

FILE NAME: Goodyear (GY)

DATE: 1939 Oct

DOC#: GY074

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Transactions of the National Safety Congress, Article - Dusts in the Rubber Industry

TRANSACTIONS

20th

Council
NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS

Transactions

ATLANTIC CITY
OCTOBER 16-20, 1939

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.

Copyright, 1939, National Safety Council, Inc. Printed in U.S.A.

000195



accessory for the car of today. The same painstaking care in manufacture, the same high regard for quality, the same precautions to assure the consumers' safety is a major part of every piece of equipment that is manufactured into each car. Tires are safe and automobiles are safe—if the person behind the wheel and in control operates by thinking and driving safely.

Quality control work in any manufacturing industry involves two major safety functions. The first consideration is the safety of the employee, to see that the operator is performing his job with the maximum degree of safety to himself, that the materials he works with, his tools or machines have no apparent functional defects that may be hazardous to safety. The second consideration, safeguarding the safety of the consumer by seeing that each operation is being performed correctly, being constantly alert to insure the observance of specifications in order that uniformly high quality, safe merchandise is produced.

is being performed correctly, being constantly alert to insure the observance of specifications in order that uniformly high quality, safe merchandise is produced.

quality, safe merchandise is produced.

By P. A. DAVIS, M.D., F.A.P.H.A.

Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, O.

The method of contracting pneumoconiosis is by inhalation of the dust; however, all dusts do not cause pneumoconiosis. The higher the silica content of a dust the greater the possibility of developing a fibrosis and the higher the percentage of crystal content the greater the irritation. The diagnosis of the type of pneumoconiosis is based upon the following:

1. Past history and occupation.
2. Physical examination.
3. Clinical examination of sputum.
4. X-rays of lungs.

Since we have been studying the lungs of industrial workers by x-rays, there has developed an entity which should be termed the "Industrial Lung." This condition, I believe, should not be termed a pneumoconiosis because it reaches a certain stage, does not progress any further and after several months to a year improves. There are no symptoms, no decreased capacity of the lungs. It is discovered only by a series of x-rays taken over a period of years.

- The other class of soluble dusts—silica, and metallic, under a specific poisoning is very rare. Inhalation of lead causes throat irritations and of insoluble dusts is mechanical. We have dusts as: 1. Pneumoconiotic

Some of the used in industry classifications are Pneumonoconio

1. Silicosis (sand earth, Stoughton rotten stone, more than $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1900)
2. Siderosis—iron iron sulphides
3. Anthracosis—
4. Asbestosis—po ore.

5. Chalcosis—cop
6. Chalicosis—ste

Non-Pneumonic
Harmful:

1. Lead dusts.
2. Arsenic dusts.
3. Chromium dusts.
4. Cadmium dusts.
5. Antimony dusts.
6. Radium compounds.
7. Alkali dusts.
8. Sulphur dusts.
9. Tellurium compounds.
10. Various cold ultra marine chromate, etc.

Non-harmful but nuisance dusts:

1. Soapstone with
2. Zinc oxide and
3. Barium sulphate
4. Plaster of Paris
5. Paris white—w
6. Talc.
7. Mica—may ca
8. Oxide of magne
9. Titanium dioxi

As we can see
necessarily must
classification of
and - I am convin
one of our peopl

000238

or the car of today. The same care in manufacture, the same care for quality, the same precaution for the consumers' safety is a part of every piece of equipment that is put into each car. Tires are automobiles are safe—if the person at the wheel and in control is thinking and driving safely.

Control work in any manufacturing process involves two major safety functions. First consideration is the safety of the employee, to see that the operator is doing his job with the maximum safety to himself, that the materials with his tools or machines are in apparent functional defects that are hazardous to safety. The second is, safeguarding the safety of the public by seeing that each operation is performed correctly, being content to insure the observance of standards in order that uniformly high merchandise is produced.

Industry

P.H.A.

Kron, O.

of contracting pneumoconiosis by inhalation of the dust; however, it does not cause pneumoconiosis. The silica content of a dust determines the possibility of developing a fibrosis—higher the percentage of crystalline silica, the greater the irritation. The type of pneumoconiosis is determined by the following:

History and occupation.
Physical examination.
Examination of sputum.
X-ray of lungs.

Have been studying the lungs of workers by x-rays, there has been a discovery which should be termed "Fibrotic Lung." This condition, I did not believe termed a pneumoconiosis, as it reaches a certain stage, it does not progress any further and after this a year improves. There is no loss of capacity of the lungs discovered only by a series of tests over a period of years.

The other conditions caused by inhalations of soluble dusts, insoluble, but free from silica, and metallic dusts have to be discussed under a specific condition; for example, lead poisoning is very frequently caused by the inhalation of lead dust; and many nose and throat irritations are caused by inhalation of insoluble dusts whose action is strictly mechanical. We therefore may also classify dusts as: 1. Pneumoconiotic; 2. Non-pneumoconiotic.

Some of the principal dusts or materials used in industry which fall under these classifications are as follows:

Pneumoconiotic:

1. Silicosis (sandstone, slate flour, fuller's earth, Stoughton earth, infusional earth, rotten stone, any other clay with more than 1/2 of 1% silica, ground glass).
2. Siderosis—iron ores, iron oxides (nat.), iron sulphides (nat.).
3. Anthracosis—coal and carbon dusts.
4. Asbestosis—powdered asbestos, asbestos ore.
5. Chalcosis—copper ore and copper dusts.
6. Chalcosis—stone dusts.

Non-Pneumoconiotic

Harmful:

1. Lead dusts.
2. Arsenic dusts.
3. Chromium dusts.
4. Cadmium dusts.
5. Antimony dusts.
6. Radium compounds.
7. Alkali dusts.
8. Sulphur dusts.
9. Tellurium compounds.
10. Various colored compounds such as ultramarine blue, Prussian blue, lead chromate, etc.

Non-harmful but nuisance dusts:

1. Soapstone with 1/2% or less of free silica.
2. Zinc oxide and sulphide.
3. Barium sulphate.
4. Plaster of Paris.
5. Paris white—whiting.
6. Talc.
7. Mica—may cause a mechanical irritation.
8. Oxide of magnesia.
9. Titanium dioxide.

As we can see by this classification we necessarily must go back to our original classification of harmful and not harmful; and I am convinced that while silica is the most predominant condition due to

silica, there are many other conditions which are produced in the respiratory tract which are harmful to the individual.

The following are the most important materials used in the rubber industry which are in the form of a dust or powder and to which the individual is exposed. They are marked by the following letters—H, harmful; NH, not harmful; HT, harmful and toxic; HP, harmful and pneumoconiotic; NHN, not harmful but a nuisance dust.

1. Talc soapstone with 1/2 of 1% or less SiO₂—NHN.
2. Starch and potato flour—NHN.
3. Zinc oxide—NHN—see chest x-ray.
4. Lead oxide, metallic lead dusts, lead chromate, and the various powdered lead compounds—HT.
5. Carbon black—NHN.
6. Rubber dust—finely divided dust from buffing process—NHN.
7. Sulphur—H, sometimes irritates nose and throat and occasionally produces a dermatitis.
8. Whiting—NH.
9. Barytes—NH.
10. Asbestine—HP.
11. MgO, and MgCO₃—NH.
12. Lithopone—NHN.
13. Red lead—HT.
14. High grade clay—HP if over 1% of 1% silica and dust count is over 5,000,000.
15. Corn starch—NHN.
16. English vermilion—HT.
17. Graphite—NHN.
18. Chromium oxide—HT.
19. Ultramarine blue—HT.
20. Titanoxy—NT.
21. Thermatonic blue—NTN.
22. Zinc stearate—NHN.
23. Aluminum—NHN, occasionally causes a mechanical dermatitis and irritation of mucous membranes of nose and throat.
24. Hard rubber dust—NHN.
25. Lime—H caustic. Produces dermatitis.
26. Various alkali dusts—H caustic.
27. Various chromium and cadmium dusts—HT.
28. Mica—NH—sometimes causes mechanical dermatitis.
29. Yellow oxide (Fe₂O₃)—NHN.
30. Black oxide (Fe₃O₄)—NHN.
31. Gypsum—NHN.
32. Casein—NH.
33. Wood flour—NH.
34. Prussian blue—HT.

000239

35. Soap powder — irritant NH. Sometimes causes dermatitis.
36. Captax—NH.
37. Phenyl—Beta Naphthylamine—HT, causes a dermatitis.
38. Soap bark—NH, sometimes causes a little erythema and conjunctivitis.
39. Cotton lint dust—NHN.
40. Bronze powder—HT—ac to copper and lead content.
41. Zinc sulphide—NHN.
42. Sand—HP.
43. Various clays having more than $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1% of free silica—HP.
44. Antimony oxide—HT.
45. Titanium oxide—NH.
46. Various inorganic and organic chemical compounds in powdered form often produce dusts during their use and manufacturing. These must be considered individually as to their industrial hazard and toxicity and are not in the scope of this paper.
47. Wheat and potato flour—NHN.

The following procedure will be helpful in solving your problem of dust.

1. Make repeated dust counts of the working area at various levels.
2. Obtain some of the dust and send it to the laboratory for complete analysis including the determination of free SiO_2 .
3. Examine the workers, x-rays of the chest for those working in pneumoconiotic dusts.
4. Check the ventilation and increase it to produce non-hazardous atmospheres.
5. Differentiate between conditions caused by volatile substances and solvents, and those caused by dusts.
6. Protect the workers with proper clothing and protective respirators and masks.
7. Study the particular dusts from the mechanical and chemical nature and if the effects are unknown, resort to animal experimentation.
8. If dusts are toxic and harmful consider the possibility of a substitution for a non-harmful substance.
9. Examine and x-ray all employees who are being placed on jobs where there is a dust hazard. They should be examined periodically.
10. Make each dust problem an individual one, and in case of silicotic dusts, keep the counts below 5 M. per cu. ft., if the free SiO_2 is more than 1%.
11. Select employees free from TB,

chronic bronchitis, post influenza infections, post pneumonia conditions, asthmatics, and persons with myocardial involvements for dusty operations.

I have found that there is x-ray evidence of tuberculosis in about 2 per cent of the cases but only 1% of 1 per cent active and of a permanent disability. Uncomplicated pneumoconiosis of a moderate degree is not disabling to a point of permanent disability.

I want to present to you a case which I think is a rare one. This employee has never worked in any dust before his employment on his present job. He has worked for 12½ years in zinc oxide dust and during our periodic examinations in 1938 the x-ray picture proved very interesting. He does not have a definite pneumoconiosis but he shows evidence of glandular fibrosis. What will develop in a few more years will be shown by x-ray examination only. He has no symptoms. If this man had been working in lead or similar toxic dusts, symptoms of that particular substance would have been present.

The dust counts on toxic dusts must be kept at a minimum, and much lower than that for silicotic dusts. In silicotic dusts the particles of 10 micron size or larger are of very little concern, but with toxic dusts the size of the particles is not so important as the number of particles. The smaller the particles of dust the less the rate of settling and the greater the time of exposure, and this is the important item in toxic dusts. For example, the permissible amount of lead dust is approximately 15 mcs. per day of eight hours. There would be very little chance of any one ever developing a pneumoconiotic condition with this dust count, but if it is increased very little there is a chance for lead poisoning, and so it is with the various toxic dusts.

The question in most of your minds is, "What are you going to do about these conditions?" The answer is simple. You are going to correct them. It has been proved many times that it pays big financial returns, creates better working conditions, and the employees have a more efficient and appreciative attitude for the employer.

It is unnecessary for me to consider the methods of correcting the dust problems for this is in the realm of the ventilation engineer, but I must insist that the ven-

ilation be corrected to a certain point and at that point.

You should produce Joyce's according to the used to and as a schedule which a few years.

Silicosis or silicotic including x-rays.

Non-silicotic and toxic dusts—every year.

Toxic dusts—for example arsenic, antimony, phosphorus, and alkali and month.

Nuisance dusts—every x-rays only if indicated.

If you find any evidence better to correct the conditions the employee elsewhere causes dissatisfaction such conditions can be cooperation between the ing and managing departments at present all dusts are compensable, employer to correct his to a point at which there

Conclusions

It is impossible to give an estimate of all the dusts.

Training

Employment Management

As we scan the history of movement, we find the emphasis has been placed on responsibility in accident. Authorities seem to agree (and by foremen is no exception) are very large the prevention of accident.

How do these authorities reach their conclusions? Why must we assume the responsibility for accidents under their supervision?

When we tell our supervisors we must accept the responsibility for accidents occurring in their

000240

post influenzal infections, conditions, asthmatics, and myocardial involvements for

that there is x-ray evidence in about 2 per cent of the of 1 per cent active and disability. Uncomplicated of a moderate degree is to a point of permanent disa-

present to you a case which rare one. This employee has in any dust before his employ- present job. He has worked in zinc oxide dust and dur- examinations in 1938 the proved very interesting. He e a definite pneumoconiosis evidence of glandular fibrosis, develop in a few more years will y x-ray examination only. Heptoms. If this man had been eled or similar toxic dusts. d a particular substance would resent.

counts on toxic dusts must be minimum, and much lower than eotie dusts. In silicotic dusts the 10 micron size or larger are of concern, but with toxic dusts the particles is not so important as er of particles. The smaller the dust the less the rate of settling ater the time of exposure, and important item in toxic dust- on, the permissible amount of ha- proximately 15 mgs. per day s. There would be very lma any one ever developing a pra- condition with this dust cou- increased very little there is ead poisoning, and so it is w toxic dusts.

action in most of your mind- on going to do about it- The answer is simple. Y- on correct them. It has to any times that it pays big frant- creates better working condit- employees have a more effici- the attitude for the employ- necessary for me to consid- correcting the dust pr- in the realm of the vent- you must insist that the

ilation be sufficient to reduce the dust count to a certain point and have it maintained at that point.

You should periodically examine your employees according to the type of dusts exposed to and as a suggestion the following is a schedule which I have used for a few years.

Silicosis or siliceous dusts—six months including x-rays.

Non-siliceous and non-silicotic or non-toxic dusts—every year, with x-rays.

Toxic dusts—for example lead, chromium, arsenic, antimony, phosphorus, chemical dusts, and alkali and caustic dusts—every month.

Nuisance dusts—every 1 to 1½ years—x-rays only if indicated.

If you find any evidence of trouble it is better to correct the cause than to transfer the employee elsewhere, for this often causes dissatisfaction and suspicion. All such conditions can be controlled if there is cooperation between the medical, engineering and managing departments. In most cases at present all conditions caused by dust are compensable, so it behooves the employer to correct his working conditions to a point at which there are no hazards.

Conclusion

It is impossible to give a detailed description of all the dusts used in the rubber

industry, for the siliceous dusts alone would require a volume to do them justice, but I want you to remember that there are just as many serious conditions which may develop from the inhalation of various toxic dusts.

These conditions are often more serious than pneumoconiosis and develop much faster and in a shorter period of time. For instance, a person may develop a lead poisoning in two months from inhalation of lead compounds and it might take five to ten years for a silicosis to develop in the same environment of silica dust.

There are on the market today many fine and efficient protective devices such as chemical protective masks, dust respirators, positive pressure masks, clothing and gloves which if used will give good results.

Instruction to the employees by the supervision in regard to their personal habits, their instruction concerning the type of dust they are exposed to, and why they have to use the protective appliances, will reduce the possibility of any trouble to a minimum.

All laboratory work must be done by a technician who has been trained in the various toxicological laboratory techniques and the interpretations must be made in conjunction with the past history of the individual. May I say in conclusion that it is much more profitable to correct a dusty operation than to declare it a hazard.

Training Supervision in Accident Prevention

By JOHN J. LOGE

Employment Manager, The General Tire & Rubber Company, Akron, Ohio

As we scan the history of the safety movement, we find that a great deal of emphasis has been placed on the foreman's responsibility in accident prevention work. Authorities seem to agree that foremen and by foremen is meant direct supervision are very largely responsible for prevention of accidents in industry.

How do these authorities arrive at their conclusion? Why must the foremen assume the responsibility for injuries to persons under their supervision?

When we tell our supervisors that they are assuming responsibility for accidents occurring in their departments, we

must be prepared to state a few reasons. We ask a foreman for a report following an accident, and he has a right to a clear understanding as to the reason why he is called upon to explain when one of his workers is injured. There is nothing mysterious about the placing of responsibility for accidents. It isn't the case of management imposing a burden upon supervision that should belong elsewhere.

As soon as a person is placed in a supervisory capacity, a position where he has charge of the work of others, he becomes directly responsible for their conduct and

CG0241

131 ASME membership

1931

NORTH DAKOTA MEMBERS IN UNITED STATES

GRANDIN

McSPARRON, David D. (J '30).

MINOT

NELSON, Harry A. (J '27), Boiler Rm. Engr. & Plant Results, No. States Power Co., Box 1281.

OHIO

ADA

NEEDY, John A. (A-M '19), Dean Engrg., Ohio No. Univ., and for mail, 417 N Gilbert St.

AKRON, Akron-Canton Section

- ALLEN, Clifford G. (J '19), Palmer Match Co., and for mail, 956 Wye Drive.
ARNSTEIN, Dr. Karl ('25), V. P., in charge of Engrg., Goodyear Zeppelin Corp., and for mail, 90 N. Portage Path.
BACHE, Richard F. (J '28), Research Dept., Goodyear Zeppelin Corp., Akron, and for mail, 2409 4th St., Cuyahoga Falls.
BARNES, O. Keith (J '26), Tire Designer, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., and for mail, 273 Storer Ave.
BAUGHER, Edw. J. ('29), Goodyear Zeppelin Corp., and for mail, 1490 Preston Ave.
BECKWITH, Bruce R. ('23), Prod. Dept., Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., and for mail, 1214 Berwyn St.
BERG, Irving (J '20), Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., and for mail, 132 N. Adolph St.
BERGEN, Harry S. ('16), Spec. Sales Rep., Toledo Scale Co., 43 W. Bowery, and for mail, 605 Palisades Drive.
BLAKER, Ernest ('22), Mgr., Inter Factory Oper., B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co.
BONDOR, Frank M. (A-M '30), Research Aeronautical Engr., Goodyear Zeppelin Corp., and for mail, 933 Jefferson Ave.
BOSWORTH, Edw. C. (J '29), Engrg. Dept., Mch. Maintenance, B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., and for mail, 47 Kuder Ave.
BOWMAN, Robt. H. (A-M '16), Ch. Draftsman, Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., and for mail, 16 Harcourt Drive.
BROWN, Frank P. (A-M '30), M. E., B. F. Goodrich Co., Dept. 3910.
BRUGGEMEIER, Geo. L. (J '26), 1219 Greenvale Ave.
CLARY, Jos. F. (J '30), Draftsman, Firestone Tire & Rubber Co.
COOK, Herbert E. ('29), Asst. Genl. Supt., Engrg. Div., B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., Akron, and for mail, 105 W. Broad St., Cuyahoga Falls.
DAUBER, Richard L. (J '28), Drafting Dept., Xylos Rubber Co., and for mail, 115 Hamilton Ave.
DAY, Ralph B. ('28), Physical Research, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., and for mail, 245 Pioneer St.
DEANE, Chester A. (J '27), Asst. Mgr. & Ch. Engr., Baker McMillen Co., 134 E. Miller Ave., and for mail, 452 Morley Ave.
DERKS, John P. (J '26), Power Records, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, and for mail, R. D. 3, Box 308 A, E. Akron.
FRASCHE, John W. (J '28), Serv. Dept., Mech. Goods Div., B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., and for mail, 90 Frances Ave.
FREUND, Karl (J '29), Cutless Rubber Bearing Engr., B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., and for mail, 729 Damon St.
GIBBONS, Henry B. (J '29), Research Engr., Goodyear Zeppelin Corp., and for mail, 668 St. Ledger St.
GRANT, C. O. (J '10), Asst. Mgr. Plant Engr. & Maintenance Dept., Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, and for mail, R. D. 20, Cleveland Ave., Mogadore.
GRIEBLING, Louis G. (J '20), Engrg. Dept., Firestone Tire & Rubber Co.
GRIFFIN, Fred S. ('28), Prof. M. E., Univ. of Akron.
GUSTAFSON, Hugo F. (J '28), Asst. Process Engr., B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., and for mail, Apt. 11, 322 W. Market St.
HAHN, Stuart H. (J '28), Engr., Cure Devel., Physical Research Dept., B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., Akron, and for mail, 1700 Sackett St., Cuyahoga Falls.
HEINISH, Geo. (J '23), Ch. Engr., Star Drilling Mch. Co., 474 Washington St., Akron, and for mail, 2540 Whitelaw Ave., Cuyahoga Falls.
HUNTER, J. R. (A-M '18), Mgr., Maintenance Analysis, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 1144 Market St.
HUNTER, Willson H. (J '30), Draftsman, Power Plant Design, Goodyear Zeppelin Corp., and for mail, 358 Trigonla Drive.

AKRON (continued)

- JOHNSON, Edmund R. ('17; '21), Assoc. Sales Engr., C. M. Wilkinson Co., 608 Flatiron Bldg., and for mail, 1068 Berwin St.
- JOHNSON, John Thos., Jr. (A-M '20), Pres., Akron Pump & Supply Co., 60 Cherry St.
- JONES, Robt. R. ('14), Oh. Engr., Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., Firestone Park.
- LAUGHLIN, H. G. (J '20), Engrg. Dept., Philadelphia Rubber Wks. Co., and for mail, 176 Westwood Ave.
- LOUDENSLAGER, Oscar W. (J '28), Engr., Goodyear Zeppelin Corp., Akron, and for mail, 1543 18th St., Cuyahoga Falls.
- LOUDY, Flavius E. ('27), Designer, Goodyear Zeppelin Corp., and for mail, 509 Crosby St.
- MAAS, E. F. ('16), Spec. Engr., Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, and for mail, R. D. 1, Box 107, Cuyahoga Falls.
- MACLAHLAN, Andrew D. (A-M '27), Devel. Engr., B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., and for mail, 148 N. Portage Path.
- MARTENS, Chas. H. (J '20), Devel. Aero. Engr., Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., and for mail, 55 E. Mapledale Ave.
- MARTIN, Henry (A-M '17), Asst. Ch. Engr., Miller Rubber Co., Akron, and for mail, 166 E. Prospect St., Wadsworth.
- MOORHOUSE, R. Wharton ('20), Chem. Engr., Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, and for mail, Box 145, Fairlawn.
- MURPHY, John N. (J '24), M. E., Power Dept., B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., and for mail, 76 Hamilton Ave.
- OAKLEY, John R. (J '27), Tire Design, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., and for mail, 83 Pfeiffer Ave.
- PERKS, Geo. W. ('08), Pres., Geo. W. Perks Co., and for mail, 840 Work Drive.
- PETTERSEN, W. E. (J '28), Asst. Process Engr., B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., and for mail, Box 2039, Goodrich St. Sta.
- PIKE, Kenneth W. ('24; A-M '26), Asst. M. E., Quaker Oats Co.
- PITKIN, Stephen H. ('91), Retired, 422 W. Market St.
- PURDY, Harold C. (A-M '24), Mch. Designer, B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., and for mail, 849 Bloomfield Ave.
- REPLOGLE, Mark A. ('98), Pres., Replogle Heater Co., 802 Chalker St.
- SANTINELLI, Martin (J '30), Engrg. Flying Squadron, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., and for mail, 28 Maxine Place.
- SEEKINS, A. W. (A-M '30), Designer, Engr., Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., and for mail, 1832 Tonawanda Ave.
- SHAW, Edwin C. ('90; '92), Retired, 1037 2nd National Bldg.
- SPROULL, John C. ('18), M. E., 393 Woodland Ave.
- STAACKE, Chas. W. (J '24), Devel. Engr., B. F. Goodrich Co., and for mail, 482 Noble Ave.
- VANCE, J. Henry ('21), Cons. Engr., Akron Pure Milk Co., and for mail, 402 Crosby St.
- WANER, Harry E. ('23; '27), Asst. Mgr., Mch. & Process Devel. Dept., B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., and for mail, 1091 Bloomfield Ave.
- WILKINSON, Clarence M. ('14; '22), Engr., Mgr., C. M. Wilkinson Co., Flatiron Bldg., and for mail, 420 Morning View Ave.
- WINKLER, Guy A. (J '28), Pat. Atty., B. F. Goodrich Co., and for mail, Apt. 406, 22 Rhodes Ave.
- YOUNG, J. Edw. (J '20), Engr., Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., and for mail, 2266 12th St., S. W.

ALLIANCE, Cleveland Section

- CROUCH, Ray C. (A-M '30), Genl. Mgr., A. C. Reeves Steel Constr. Co., 338 Rush St., and for mail, 636 South St.
- DAVIS, O. A. ('20), Ch. Engr., Reeves Bros. Co., and for mail, 849 Parkway Blvd.
- GROSS, Harold J. (J '24), 119 W. Main St.
- KELLER, Walter D. ('22), M. E., Alliance Mch. Co., and for mail, 1125 S. Freedom Ave.
- KENDALL, E. Homer ('16; '26), Alliance Mch. Co., and for mail, 628 Vincent St.

ASHTABULA, Cleveland Section

- GAIN, John N. (A-M '20), Loco. Engr., 6 Whitlam St.