

STATE OF MISSOURI }
CITY OF ST. LOUIS }

I, FREEMAN R. BOSLEY, JR., CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT, CITY OF ST. LOUIS,
within and for the City and State aforesaid (said Court being a Court of Record, having a Clerk
and official Seal), do hereby certify that the annexed and foregoing is a full, true and complete
copy of the original. Entire File.

in the cause aforesaid, as fully as the same remains among the records and files of said Court in
my office.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed
the seal of said Court, at office, in the City of St. Louis,

this 18th day of April, 19 91

FREEMAN R. BOSLEY, JR.
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT

By Edonna Lucciaro
Deputy



PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT

GF 78C

STATE OF MISSOURI)
COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS
STATE OF MISSOURI

SAMUEL ROBERTSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Damage Suit

STERN, INC., a
Corporation, and
THE HILFSTAD COMPANY,
a Corporation.

Defendants.

P E T I T I O N

Plaintiff states that the defendants are corporations and as such are engaged in the manufacture of shingles and operate a factory or plant in the City of St. Louis, Missouri and as such were at all times herein mentioned engaged in a trade or process which might produce illness or disease peculiar to the work or process and which subjected employees to the danger of illness or disease incident to such work, trade or process, to wit, the manufacture of shingles.

Plaintiff further states that he entered the employ of the defendants in the Fall of 1929 and remained in the employ of the defendants until on or about the 1st day of August, 1930 and that during the entire time of his employment by the defendants he was engaged as a laborer at the defendants' plant or place of business in the City of St. Louis, Missouri and as such was required to assist in

various ways in the manufacture of shingles

Plaintiff further states that in the said work, trade or process in which the defendant was engaged, great quantities

of dust could be emitted from the machinery. That plaintiff was required to breathe said dust and that said dust particles contained silicates, lime, iron oxides, asbestos and carbon, which particles were injurious to the health of persons so breathing said dust; and that as a direct result of the negligence and carelessness of the defendants as hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff was caused to suffer illness or disease which will be hereinafter more particularly described:

One, the defendants in the conduct of said business operated various machines, blowers, hoppers, shutes and grinders which while being operated caused vast quantities of dust to be emitted into the atmosphere and did negligently and carelessly fail and omit to provide each and every such machine with a hood connected with a blower or suction fan of sufficient power to carry off said dust and prevent its inhalation by the employees there, all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 12234 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1929.

Two, that said work and manufacturing in which defendants were engaged was a work which would produce illness or disease peculiar to the work or process carried on there, and that the defendants carelessly and negligently failed to adopt and provide approved and effective devices, means or methods for the prevention of occupational and occupational diseases, all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect being Section 13057 of the Revised Statutes, 1929.

Three, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to provide working clothing to be used exclusively by each employee and did negligently and carelessly and in violation of law fail to provide adequate and approved respirators without cost to the employees and failed and omitted to require employees to wear such respirators while engaged in said work all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 13234 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1929.

Four, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to cause plaintiff, while engaged in said work as aforesaid, to be examined by a competent, licensed and reputable physician once every calendar month for the purpose of ascertaining if any occupational or industrial disease existed in plaintiff, all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect being Sections 13233 and 13235 of the Revised Statutes of 1929.

Five, the defendants carelessly and negligently in violation of law failed to provide and install adequate and efficient facilities for the carrying off of all injurious fumes all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 13240 of the Revised Statutes, 1929.

Six, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to provide all necessary, proper and all other devices used in the process

of said manufacture with proper covers or safeguards and efficient apparatus for the purpose of ascertaining

operation or device for the purpose of drying coal.

From the employee's position, poisonous or injurious fumes and failed to remove dust and refuse incident to such work from the floors, all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 13242 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1929.

Seven. the defendants negligently and carelessly and in violation of law failed and omitted to post in a conspicuous place in every work room where the same work was carried on, notices of the known dangers to the health of the employees arising from such work or process together with similar instructions as to the known means of avoiding the same, in type sufficient to be easily readable although the defendant knew or by the exercise of ordinary care would have known the dangers and injurious effects accompanying the said process, all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 13244 of the Revised Statutes, 1929.

Eight. the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to ventilate said plant or as to render harmless so far as practicable all the dust and other impurities generated in said manufacturing process which may be injurious to the health of the employees all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 13246 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1929.



Nine. the defendants negligently and carelessly failed and omitted to exercise ordinary care to provide

to work in that *noxious dust* was generated and
emitted by the machinery in the plant and the defendant
knew of the danger and the defendant negligently
failed to provide plaintiff with a respirator
or mask to prevent the inhalation of said dust or to
provide blowers, fans or conductors for the removal of
said dust from the plant and from the machines there.

FOR. The defendant negligently and negligently
failed to warn plaintiff of the dangers incident to said work.

Plaintiff further states that as a direct result
of the negligence and carelessness of the defendant as
aforesaid plaintiff was permitted and asked and required to
work under the circumstances aforesaid; that he was caused
to breathe into his lungs noxious, harmful and poisonous
dusts; that said dusts contained harmful particles as
aforesaid; that as a direct result thereof plaintiff was
caused to contract silicosis, tuberculosis, pneumoconiosis,
tuberculosis, bronchitis, peribronchitis, and his entire
system was weakened and dissipated; that his lungs were
inflamed and infected; that he was caused to suffer with
loss of weight; a severe cough; that he was caused to sustain
a fibrosis of the lungs; that the function and use of his
lungs and all parts thereof were seriously impaired; weakened
and exhausted and that he has been rendered incapable of
performing any (labor); that as a direct result thereof

*plaintiff's life has been shortened that he has lost his
wages and earnings, is unable to work at more than \$1.00 per day
and in the future he will lose the same until he dies; that*



he has become obligated for medical aid and attention to the sum of \$200.00 to date and in the future he will be required to obligate himself for additional sums for medical aid and attention; that all of said injuries are serious and permanent and the function and use of his respiratory system has been impaired, limited and rendered painful and that plaintiff has suffered and will in the future suffer great pain of the body and mind all to his injury and damage in the sum of TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$25,000.00) for which sum together with his costs plaintiff prays judgment against the defendants.

William E. Harrison
Counsel & Attorney
Attorney for Plaintiff

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT
GF 28C

STATE OF MISSOURI
CITY OF ST. LOUIS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS
STATE OF MISSOURI

RAMON ROMERO, JR.

Plaintiff

vs.
STREIT, INC., a
Corporation, and
THE KILBOURN COMPANY,
a Corporation.

Defendants.

RECEIVED
SEP 17
LEGAL DEPT.

PETITION

Plaintiff states that the defendants are corporations and as such are engaged in the manufacture of shingles and operate a factory or plant in the City of St. Louis, Missouri and as such were at all times herein mentioned engaged in a trade or process which might produce illness or disease peculiar to the work or process and which subjected employees to the danger of illness or disease incident to such work, trade or process, to wit, the manufacture of shingles.

Plaintiff further states that he entered the employ of the defendants in the Fall of 1929 and remained in the employ of the defendants until on or about the 1st day of August, 1931 and that during the entire time of his employment by the defendants he was engaged as a laborer at the defendants' plant or place of business in the City of St. Louis, Missouri and as such was required to assist in various ways in the manufacture of shingles.

Plaintiff further states that in a said work, trade or process in which the defendant was engaged, you furnished

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT
1201

STAT. OF MISSOURI)
CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS
STATE OF MISSOURI

MINOR EQUITY.

Plaintiff vs.

STERN, INC., A
Corporation, and
THE KILNOLD COMPANY,
a Corporation.

Defendants.

Damage Suit

RECEIVED
SEP 7 1935
LEGAL DEPT

P E T I T I O N

Plaintiff states that the defendants are corporations and as such are engaged in the manufacture of shingles and operate a factory or plant in the City of St. Louis, Missouri and as such were at all times herein mentioned engaged in a trade or process which might produce illness or disease peculiar to the work or process and which subjected employees to the danger of illness or disease incident to such work, trade or process, to wit, the manufacture of shingles.

Plaintiff further states that he entered the employ of the defendants in the Fall of 1929 and remained in the employ of the defendants until on or about the 1st day of August, 1931 and that during the entire time of his employment by the defendants he was engaged as a laborer at the shingle plant or place of business in the City of St. Louis, Missouri and as such was required to engage in various work in the manufacture of shingles.

Plaintiff further states that in said work, trade or process in which the defendant's were engaged, various

of dust would be emitted into the atmosphere and required to breathe into his lungs and that said dust particles contained silicates, lime, free silica, calcium and carbon, which particles were injurious to the health of persons so breathing said dust; and that as a direct result of the negligence and carelessness of the defendants as hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff was caused to suffer illness or disease which will be hereinafter more particularly described.

One, the defendants in the conduct of said business operated various machines, blowers, hoppers, chutes and grinders which while being operated caused vast quantities of dust to be emitted into the atmosphere and did negligently and carelessly fail and omit to provide each and every such machine with a hood connected with a blower or suction fan of sufficient power to carry off said dust and prevent its inhalation by the employees there, all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 12134 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1929.

Two, that said work and manufacturing in which defendants were engaged was a work which would produce illness or disease peculiar to the work or process carried on there, and that the defendants carelessly and negligently failed to adopt and provide approved and effective devices, time or means for the prevention of industrial and occupational diseases, and that they were all in violation of the law of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect being Section 12134 of the Revised Statutes, 1929.

Three, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to provide working clothing to be used exclusively by each employee and did negligently and carelessly and in violation of law fail to provide adequate and approved respirators without cost to the employees and failed and omitted to require employees to wear such respirators while engaged in said work all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 13154 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1929.

Four, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to cause plaintiff, while engaged in said work as aforesaid, to be examined by a competent, licensed and reputable physician once every calendar month for the purpose of ascertaining if any occupational or industrial disease existed in plaintiff, all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect being Sections 13153 and 13155 of the Revised Statutes of 1929.

Five, the defendants carelessly and negligently in violation of law failed to provide and maintain adequate and efficient facilities for the carrying off of all injurious dusts all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 13146 of the Revised Statutes, 1929.

Six, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to provide all necessary, clean and efficient devices used in the process of said manufacture with hoods, covers or safeguards and efficient apparatus for the purpose of ascertaining

equipment or devices for the purpose of drawing away
from the employees smoke, poison or injurious fumes
and failed to remove dust and refuse incident to work
from the floors, all in violation of the laws of the State
of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being
Section 12262 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1913.

Seven, the defendants negligently and carelessly
and in violation of law failed and omitted to post in a
conspicuous place in every work room where the same work
was carried on, notices of the known dangers to the health
of the employees arising from such work or process together
with similar instructions as to the known means of avoiding
the same, in type sufficient to be easily readable although
the defendant knew or by the exercise of ordinary care would
have known the dangers and injurious effects accompanying the
said process, all in violation of the laws of the State of
Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being
Section 12264 of the Revised Statutes, 1913.

Eight, the defendants carelessly and negligently
and in violation of law failed and omitted to ventilate
said plant or as to render harmless as far as practicable all
the dust and other impurities generated in said manufacturing
process, with due to injuries to the health of the employees
all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and
there in full force and effect, being Section 12264 of the
Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1913.


That the defendants carelessly and negligently
failed and omitted to exercise ordinary care to provide

Plaintiff further states that in said work
or process in which defendants were engaged vast
quantities of dust were emitted into the atmosphere
and that the dust particles contained silicates, lime, iron oxides,
potash and carbon, which particles were injurious to the
health of persons breathing said dust; and that as a
direct result of the negligence and carelessness of the
defendants as hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff was caused to
suffer illness or disease which will be hereinafter more
particularly described.

One. The defendants in the conduct of said
business operated various machines, blower, hoppers,
chutes and grinders which while being operated caused vast
quantities of dust to be emitted into the atmosphere and
did negligently and carelessly fail and omit to provide
each and every such machine with a hood connected with a
blower or suction fan of sufficient power to carry off said
dust and prevent its inhalation by the employees there,
all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then
and there in full force and effect, being Section 1334 of
the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1929.

Two. That said work and manufacturing in which
defendants were engaged was a work which would produce illness
or disease resulting to the work or process carried on there,
and that the defendants negligently and carelessly failed
to adopt and provide a proper and effective device, same
as required for the prevention of industrial and occupational
diseases, which is and was all in violation of the

[Handwritten signature]



Three, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to provide working clothing to be used exclusively by each employee and did negligently and carelessly and in violation of law fail to provide adequate and approved respirators without cost to the employees and failed and omitted to require employees to wear such respirators while engaged in said work all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 13834 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1929.

Four, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to cause plaintiff, while engaged in said work as aforesaid, to be examined by a competent, licensed and reputable physician once every calendar month for the purpose of ascertaining if any occupational or industrial disease existed in plaintiff, all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect being Sections 13233 and 13236 of the Revised Statutes of 1929.

Five, the defendants carelessly and negligently in violation of law failed to provide and maintain adequate and efficient facilities for the carrying off of all injuries done and in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 13840 of the Revised Statutes, 1929.

Six, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to provide all necessary and all other devices used in the process

of said manufacture with such other devices and efficient apparatus for the purpose of effecting


...for the purpose of driving away
from the employees, poisoning or injurious dust
and failed to remove dust and refuse incident to such work
from the floors, all in violation of the laws of the State
of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being
Section 13364 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1921.

Seven. The defendants negligently and carelessly
and in violation of law failed and omitted to post in a
conspicuous place in every work room where the same work
was carried on, notices of the known dangers to the health
of the employees arising from such work or process together
with similar instructions as to the known means of avoiding
the same, in type sufficient to be easily readable although
the defendants knew or by the exercise of ordinary care could
have known the dangers and injurious effects accompanying the
said process, all in violation of the laws of the State of
Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being
Section 13364 of the Revised Statutes, 1921.

Eight. The defendants carelessly and negligently
and in violation of law failed and omitted to ventilate
said plant so as to render harmless so far as practicable all
the dust and other impurities generated in said manufacturing
process which are injurious to the health of the employees
all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and
there in full force and effect, being Section 13364 of the
Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1921.

Nine. The defendants carelessly and negligently
failed and omitted to exercise ordinary care to provide

...the defendant carelessly and negligently failed to provide plaintiff with a respirator or mask to prevent the inhalation of said dust or to provide blowers, fans or exhausters for the purpose of removing said dust from the plant and from the machines thereon. The defendant carelessly and negligently failed to warn plaintiff of the dangers incident to such work. Plaintiff further states that as a direct result of the negligence and carelessness of the defendant as aforesaid plaintiff was permitted and asked and required to work under the circumstances aforesaid; that he was caused to breathe into his lungs various, harmful and poisonous dusts; that said dusts contained harmful particles as aforesaid; that as a direct result thereof plaintiff was caused to contract silicosis, asbestosis, pneumoconiosis, tuberculosis, bronchitis, peribronchitis, and his entire system was weakened and dissipated; that his lungs were inflamed and infected; that he was caused to suffer with loss of weight; a severe cough; that he was caused to contract a fibrosis of the lungs; that the function and use of his lungs and all parts thereof were seriously impaired; weakened and dissipated and that he has been rendered incapable of sustaining any fibrosis; that as a direct result thereof plaintiff's life has been shortened and that he has lost his wages and compensation and all other benefits of his job and in the future he will lose the same and his health.



he has become obligated for medical aid and attention in the sum of \$200.00 so that he is now obliged to call on required to obligate himself for additional sum for medical aid and attention; that all of said injuries are serious and permanent and the function and use of his respiratory system has been impaired, limited and rendered painful and that plaintiff has suffered and will in the future suffer great pain of the body and mind all so his injury and damage is the sum of FIFTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$25,000.00) for which sum together with his costs plaintiff prays judgment against the defendants.

[Signature]
C. L. L. L. L.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

he has become obligated for medical aid and attention to the sum of \$200.00 to date and in the future he will be required to obligate himself for all medical bills for medical aid and attention; that all of said injuries are serious and permanent and the function and use of his respiratory system has been impaired, limited and rendered painful and that plaintiff has suffered and will in the future suffer great pain of the body and mind all to his injury and damage in the sum of THIRTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$35,000.00) for which and together with his costs plaintiff prays judgment against the defendants.

Charles E. L. L. L.
Charles E. L. L. L.
Attorney for Plaintiff

as well as that *exposed dusts* per *quantities* in the
underground mines were *in excess of the permissible*
illnesses of *silicosis* and the defendant *carelessly and*
negligently failed to provide plaintiff with a respirator
or mask to prevent the inhalation of said dust or to
provide blowers, fans or exhausters for the air and to
removing said dust from the plant and from the machines there.

Tex. The defendants *carelessly and negligently*
failed to warn plaintiff of the dangers incident to said work.

Plaintiff further states that as a direct result
of the negligence and carelessness of the defendants as
aforesaid plaintiff was permitted and asked and required to
work under the circumstances aforesaid; that he was caused
to breathe into his lungs carbon, harmful and poisonous
dusts; that said dusts contained harmful particles as
aforesaid; that as a direct result thereof plaintiff was
caused to contract silicosis, asbestosis, pneumoconiosis,
tuberculosis, bronchitis, peribronchitis, and his entire
system was damaged and diseased; that his lungs were
inflamed and irritated; that he was caused to suffer with
loss of weight; a severe cough; that he was caused to sustain
a fibrosis of the lungs; that the function and use of his
lungs and all parts thereof were seriously impaired; he ceased
and continues and that he has been rendered incapable of
exercising any function; that as a direct result thereof
plaintiff's life has been shortened and that he has lost his
wages and earnings as a laborer at the rate of \$1.00 per day
and in the future he will lose the same until he dies.

Case Paid by Attorney.

Requested for

Case Applied

Case Paid by

Am't Received

Location No.

Location No.

Location No.

Fee Bill No.

2019

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

DEC 4 1934

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

NOV 30 1934

Noted petition and bond for removal
of [illegible] 142

DEC 3 1934

Noted petition and bond for removal
of [illegible] and granted bond with
condition that [illegible] appear
before the court on [illegible] day of [illegible]
at [illegible] and [illegible] stated for the Court
that [illegible] of the Eastern [illegible]
[illegible]

Samuel Robertson;
-vs-208314-3

Sternit, Inc., a
Corporation, and
The Robertoid Company,
a Corporation.

Now at this day moved the defendant by their
attorneys and submits to the Court a notice and verified
petition heretofore filed, praying for an order removing
this cause to the District Court of the United States for
the Eastern Division of the Western Judicial District of
Minnesota, and also presents to the Court the bond heretofore
filed, and prays that the Court will order the removal of
this cause to the District Court of the United States for
the Eastern Division of the Western Judicial District of
Minnesota.

STATE OF MISSOURI)
CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE DISTRICT
STATE OF MISSOURI

SAMUEL ROBERTSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

STERN, J. & S. CO.
CORPORATION, and
THE HUNTER COMPANY,
Defendants.

Defendants.

IN SENATE

AND IN SENATE

AND

AND

of dust would be emitted into the atmosphere. Plaintiff was required to breathe into his lungs said dust; that said dust particles contained silicates, lime, free silica, asbestos and carbon, which particles were injurious to the health of persons breathing said dust; and that such direct result of the negligence and carelessness of the defendants as hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff was caused to suffer illness or disease which will be hereinafter more particularly described.

One, the defendants in the conduct of said

Three, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to provide working clothing to be used exclusively by each employee and did negligently and carelessly and in violation of law fail to provide adequate and approved respirators without cost to the employees and failed and omitted to require employees to wear such respirators while engaged in said work all in violation of the laws of the State of Missouri then and there in full force and effect, being Section 13284 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, 1929.

Four, the defendants carelessly and negligently and in violation of law failed and omitted to cause plaintiff,

to be examined by a

to wear a respirator mask
manufacture which was
illness or disease and the
negligently failed to provide
or mask to prevent the inhalation
provide blowers, fans or conductors for
removing said dust from the plant and
removes there.

For, the defendants carelessly and negligently
failed to warn plaintiff of the dangers incident to said work.

Plaintiff further states that as a direct result
of the negligence and carelessness of the defendants as

afore said plaintiff was permitted and asked and required to

work under the circumstances aforesaid; that he understood

as well as he could the nature, extent, and consequences

of the work he was required to do; and that he was fully

aware of the dangers incident to said work; and that he

was not induced to work under the circumstances aforesaid

by any promises or threats of the defendants; and that

he was not coerced or forced to work under the circumstances

aforesaid; and that he was not induced to work under the

circumstances aforesaid by any promises or threats of the

defendants; and that he was not coerced or forced to work

under the circumstances aforesaid by any promises or threats

of the defendants; and that he was not coerced or forced

to work under the circumstances aforesaid by any promises

or threats of the defendants; and that he was not coerced

or forced to work under the circumstances aforesaid by any

promises or threats of the defendants; and that he was not

coerced or forced to work under the circumstances aforesaid

by any promises or threats of the defendants; and that he

was not coerced or forced to work under the circumstances

aforesaid by any promises or threats of the defendants; and

that he was not coerced or forced to work under the

circumstances aforesaid by any promises or threats of the

defendants; and that he was not coerced or forced to work

under the circumstances aforesaid by any promises or threats

of the defendants; and that he was not coerced or forced

to work under the circumstances aforesaid by any promises

or threats of the defendants; and that he was not coerced

... have become obligated for medical
the sum of \$200.00 to date and in the future
required to obligate himself for additional medical
medical aid and attention; that all of said injuries are
serious and permanent and the function and use of his
respiratory system has been impaired, limited and rendered
painful and that plaintiff has suffered and will continue to
suffer great pain of the body and mind all to his
damages and expenses the sum of TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND
dollars (\$25,000.00) which sum together with his costs
plaintiff seeks recovery against the defendants.

James E. McLaughlin
Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED

CLEAR CREDIT

CITY OF NEW YORK

1934 NOV 14 PM 3 45

Series B

DECEMBER TERM 1934

John A. Schmeel

208314

The State of Missouri,

To the Sheriff of the

We command you to summon

Starnis, Inc., a corporation, et al

The Babcoild Company, a corporation

to appear before the Court on the first day of the next term thereof, to be held in the City
of St. Louis, Missouri, on the First Monday of DEC, next then

and there to answer the within writ of James Robertson

Discussion

Circuit Court, City of St. Louis

6164. 17 KM 19.4

Symptoms

三

Plan A415:

John A. Wynn

Free **1934**

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

FILED BY ALABAMA SECRETARY OF REVENUE

33

CITY OF ST. LOUIS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT - DECEMBER 21 - 1961

RESEARCH DESIGN

Plaintiff,

1. *Introduction*

Y.

STENOGRAPH, INC., a corporation,
and THE KENDRICK COMPANY, a
corporation.

Div. No. .

Doj on lerte.

NOTED BY CLERK: [REDACTED]

TO THE ABOVE NAMED LANDS, SAID TO BE IN THE NAME OF THE LESSOR, GRANTANT AND HIS ATTORNEYS;

[illegible]

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

CITY OF ST. LOUIS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT - DECEMBER 11

RANDOLPH MCLEODSON,

Plaintiff,

Div. No. 1

STERNIT, INC., a corporation,
and THE EMBROID COMPANY,
corporation.

Defendants.

REMOVAL PETITION.

Now come Sternit, Inc., and The Embroid Company, the above named
defendants, and file their petition for the removal of the above entitled
cause to the United States District Court in and for the Eastern Division of
the Southern District of New York.

to wit, the above named defendant

and your petitioners

which defendants are required by the laws
rules of this Court to answer or plead in

and your petitioners offer herewith


surety for their entering in the District Court of the
Eastern Division of the Eastern Judicial District of New York
from the date of filing this petition, a certified copy of the record
this writ, and for paying the costs that may be awarded by said District Court
in this case, shall said that this order was wrongfully or improperly removed
therefrom.

WHEREFORE, defendants pray this Honorable Court to grant
further herein except to make the order of removal required by law, and to
accept said surety and bond, and to cause the record herein to be removed into
said District Court of the United States.

WITNESSETH, the undersigned, JAMES HUBBARD COMPANY,

James Hubbard

RECEIVED



214 Series B.
Robertson, Samuel
Plaintiff
vs.
Robertson, Samuel
Defendant

Division.....

STATE OF MISSOURI. }
City of St. Louis } ss.
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT.
City of St. Louis
December Term, 1934

AND NOW, AT THIS DAY, JOHN SCHMOLL, Clerk of this Court, and THOMAS R. MADDEN, Sheriff of the City of St. Louis, and move the Court to rule that Plaintiff give security for the costs already accrued in this cause, for the reason that Plaintiff has not sufficient property, subject to execution, out of which the officers of the Court could levy their costs.

John Schmoll
Clerk, Circuit Court.

Thomas R. Madden
Sheriff of the City of St. Louis.

William H. [illegible]
[illegible]

IN THE
Circuit Court
CITY OF ST. LOUIS

193

SEPTEMBER TERM, 1964
REMOVAL C S COURT

Samuel Robertson,
Plaintiff,

vs.

Boonit, Inc., a
Corporation, and
The Rubberoid Company,
a Corporation, vs.
Defendants.

William S. Hamilton,
Attorney for Plaintiff,
1111 17th Street, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20036.

John W. Hamilton,
Attorney for Defendant,
1111 17th Street, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20036.

7
[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

三

[illegible]

STATE OF MISSOURI }
CITY OF ST. LOUIS }

I, FREEMAN R. BOSLEY, JR., CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT, CITY OF ST. LOUIS, within and for the City and State aforesaid (said Court being a Court of Record, having a Clerk and official Seal), do hereby certify that the annexed and foregoing is a full, true and complete copy of the original. Entire File.

in the cause aforesaid, as fully as the same remains among the records and files of said Court in my office.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court, at office, in the City of St. Louis,

this 18th day of April, 1991



FREEMAN R. BOSLEY, JR.
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT

By LaDonna Succiano
Deputy

MISSOURI CIRCUIT COURT
TWENTY SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
(ST. LOUIS CITY)

Samuel Robertson

VS. No. 208314-B Room #1

Eternit, Inc. a Corporation and
Rubetold Company, a Corp.

ENTIRE FILE