

Upon motion duly made, seconded and unanimously passed it was

RESOLVED: That the report of the Quality Control
Sub-Committee be accepted as written.

ASBESTOS STUDY COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Drislane read the report for the Chairman, Mr. I. H. Weaver. Please refer to Exhibit 9.

Mr. Weaver's report expressed concern on the accusations that have been pressed against the industry concerning the asbestos standards. He reviewed some of the activities of the regulatory agencies over the past year. The last four paragraphs in Mr. Weaver's report aroused some controversy. One director suggested that the last four paragraphs be deleted.

There is a serious question as to whether the OSHA 2 fiber per cc limit (which is to be effective July 1, 1976) can be met by friction material manufacturers. Mr. Weaver indicated in his report that installers of friction material are coming under the surveillance of Dr. Selikoff and other proponents of stronger regulation. Several members brought up the point that even with the regulation there is a great difficulty in getting labor to help in compliance. Even when it is written into the law and instructions are placed in the work place the working people do not cooperate.

Counsel was questioned as to the contents of this report where Mr. Weaver indicated that he did not see any justification for a change in the attitude of the regulatory agencies. It was stated that this was Mr. Weaver's viewpoint. Legal Counsel stated that this is not a legal matter and does not expose the Institute. It was questioned whether sections of this report as written could in any way harm the Institute. Legal Counsel indicated that this was not the case.

Upon motion duly made, seconded and passed, with five affirmative and two negative, it was

RESOLVED: To accept the report of the Asbestos
Study Committee as written.

At the March 13, 1975 Meeting of the Board of Directors, the Secretary was advised to contact the Asbestos Information Association (AIA) with the idea of discussing possible financial assistance to the Association. Mr. Drislane wrote Mr. Mereness, Secretary of AIA after the meeting and had just received a reply. In Mr. Mereness' reply he indicated his appreciation and that of his Executive Committee for the offer by the Institute. However, the Association felt the best way to support the AIA was by individual members becoming members of the Asbestos Information Association. He indicated the general fee structure for membership in the AIA.

Mr. Moalli stated that the AIA was of great help to our industry. He indicated that Raybestos was considering a survey on the health history of workers in one of their plants. They, as an individual company were sponsoring and paying for a survey which could be a help to all industry. He indicated that it would be better if industry participated in the activities of the Asbestos Information Association to support similar types of work. He felt that the Association needed the support of our members.