
From: Tom Cortina <cortinaec@comcast.net>
To: Fletcher, Steven G CIV NAVSEA HQ, SEA 05 <steven.g.fletcher@navy.mil>
Sent: 4/13/2016 5:30:00 PM
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Re: PFOA Chemicals
Attachments: 2015-00636.pdf; Pages from rest_pfoa_compiled_opinions_en[1].pdf

Steve,

Attached is an excerpt from the European proposal. The left-hand column describes what is considered a PFOA-related substance. If you look in section 5 on the right you will see the firefighting foam derogations.

Also attached is the EPA proposed SNUR. If you look at the center column on page 2888 you get a definition of what EPA considers to be a PFOA precursor. That might be more relevant from DoD's perspective.

Basically it is any long-chain (greater than C7) content as I understand it.

Tom

On 4/13/16, 12:12 PM, "Fletcher, Steven G CIV NAVSEA HQ, SEA 05" <steven.g.fletcher@navy.mil> wrote:

>Tom,
>
>What were the other chemicals related to PFOA that also have a 1,000 ppb
>limit?
>
>v/r,
>
>Steve Fletcher, P.E.
>Fire Protection Engineer
>NAVSEA HQ, SEA 05P5
>202-781-0932
>
>