

Health Hazards

Vinyl-Chloride Risks Were Known by Many Before First Deaths

Hindsight Shows Weakness In Firms' Systems Aimed At Protecting Workers

The Tip of a Large Iceberg

By BARRY KRAMER

Staff Reporter of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

A few weeks before Christmas in 1948, Earl Parks, then 28 years old, signed on as a helper-trainee at the large B. F. Goodrich Co. plastics factory in Louisville, Ky. The plant had been making polyvinyl-chloride plastic from vinyl-chloride gas since before the war, using a process developed by Goodrich in 1937.

It was a growing industry that Mr. Parks joined. Polyvinyl chloride—dubbed PVC for short—had found special, but limited, applications in the war years, especially as a fireproof coating for electric wiring in fighter planes and ships. But in the late 1940s, so-called vinyl consumer products, made from PVC, began coming into vogue; and by the time the 1950s were under way polyvinyl-chloride products such as containers, phonograph records and curtains were staples in American households.

The basic Goodrich process, still in use today, pipes vinyl-chloride gas into large re-

This is the first of two stories concerning implications of the discovery that vinyl chloride can cause cancer.

actor vessels; there, the gas is agitated together with a liquid and catalysts. The process causes polymerization, a linking together of the molecules of the gas (a monomer) into the long chains that give PVC (a polymer) its strength and other desired characteristics.

The First Years

In his first years with Goodrich, it was Earl Parks's job to crawl inside the reactors after each batch of PVC was drained off and to chip away that portion of the polymer that had congealed. During the hour-long task, repeated several times in the course of an eight-hour shift, Mr. Parks and other workers who performed the same function would unavoidably inhale the heavy, sweet-smelling fumes of vinyl-chloride gas that had escaped polymerization.

As the 1950s went by and the 1960s began, Earl Parks was working his way up to the job of foreman, a post that he had attained by the time that ill health forced his early retirement in the late 1960s. In the years that he had worked with Goodrich, the PVC industry flourished as thousands of new uses were discovered for the polymer.

On March 3, 1973, at the age of 50, Earl Parks died of angiosarcoma of the liver, a type of cancer that is invariably fatal. His death attracted scant public attention at the time, but today the significance of Mr. Parks's illness is unquestionable and shattering in its implications. For Earl Parks came to be one more statistic in turning a hypothesis into a reality: Vinyl chloride can cause cancer.

Deadly Statistics

The link between angiosarcoma and vinyl chloride is further buttressed by other deadly statistics. Seven of Mr. Parks's fellow workers at the Goodrich plant also contracted the disease, and five have died. In all, there have been 16 documented angiosarcoma cases in the U.S. in recent years, all of them linked to vinyl chloride. In addition, 10 other cases have been reported in Europe.

While the total number of cases is small, the industry has been shaken to its roots. The disease now is known to work insidiously, slowly, during decades of exposure, the implications being that the cases thus far are quite likely only the tip of an iceberg. Nine companies, with 14 plants and 940 employees, currently produce vinyl chloride monomer from ethylene, a petrochemical. The monomer, in turn, is used by 21 companies operating 38 major plants and employing 5,000 workers, to make more than five billion pounds of PVC annually. (World-wide production is some 18 billion pounds annually.)

Even the five billion pounds of PVC annually produced in this country isn't enough to meet the domestic demand for the plastic into which PVC is formed. From 3,000 to 4,000 processors, employing an estimated 700,000 workers, fabricate the plastic into a wide variety of products ranging from vinyl floor tiles to shower curtains. According to a study done for the Society of the Plastics Industry by Arthur D. Little Inc., as many as 2.2 million jobs depend on polyvinyl-chloride plastic. If PVC were banned, the study says, the annual loss to industry in sales and production would be some \$65 billion to \$90 billion. (The Manufacturing Chemists Association estimates the wholesale annual value of all PVC products is \$3 billion.)

Emergency Decree

At the moment, no one believes the industry will suddenly come to a screeching halt. However, after the first human cases of vinyl chloride-induced angiosarcoma were disclosed, the Labor Department's Occupational Safety and Health Administration imposed a temporary emergency standard for PVC factories; thenceforth, OSHA decreed, the permissible level of vinyl chloride in the air of such factories would be 50 parts per million. That level was far below the industry standard, in effect for years, of 500 parts per million.

OSHA's emergency decree was made last May. Since then, animal research found that tumors develop at 50 parts per million. Yesterday, OSHA issued a new permanent standard requiring employers after Jan. 1, 1975, to reduce exposure to one part per million in factory air, averaged over eight hours, and five parts per million averaged over any period no longer than 15 minutes. (See story on page 10.) While considerably more stringent than the emergency decree, the new permanent standard—particularly with its five-parts-per-million provision and its allowance of averaging—nevertheless falls short of the "no detectable" limit advo-

Please Turn to Page 19, Column 2

23749001

Wall Street Journal
Wednesday, October 2, 1973

AL

Tower
duction.

Health Hazards: Many Knew Risks Of Vinyl Chloride Before Deaths

Continued From First Page

cated by unions, many medical experts and such groups as the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, and the American Chemical Society. This no-detectable limit would have constant, not average, adherence to one-part-per-million levels. Therefore, it seems certain that the argument won't abate.

As the controversy continues, it is revealing to examine how the link between vinyl chloride and cancer was established. If nothing else, such an examination points out the grave weaknesses of the present system—or lack thereof—of protecting workers and others from industrial hazards.

The judgment is made in hindsight, but the history of vinyl chloride shows that evidence of its toxicity was often ignored. What's more, the chemical companies knew, but kept secret for at least six months, the fact that Italian researchers had shown that rats develop tumors, including angiosarcoma, when exposed to low levels of vinyl chloride monomer. European companies, which paid for the animal research, knew of these research findings for more than a year before the first human cases were reported but didn't reduce the exposure of their workers to vinyl chloride.

But Dr. Irving J. Selikoff, an expert on environmental carcinogens, or cancer-causing agents, claims that blame shouldn't be placed at industry's door alone. "We're all a bit responsible," he says. "None of us did our homework." Culpability, he says, should be shared by scientists, government agencies and labor unions.

The case of Earl Parks is illustrative. Mr. Parks first came to the attention of Goodrich medical people in 1964, when the company, concerned about the known liver toxicity of ethylene dichloride (a chemical from which vinyl chloride is made), tested the liver function of workers in the Louisville plant.

Mr. Parks's readings were abnormal. Nevertheless, he continued to work at the same job. In October 1964, however, he was hospitalized with what was initially diagnosed as a bleeding ulcer. Doctors treated the "ulcer" without surgery, and Mr. Parks was released.

He was readmitted to the hospital the following May, and the decision was made to operate. But when the operation was begun, surgeons, instead of finding an ulcer, found instead that Mr. Parks's problem resulted from severe liver damage, which had caused blood to back up in the portal vein leading into the liver. The surgeons corrected the vein problem with a shunt and took a sample of liver tissue for analysis.

The analysis showed that the liver had been damaged by exposure to "chemicals." Cancer wasn't mentioned.

(According to testimony at OSHA's hearings on vinyl chloride, held last June and July, Mr. Parks received a total of almost \$6,000 in workman's compensation from Goodrich before he died, but only after Goodrich protested that his illness couldn't have resulted from exposure to the chemical.)

It was an alert Louisville doctor who first made the connection between angiosarcoma and vinyl chloride. Dr. John L. Creech, who for years has treated workers sent to him by Goodrich on a fee-per-patient basis, had followed Earl Parks's case for some time, even though he wasn't treating Mr. Parks. Dr. Creech says he had been concerned about an abnormally high number of Goodrich workers who had liver disease. When he heard that Mr. Parks had died, he recalls, he asked to see the autopsy report.

It was in August 1973 that Dr. Creech learned that Mr. Parks had died the previous March of angiosarcoma of the liver. The doctor says he then realized that something was seriously wrong, since another Goodrich PVC worker, a private patient of Dr. Creech, had succumbed to the same type of cancer in 1971. Dr. Creech says he knew that liver angiosarcoma is exceedingly rare and that two cases among such a small plant population was abnormal. (In fact, medical men estimate that fewer than 30 cases occur each year in the U.S., striking only one in 900,000 persons.)

Dr. Creech met with Goodrich's occupational health director, Dr. Maurice N. Johnson, who subsequently decided to ask Tabershaw-Cooper Associates Inc., a Berkeley, Calif., epidemiological-studies concern, to do a survey of Goodrich death records. But the study was hardly needed; on Dec. 18, a third PVC worker at the Louisville plant died at the age of 58; he had been at the plant for 28 years.

Public Announcement

On Jan. 22 of this year, Goodrich officials met in Washington with officials of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and informed them of the three angiosarcoma deaths. The following day, Kentucky occupational-health officials also were informed and Goodrich made its first public announcement.

Almost since its first commercial introduction, there have been reports that vinyl chloride is toxic above certain levels. Indeed, during World War II, vinyl chloride was rejected as a surgical anesthetic because animals developed heart irritation when exposed to it. In 1949, Russian scientists reported "hepatitis-like changes" in

the livers of 25% of the workers in a small PVC plant.

Then, in the late 1950s, research toxicologists at Dow Chemical Co. showed that animals exposed to as few as 100 parts per million of vinyl chloride (or 100 molecules of vinyl chloride in every one million molecules of air) developed slight liver damage. Based on its research, Dow began a program in the 1960s to reduce the level of vinyl chloride monomer in the air of its plants to below 50 parts per million.

Dow published its results in 1961. But the rest of the industry chose to rely on a Yale University study that recommended 500 parts per million as a safe level. This same level had been recommended by the Manufacturing Chemists Association since 1954, was affirmed in 1962 by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists and was given the force of law by OSHA in 1971. However, evidence at the OSHA hearings indicates that this level was regularly exceeded until this year in many plants. (The hygienists' group didn't recommend lowering the level to 200 parts per million until 1972, four years after Dow concluded from further tests that repeated exposure by workers to vinyl-chloride monomer at an average of 300 parts per million or above for a working lifetime could cause changes in the liver.)

Another Disease

During the 1960s, there were reports of a disease called acroosteolysis among vinyl-chloride workers. The disease consists of skin lesions and changes in the blood vessels, leading to degeneration of the bones at the tips of the fingers and toes in those exposed to high levels of the monomer. (Emissions of vinyl chloride are generally lower at plants where the monomer, or gas, is made, since the process is less complex, and there is less change for leaks than at the polymerization plants; none of the reported angiosarcoma cases in this country has occurred among workers making vinyl-chloride monomer, and only one of the 10 deaths in Europe was in a monomer plant.)

In early 1967, the Manufacturing Chemists Association arranged with the University of Michigan to study acroosteolysis among vinyl-chloride workers, particularly those who cleaned the reactor vessels and others who were exposed to large concentrations of vinyl chloride in the air. The Michigan scientists reported in 1970 that although they couldn't pinpoint vinyl chloride as the cause of the disease, worker exposure to the monomer should be cut to 50 parts per million or below.

Other than Dow, which had already instituted reductions in air level of the monomer, the rest of the industry in the U.S. ignored the recommendation. Nor was there any significant reaction to findings by European industry that animals developed adverse effects from vinyl chloride. This was at least partly because the Europeans downgraded the importance of some of their findings. For example, Prof. Pier Luigi Viola, a medical director employed in Italy by Solvay & Cie., the Belgian chemicals company, found bone changes in rats exposed to air levels of 30,000 parts per million of vinyl chloride; even more important, he found that vinyl chloride at that level caused malignant tumors in the ear canals, lungs and bones of rats.

Prof. Viola reported his findings before an international cancer congress in Houston in 1970. It was the first reported link between cancer and vinyl chloride. But the

Sharp Drop in Vinyl Chloride Exposure Is Ordered by Labor Agency for Workers

By a WALL STREET JOURNAL Staff Reporter

WASHINGTON—The Labor Department ordered a sharp reduction in workers' exposure to vinyl chloride, a widely used indus-

A date wasn't set in the ruling for improving plant engineering and practices to the point where respirators won't be needed. What's more, until January 1978 workers

23749002

operate. But when the operation was begun, surgeons, instead of finding an ulcer, found instead that Mr. Parks's problem resulted from severe liver damage, which had caused blood to back up in the portal vein leading into the liver. The surgeons corrected the vein problem with a shunt and took a sample of liver tissue for analysis.

Almost since its first commercial introduction, there have been reports that vinyl chloride is toxic above certain levels. Indeed, during World War II, vinyl chloride was rejected as a surgical anesthetic because animals developed heart irritation when exposed to it. In 1949, Russian scientists reported "hepatitis-like changes" in

nored the recommendation. Nor was there any significant reaction to findings by European industry that animals developed adverse effects from vinyl chloride. This was at least partly because the Europeans downgraded the importance of some of their findings. For example, Prof. Pier Luigi Viola, a medical director employed in Italy by Solvay & Cie., the Belgian chemicals company, found bone changes in rats exposed to air levels of 30,000 parts per million of vinyl chloride; even more important, he found that vinyl chloride at that level caused malignant tumors in the ear canals, lungs and bones of rats.

Prof. Viola reported his findings before an international cancer congress in Houston in 1970. It was the first reported link between cancer and vinyl chloride. But the professor expressed doubt about the purity of the vinyl-chloride gas he had used and stated that the rat cancer findings, because they occurred at such high levels of the monomer, had no human implications.

(Prof. Viola subsequently found tumors in rats exposed to 500 parts per million; but this fact wasn't revealed until well after the first human angiosarcoma cases were revealed.)

The Research Goes On

Based on Prof. Viola's early cancer findings in rats, Montedison S.p.A., the huge Italian chemicals company, in 1970 asked Cesare Maltoni, a respected researcher at the Institute of Oncology in Bologna, Italy, to do more extensive animal studies. By October 1972, Prof. Maltoni says, he had found that 250 parts per million of vinyl chloride produced tumors in rats in three parts of the body: the ear canals, kidneys and the liver. The liver tumors included angiosarcoma. The professor immediately informed his sponsors.

The Europeans offered their information to the Manufacturing Chemists Association in the U.S., but only if the Americans guaranteed confidentiality. With great reluctance, the association says, it finally agreed to the secrecy condition "because of the vital importance of learning of any indications from the European research... without the delays which could have resulted from duplication of effort."

On Jan. 30, 1973, a year before the first human angiosarcoma cases were reported by B. F. Goodrich, the MCA finally got the Maltoni data. For five months, the secrecy restrictions were such that government agencies, PVC workers exposed to vinyl chloride and even company doctors were kept in the dark. Then, in the late spring, the Europeans agreed that the Chemists Association could pass the information on to the U.S. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, the Labor Department agency that monitors worker safety. On July 17, 1973, representatives of the association and the agency met.

There is some dispute as to what transpired at the meeting. The Labor Department agency claims it wasn't given the full story; the chemists' association claims that the agency misunderstood the findings. But one thing is certain: The agency, and OSHA, made no move to change the standard of 500 parts per million and didn't do so until after the human angiosarcoma cases were announced. In Europe, by contrast, Great Britain had lowered the maximum level to 200 parts per million following the cases of acroosteolysis in the '60s; and West Germany had set a level of 100 parts per million in 1970, based on Dow's 1961 results with animals. According to New Scientist, the British science journal, "No other section of the U.S. or European industry followed Dow's lead. The reasons for this international confusion are obscure."

This all changed quickly, of course, once the first human cases of angiosarcoma were reported by Goodrich. Indeed, the swiftness with which new research has been carried out since Jan. 23 is perhaps without precedent in the world of medicine and science.

Much of this recent research is concerned with studies of the incidence of disease of all types among vinyl-chloride workers. Thus far, some studies show that workers exposed to high levels of vinyl chloride show greater than expected incidences not only of angiosarcoma but certain other cancers as well. The ramifications of these findings for the future of the industry and its workers remain to be seen. However, for the veteran PVC workers, whatever changes the findings produce will be useless in counteracting the insidious effects of working day after day, year after year, in what now is generally viewed as a contaminated atmosphere.

Sharp Drop in Vinyl Chloride Exposure Is Ordered by Labor Agency for Workers

By a WALL STREET JOURNAL Staff Reporter

WASHINGTON—The Labor Department ordered a sharp reduction in workers' exposure to vinyl chloride, a widely used industrial gas that has been linked to liver cancer.

The ruling, which comes after nine months of controversy, brought a lawsuit from the plastics industry, which contends the standard can't be met, and criticism from organized labor, which contends the rule contains too many loopholes. Vinyl chloride is a basic ingredient in making many everyday plastic products.

The new standard, issued by the Labor Department's Occupational Safety and Health Administration, requires that, starting Jan. 1, vinyl chloride levels in the air that workers breathe be held to one part vinyl chloride per million parts of air, averaged over an eight-hour period. Actual levels may exceed one part per million for short periods, but they must average out to no more than five parts per million for any 15-minute period within the eight-hour time span.

The standard also calls for regular monitoring of plant air, regular medical exams for workers and establishment of "regulated areas" where vinyl chloride exposure is high. It prohibits direct worker contact with liquefied vinyl chloride and requires posting of signs warning the chemical is a "cancer-suspect agent."

Since last April, companies handling vinyl chloride have been under an emergency restriction of 50 parts per million, without any time period.

The emergency standard was issued after the discovery, beginning last January, of cases of a rare and fatal liver cancer, angiosarcoma, among workers exposed to vinyl chloride. Nineteen deaths among such workers in the U.S. and other countries have been attributed to liver cancer caused by long exposure to the chemical.

Vinyl chloride is a gas that is processed to form a powdery substance known as polyvinyl chloride. Polyvinyl chloride, in resin or pellet form, is then fabricated into a wide variety of finished plastic products.

Coverage for Workers

Though only about 6,500 workers are employed in plants that make vinyl chloride and polyvinyl chloride, about 350,000 others, who work in facilities that create finished products from the polyvinyl chloride, will also be covered by the new ruling. Workers who handle only finished products, and don't have any chance of contact with "raw" vinyl chloride or polyvinyl chloride, are the only ones exempted.

The Labor Department initially proposed that exposure be limited to "no detectable level," without any averaging over time. This proposal pleased organized labor and some key researchers in the field of occupational health. But the Society of the Plastics Industry, a trade group, and many companies using the chemicals, denounced it as technologically impossible and warned it would drive the industry out of business.

Discretion in Enforcement

In selecting as its final standard one part per million over eight hours and five parts per million over 15 minutes, the department apparently was trying to reach a compromise between its original idea and the toughest proposal offered by the industry, which would have left exposures as high as 10 parts per million in some plants and 25 parts per million in others.

The department sought to smooth further the adjustment for industry by delaying until next January the drop from the present 50 parts per million. Also, it gave its enforcement staff discretion to determine how the companies must meet the standard. While the goal is to reach the new levels through "engineering and work practice controls," such controls must be deemed "feasible," and companies can deal with any exposures produced by inadequate controls by giving workers respirators.

A date wasn't set in the ruling for improving plant engineering and practices to the point where respirators won't be needed. What's more, until January 1978 workers may refuse to wear the respirators when exposures don't exceed 25 parts per million, even if they exceed the one part per million over eight hours specified in the regulation. Despite this attempt at compromise, however, the Society of the Plastics Industry and some producers sued the Labor Department to overturn the ruling only moments after it was issued yesterday. And Firestone Plastic Co., a unit of Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., said it will ask a court to stay enforcement of the standard while the suits are being tried.

Ralph Harding, president of the industry group, said the standard is "unrealistic, probably will be impossible for most, if not all, of the industry to meet and appears to have been developed on some basis inconsistent with recorded facts." The job-safety agency "may believe that it has adopted a compromise position," but the result is unacceptable to the industry, he added.

Industry sources also indicated displeasure at the inclusion of plants making fabricated products from polyvinyl chloride. The industry had proposed excluding these plants, contending they didn't present any hazard.

But the department said it decided to include them because polyvinyl chloride resin and pellets can give off vinyl chloride gas in small amounts during processing. It said, however, if the plants weren't exposing workers to more than half a part per million of vinyl chloride gas, they needn't undertake any control measures.

In Midland, Mich., Dow Chemical Co. said the standards were "unnecessarily stringent" and unsupported by the data on Dow workers that was presented at public hearings.

Organized labor expressed some immediate reservations of its own.

In Akron, Ohio, Peter Bommarito, president of the United Rubber Workers union, which represents many vinyl chloride workers, said "it would appear this thing has a number of loopholes in it." Mr. Bommarito reserved final judgment until he has seen a full text of the regulations, but he was quick to take issue with the decision to let workers decline the use of a respirator until 1978. "We can't make a guy put on a respirator, and while he's not wearing one, he may be endangering himself." What is more, he added, "The respirator situation is going to be even worse in nonunion plants."

Union safety experts have been heavily involved in the rule-making process, and one of their major contentions has been that protection from the gas should be derived primarily from controlling exposure through engineering and work-rule changes rather than from respirators, which workers often balk at wearing because they can be bulky and unreliable.

The department defended its reliance on the use of respirators, saying definite dates couldn't be set for dealing with the problem solely through engineering and work rules because "we are presently unable to determine when it will be feasible." It added, "We would agree that respirators have many drawbacks" but said, in the absence of complete engineering control, they are needed.

The official explanation of the regulations also said that although a deadline wasn't set for completing engineering and work-rule changes, a continuing plan, available for government inspection, must be pursued by each company at a pace agreed on by safety inspectors, until respirators are needed only occasionally. It admitted, however, that at different plants this could take as long as "several years."

The unions are expected to study the ruling in depth, and they, too, could file a lawsuit if they conclude the final standards are inadequate.

need
Wr
P. O.
7704
your
in the
unde

RECC
Mini-C



TRY IT FC

P

An outstanding
western co

The su
requirem
chining an
employees

This pe
operations

Send r

C

WE

23749003