

To: Jackson, Ryan[jackson.ryan@epa.gov]; Gunasekara, Mandy[Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov]
Cc: Morris, Madeline[morris.madeline@epa.gov]; Woodward, Cheryl[Woodward.Cheryl@epa.gov];
Gamble, Logan[Logan.Gamble@Honeywell.com]
From: Chiang, Amy
Sent: Fri 8/11/2017 2:27:12 PM
Subject: Request for meetings with Administrator Pruitt for Honeywell CEO (August 16) and Chemours
CEO (August 25 or 28)
[Pruittletter-Honeywell and Chemours CEO 8-10-17 \(00000002\).pdf](#)

Ryan and Mandy,

Please see the attached letter from the CEOs of Honeywell and Chemours concerning the decision reached by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in *Mexichem Fluor Inc. v. EPA* on August 8, 2017. We would very much appreciate a chance to speak with Administrator Pruitt either in person or over the phone. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best,

Amy Chiang

202 – 256 -9507

August 10, 2017

The Honorable Scott Pruitt
Administrator
United States Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20460

Re: Meeting request; Mexichem Fluor v. EPA

Dear Administrator Pruitt:

We are writing to request meetings to discuss the implications of the decision reached by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in *Mexichem Fluor Inc. v. Environmental Protection Agency* on August 8. We believe that the Court wrongly vacated EPA's rule establishing restrictions on the use of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) through the Significant New Alternatives Program (SNAP), and hope to discuss potential EPA responses to support U.S. industry and innovation and reduce industry uncertainty.

As you know, Honeywell and Chemours intervened in this case because we believe that the argument presented by the petitioners would have a significant negative economic impact on the global hydrofluoro-olefins (HFO) business. U.S. companies have invested well over \$1 billion in the development and manufacture of HFOs to meet U.S. and global demand. However, foreign competitors Mexichem Fluor and Arkema, who have not invested in the R&D to create these alternatives and who do not manufacture these alternatives, used the U.S. courts to hurt American companies. At stake are thousands of U.S.-based jobs supporting local economies, including manufacturing plants in Louisiana and Texas, and R&D sites in New York and Delaware.

The impacted rules are essential to economic growth and job creation in the U.S., and to enable American competitiveness in this industry. The decisions made by the EPA in this area have global implications as other countries will follow the U.S. lead. HFOs and products utilizing them such as air conditioning and refrigeration equipment, foam insulation, aerosols, and solvents are exported from the U.S. to meet country commitments in phasing out less environmentally sustainable chemicals. If this ruling stands, it will likely create a chilling effect on American businesses which won't risk making the necessary investments in both workers and technology. American companies lead the world in innovation and this ruling, instigated by two foreign competitors, undermines that fundamental tenet.

Darius Adamczyk, Honeywell's President and CEO is available to meet at your offices on August 16. Mark Vergnano, Chemours' President and CEO is available August 25 or 28. We appreciate your interest in this issue, and we look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,



Darius Adamczyk
President and Chief Executive Officer
Honeywell
115 Tabor Road
Morris Plains, NJ 07950
973-455-5002
Darius.Admaczyk@Honeywell.com

Honeywell
THE POWER OF **CONNECTED**

Honeywell Restricted



Mark Vergnano
President and Chief Executive Officer
+1 302 773 2210
mark.p.vergnano@chemours.com

The Chemours Company
1007 Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

