

burdensome, lacks relevance to this case and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Abex is currently in litigation with various carriers concerning the trigger, scope and amount of coverage, if any, available to Abex in asbestos personal injury actions. All coverage is in dispute.

42. If you or your insurance carrier have ever paid out money as a result of a court decree or jury verdict against you in a case in which the plaintiff asserted injury resulting from exposure to asbestos products mixed, manufactured, produced, processed, compounded, converted, sold, merchandised, supplied, or placed in the stream of commerce identify the:

- you;
- (a) Court in which judgment was entered against
 - (b) Court docket number;
 - (c) Plaintiff's name;
 - (d) Plaintiff's attorney's name and address;
 - (e) Amount of judgment;
 - (f) Date judgment entered;
 - (g) Other defendants against whom judgment was entered.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 42: Abex objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, burdensome, lacks relevance to this case and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

43. Do you have a medical department that performs occupational studies or reviews of worker's health? If so, describe: