a problem?

10 A. No. Not -- no.

11 Q. Doctor, I believe from your curriculum
12 vitae, as I recall, you were born in Canada?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. At what age did you move from Canada?

15 A. Five. I was five and a half years old.

16 MR. DAGGETT: You want to ask him what month
17 that was?

18 A. Yes, I'll tell a --

19 No, I won't.

20 MR. KAZAN: Q. You're not to tell me unless
21 Mr. Daggett says it's okay.

22 Did you or your family have any involvement --
23 let me withdraw that. Were any members of your
24 family involved in any of the mining industries in
25 Canada?

1 A. No. No. We knew there was a lot of
2 mining.

3 Q. During your medical school training did
4 you develop any familiarity with the Canadian mining
5 industry?

6 A. Yes. I knew that they had asbestos mining
7 going on and I always felt that asbestosis was
8 contracted in the mines because of the heavy
9 concentration of asbestos fibers and dust.

10 Q. This was something you felt in medical
11 school?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. All right. And tell me, if you will,
14 Doctor what was your understanding at that time of
15 the concentration of asbestos dust in the asbestos
16 mines?

17 A. I have no idea what it was.

18 Q. Tell me what you understood in medical
19 school to be the concentration of asbestos dust, for
20 example, in asbestos textile mills?

21 A. It was very heavy.

22 MR. DAGGETT: If you had an understanding,
23 Doctor.

24 A. What's that?

25 MR. DAGGETT: If you had an understanding of

1 what --

2 A. Yes, I did. It was very heavy.

3 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did you have an
4 understanding during your medical school training as
5 to whether the levels of asbestos dust exposure were
6 greater in the textile mills than in the mines?

7 A. All I can remember is they were both --
8 they were both heavy exposures.

9 Q. In your medical school education where did
10 you learn about the mining industry and the asbestos
11 textile industry?

12 A. Heard it in lectures somewhere. I can't
13 remember exactly. Or the hour that it was given.

14 Q. Do you remember reading any medical
15 literature that dealt with asbestos or asbestos
16 mines or textile mills?

17 A. Not at that time that you have --
18 What time do you have reference to?

19 Q. While you were in medical school.

20 A. No.

21 Q. Do you know -- withdraw that. Did you
22 ever read an article in the medical literature that
23 dealt with asbestos at any time up until you left
24 Fibreboard in 1950?

25 A. No.

1 Q. There is a specific report, Doctor, that I
2 would like to ask you about. I refer to an article
3 entitled A HEALTH SURVEY OF PIPE COVERING
4 OPERATIONS IN CONSTRUCTING NAVAL VESSELS, authored
5 by Fleischer, Viles, Gade and Drinker, published in
6 the Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology in
7 1946.

8 Did you, prior to the time you left Fibreboard,
9 ever read that article?

10 A. Not at that time.

11 Q. Do you know what article I am speaking of?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Did anyone at Fibreboard ever discuss that
14 article with you prior to 1950?

15 A. Never.

16 Q. Did anyone at Fibreboard discuss that
17 article with you at any time prior to your leaving
18 California?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did you, yourself, ever read that article
21 prior to leaving California in 1970 or '71?

22 A. No.

23 Q. From your own knowledge, Doctor, do you
24 know of anyone in Fibreboard management, either
25 medical or safety or corporate management, who

1 actually read that article prior to the time you
2 left Fibreboard in 1950?

3 A. I have no knowledge of that.

4 (Discussion off record re tape time remaining)

5 Q. You knew, Doctor, from your medical school
6 training that asbestos dust was potentially
7 hazardous; is that correct?

8 MR. DAGGETT: The question is: did you know
9 that from your medical school training?

10 A. I knew that since asbestos was one of the
11 pneumoconioses.

12 Q. Okay. And you knew that any dust which
13 could produce a pneumoconiosis would have to be
14 something that you would consider to be a
15 potentially toxic dust; is that correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 MR. KAZAN: This would be a convenient place
18 to take our break while we change the tape.

19 MR. DAGGETT: Yes, why don't we change the tape
20 and leave five minutes on it and then start with a
21 fresh one.

22 (Short recess taken)

23 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, before the recess
24 we were talking about the things that you learned in
25 medical school, and, just so that we are clear, did

1 you learn in medical school that pneumoconiosis
2 could be a disabling disease?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And did you also learn that it could cause
5 death?

6 A. Yes, I suppose it could.

7 Q. Did you also learn in medical school
8 anything about whether pneumoconiosis was an acute
9 or chronic disease?

10 A. It was a chronic disease.

11 Q. You learned that it was a disease that
12 would develop over time following exposure to the
13 pneumoconiosis producing dust?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And you learned at that time that there
16 was a concept of maturation or latency involved in
17 the development of pneumoconiosis, didn't you?

18 A. I'm not certain about all the
19 pneumoconioses, if there is a latency period that is
20 significant.

21 Q. Did you learn about a latency period in
22 any of the pneumoconioses?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Did you know from medical school that
25 there was a latency period between exposure to

1 asbestos dust and the development of disease?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Did you know that during your years at
4 Fibreboard?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Did you learn -- withdraw that.

7 Fibreboard opened the Plant Rubber and Asbestos
8 Works insulation factory in late 1941, approximately.
9 Do you recall that?

10 A. Yes. Well, I didn't know it was opened
11 then. They were working on it and it either opened
12 at the end of '41 or the early part of '42.

13 Q. Did you understand at that time, and when
14 you returned to Fibreboard in 1946, that a worker
15 exposed to asbestos dust would ordinarily not become
16 sick for a period of years, if at all?

17 A. No, we did not know about it.

18 Q. In 1942, is it correct that you would not
19 have expected to see any disease related to asbestos
20 exposure from exposure that took place in 1941?

21 MR. DAGGETT: Oh, my. Could you rephrase
22 that?

23 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, you would not have
24 expected in 1942 to see any disease related to
25 asbestos exposure from 1941, would you?

1 A. We did not appreciate the latency period
2 at that time.

3 Q. If somebody's exposure began in 1941,
4 Doctor, you would not expect them to show
5 asbestos-related disease by 1942?

6 MR. DAGGETT: I instruct the witness not to
7 answer the question which in form calls for a
8 present medical opinion.

9 MR. KAZAN: Q. My question was with respect
10 to what you knew in 1942.

11 A. I did not know about the latency period in
12 1942.

13 Q. You didn't know that it took some time
14 from the period of first exposure for asbestosis to
15 develop in 1942?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Did you learn in medical school that there
18 was a relationship between the extent of exposure to
19 pneumoconiosis producing dust and the development of
20 disease?

21 A. Repeat it again, please.

22 Q. Yes. When you were in medical school did
23 you understand the concept of a dose response
24 relationship?

25 A. I appreciated the fact that any exposure

1 to dust would depend on the total exposure. I mean,
2 if it was a massive exposure in mines, yes.

3 Q. You knew that the risk of development of
4 disease was related to the extent of the exposure?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And that someone with a relatively small
7 exposure, all other things being equal, would be
8 less likely to develop disease than someone with a
9 greater exposure?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And that's something you knew from medical
12 school?

13 A. I don't remember where I knew this from.
14 But they never specifically spoke about any of these
15 things. I am trying to get across the fact that the
16 pneumoconioses were discussed very briefly.

17 Q. Were you aware, Doctor, of any general
18 public concern about silicosis during the nineteen
19 thirties when you were in medical school?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And did you know, Doctor, in your years at
22 Fibreboard whether silica was used as a raw material
23 in any of the Fibreboard processes?

24 A. Not at that time.

25 Q. Okay. You did not know while you were at

1 Fibreboard whether they used silica?

2 A. No.

3 Q. That's correct, you didn't know it?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. Did you know, Doctor, whether Fibreboard
6 at that time used any diatomaceous earth?

7 A. I did not know that.

8 Q. Did you know at that time that there was a
9 disease called diatomaceous earth pneumoconiosis?

10 A. I knew that diatomaceous earth was a
11 hazard. I did not know it at that time.

12 Q. You never knew while you worked for
13 Fibreboard --

14 A. No.

15 Q. -- that diatomaceous earth could produce
16 disease?

17 A. I did not know that they had diatomaceous
18 earth in their product.

19 Q. My question, though, was whether you knew
20 that diatomaceous earth was a potential producer of
21 pneumoconiosis.

22 A. At that time, no.

23 Q. Now, in July of 1982, the summer before I
24 took your deposition, you were visited by
25 representatives of Fibreboard, weren't you?

1 A. In Tucson?

2 Q. In Tucson.

3 A. The attorneys from an insurance company?

4 Q. No. In July of 1982 weren't you visited
5 by Mr. Beck, who is sitting off on the side of the
6 room --

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. -- and a Miss Nanette Hudson, counsel
9 with Mr. Hothem's office?

10 A. Yes. Yes, she was representing an
11 insurance company.

12 Q. Did she tell you she was representing an
13 insurance company or Fibreboard?

14 A. Well, she was representing Fibreboard
15 through an insurance company.

16 Q. And she came to see you to talk about what
17 you knew and remembered from your years at
18 Fibreboard; is that true?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And at that time, she attempted to retain
21 you as a consultant to Fibreboard, didn't she?

22 A. Not as a consultant, I don't think. As a
23 witness or whatever -- I don't -- she wanted me to
24 discuss some of the matters.

25 Q. Didn't she ask you if you would -- if she

1 could retain you and have you come to San Francisco
2 and talk to them and serve as a consultant to
3 Fibreboard in this litigation?

4 A. I don't know the exact terminology: she
5 said I was to be a consultant or whatever -- because
6 I was never an expert on this sort of thing.

7 Q. And she discussed with you when she was
8 there the question of whether you had coverage under
9 Fibreboard's insurance policies with respect to your
10 own acts as a doctor during the years you worked for
11 Fibreboard?

12 A. I don't remember her saying anything about
13 that.

14 Q. You don't recall her telling you that
15 there was a question as to whether you were a
16 potential defendant in malpractice cases arising out
17 of the Fibreboard factory?

18 A. I don't remember her discussing that facet
19 of the problem.

20 Q. And you don't remember her telling you
21 that there was a question as to whether if you were
22 sued you would be covered by Fibreboard's policy?

23 A. I can't remember that.

24 Q. Miss Hudson discussed with you what you
25 knew from medical school and what you knew about

1 asbestos while you worked at Fibreboard, didn't she?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And when you told her you learned about
4 asbestos to some degree from your pathology textbook
5 she told you that you must be mistaken, didn't she?

6 A. Well, she asked me specifically if I could
7 mention anything, any text or any reference to what
8 it was.

9 Q. And that led you to, on the way home from
10 dinner, to stop by your office and get the Boyd's
11 textbook and show it to her?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And --

14 A. That --

15 Well, never mind.

16 Q. Well, if your answer to my last question
17 was incorrect or something --

18 A. No --

19 Q. -- you are certainly free to change it.

20 A. It isn't incorrect. I am trying to curb
21 my garrulousness.

22 Q. Following your meetings with Miss Hudson,
23 you then made some inquiries on your own into the
24 medical literature, didn't you?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And how did you go about doing that,
2 Doctor?

3 MR. DAGGETT: I instruct the witness not to
4 answer. We are taking the position here and we are
5 taking it quite clearly, that such inquiries into
6 the medical literature as Dr. Perlmutter made with
7 reference to prior depositions and with respect to
8 this litigation and such opinions as he may hold as
9 a doctor today are not germane to the subject of the
10 action or likely to lead to discovery of admissible
11 evidence, and there will be an instruction on this
12 stuff. I think you are very close to work product
13 with these questions but I haven't said anything
14 until now.

15 MR. KAZAN: I am not close to work product.
16 Until two months ago, he wasn't working for
17 Fibreboard. And I am entitled to explore what this
18 witness knows, when he learned it and whether that
19 refreshed his recollection of things he knew before.

20 You are not entitled to limit the scope of this
21 deposition. I would request that you permit him to
22 answer questions on this line or we will ask the
23 court to have him come back and we will do it some
24 other time.

25 MR. DAGGETT: Mr. Kazan, I have to tell you

1 in very plain English that the questions you put to
2 Dr. Perlmutter on November 13, 1982 in the
3 Crittenden and related consolidated cases was a
4 hopeless, jumbled up mixed salad of what he knew
5 when he was at Pabco or Fibreboard and what he knew
6 as he sat there at his deposition, and that hopeless,
7 mixed up salad was not the result of ineptness on
8 your part but a high degree of professional skill,
9 which nonetheless related in a record which, so far
10 as the issues in this case to which his knowledge
11 relates, is grotesque and incomprehensible.

12 Now, I will instruct him with respect to
13 present knowledge, how he got it, what his opinions
14 are, and he will be instructed not to testify with
15 respect to matters after he left Pabco unless and
16 until, after notice and hearing, there is a final
17 court order that says otherwise.

18 MR. KAZAN: And, I take it, that is a blanket
19 instruction and we therefore have a blanket
20 stipulation?

21 MR. DAGGETT: No, sir, you don't have a
22 blanket instruction and you don't have any kind of
23 blanket issue or certification. We'll have to get
24 at this as we go along because there may be areas in
25 which a contrast between what he knows subsequent to

1 the time he left Fibreboard will be helpful in
2 flushing out what he knew while he was at Fibreboard
3 or Pabco. But those will be very small or
4 infrequent instances.

5 And I am determined that no lawyer for the
6 plaintiffs here will succeed again in confusing,
7 obfuscating and mixing up the difference between
8 that which may be in Henry Perlmutter's professional
9 mind today and that which was in his mind before he
10 left Pabco for the purpose of arguing to a jury
11 later that his present knowledge and opinions were
12 knowledge and opinions he held when he was working
13 for Pabco. We just aren't going to do that again.
14 I think that any court, having an opportunity to
15 consider the question carefully and deliberately,
16 will see that the distinction is an eminently
17 reasonable one.

18 The problem in these cases, essentially, is
19 trying out states of mind that in some cases are
20 forty-five, forty, thirty-five, thirty years ago.
21 There are severe evidentiary problems, and mixing
22 things up, even though done with a high degree of
23 professional skill, results in obfuscation and not
24 the truth.

25 MR. KAZAN: That's a very pretty speech. It

1 is irrelevant. It is incorrect. The doctor's prior
2 deposition is clear on its face.

3 MR. DAGGETT: The doctor's prior deposition
4 has questions --

5 MR. KAZAN: Counsel, I didn't interrupt you.

6 MR. DAGGETT: I'm sorry. Go ahead.

7 MR. KAZAN: The deposition is clear on its
8 face. His testimony is clear. And the appropriate
9 trier of fact can make whatever decision they want.
10 My question today is -- you have now indicated that
11 you will instruct him -- since you have now
12 apparently been engaged as his counsel -- not to
13 answer questions concerning anything he has done
14 since he left Fibreboard. Given that, I am not
15 going to sit here and ask two hundred questions so
16 that you have a script in advance to prepare him for
17 when the judge tells you that you're wrong, I was
18 right, and he has to come back to do this over.

19 MR. DAGGETT: I wish you wouldn't threaten
20 this seventy-three year-old man with having to come
21 back.

22 MR. KAZAN: I'm sure not going to Tucson again.
23 I did that once. If he has to come back it's
24 because of the attitude you're taking in this
25 deposition.

1 MR. DAGGETT: All right. You're not going to
2 see his medical books at home unless you want to go
3 to Tucson.

4 Now, when you're through, you tell me and I want
5 to add something in response to what you just said.

6 MR. KAZAN: Well, I think we'll defer your
7 speech till four o'clock when the doctor and I are
8 going to leave because I don't want to waste my time.

9 MR. DAGGETT: I'm sorry, but you make your
10 record here and I make mine and I'm going to tell
11 you this. Number one, the questions put to him by
12 you on November 13, 1982, many of them perorations
13 which run sixteen, eighteen lines in length, are bad
14 in form and if put again they will be objected to on
15 that ground, and, number two, I don't hesitate to
16 have you test this position in court if you wish to
17 do so. And I urge you to do so. I am making no
18 blanket instruction. What I am doing here is trying
19 to state for the edification of all on the record
20 what I believe to be a consistent position and a
21 position which should and might have been taken on
22 November 13, 1982, and was not.

23 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor --

24 MR. WARTNICK: Mr. Reporter, would you please
25 certify that question in my shipyard cases. Thank

1 you.

2 MR. DAGGETT: Dr. Perlmutter, there is
3 nothing to this baloney about certifying questions.
4 It's done automatically, and this record is going to
5 be in shape for presentation of the question to the
6 court, if anybody wants to do it.

7 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor --

8 MR. DAGGETT: I don't believe that you have a
9 right to conscript this man as a present expert
10 medical consultant, and I don't hesitate to say, as
11 I have said before, that you would not engage Henry
12 Perlmutter as an independent medical expert if you
13 had the opportunity, and you don't.

14 MR. KAZAN: Are you finished with your speech?

15 MR. DAGGETT: I am finished with the remarks
16 I consider necessary to making my own record now,
17 yes.

18 MR. KAZAN: Very well.

19 Q. Doctor, after your meeting with Miss
20 Hudson you indeed went and reviewed medical
21 literature from the thirties and forties and fifties
22 and sixties, didn't you?

23 A. Yes.

24 MR. DAGGETT: I instruct the witness not to
25 answer. I think he just said "yes," but I am going

1 to instruct on this line, Dr. Perlmutter, I think.

2 MR. KAZAN: Q. Now, you met with me in
3 November of 1982; do you recall that?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. We spent several hours with your attorney
6 discussing your experience at Pabco and what you
7 knew about asbestos and disease; do you recall that?

8 A. Not the intimate details but I recall
9 discussing this with you.

10 Q. And do you recall, Doctor, at that time
11 you expressed an interest in seeing more of the old
12 literature about asbestos?

13 A. I don't remember specifically but --

14 Q. Do you remember, Doctor, at that time I
15 gave you a set of abstracts of medical literature
16 from the period between the thirties and the
17 seventies?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And you reviewed those, did you not?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And do you recall that I came back -- that
22 was on a Monday and I came back and met with you
23 again on Friday evening in anticipation of your
24 Saturday morning deposition; do you recall that?

25 A. There were a lot of meetings then. I can't

1 remember in detail about this.

2 Q. And you recall, Doctor, I take it, that
3 when we met on that Friday I gave you copies of some
4 medical articles that you had expressed an interest
5 in seeing; do you remember that?

6 A. I don't remember it specifically. I
7 remember discussing something about it.

8 Q. Do you remember my giving you copies of
9 some articles?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Now, sometime after that conference the
12 day before your deposition you in fact reviewed
13 those articles, didn't you?

14 A. Sometime.

15 Q. Do you still have those materials?

16 A. I think so. I have to look for them.

17 Q. And you still have the abstracts?

18 A. Somewhere.

19 Q. Now, Doctor, I am going to show you a copy
20 that is unfortunately somewhat marked up of an
21 editorial from the Journal of the American Medical
22 Association sometime around July or August of 1949.
23 And if you want to mark this, Mr. Reporter. You can
24 make a copy of it and return that to me. It's a
25 three-page document that bears some of my writing on

1 it.

2 (Three-page document, The Journal of the
3 American Medical Association, Volume 140,
4 comprising cover, pages 1219 and 1220,
5 marked for iden. Exhibit 1)

6 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, I would like you to
7 take a look at this.

8 A. (Examining)

9 MR. DAGGETT: There is no question, Dr.
10 Perlmutter. The question may in my mind be
11 appropriate or inappropriate. Wait for the question,
12 please. Don't say anything about that until you
13 have one from Mr. Kazan.

14 A. (Examining)

15 MR. DAGGETT: Before we proceed, would you pass
16 it down and let me glance at it.

17 (Examining) All right.

18 MR. KAZAN: Q. You told us this morning,
19 Doctor, that you received and regularly read the
20 Journal of the American Medical Association?

21 A. Yes.

22 MR. DAGGETT: It isn't quite what he said.
23 But I think he has it in mind.

24 MR. KAZAN: Q. You've just had an
25 opportunity to glance over this editorial?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Would you read for the record the title of
3 that editorial?

4 A. ASBESTOSIS AND CANCER OF THE LUNG.

5 Q. Doctor, was this afternoon the first time
6 in your life you've read that editorial?

7 MR. DAGGETT: I instruct the witness not to
8 answer. I will give you the ground, which I assume
9 you want. You have now muddied the waters, Mr.
10 Kazan, by testifying with his approbation that you
11 handed him a whole bunch of old stuff when you saw
12 him in Tucson. I am not going to permit him to
13 testify about stuff you gave him and that he read
14 within the last couple of years. If you want to ask
15 him whether he read that at or about the time of its
16 publication or before he left Pabco he will answer,
17 but we are not going to have another mixed salad
18 here of whether he read some old stuff because he
19 read it when he was at Pabco or whether he read it
20 because you handed it to him in Tucson a couple of
21 years ago.

22 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did you read this, Doctor,
23 when you received the Journal in 1949?

24 A. No. I explained that I did not read it,
25 the Journal of the AMA, cover to cover. I read

1 selected articles. And I don't remember reading
2 this.

3 Q. You read articles that were of interest to
4 you?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And you would look at the table of
7 contents or the index --

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. -- and pick out articles that had some
10 bearing on the things that you found pertinent to
11 your practice?

12 A. Yes. And I missed this.

13 Q. Doctor, in 1949, was it your practice to
14 read articles in the Journal of the American Medical
15 Association that dealt with asbestos?

16 A. Yes, if I -- and I missed this.

17 Q. Okay. How do you know that you missed it?

18 A. I would have remembered it.

19 Q. If you had read this, this would have
20 stuck in your mind?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Why?

23 A. Because it related to the asbestos that we
24 had in our plant.

25 Q. In 1949, had anyone at Fibreboard

1 management told you that they had some concerns
2 about whether asbestos was a potential carcinogen?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Do you remember a patient that you treated
5 in your private practice in the mid to late nineteen
6 sixties by the name of Dean Walker?

7 A. I don't remember Dean Walker.

8 Q. If it would help your recollection, he was
9 a patient you saw in surgical consultation for Dr.
10 Adamson on several occasions for a question of an
11 ulcer or other G.I. disease who in 1969, when you
12 had hospitalized him for G.I. workup, was found to
13 have a mass on chest x-ray and who underwent lung
14 surgery for lung cancer by Dr. Maegher --
15 m-a-e-g-h-e-r -- assisted by you.

16 MR. DAGGETT: The question, Dr. Perlmutter --

17 MR. KAZAN: Q. Does that refresh --

18 MR. DAGGETT: -- does that refresh your
19 recollection, whether or not all that testimony by
20 Mr. Kazan is the fact?

21 A. Yes, that refreshes my memory.

22 MR. KAZAN: Q. Do you recall such a case,
23 even though you can't put the name of Dean Walker on
24 it?

25 A. Vaguely, I remember. I know I referred a

1 case to Dr. Maegher from the plant.

2 Q. And --

3 MR. DAGGETT: The question went to the late
4 nineteen sixties, Doctor.

5 A. Yes.

6 MR. KAZAN: Yes.

7 A. And this was someone who had worked at
8 Pabco. I had not been treating him. I had not seen
9 him before. As I remember, I saw him in
10 consultation for another surgical entity and then
11 referred him to Dr. Maegher after doing the
12 preliminary chest x-rays prior to surgery.

13 Q. Okay. And you knew at the time that this
14 was a man who had worked at Fibreboard?

15 A. I don't -- I just remember the whole case
16 very vaguely. I can't tell you definitively.

17 Q. In 1969, at the time of this case that you
18 have some recollection of, were you aware that
19 asbestos was thought to be a cause of lung cancer?

20 MR. DAGGETT: I instruct the witness not to
21 answer. It's too remote to the time he was with
22 Pabco. Doesn't make any difference whether he knew
23 that in 1969 or not. He was gone.

24 MR. KAZAN: When you get a robe you can
25 decide whether it makes a difference.

1 MR. DAGGETT: I have to protect the witness
2 and the record now. The robe comes later, if you
3 wish to go see it.

4 MR. KAZAN: Q. Now, did you tell this
5 patient, who you recall somewhat vaguely, that you
6 thought his lung cancer was a result of asbestos
7 exposure at Fibreboard?

8 MR. DAGGETT: Instruct the witness not to
9 answer. Same ground.

10 MR. KAZAN: Q. During your years at
11 Fibreboard were you ever aware of the existence of a
12 physician by the name of Anthony Lanza -- l-a-n-z-a?

13 A. And what time was this? During the time --

14 Q. During the time you worked at Fibreboard
15 or before.

16 A. No, I didn't know. I think I remember the
17 name subsequently.

18 Q. During the years up to 1950 were you ever
19 aware of any recommendations published by the United
20 States Treasury Department in the Public Health
21 Reports with respect to examination of asbestos
22 exposed factory workers?

23 A. The years, again?

24 MR. DAGGETT: Up to 1950.

25 MR. KAZAN: Q. Up to 1950.

1 A. No.

2 Q. Did you ever know up until 1950 that there
3 were recommendations in the medical literature that
4 new employees in factories using asbestos as a raw
5 material should be given physical examinations,
6 including chest x-rays, and rejected for employment
7 if they showed any evidence of tuberculosis or
8 pneumoconiosis?

9 A. Where was this article from? You're
10 reading something.

11 MR. DAGGETT: The question is -- no, Dr.
12 Perlmutter, he can read and frame a question from
13 his reading, and in this instance you're not
14 entitled to ask him about that. The question is:
15 did you know that at any time up until 1950?

16 A. I didn't know the article, I never heard
17 of it. And I don't know if it's actually pertinent
18 there, that everyone should have x-rays prior.

19 MR. KAZAN: Q. My question, Doctor, was
20 whether you were aware that that recommendation had
21 been made --

22 A. No, I did not know..

23 Q. -- at any time before 1950.

24 A. No.

25 Q. Were you aware before 1950, at any time,

1 that recommendations had been made in the medical
2 literature that employees in asbestos utilizing
3 manufacturing plants be examined physically,
4 preferably every year but at least every two years,
5 the examination to include an x-ray examination of
6 the chest?

7 A. No.

8 Q. No one in management ever brought that to
9 your attention?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Did anyone in management ever seek your
12 advice or counsel with respect to what medical
13 precautions, if any, should be taken to protect
14 workers who were exposed to asbestos?

15 MR. DAGGETT: That is Pabco management up
16 until the time he left?

17 MR. KAZAN: That's right.

18 MR. DAGGETT: All right.

19 A. No.

20 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did anyone in Pabco's
21 management up until 1950 ever ask you to do any
22 research into the hazards of asbestos?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Did anyone ever ask you in Pabco
25 management to go to the library and see if there was

1 anything in the medical literature that was
2 important for management to be aware of as it
3 related to the risks of asbestos exposure?

4 A. No.

5 Q. During the years that you on occasion saw
6 patients in surgical consultation for Pabco, that is,
7 1950 on, did anyone in connection with management,
8 either medical department or self-insurance
9 department or other management, ever ask you for
10 information concerning the health hazards of
11 asbestos exposure?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Doctor, at any time up until 1950 had you
14 read any of the articles by Dr. Cook in the British
15 Medical Journal dealing with asbestos-related
16 pulmonary fibrosis?

17 MR. DAGGETT: Is the British Medical Journal
18 the proper name of the publication?

19 MR. KAZAN: Yes.

20 Q. Is it, Doctor?

21 A. I think so.

22 Q. All right.

23 A. No, never read it.

24 Q. Up until 1950, had you ever read any of
25 the articles written or published by Dr. Gloyne of

1 England?

2 A. Dr. who?

3 Q. Dr. Gloyne -- g-l-o-y-n-e.

4 A. No.

5 Q. Up until 1950, had you ever read any of
6 the articles published by Drs. Lynch and Smith in
7 the American medical literature?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did you read any articles by Drs. Wood and
10 Gloyne?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Did you ever hear the name of Dr.
13 Merewether -- m-e-r-e-w-e-t-h-e-r?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Did you know prior to 1950 of the
16 existence of the Office for the Inspection of
17 Factories in the British government?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Up until the 1950's, were you aware of any
20 regulations respecting the use of asbestos in
21 English factories?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Or in American factories?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Including California.

1 A. No.

2 Q. In your medical school training, Doctor,
3 did you become familiar or acquainted with a text by
4 Dr. Lanza called SILICOSIS AND ASBESTOSIS?

5 A. And that's --

6 MR. DAGGETT: That's in medical school,
7 Doctor.

8 A. No.

9 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did you become familiar with
10 that text or portions of it at any time after its
11 publication in 1936 up to and including 1950?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Did you become familiar with that text at
14 any time subsequent to 1950?

15 A. I heard the name Lanza and that he was
16 interested in this type work. And I haven't read
17 anything by him.

18 Q. You never read Lanza's text?

19 A. No.

20 Q. You never read a report that is commonly
21 called the "Lanza Report" published in 1935?

22 A. From --

23 Q. Public Health Reports.

24 A. Public Health -- no.

25 Q. It was a survey of asbestos-exposed

1 workers at the Canadian mines and the asbestos
2 textile mills in South Carolina, largely. Do you
3 recall that?

4 A. (Shakes head)

5 Q. Never read it?

6 A. Never read it.

7 Q. Were you acquainted at any time prior to
8 1950 with a report by Dr. Dreesen, studying the
9 asbestos textile industry in South Carolina?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Have you ever read that report since that
12 time?

13 A. No.

14 Q. At any time prior to 1950 did you review
15 or read any other articles in the literature that
16 dealt with the subject of asbestos and lung cancer?

17 MR. DAGGETT: Prior to 1950, Dr. Perlmutter.

18 A. No.

19 MR. KAZAN: Q. Did you read such articles
20 at any time after 1950?

21 MR. DAGGETT: Instruct the witness not to
22 answer. The only relevance in this case of Dr.
23 Perlmutter's knowledge is that it might on one legal
24 theory or another be Pabco's or Fibreboard's
25 knowledge, and Dr. Perlmutter's knowledge after he

1 left Pabco is not relevant to anything in this case.

2 MR. KAZAN: I think you've said that before.

3 MR. DAGGETT: Well, I didn't say it quite
4 that way. You can't seem to understand it. That's
5 why I did it again.

6 MR. KAZAN: Well, before it took you ten
7 minutes. You've now boiled it down to thirty
8 seconds.

9 MR. DAGGETT: I'm improving. Are you? I
10 guess I shouldn't say you don't understand it, Mr.
11 Kazan, I think you do understand it. What you won't
12 do is accept it.

13 MR. KAZAN: That's because you're wrong.

14 Q. Doctor --

15 MR. DAGGETT: You will see, maybe.

16 MR. KAZAN: Q. Doctor, prior to 1950, did
17 you read any articles in the medical literature from
18 any country that raised the question of connection
19 between asbestos exposure and lung cancer?

20 A. No.

21 MR. DAGGETT: Mr. Kazan, may I call your
22 attention to the hour and our four o'clock breaking
23 point?

24 MR. KAZAN: I think you just did.

25 Doctor, at this point, subject to reconvening

1 your deposition after the court educates Mr. Daggett
2 on the law that applies to your deposition I have no
3 further questions.

4 I will not be present tomorrow and I assume
5 that, Mr. Daggett, you have no intention of changing
6 your position or agreeing that I am entitled to ask
7 the questions to which you have objected and
8 instructed the witness not to answer.

9 MR. DAGGETT: No, sir, I have no such
10 intention.

11 MR. KAZAN: All right. At this point, then,
12 for the sake of the record, let me say that it is my
13 position, and I would ask the reporter to so note at
14 the end, that at the time when this deposition
15 concludes, I exercise my statutory option to recess
16 the deposition rather than adjourn it for purposes
17 of being reconvened at an appropriate later date to
18 go into the areas that I am confident the court will
19 permit me to explore.

20 Thank you, Doctor. It's a pleasure to see you
21 again.

22 MR. DAGGETT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.
23 We will reconvene in this room at ten o'clock
24 tomorrow morning.

25

1 (Adjournment taken at 4:02 o'clock p.m. to
2 10:00 o'clock a.m., Tuesday, October 30, 1984)
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

DEPOSITION OF HENRY A. PERLMUTTER, M.D.

Tuesday, October 30, 1984

Page

Examination by

MR. WARTNICK 182,204

MR. BURNS 195,207

MR. DAGGETT 202

QUESTIONS DIRECTED NOT TO ANSWER

PAGE/LINE

205/14

205/23

207/15

E X H I B I T S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Number

Page

2 Extract PATHOLOGY, An Introduction 200
to Medicine (5-page doc)

1 BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to adjournment
2 of Monday, October 29, 1984, the deposition of HENRY
3 A. PERLMUTTER, M.D. resumed on Tuesday, October 30
4 1984, commencing at the hour of 10:10 o'clock a.m.
5 thereof, at KQED, 500 8th Street, Studio B, San
6 Francisco, California, before me, HARRY A. CANNON, a
7 Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in
8 and for the State of California.

9 HENRY A. PERLMUTTER, M.D.
10 called as a witness by Fibreboard Corporation, who,
11 being by me previously duly sworn, was thereupon
12 examined and testified as hereinafter set forth.

13
14 CARTWRIGHT, SUCHERMAN, SLOBODIN & FOWLER, INC.,
15 160 Sansome Street, Suite 900, San Francisco,
16 California 94104, represented by HARRY F. WARTNICK,
17 Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on behalf of
18 plaintiffs; and

19 KENNETH L. KNAPP, Esquire, 695 Town Center
20 Drive, #1000, Costa Mesa, California 92626,
21 represented by PATRICK BURNS, Attorney at Law,
22 appeared on behalf of plaintiffs; and

23 MARTIN, HARRISON & DeGARMO, 501 Shatto Place,
24 Suite 100, Los Angeles, California, 90820,
25 represented by GUY LEWIS, Attorney at Law, appeared

1 on behalf of plaintiffs; and

2 BROBECK, PHLEGER & HARRISON, One Market Plaza,
3 Spear Street Tower, San Francisco, California 94105,
4 represented by ROBERT S. DAGGETT, Attorney at Law,
5 appeared as counsel on behalf of Fibreboard
6 Corporation; and

7 ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN, BENTLEY & WAGNER, 655
8 Montgomery Street, Suite #1600, San Francisco,
9 California 94111, represented by THOMAS C. NORTON,
10 Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on behalf of
11 Fibreboard Corporation; and

12 BLEDSOE, CATHCART, BOYD, ELIOT & CURFMAN, 650
13 California Street, Suite #2828, San Francisco,
14 California 94108, represented by ELIZABETH
15 BERICE-DREYFUSS (Law Clerk), appeared as counsel on
16 behalf of Flexitallic Gasket Company, Inc.; and

17 Law Offices of WILLIAM DUKE, 433 California
18 Street, Suite #330, San Francisco, California 94111,
19 represented by ROBERT PESTLEWAITE, Attorney at Law,
20 appeared as counsel on behalf of H.K. Porter
21 Company, Inc; and

22 HASSARD, BONNINGTON, ROGERS & HUBER, 3500 Wells
23 Fargo Building, 44 Montgomery Street, San Francisco,
24 California 94104, represented by EMILY BROCKMAN
25 (legal assistant), appeared as counsel on behalf of

1 Pittsburg Corning; and

2 GUDMUNDSON, SIGGINS & STONE, 235 Montgomery
3 Street, Suite #710, San Francisco, California 94104,
4 represented by SUSAN PIERCE, Attorney at Law,
5 appeared as counsel on behalf of Armstrong World
6 Industries, Inc.; and

7 POPELKA, ALLARD, McCOWAN & JONES, 601
8 Montgomery Street, Suite #2022, San Francisco,
9 California 94111, represented by CAMILLA D. COCHRAN,
10 Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on behalf of
11 Owens Corning Fibreglass; and

12 McDONALD, PERUSSINA & CULLOM, 731 Market
13 Street, San Francisco, California, 94103,
14 represented by JONATHAN BACON, Attorney at Law,
15 appeared as counsel on behalf of John
16 Crane-Hondialle, Inc.; and

17 ERICKSEN, ARBUTHNOT, McCARTHY, KEARNEY & WALSH,
18 INC., Pier 1-1/2, The Embarcadero, San Francisco,
19 California 94111, represented by THEODORE C.
20 LUEBKEMAN, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of
21 NAAC.

22 ALSO PRESENT: ROBERT A. BECK, Esquire.

23

24

25

HENRY A. PERLMUTTER, M.D.,

being previously duly sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY MR. WARTNICK:

MR. WARTNICK: Q. Good morning, Doctor. How are you today?

A. Fine.

Q. Good. Doctor, my name is Harry Wartnick. I represent a number of plaintiffs in shipyard applicator cases and shipyard bystander cases. I have a very few questions to ask you this morning.

First, Doctor, during the period 1938 to 1950 did you own a copy of the Encyclopedia Britannica?

A. No.

Q. Did you have one at home?

A. No.

Q. Or did your children have one at home?

A. No.

Q. Beginning in approximately 1941, you knew that Fibreboard was making a thermal insulation product at the Emeryville plant; is that correct?

A. I don't know if they started yet. They were building the building in '41 and I'm not certain that if they commenced production in late '41

1 or '42.

2 Q. Okay. They previously told us that it was
3 very late 1941 when they commenced production. So
4 if we can go forward with that assumption.

5 Did you know what product it was that was being
6 manufactured there?

7 A. No. That was just about the time that
8 World War II broke out and I was in the midst of
9 getting in the army.

10 Q. You returned in 1946?

11 A. '46, that's right.

12 Q. And worked as the plant doctor, corporate
13 doctor --

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. -- until about 1950; correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. During those four years, you knew that an
18 insulation product was being made at --

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. -- Emeryville; is that correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And you knew that that product contained
23 asbestos?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. You knew that that product was being used

1 for insulation purposes at shipyards and
2 construction sites and oil refineries, is that
3 correct?

4 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as compound.

5 MR. WARTNICK: I will rephrase.

6 Q. Doctor, you knew the product was being
7 used in shipyards for insulation purposes; is that
8 correct?

9 A. Yes. Not precisely where or how.

10 Q. But you knew it was being put to shipyard
11 use?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And you knew it was being put to use at
14 construction sites?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And you knew it was being put to use in
17 oil refineries?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And you knew it was being put to use in
20 other locations where high-temperature insulation
21 was needed, is that correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Now, during the time that you were at
24 Fibreboard you've previously told us that you knew
25 that there was a disease called "asbestosis"?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And you've told us that your education
3 concerning that disease was very limited, is that
4 correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Can you estimate for us how much class
7 time in medical school you actually had about the
8 disease called "asbestosis"?

9 A. It was infinitesimal. I would say less
10 than one percent of all the time -- much less than
11 one percent.

12 MR. DAGGETT: Mr. Wartnick, please forgive me
13 but let me interrupt for just a minute. Can we stop
14 the tape?

15 (Discussion off record) (Short recess taken)

16 MR. DAGGETT: Can I ask that the record show
17 that we took this short recess because it appeared
18 to those viewing the television monitor that through
19 some kind of lighting mischance Dr. Perlmutter for
20 the first few minutes today had a left eye that was
21 black, and the lighting people have now corrected
22 that, and if he looks just a little bit better
23 lighted as we begin again, that's why.

24 MR. WARTNICK: Q. Doctor, would you estimate
25 the amount of time which you spent studying about

1 asbestosis in medical school to be an hour or less?

2 A. It was a fraction of one percent, a very
3 small fraction of one percent.

4 Q. An hour or less?

5 A. Oh, they were discussing at the time
6 mining procedures and the problems of miners and I
7 always associated asbestosis with mining.

8 Q. You would estimate the amount of time to
9 be about an hour?

10 A. A portion of the hour. They didn't
11 pinpoint "asbestos" or "asbestosis."

12 Q. From the time that you left medical school
13 until you went to work for Fibreboard, did you do
14 any study on the subject of "asbestos" or
15 "asbestosis"?

16 A. No.

17 Q. In the period that you worked for
18 Fibreboard commencing in -- well, strike that. You
19 knew when you were working for Fibreboard that they
20 were making a thermal insulation product which used
21 asbestos; correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. You knew from medical school that asbestos
24 could cause disease?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And you knew that the disease "asbestosis"
2 could cause disability; correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And you knew that the disease could cause
5 death; correct?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. You also knew from medical school that
8 there was no cure for the disease "asbestosis";
9 correct?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. That the only way to prevent the disease,
12 or that the only thing to do about the disease was
13 to prevent it from occurring in the first place; is
14 that correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Your total knowledge of the disease,
17 however, was that less than an hour which you had
18 received in medical school; correct?

19 A. From recollection, that's about all I can
20 remember.

21 Q. You knew that asbestos was being used at
22 the plant in the insulation; correct?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. And you knew that that insulation was
25 being used at worksites; correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Doctor, from the time that that thermal
3 insulation factory opened at Emeryville until you
4 left Fibreboard in 1950, what efforts, if any, did
5 you make to learn what amount of asbestos exposure
6 was necessary to cause disease?

7 MR. DAGGETT: I object to the form of the
8 question as assuming that any effort was his
9 responsibility. Mr. Wartnick, he's testified that
10 it was his impression that people working with
11 asbestos in places like the Fibreboard plant and in
12 places like applicator sites didn't get asbestosis.
13 He said he thought it was in the mines.

14 MR. WARTNICK: Q. You may now answer the
15 question, Doctor.

16 A. Repeat your question..

17 Q. From the time that the thermal insulation
18 plant opened in Emeryville in late 1941, until you
19 left Fibreboard's employment, in 1950, what efforts,
20 if any, did you make to learn what amount of
21 asbestos was necessary to cause disease?

22 MR. DAGGETT: Where? I object to the form of
23 the question as indefinite. Where?

24 MR. WARTNICK: To any human being.

25 MR. DAGGETT: To any human being doing what?

1 MR. WARTNICK: Breathing dust containing
2 asbestos.

3 MR. DAGGETT: Anywhere?

4 MR. WARTNICK: Anywhere.

5 A. I never asked specifically the amount. I
6 was aware and talked to the people who were working
7 to eliminate dust and I felt that the procedures
8 being undertaken cut the amount of asbestos dust
9 down radically.

10 Q. To what level did they cut the dust down?

11 A. I don't know. I didn't have any figures
12 on the level.

13 Q. Did you at any time endeavor to learn what
14 level of asbestos exposure was safe?

15 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as assuming that at
16 that time methods to do that were available.

17 MR. WARTNICK: You may answer the question,
18 Doctor.

19 A. I didn't know any level.

20 Q. Did you make any effort to find out?

21 A. No.

22 Q. What efforts did you make to learn what
23 levels of asbestos exposure workers in shipyards
24 using your thermal insulation products were exposed
25 to?

1 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as assuming he had
2 any duties respecting those workers.

3 Answer if you can, Doctor.

4 A. It's true, I had no duties respecting
5 those workers.

6 MR. WARTNICK: Q. Did you make any effort to
7 find out the levels of asbestos to which they were
8 exposed from products manufactured by your employer?

9 A. One of the things, as I brought out, I was
10 not certain whether these were contract workers or
11 whether they were employees of Pabco, and to this
12 day I don't know if they were. My impression was
13 they were contract workers.

14 Q. Doctor, my sole question to you is: what
15 effort, if any, did you make to learn what levels of
16 asbestos exposure these workers were exposed to?

17 A. I didn't make any effort regarding this.

18 Q. During the years that you worked for
19 Fibreboard you were a member of the Alameda County
20 Medical Society; is that correct?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. And they maintained a library?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. You had access to that library?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And you knew from your medical school
2 training how to use a medical library?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. During the years that you worked at
5 Fibreboard the University of California maintained a
6 library at its medical school in San Francisco?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. And you as a licensed physician had access
9 to that library?

10 A. Right.

11 Q. During the years that you worked for
12 Fibreboard, Stanford University maintained its
13 medical school in San Francisco; is that correct?

14 A. Correct -- part of -- around that time
15 they moved, but they were there for awhile.

16 Q. They moved in the late fifties or sixties;
17 I believe?

18 A. I don't remember what part. They did it
19 by increments.

20 Q. And they maintained a medical library in
21 San Francisco, at the medical school?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And you had access to that school?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. During the years that you were at

1 Fibreboard what efforts did you make to learn about
2 the disease process of asbestosis?

3 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as assuming that he
4 had any reason to at Fibreboard, as assuming that
5 any facts gave him any duty to.

6 Answer it if you can, Doctor. It's the same
7 question. It's not a different question because he
8 asked it again.

9 A. We did not recognize at the time that
10 there were any cases of asbestosis or anyone was
11 suffering from the problem because of it.

12 MR. WARTNICK: Q. However, you knew at that
13 time that the only solution to the problem of
14 asbestosis was to prevent it before it occurred;
15 correct?

16 A. Correct. And we did our level best to see
17 that the area was free of asbestos fibers.

18 Q. What efforts did you make to see that
19 workers in shipyards did not inhale unsafe levels of
20 asbestos fibers generally?

21 A. I had nothing to do with their work
22 practices.

23 Q. Did you at any time research what was a
24 safe level of asbestos exposure?

25 MR. DAGGETT: Where? To whom? When? And

1 under what circumstances?

2 MR. WARTNICK: Q. During the period that you
3 worked at Fibreboard, did you research at any time
4 what was a safe level of asbestos exposure for human
5 beings?

6 MR. DAGGETT: Objected to as still vague and
7 indefinite in form.

8 Answer if you can, Doctor.

9 A. I did not find out what the -- any level
10 that was safe.

11 MR. WARTNICK: Q. And you did not attempt to
12 find out, is that correct?

13 A. No.

14 Q. No, you did not attempt to?

15 A. No, I did not attempt.

16 Q. Thank you. Doctor, just one other line of
17 questioning and I will be finished. Yesterday after
18 lunch Mr. Daggett asked you some questions about
19 comments that were made between you and Mr. Kazan
20 before lunch yesterday. When you had that
21 conversation with Mr. Kazan before lunch yesterday
22 was it your understanding that the comments that
23 were made by both you and he were in jest?

24 MR. DAGGETT: I object to that as calling
25 upon Dr. Perlmutter to determine Mr. Kazan's state

1 of mind at the time.

2 I will permit an answer, Doctor.

3 A. I just commented on the fact that -- what
4 he said. I made no interpretation of it at all.

5 MR. WARTNICK: Q. You didn't feel threatened
6 by what he said, did you?

7 A. I thought it was a little queer, but it
8 didn't worry me.

9 MR. DAGGETT: I think the doctor's demeanor
10 on the video tape in answering that probably says
11 more than the answer.

12 I wish you wouldn't pursue it. I think it was
13 something Mr. Kazan wishes he hadn't done and I
14 think in the hands of a very seasoned lawyer and
15 counsel of record here it was a dismaying thing to
16 do with a witness.

17 MR. WARTNICK: Q. Doctor, there were some
18 other discussions off the record during some of the
19 breaks yesterday also: correct?

20 A. You have to be more specific.

21 Q. Well --

22 MR. DAGGETT: The doctor had none, Mr.
23 Wartnick. He was in another room.

24 MR. WARTNICK: Q. Well, Doctor, you were
25 present yesterday afternoon when Mr. Daggett came up

1 to Mr. Kazan in your presence and suggested that you
2 anesthesize Mr. Kazan to level 6; you remember that?

3 MR. DAGGETT: No. No. It was to the sixth
4 stage.

5 MR. WARTNICK: Sixth stage. Thank you.

6 MR. DAGGETT: Yes.

7 A. I have no comment.

8 MR. WARTNICK: Doctor, thank you. I have no
9 further questions.

10 MR. DAGGETT: Other counsel have questions of
11 Dr. Perlmutter?

12 MR. BURNS: I have just a couple, Counsel.

13 MR. DAGGETT: Very good.

14

15 EXAMINATION BY MR. BURNS:

16

17 MR. BURNS: Q. Doctor, my name is Patrick
18 Burns. I also represent plaintiffs. And I just
19 have a few questions for you, please.

20 First of all, you told us yesterday that
21 Fibreboard had a dust control system that was
22 instituted in the plant?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And do you recall about what year that was
25 started, Doctor?

1 A. I can't remember the year these plants
2 were built, but the original engineer at that time
3 was aware of dust problems and commenced some sort
4 of removal. Now, subsequently much more complete
5 dust removal techniques were instituted in the
6 asbestos plant, including very powerful exhaust
7 fan -- or fans -- I can't remember if there was more
8 than one -- and differential in pressure so that it
9 was a sort of a vacuum-like effect at times.

10 Q. And, Doctor, what was the name of this
11 first engineer who instituted the control system?

12 A. I am not positive of the name. One of
13 them was Mr. Rosen. And he's dead now. Has been for
14 years.

15 MR. DAGGETT: Doctor --- excuse me -- but
16 these simple, plain questions don't call for a
17 monologue. This question just calls for: do you
18 remember the name?

19 A. I don't remember for certain. I just
20 remember that one name. And I don't know when he
21 was there.

22 MR. BURNS: Q. All right. And I believe
23 yesterday you told us the names of some of the other
24 gentlemen who held that position --

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. -- including Mr. Hoopes, later on?

2 A. Mr. Hoopes. I know that definitely.

3 Q. All right. When this first system was
4 started by Mr. Rosen, or whomever at that time, was
5 this prior to your going into the army?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So it would have been in the period of
8 prior to 1942?

9 A. I don't know how effective it was at that
10 time.

11 Q. All right. And was it at this time that
12 the system was licensed to the other companies?

13 A. No. It was after that.

14 Q. This was after you returned from World War
15 II that it was licensed to other companies?

16 A. Oh, wait a minute. I can't remember the
17 exact time it was licensed. I had nothing to do with
18 the arrangements.

19 Q. Of course.

20 MR. DAGGETT: Doctor, you don't have to
21 explain to this record or these lawyers why you
22 don't remember.

23 A. All right.

24 MR. BURNS: Q. All right. So you're not

25 sure --

1 MR. DAGGETT: Doctor, I want to advise you of
2 something. A fair case can be made for the
3 proposition logically that there is never any reason
4 why you don't remember. Please don't consider it
5 necessary always to give one.

6 MR. BURNS: Q. You are not sure then, Doctor,
7 whether this was before or after your military
8 service that the licensing agreement took place?

9 A. No, I can't remember the time.

10 Q. All right. And do you recall the names of
11 the companies in the United States who bought the
12 license?

13 A. I don't remember them.

14 MR. DAGGETT: There wasn't any testimony of
15 that, Mr. Burns. The testimony was that he thinks
16 there was a license to people in Darlington, England.

17 MR. BURNS: Q. Well, my understanding of
18 yesterday's testimony, and please correct me if I am
19 wrong, Doctor, was there were three companies in the
20 United States and one company in England?

21 A. That's what I remember.

22 Q. But you don't recall any of the names of
23 the companies?

24 A. None of them, no.

25 Q. Fine.

1 MR. DAGGETT: I stand corrected.. Excuse me.

2 MR. BURNS: Certainly.

3 Q. Doctor, at the time this dust control
4 system was instituted did you have any discussions
5 with Mr. Rosen or any other company official
6 regarding the desire to eliminate the asbestos dust
7 from the atmosphere?

8 A. No, I had no conversations with Mr. Rosen.

9 Q. Or any other company official?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Was your advice ever sought regarding the
12 removal of the asbestos dust from the plant?

13 A. No.

14 Q. At any time, did any company official
15 discuss with you any hazard of asbestos dust to the
16 workers in the plant?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Doctor, I would now like to hand you four
19 or five pages that have been stapled together.

20 Counsel, if you would like to take a look at it
21 first.

22 MR. DAGGETT: Yes, I would. Thank you very
23 much, Mr. Burns. (Examining)

24 This is the well known extract from what the
25 doctor called the antique Boyd on Pathology

1 copyrighted in 1934?

2 MR. BURNS: That's correct.

3 MR. DAGGETT: I assume you want that marked
4 still another time?

5 MR. BURNS: Please. Yes.

6 (Five-page document, extract, A TEXT-BOOK
7 of PATHOLOGY, AN INTRODUCTION TO MEDICINE
8 by William Boyd, marked for identification
9 Exhibit 2)

10 MR. DAGGETT: All right. He'll probably ask
11 you if you have ever seen that before.

12 MR. BURNS Q. Well, Doctor I believe in
13 fact that after your last deposition that you copied
14 that yourself and forwarded that either to the
15 reporter or to the attorneys for Fibreboard or Mr.
16 Kazan?

17 A. That was the first discussion I had with
18 anyone concerning my previous knowledge.

19 MR. DAGGETT: Mr. Burns, you've struck a
20 major blow for the truth in discovery. At long last
21 we know why that copy is illegible.

22 MR. BURNS: I'm sorry. Would you read back the
23 doctor's last answer?

24 (Answer read as follows: "That was my first
25 discussion I had with anyone concerning my previous

1 knowledge.")

2 Q. Of asbestosis, Doctor?

3 MR. DAGGETT: Doctor, the question was
4 whether you copied that from the book and sent it
5 back to the reporter or one of the lawyers. That
6 was the question.

7 A. I did not copy this. Miss Nanette
8 Hudson --

9 MR. DAGGETT: Doctor, the question didn't
10 call for who copied it. It just said: did you?

11 A. No, I did not copy it.

12 MR. BURNS: All right.

13 Q. Was that copy made of the book by
14 Professor Boyd that was in your possession?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. All right. And who did make that copy,
17 Doctor?

18 A. Miss Nanette Hudson.

19 Q. All right. And, Doctor, that book that we
20 have here, PATHOLOGY by William Boyd, that was the
21 book that you had studied while you were in medical
22 school?

23 A. Yes.

24 MR. BURNS: All right. Fine. Thank you. I
25 have no other questions.

1 MR. DAGGETT: Other counsel have questions of
2 the witness? (No response)

3 Thank you.

4
5 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. DAGGETT:

6
7 MR. DAGGETT: Q. I have just one or two on
8 redirect, Dr. Perlmutter, which will focus very
9 briefly on testimony you gave in answer to questions
10 by Mr. Kazan yesterday respecting the transcript and
11 video tape of the deposition Mr. Kazan took of you
12 on November 13, 1982, I believe in Tucson.

13 I call your attention to your testimony
14 yesterday in answer to Mr. Kazan's questions that
15 after you received the reporter's transcript of your
16 deposition you noticed certain corrections you would
17 have liked to make, and I call your attention also
18 to your testimony yesterday that you knew of no
19 inaccuracy in the video tape recording of your
20 deposition testimony. With that background I will
21 ask these few questions.

22 When you got the written reporter's transcript
23 of your 1982 deposition and you read certain of the
24 questions and answers throughout the transcript,
25 were you satisfied with the answers you gave at the

1 time?

2 A. No.

3 MR. WARTNICK: Objection. It is vague and
4 ambiguous.

5 MR. DAGGETT: Q. Were you satisfied, Doctor,
6 upon reading the questions that the answer you gave
7 was the answer you wanted to give to the question as
8 you read it rather than heard it?

9 A. No, I was not satisfied.

10 Q. Do you recall whether or not some of the
11 questions at your 1982 deposition were rather long?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. Did some of the questions have assumptions
14 or elements in them which you identified when you
15 read the questions which you were unaware of when
16 you heard them?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. And as a result of that, if given the
19 opportunity, would you have liked to have amplified
20 some of the answers you gave?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. Tell us whether or not you would have
23 liked to have qualified some of the answers you gave?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. And would you have liked to have added to

1 some of the answers you gave?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. And were there some that you just plain
4 would have liked to correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 MR. DAGGETT: Thank you. I have no further
7 questions.

8 MR. WARTNICK: I have one or two on your
9 examination.

10 MR. DAGGETT: Very good. That's your
11 microphone over there.

12 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. WARTNICK:

13 MR. WARTNICK: Q. Doctor, the answers
14 reported in that transcript are the answers which
15 you gave; correct?

16 A. Some of the sentences as they were typed
17 out were a little garbled. Some of them, as I
18 started reading them and saw the printed word and
19 the sentences and paragraphs, were a little
20 confusing and I would like to have had them
21 clarified.

22 Q. When was it that you saw that transcript?

23 MR. DAGGETT: He said that yesterday. But go
24 ahead answer it again, Doctor.

25 A. I called Mr. Rappeport because I expected

1 the attorney --

2 MR. WARTNICK: Q. The question, Doctor, is
3 when did you see that transcript?

4 A. Months later. Months later.

5 Q. That would be two years ago now?

6 A. Yeah.

7 Q. Have you made any corrections in the past
8 two years to that transcript?

9 MR. DAGGETT: I will stipulate, Mr. Wartnick,
10 that no corrections of record have been made.

11 MR. WARTNICK: Q. You have not, Doctor, is
12 that correct?

13 A. I have not.

14 Q. Do you intend to?

15 MR. DAGGETT: He's not going to answer that.
16 We're not quite sure what we're going to do about it
17 because his present counsel discovered this only
18 recently. He has testified yesterday that during
19 the interim he's been in doubt about whether he had
20 the right. But he'll make up his mind what to do
21 and that will either be nothing or something and he
22 will do it with counsel, I hope.

23 MR. WARTNICK: Q. You agree, Doctor, that
24 you have had approximately two years within which to
25 make those corrections?

1 MR. DAGGETT: Don't answer the question in
2 that form, Doctor. That assumes that he knew what
3 his rights were and he knew of the procedure to use
4 in enforcing his rights and he's testified that he
5 didn't.

6 MR. WARTNICK: Q. Did you receive a letter
7 from the court reporter telling you you had the
8 right to make corrections to the deposition?

9 A. I don't recall what was in that letter. I
10 understood that I was to get the transcript
11 immediately as it was typed and that I would have an
12 opportunity to correct it.

13 MR. DAGGETT: Perhaps Mr. Wartnick can tell
14 you, Doctor, how you correct a transcript you
15 haven't got because you have a letter from the
16 reporter saying you can.

17 MR. WARTNICK: I am now totally confused.

18 MR. DAGGETT: That's pretty much what it is.

19 MR. WARTNICK: We finally found something we
20 can agree on.

21 MR. DAGGETT: We have now reached --

22 MR. WARTNICK: Doctor, I have no further
23 questions.

24 MR. DAGGETT: This is epistemological
25 equilibrium. Apparently there are no further

1 questions.

2 MR. BURNS: Just a couple other, Counsel.

3 MR. DAGGETT: Oh, excuse me, Mr. Burns.

4 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. BURNS:

5 MR. BURNS: Q. Doctor Perlmutter, at that
6 deposition approximately two years ago you were
7 represented there by your own counsel, were you not?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. That was in addition to attorneys for
10 Fibreboard?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. They were not one and the same? They were
13 different attorneys?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. And do you recall at the time of that
16 deposition that the attorneys did make objections to
17 certain of the questions that were asked?

18 MR. DAGGETT: The transcript will speak for
19 itself, Mr. Burns. He's not going to answer that.

20 MR. BURNS: All right. No other questions.

21 MR. DAGGETT: All right.

22 Dr. Perlmutter, apparently there is no further
23 examination of you at this deposition. Thank you.

24

25

1 (Deposition closed at 10:45 a.m. - see page re
2 Mr. Kazan's remarks re adjourning of deposition)
3
4

5 Signature of Witness
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

2) ss. i

3 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO)

4

5 I hereby certify that the witness in the
6 foregoing deposition named

7 HENRY A. PERLMUTTER, M.D.

8 was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole
9 truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled
10 cause; that said deposition was taken at the time
11 and place therein stated; that the testimony of said
12 witness was reported by me,

13 HARRY A. CANNON

14 a Certified Shorthand Reporter and disinterested
15 person, and was thereafter transcribed into
16 typewriting, and that the
17 pertinent provisions of the applicable code or rules
18 of civil procedure relating to the notification of
19 the witness and counsel for the parties hereto of
20 the availability of the original transcript of
21 deposition for reading, correcting and signing have
22 been complied with.

23 And I further certify that I am not of counsel
24 or attorney for either or any of the parties to said
25 deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome

1 of the cause named in said caption.

2 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
3 hand and affixed my seal of office the 5th day of
4 November, 1984.


OFFICIAL SEAL
HARRY A. CANNON
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
My Commission expires Oct. 29, 1988

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

HARRY A. CANNON, INC.

Certified Reporters and Notarizes

Henry A. Perlmutter, M.D.
c/o Brobeck Phleger & Harrison
One Market Plaza
Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, Ca 94105

HIERNIA BANK BUILDING - SUITE 550
201 CALIFORNIA STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
TELEPHONE: (415) 391-7431

Date November 9, 1984

In Re ASBESTOS P R O D U C T CASES

Dear Dr. Perlmutter:

Pursuant to the provisions of 2019 (e) and (f) CCP 1/1/79 and as amended by AB 400, 4/2/79, you are advised that your deposition taken in the above matter on October 29-30, 1984 is available at this office for your review, reading and signing, and the making of such corrections as you deem necessary.

Section 2019 provides that you have thirty days following receipt of this letter within which to avail yourself of the opportunity to read, correct and sign your deposition. There is an alternate provision, i.e., "The deponent may correct or approve or refuse to approve the deposition for a period of 30 days following (this notification) by means of a letter addressed and mailed or delivered to (our office)..." (Underlining added).

Very truly yours,
HARRY A. CANNON, INC.

Invoice # A-190

Reporter HARRY A. CANNON, CSR

212

HARRY A. CANNON, INC.

Certified Reporters and Notaries

Henry A. Perlmutter, M.D.
c/o Brobeck Phleger & Harrison
One Market Plaza
Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, Ca 94105

HIBERNIA BANK BUILDING - SUITE 550
201 CALIFORNIA STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
TELEPHONE: (415) 391-7421

Date November 9, 1984

In Re Asbestos Product Cases

Dear Dr. Perlmutter:

Pursuant to the provisions of 2019 (e) CCP, effective 1/7/77 and
FRCP 30 (e), you are advised that your deposition in the above matter
taken on October 29-30, 1984 is available at this office
for your reading and signing, and the making of such corrections as you
deem necessary.

In the event you have not read and signed your deposition by
December 15, 1984, it will be filed with the Clerk of
the Court unsigned.

Very truly yours,
HARRY A. CANNON, INC.



Invoice # A-190

Reporter: Harry A. Cannon, CSR

Fed

EXHIBITS

49-7

383

The Journal

OF THE

American Medical Association

EDITED FOR THE ASSOCIATION UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES BY

MORRIS FISHBEIN, M.D.

VOLUME 140

ASH-CA Edt

July -
~~July~~ AUGUST 1949

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, CHICAGO 10, 1949

EXHIBIT
10-29-54
WITNESS
HARRY A. CANNON, C.F.R.

hydrogen), accelerated in the magnetic field of the cyclotron, strike the target of the machine.

Until recently, on the basis of acute experiments with mice, the assumption was granted that neutrons were no more than five times as effective as roentgen rays for biologic purposes. Recent work⁶ and the sad experience of these young physicists point to the probability that a grave underestimate was made and that for certain organ systems, such as the lens and the gonads, neutrons may have four to eight times the effectiveness originally suspected.

Unfortunately for the physicists, protection procedures and exposure limits were based on the earlier assumption. Once again, as in the past with roentgen rays and radium, people have unwittingly been injured before an adequate understanding of a hazardous agent was had. Furthermore, even now dosimetric methods for neutrons are unsatisfactory.

An understanding of the mechanism of interaction of radiation with the components of biologic matter becomes important. In the case of roentgen rays the energy is first given to electrons, which move at high speed through the tissue. These electrons in turn dissipate their energy by collision with biologic matter, causing chemical alterations. However, the damage to any one cell by one electron is relatively small.

In the case of neutrons the energy is principally dissipated by collision with the hydrogenous component of tissue. The high speed protons thus set in motion liberate a large amount of energy per unit length of path. Thus the passage of one high energy proton through a cell may produce sufficient destruction to injure it permanently.

There is evidence that roentgen rays are relatively effective only against dividing cells, while neutrons may injure the cell at any phase. Thus the biologic effects produced by neutrons may be both quantitatively and qualitatively different from the effects of the roentgen ray. The urgency for research in this relatively unexplored field is evident.

In a broader sense, the experience of these young physicists points to the tremendous responsibility devolving on those—both the physicist-engineers and the agencies supporting their work—who are concerned with exploring the new frontiers of the physical sciences. It is not enough to dismiss the responsibility with the mere warning that a danger may exist or to extrapolate, as was done with the neutron, from inadequate analogies. The biologic implications of the new unknowns should be subjected to investigation parallel with their physical implications and with equal vigor.

ASBESTOSIS AND CANCER OF THE LUNG

Until recently the coexistence of asbestosis and cancer of the lung was considered by many investigators a coincidence. Since 1935, 23 such cases were recorded by American, English and German physicians. Wedler¹ noted 14 cases of asbestosis cancer in a series of 92 necropsies on patients with asbestosis, or about 15 per cent of cancer of the lung in persons who died from this industrial disease. The exposure time ranged from 3 to 27 years (average 15 years). The ages in 17 cases were 35 to 75 years (average 50 years). Until now the question of a causal relation between asbestosis and cancer of the lung has been an open one. The recently published Annual Report of the Chief Inspector of Factories in England for 1947 provides additional data on the actual existence of such interrelations.² During 23 years, 1924 to 1946 inclusive, 235 deaths, either caused by asbestosis or in which asbestosis had been established at necropsy, were reported to the Chief Inspector. Cancer of the lungs or pleura was found in 31 of these cases (13.2 per cent). Of the 128 male deaths in this group 22 (17.2 per cent) were complicated by cancer of the lung, while of the 107 female deaths 9 (8.4 per cent) were similarly affected. The mean age at death from asbestosis complicated by cancer of the lung was 52.1 years. A causal relation between asbestosis and cancer of the lung is supported by the following observations: The incidence rate of cancer of the lung in this group is excessive, since the normal death rate from cancer of the lung among adults examined at necropsy at present is about 1 per cent of all necropsies. Moreover, there is a distinct shift in the sex distribution of cancer of the lung in the series of asbestosis cancers reported from England. The male-female sex ratio is 2.4:1, while it is 5:1 for cancers of the lung in general. This shift indicates that an environmental and evidently occupational carcinogen was active in the asbestosis group, tending to equalize the incidence rate of cancer of the lung for both sexes. Recent experimental observations support this interpretation of clinical evidence. Nordmann and Sorge³ exposed mice to inhalation of asbestos dust and found that in 20 per cent of the surviving animals there developed squamous cell cancer originating from the bronchial mucosa, while other types of epithelial proliferation were present in 42 to 57 per cent of these animals, in addition to diffuse or nodular fibrosis of the lung. The histologic character of the cancers (squamous cell cancer instead of adenocarcinoma seen in the spontaneous cancer of the lung of mice) and the histogenetic derivation of the tumors (bronchial mucosa instead of alveolar epithelium of the spontaneous type) indicate that a specific factor of

6. Stone, R. S.: Neutron Therapy and Specific Ionization, *Am. J. Roentgenol.* 59: 771-783 (June) 1948. Evans, T. C.: The Effects of Small Dose Rates of Fast Neutrons on Mice, *Radiology* 50: 811-811

1. Wedler, H. W.: Asbestose und Lungkrebs, *Deutsche med. Wochenschr.* 62: 573, 1936.

2. Extract from Annual Report of the Chief Inspector of Factories for the Year 1947; Medical Section, London, His Majesty's Stationery Office, 1947, pp. 15-17.

3. Nordmann M. and Sorge, A.: Lungkrebs durch Asbeststaub.

exogenous origin, represented by the inhaled asbestos dust, was responsible for the bronchial cancers observed. Since more than 10,000 workers are employed in the asbestos-producing industries of this country and Canada and many additional thousands in various asbestos-consuming industries, increased attention to this probable occupational hazard of cancer of the lung by the medical profession is desirable. Cytologic examinations of the bronchial secretion may well be included in the periodic examination of workers exposed to asbestos dust whenever clinical or roentgenologic evidence indicates the possible existence of a pulmonary cancer. As the available evidence shows that the occurrence of cancer of the lung is related to pulmonary asbestosis and is not merely a possible sequela of exposure to asbestos dust, in all fatal cases of asbestosis there should be postmortem examination with detailed histologic analysis. The anatomic lesions produced by asbestos dust in the lungs make difficult at times distinction by clinical and roentgenologic diagnostic methods between changes of pneumoconiotic nature and those that might indicate a cancerous growth.

Current Comment

NODULAR PANNICULITIS—WEBER-CHRISTIAN DISEASE

Weber-Christian's disease, or nonsuppurative nodular panniculitis, is characterized by recurring episodes of fever and the development of numerous painful and slightly tender subcutaneous nodules. In only 3 of 33 recorded cases was death apparently due to the disease. In the case reported by Kritzler,¹ in which necropsy was done, the nodular lesions were limited to the subcutaneous fat. However, fat emboli were found in the lungs and there was widespread acute necrosis of the liver and spleen. In the case examined at necropsy by Spain and Foley,² necrotic areas were found not only in the subcutaneous fat but in the mesenteric, omental and pretracheal fat. Fat emboli were not found in the lungs, nor were areas of necrosis observed in the liver or spleen. However, foci of fat necrosis were present in the region of the pancreas. A third case investigated at necropsy was that of Mostofi and Engleman,³ in which nonsuppurative panniculitis involved the skin, the epicardium and the peripancreatic, perirenal, perirenal and mesenteric tissues. The nodules in the fat are of variable appearance. The earliest lesions consist of small accumulations of fat-laden macrophages. Later, there are somewhat larger lesions that present small areas of central necrosis in the immediate vicinity of which are lymphocytes, polymuclear leukocytes and fat-laden macrophages. In still older nodules, the necrotic material is decreased or absent and the lesions are partially or completely replaced by fibrous tissue. In Spain and

Foley's patient, who was an elderly woman, there were changes indicating a late stage of chronic glomerulonephritis, and death occurred with the symptom of uremia. Such changes in the kidney are perhaps interpreted as accidental necropsy findings with direct relationship to the syndrome under discussion. A disease in rabbits apparently analogous to that nonsuppurative panniculitis in man has been described by Duran-Reynals⁴ and others. The cause of Weber-Christian's disease is unknown.

CRISIS IN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Further evidence that the medical profession must coordinate and intensify its protection of the right to carry on experimental studies on animals in laboratories is provided in a report on this type of legislation in the District of Columbia. Representatives from twenty-six national health and science groups, hospitals, lay groups and governmental agencies have united behind Senate bill 1703, providing for laboratory use of the 7,000 to 10,000 unclaimed dogs now destroyed each year in the District pound. The use of such animals for scientific research has been hampered by frenzied distortion and political pressure by antivivisectionists have placed the bill in jeopardy, according to the National Society for Medical Research. The society quotes Dr. A. C. Ivy, its secretary-treasurer, to the effect that members of the special Senate committee to which the bill was referred are personally in favor of it but the bill probably will not be reported out. Dr. Ivy has issued an appeal that interested persons write letters of endorsement to Senator J. Howard McGrath, of Rhode Island, who introduced the measure, and Senator Margaret Chase Smith, of Maine, chairman of the subcommittee. Some such concerted action is necessary at state as well as national level to beat back efforts of a small, misguided group to obstruct the advancement of science.

FIRST TELEVISION NETWORK HEALTH SHOW

The first health education program ever presented on a television network was viewed and heard from the NBC-TV studios in Radio City, New York, on June 16. Transmitted as far west as Chicago, the program, titled "Your Good Health and the Mighty Atom," was arranged through the Bureau of Health Education of the American Medical Association and produced under the supervision of Mrs. Harri Hester, radio coordinator for the Bureau. The program dealt with the use of radioisotopes in medicine, particularly radioactive iodine. Dr. Paul C. Aebersold, Chief, Radio-isotopes Division, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Oak Ridge, Tenn., was interviewed by an NBC announcer on the principles of radioactivity. With a Geiger counter specially supplied, Dr. Aebersold demonstrated first how radioactivity can be traced and atoms identified. Later, with a plate furnished by Dr. Sidney C. Werner, Columbia College of Physicians and Surgeons and Presbyterian Hospital, he demonstrated concentration of radioactive iodine in the thyroid.

1. Kritzler, R.: A Case of Weber-Christian's Disease, *Proc. New York Path. Soc.*, 1929-1931, p. 47.
2. Spain, D. M., and Foley, J. M.: Non-Suppurative Panniculitis, *Am. J. Path.*, 20: 281, 1931.

A TEXT-BOOK
OF
PATHOLOGY

AN INTRODUCTION TO MEDICINE

BY
WILLIAM BOYD

M.D., M.R.C.P., Ed., F.R.C.P., Lond., Dipl. Psych., F.R.S.C.
PROFESSOR OF PATHOLOGY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA; PATHOLOGIST TO THE
WINNIPEG GENERAL HOSPITAL, WINNIPEG, CANADA

SECOND EDITION, THOROUGHLY REVISED

ILLUSTRATED WITH 416 ENGRAVINGS AND 8 COLORED PLATES



LEA & FEBIGER
PHILADELPHIA

EXAMPT 2
WITNESS PERLANTER
10-30-89
HARRY A. CARRON, C.F.H.

2.1

COPYRIGHT
LEA & FEBIGER
1934

SECOND EDITION
COPYRIGHTED AUGUST, 1934
REPRINTED OCTOBER, 1934

PRINTED IN U. S. A.

PREFACE

ALTHOUGH only one book was published, the order of the fixation of the degenerative disturbances, especially leads up naturally whilst immunity, follow in logical sequence from tumors. The order in which an end so that the student starts upon his journey is occupied mainly with disease, concludes with the constitution of the defence and progress of bacterial infections in more logical order, and immunity and allergy largely rewritten. A second part of the book of the teeth regarding

Among other new additions, von Gierke's diabetes, the localization of causation of anginal angitis obliterans, m. born, duodenitis, structure in chronic Bright's the ovary, sweat gland tumors, Cushing's tension, monocytic leuc. Geschickter and Coffey

2-2

suffer. (stone-work dust content of time.

The pleurae by are sharp is slowly chemical and the much greater responding to the test (Fig. 175) palpated fibrosis b

175.

ard, and capacity of marked destruction. Most siliceous tissue degree. The silica as a result of injury and may the patient silica dust. Admonition over:

THE PNEUMOCONIOSES.

The long-continued inhalation of certain irritating dusts may cause a chronic interstitial pneumonia known as pneumoconiosis (*kosis*, dust). The outcome of these dust diseases is entirely dependent on the presence and amount of silica in the dust. The dangerous pneumoconioses are silicosis and asbestosis, both of which may be disabling or fatal. Anthracosis, a condition caused by the inhalation of carbon in coal dust, is harmless in comparison.

Silicosis.—This is the most important of the dust diseases, and provides a serious hazard in the gold-mining industry in certain districts such as the South African Rand and northern Ontario. If, in coal mining, hard rock has to be drilled through, coal-miners may also

23

suffer. Other occupations in which there is danger are tin-mining, stone-working, metal-grinding and sand-blasting. In all of these cases dust containing fine particles of silica may be inhaled over long periods of time.

The particles, which are taken up from the bronchial mucous membrane by phagocytes and carried into the lymphatics in the stroma, are sharp and angular, but the real danger lies in the fact that silica is slowly soluble in tissue fluids, and in this form exerts a specific chemical action. When injected subcutaneously it produces necrosis, and the slow reaction in the lung results partly in necrosis but to a much greater degree in fibrosis. The fibrosis is at first patchy, corresponding to the deposits of silica in minute lymph follicles adjacent to the terminal bronchioles, and takes the form of "silicotic nodules" (Fig. 175), composed of concentric layers of fibrous tissue and readily palpated in the lung. These nodules gradually coalesce, and the fibrosis becomes widespread. In extreme cases the lung becomes stony



FIG. 175.—Silicosis. Fibrous nodules in the lung the result of irritation by particles of silica. $\times 12$.

hard, and in one instance I had to saw the lung in two. The functional capacity of the lungs is greatly interfered with, and the chief symptom is marked dyspnea. The necrotizing action of the silica may lead to destruction and cavitation, but these changes are usually due to an accompanying tuberculosis.

Most silicotics die of tuberculosis because the presence of silica in the tissues favors the growth of tubercle bacilli to an astonishing degree. This was shown by Gye and Kettle, who injected a mixture of silica and tubercle bacilli into mice and observed very rapid development of the tuberculous lesion. When silica is injected subcutaneously and tubercle bacilli are injected intravenously on the following day the same effect is observed. The tuberculous infection to which the patient succumbs may be acquired before or after exposure to silica dust.

Pulmonary asbestosis is due to the inhalation of asbestos dust which may contain over 50 per cent of silica. The disease is acquired either during the

24

crushing of asbestos rock or in the process of carding the asbestos. The lung shows the airless and fibrosed condition found in silicosis, and on the cut surface there are areas of caseation with cavity formation. The characteristic microscopic feature, in addition to a large amount of silica dust, is the presence of large angular particles which are probably fragments of asbestos fibers, and

curious golden-yellow bodies with a globular end and segmented body. (Fig. 176.) The latter structures, which may be called asbestos bodies, are pathognomonic of the condition, but their exact nature is not understood.



Anthracosis, due to coal dust, is the commonest but least harmful of the dust diseases. It is found in coal-miners, but a varying amount of coal dust is present in every lung at autopsy, and no sharp line can be drawn as to the amount which constitutes anthracosis. It does not cause much irritation as it is insoluble, and a lung may be loaded with it yet show little fibrosis. The carbon particles are taken up by mononuclear phagocytes and deposited in the interlobular septa, the deep layers of the pleura, and the bronchial lymph nodes. All of these structures and especially the lymph nodes acquire a coal-black color. Anthracosis does not predispose to tuberculosis; indeed, coal-miners are singularly free from that disease.

right
Foreign
forma
sinuse
down
abscess
the fol
progn
cause
remove

2. T
being
abscess
be in t
is large
abscess

3. Th
bronche
those c
pneumo
to devel

There
from a
or from
which w
Sympt
are: (1)
sputum.

The sign
two cond
Lesions
be of t
the inhal

Th
not as lie
avity mu
ragged

one is
cess is
not. T
infil
of mo
roblasts

The odo
merely s
by gr
ervence

221