

Mr. Terry Eichenseer, Manager
September 20, 1982
Page Two

on a machine which cut bevels on the end of brake shoe pads, and that after that, the claimant was an inspector and also a packer.

CLAIMANT:

Attached is the statement of Joseph I. Riley, which he declined to sign. Mr. Riley/W/61, makes a good appearance and appears of average intelligence. He agrees with Mr. Campbell's assessment of his job at Abex, and indicated that there was very little exposure to asbestos, during most of the time that he was there. He indicated that he ran the "B & C" machine, bevel and cut, and that there was asbestos dust generated in that operation. However, he indicated that the asbestos dust was supposed to be sucked up by a vacuum hose. He also denies any other exposure to asbestos, except just prior to his entry into the service in World War II, when he worked at a shipyard. He did indicate that there was a high level of exposure at that time.

WITNESSES:

None.

INJURY/MEDICAL EXPENSE:

Attached are the medical reports and bills. They do indicate that the claimant has suffered a grade 1 asbestos. They recommended his removal from his job. According to the claimant, he was suffering no ill effects, and had no idea that he had asbestosis. He continued to handle his job with no problem.

WAGES/COMPENSATION:

The employee earns \$292.80 per week, his weekly Comp rate would be \$95.21. Daily Comp would be \$27.89. While the employee is physically able to perform his duties, he has been ordered by the Industrial Commission and your plant doctor to vacate the position, because of the possibility of further exposure and worsening of his condition. As we understand it, the Industrial Commission had told Mr. Campbell, the plant Manager, that the employee could stay on until October, when he could take early retirement. However, the plant doctor gave the final order that the man be removed from the possibility of exposure. The Industrial Commission has sent the claimant the attached letter, dated September 2, 1982, indicating that he has a 40% disability to perform normal labor in his previous occupation. It further orders the payment of 104 weeks of compensation. As we understand it, this 104 weeks will pay compensation while the employee waits for the outcome of two further examinations, each spaced one year apart. At the end of that time, the Industrial Commission will permanently