Closed 11-30-70 see original

Form 3101 Rev. 4/1/66

PITTSBURGH CORNING CORPORATION
APPROPRIATION REQUEST

APPROPRIATION NO. A-1316 Supplement

LOCATION: Port Allegany, Pennsylvania

DATE: October 20, 1970

CONFIDENTIAL

ESTIMATED COST		DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURE	
PURCHASED MATERIALS	$ 4,014	INVESTMENT $ 20,643	A/C
REQUISITIONED MATERIALS	652	EXPENSE $	A/C
OUTSIDE CONTRACTS	7,489	SALVAGE VALUE $	
COMPANY LABOR	2,718	ESTIMATED LIFE: (YRS.)	
CONTINGENCIES AND SPECIAL	5,770	ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE:	
TOTAL	$ 20,643	SPONSOR: Manufacturing	PREPARED BY: M. Trkula

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WORK:

This is a supplement to A-1316

BARBER v. PITTSBURGH CORNING CORP. ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT WAS NOT A RECORD OF PPG INDUSTRIES, INC. DID NOT COME FROM IT'S FILES AND CANNOT BE AUTHENTICATED BY PPG INDUSTRIES, INC.

JUSTIFICATION SUMMARY: *(including estimates of annual savings and other benefits; show payback or other return-on-investment calculation if applicable.)*

Th $3,984 overage for -1 was due to the following reasons:

A. The purchase of a fan which was originally intended to be obtained from Port Allegany surplus and later determined to be unworthy ($2,703.00).

B. The purchase of a Budget hoist and trolley which was not considered necessary riginally and later determined to be to the contrary ($740.00), plus transportation and miscellaneous ($62.00).

C. Other overages were $27.00 in requisitional materials and $452.00 in company labor which were not estimated for the appropriation request.

BB 0008524

Th $12,495 overage for -2 was due to the following reasons:

A. $5,770 was spent for overtime required to complete the work within a 10-day period as opposed to the 21-day period originally planned.

B. $6,725 was due to a change in design of the foundations not originally anticipated due to lack of soil data on the site, including cost of proposed substation pad ($700).

ROUTING	INITIALS & DATE	ROUTING	INITIALS & DATE	ROUTING	INITIALS & DATE
WORKS ENGINEER		VICE PRES.-MFG.	[illegible] 12/2/70	CONTROLLER	[illegible] 12-1-70
WORKS MANAGER		VICE PRES.-RES.		TREASURER	[illegible] 3-3-71
TECHNICAL CONTROL		VICE PRES.-SALES		PRESIDENT	[illegible] 3-6-71
CHIEF ENGINEER	MT 10/20/70			GENERAL ACCOUNTING	[illegible] 1971

Appropriation No. A-1316 Supplement (Cont'd) October 20, 1970

The overage for -3 was due to the following reasons:

A. $440 was originally estimated to cover the proposed work by company labor. This figure was exceeded by $310 in part because of the overtime incurred.

B. The remaining overrun of $298 was for equipment not originally estimated.

The overage for -4 was due to the following reason:

$1,195 for installation and fabrication of the duct work hoods and cyclones had been omitted in the appropriation request for company labor and requisitional materials ($779 and $416, respectively).

The overage for -5 was due to the following reason:

$165 was added to the original cost of the Norblo fan unit for a larger motor which was considered necessary after the appropriation had been submitted.

The overage for -6 was due to the following reasons:

A. $1,293 in additional purchased materials was spent by the plant.

B. $694 was spent in company labor over the amount estimated. This was due in part to some overtime incurred.

FORM 2752 PRINTED IN U.S.A.

PITTSBURGH CORNING CORPORATION

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

E. W. Holman — From: M. Trkula

Subject: Appropriation A-1316, Supplement — Date: October 20, 1970

Sandy,

This is a general breakdown by items of the overrun on A-1316, Plant 8 Dust Collection appropriation.

CONFIDENTIAL

	Overrun		Percent Overrun of Original Appropriation
1. Purchased materials	$ 4,014	or	4.8%
2. Overtime	5,770	or	6.9%
3. Requisitioned material	652	or	.8%
4. Company labor	2,718	or	3.5%
5. Outside contracts	7,489	or	9.0%
Total	$20,643		25.0%

The 10% would have covered requisitioned material and outside contract overruns.

Purchased material charges are a result of poor planning on items that should have been anticipated during the early engineering stages.

Company labor charges and requisitioned materials are a result of poor planning and estimating.

The overtime charges are self-explanatory. — This charge was authorized to cut down time as requested by [illegible] because of demand.

Approximately $1,000 credit will be requested from Wheelabrator Corporation for costs incurred as a result of their nonperformance in certain contractual areas.

M. Trkula

MT:jw