

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 167
Investigation No. 1 - Progress Report No. 2

FOR: Mr. G. E. Wilson
Mr. E. B. Hollingsworth
Mr. T. W. Richards
Mr. A. T. Niebergall
Mr. O. E. Burch
Mr. D. C. Corkill
Mr. J. B. Moss
Mr. G. F. Fowler
File (3)
cc: Joint System Plant Managers

Issued by: Tigard Lab

Division: Gypsum

Written by: W. N. Gettel
G. F. Fowler

Approved by: *[Signature]*

Date: December 11, 1975

COMPETITIVE SURVEY
READY MIX JOINT CEMENT PRODUCTS

Objective:

To evaluate the ready mix products being marketed by our competitors in order to assess the competitive position of Georgia-Pacific ready mix products.

Procedure:

Samples of our strongest competitors in each sales area were shipped to Tigard by plant personnel. Upon their arrival, each sample was placed in an unmarked numbered container to assure objectivity of the tester involved. The samples were then subjected to a series of 19 quantitative tests in order to test performance as thoroughly as possible.

Number values were used as much as possible in an effort to describe performance.

CONCLUSIONS

The G-P products tested were generally equal to or better than most of the competitive products. A conclusion on each property tested follows:

Viscosities: Our current shipping viscosity of 580 ± 20 for hand mix is close to ideal.

Bonds: This property appears to be quite satisfactory. Noted is an improvement in several competitors' cold bond, since the last competitive survey was completed.

000112

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 167
Investigation No. 1
Progress Report No. 2

Sanding: Sanding properties are probably adequate at all plants. Improvements could probably be made at some plants. However, this could result in bond deterioration and loss of water resistance.

Cracking: Room cracking appears to be competitive. Fan cracking needs improvement.

Open Time: This property appears to be satisfactory. One Milford sample had an unusually long open time. This variation and other abnormalities would indicate a possible double loading of water retention agent in this batch.

Water Resistance: No need for improvement was noted.

Reflection: (brightness) Our products show an average performance that would require additional cost to improve.

Wet Density/% Solids: Our products would appear to be acceptable. Generally, these two properties are fairly complicated. All the products tested were in the same general range and being proportional to the viscosity.

Contains Asbestos: Five of the 28 products did not contain asbestos. These are regarded as inferior to the asbestos products because of poorer working properties and unstable viscosity.

Filler Type: Four U.S.G. samples contain a blend of gypsum and limestone. Perhaps economics and/or performance has required this switch. Also, three of the "Blends" are asbestos free.

Adhesive System: Although only one sample contained starch, it is known that several other small companies are presently using starch and/or a starch-vinyl combination.

Boric Acid: This is the only property in which we are not competitive. However, the important thing is that we now recognize this deficiency.

030113

REFER TO GLOSSARY FOR EXPLANATION

QUICK

REFERENCE

COMPARISON

CHART

CODE MFG. AREA OBTAINED

"A" VISCOSITY
"B" VISCOSITY

ROOM BOND

COLD BOND

HUMID BOND

CORNER BEAD BOND

"A" SANDING

"B" SANDING

CRACKING ROOM

CRACKING PAN

OPEN TIME

WATER RESISTANCE

REFLECTION

DENSITY

SOLIDS

CONTAINS AGESTOS

FILLER TYPE

ADHESIVE SYSTEM

BORIC ACID STABILITY

1	G.P.	MARIETTA	715	480	100	100	100	fair	49	43	1	4	25	good	82	13.54	64.3	yes	L.S.	V	POOR
2	NATIONAL-GRAND RAPIDS		480	250	100	90	100	good	25	26	2	4	25	good	76	13.39	63.7	yes	L.S.	V	EXCL.
3	RAPID-GRAND RAPIDS		960	610	100	75	100	EXCL	50	60	2	6	20	Fair	78	13.92	66.9	yes	L.S.	V	EXCL
4	U.S.G. - GRAND RAPIDS		580	360	100	100	90	Fair	33	33	1	2	28	Poor	64	13.28	63.7	yes	*	V	EXCEL
5	FLINTKOTE - WILM.		985	500	100	100	100	Fair	33	38	2	3	26	Good	79	14.02	66.9	yes	L.S.	V	FAIR
6	G.P. - MILFORD		695	410	100	100	100	Fair	56	48	1	5	24	Good	73	14.22	67.9	yes	L.S.	V	POOR
7	NATIONAL - BLUE RAPIDS		710	410	100	70	100	Good	22	23	2	6	26	EXCL	76	13.74	65.2	yes	L.S.	V	EXCL
8	BLUE CHIP - ACME		715	400	100	100	70	Good	17	14	2	2	27	Poor	73	13.45	63.4	yes	L.S.	*	EXCL
9	WEL-COTE - ACME		470	255	100	100	100	Good	14	30	5	6	30	EXCL	75	13.65	66.2	yes	L.S.	V	EXCL
10	KAISER - WILM.		560	290	100	100	100	EXCL	27	33	1	2	28	EXCL	79	12.47	59.9	yes	L.S.	V	EXCL
11	QUALITY - WILM.		660	260	100	85	90	Fair	40	43	2	2	24	Good	82	13.71	67.2	yes	L.S.	V	Good
12	HI-BOND - SIGURD		760	460	100	20	100	EXCL	42	18	4	5	24	EXCL	73	13.30	64.6	yes	L.S.	V	EXCL
13	NATIONAL - BUCHANAN		580	480	100	10	100	Good	38	44	2	5	25	Good	83	13.55	66.1	yes	L.S.	V	Good
14	G.P. - ACME		500	293	100	100	100	Good	21	22	1	1	25	EXCL	73	12.59	65.3	yes	GYP.	V	Poor
15	NATIONAL - WILM.		600	280	100	30	100	EXCL	43	40	3	4	30	Good	83	13.67	66.4	yes	L.S.	V	EXCL
16	G.P. MILFORD - WILM.		490	330	100	80	100	Good	44	28	4	6	55	Fair	77	12.86	58.4	yes	L.S.	V	Poor
17	U.S.G. - WILM		540	310	100	100	100	Fair	21	30	1	4	24	EXCL	76	13.55	66.9	NO	*	V	Fair
18	SUPRO - SIGURD		500	290	100	5	95	EXCL	39	36	1	2	30	Good	80	13.77	66.2	NO	L.S.	V	EXCL
19	G.P. - ACME		550	370	100	100	100	Good	19	28	3	2	24	Fair	74	12.65	63.4	yes	GYP.	V	EXCL
20	U.S.G. - BUCHANAN		610	250	100	30	100	Fair	23	23	2	4	24	EXCL	76	13.44	67.4	NO	*	V	Fair
21	U.S.G. - BRUNSWICK		620	345	100	80	100	Good	24	24	2	3	28	EXCL	76	14.00	67.5	yes	L.S.	V	EXCL
22	SUPRO TOPPING - SIGURD		660	290	100	0	75	Good	58	51	1	1	15	EXCL	83	13.75	69.9	NO	L.S.	V	Good
23	G.P. TOPPING - ACME		540	360	100	0	50	Fair	32	38	2	1	24	Good	73	12.71	62.4	yes	GYP.	V	Poor
24	U.S.G. - CHICAGO		800	510	100	100	100	Good	22	30	4	6	32	Fair	75	13.21	62.9	yes	L.S.	V	EXCL
25	G.P. - CHICAGO		670	500	100	100	100	Good	27	30	4	5	26	EXCL	77	13.61	65.2	yes	L.S.	V	POOR
26	RAPID - SAGINAW		815	505	100	10	100	EXCL	66	67	4	3	26	Fair	76	13.99	67.5	yes	L.S.	V	EXCL
27	U.S.G. - BALTIMORE		640	260	100	0	100	Good	24	32	1	1	22	EXCL	76	13.66	68.7	NO	*	V	Poor
28	G.P. - AKRON		660	470	100	100	95	Good	19	28	2	6	29	EXCL	77	13.37	64.3	yes	L.S.	V	Poor