

FILE NAME: Kentile (KEN)

DATE: 1972 June 12

DOC#: KEN007

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Memo from AIA RE Meeting About Future Industry Action with Regard to the New OSHA Asbestos Standards

Asbestos Information Association/North America

22 East 40th Street
New York, N. Y. 10016
(212) 681-8206

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT
KEN-25

RECEIVED

JAN 17 1993

BARON & BUDD

June 12, 1972

TO: AIA/NA MEMBER COMPANIES

AIA/NA ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL SUB-COMMITTEE

AIA/NA LEGAL COUNSEL

James Armstrong	- Bendix Corporation
E. C. Bratt	- H. K. Porter Company, Inc.
G. G. Gabrielson, Jr.	- Nicolet Industries, Inc.
Bernard Gross	- American Bilt Rite Rubber Company
J. C. Harkins, Jr.	- Congoleum Industries Inc.
A. R. Hooker	- The Flintkote Company
C. A. Neumann	- Kentile Floors Incorporated
G. W. Nickel	- Armstrong Cork Company
J. W. Rawlings	- Union Carbide Corporation
Clifford Seymour	- The Carborundum Company
Philip Weinstein	- Evertex Incorporated
G. W. Wright, M.D.	- St. Luke's Hospital

Gentlemen:

On June 22, 1972, a special meeting of the Asbestos Information Association/North America will be held in the Biddle Room of the Harvard Club, 27 West 44th Street, New York City. The meeting will begin at 10 A.M. and will continue through lunch.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss future industry action with regard to the new Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) standards on asbestos.

Because of the generally reasonable regulations issued by OSHA and the 1976 effective date of the two fiber standard, the asbestos industry may be lured into a false sense of security and consider the OSHA battle to be over. This would be a most serious error to make. If we are to convince OSHA that the two fiber standard and other unfavorable sections of the regulations must be changed, then we must begin now to develop the medical, technical and economic evidence necessary to prove our point. In the introduction to the regulations on page 11318 of the Federal Register, it states:

"In view of the undisputed grave consequences from exposure to asbestos fibers, it is essential that the exposure be regulated now, on the basis of the best evidence available now, even though it may not be as good as scientifically desirable. An asbestos standard can be reevaluated in the light of the results of ongoing studies, and future studies, but cannot wait for them. Lives of employees are at stake."

The regulations can be changed, but it is up to the industry to prove to OSHA that changes are necessary and what those changes should be. It is desirable, therefore, for the AIA/NA to monitor ongoing studies and to encourage and support additional studies as are needed to:

1. Determine as precisely as possible over the next four years a safe numerical standard for the various asbestos-related diseases. While much has been accomplished in this area in the past, additional studies are needed.
2. Determine whether one or more varieties of asbestos is more or less hazardous than any other variety.
3. Determine through on-the-job evaluation the technological feasibility of achieving both five and two fibers throughout the industry.
4. Determine the actual cost to the industry in both dollars and jobs of achieving two and five fibers.
5. Determine the degree of reliability of the membrane filter method as a policing and monitoring tool in the asbestos industry. A proposal for an AIA/NA sponsored study in this area is presently under consideration.
6. Develop other evidence as required to establish the necessity of additional changes in the regulations as deemed desirable by the industry.

In addition to the above, other topics to be covered at the June 22 meeting will include:

- a. The overall effect on the industry of the new standards.

- b. Programs of assistance for industry companies and customers to help them comply with the regulations. *Long*
Tolson
- c. The establishment of a cooperative working relationship with OSHA with regard to the implementation and interpretation of the standards.
- d. The advisability of legal action by the AIA/NA against OSHA, especially in light of (1) the four year effective date of the two fiber standard, (2) the favorable decisions by OSHA on most other industry recommendations (see attached two page summary of OSHA acceptance of industry vs NIOSH and Advisory Committee recommendations), (3) the high cost (\$300-500,000) of such a suit, (4) the slim possibility of success, according to AIA/NA legal counsel, and (5) the adverse effect that such a suit would have on our working relationship with OSHA.

With regard to point c. above, the AIA/NA is presently in the process of arranging a meeting with representatives from the standards development and enforcement sections of OSHA to resolve some questions with regard to the interpretation of certain sections of the regulations. If your company has any questions of this nature, please let me know as soon as possible so that they may be included on the agenda for our meeting with OSHA. It is the AIA/NA's intention to establish a continuing program of uniform standards interpretation with OSHA, so that industry questions and problems may be resolved at the highest levels in Washington, rather than through OSHA regional offices, which may differ in their standards interpretation and enforcement practices from one region to another.

Because of the relatively short time remaining before the June 22 meeting, we would appreciate hearing from you as soon as possible whether you or a representative will be able to attend this most important AIA/NA planning meeting.

Sincerely,

M. M. Swetonic

M. M. Swetonic
Executive Secretary

Enclosure

AIA/NA MEMBER COMPANIES

George Barge
H. R. Brown
J. D. Christian
A. H. Fay
W. E. Gateswood
J. H. Marsh
C. G. Morgan
J. L. Rainey
Kurt Schwarz
F. J. Solon, Jr.
E. W. Swain
S. D. Weaver
Paul Weiner

AIA/NA ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL SUB-COMMITTEE

E. M. Fenner
Ike Weaver
Frank Zimmerman
vc: E.J. Killian

AIA/NA LEGAL COUNSEL

Bradley Walls

A. H. FAY
JUN 12 1972
F. J. ELLIOTT and MARY

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Industry Position</u>
1. Numerical Standard	Five fibers with <u>no</u> automatic reduction to two fibers.
2. Labeling	No label on locked-in asbestos containing products. The words "cancer" or "danger" should not be used on labels.
3. Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring should be conducted at a frequency necessary to assure compliance with the environmental standards. Management should decide frequency.
4. Protective Clothing	No protective clothing required below 5-10 times T _{ba} .
5. Waste Disposal	Only waste that generate dust in excess of limits should be disposed.

1001 OF 112503

NIOSH/Advisory Committee
Recommendation

Five fibers with an automatic reduction to two fibers in two years.

All asbestos-containing products should be labeled. Label should carry words "cancer" and "danger."

NIOSH: Annual monitoring at stations below TMA. Quarterly monitoring at stations above TMA. ADVISORY COMMITTEE: Twice-yearly monitoring at stations below TMA. Monthly monitoring at stations above TMA.

NIOSH: Protective clothing required in all areas above limit. ADVISORY COMMITTEE: No protective clothing below 10 times TMA.

All waste should be bagged.

Final OSHA Standard

Five fibers with an automatic reduction to two fibers in four years.

No label on locked-in asbestos-containing products. Word "cancer" and "danger" will not be used.

Monitoring every six months at stations above TMA. Monitoring at other stations at a frequency to assure compliance with environmental standards. Management to decide frequency.

Protective clothing required only where peak exposures above limit (10 fibers) occur.

Only wastes that generate dust in excess of limits

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Industry Position</u>
6. Frequency of Medical Examinations	Exams every two years for workers with less than 10 years exposure. Exams every year for workers with more than 10 years exposure, or with symptoms of disease.
7. Medical Surveillance	Employer should "provide or make available" medical exams as required.
8. Medical Records	Employer shall maintain records and have access to them as required to comply with regulations.
9. Wearing of Respirators	No specific recommendations.

NIOSH/Advisory Committee
Recommendation

NIOSH OSHA Standard

NIOSH: Same as industry position. Advisory Committee: Same as industry position.

Annual exams required of all employees exposed to asbestos dust, regardless of length of employment.

NIOSH: No specific recommendation. Advisory Committee: Employee should select physician to perform exam. Employer to pay cost of exam.

Same as industry position.

NIOSH: No specific recommendation. Advisory Committee: Employer shall not maintain records nor have access to them.

Same as industry position.

NIOSH: No specific recommendation. Advisory Committee: No employee shall be required to wear a respirator if he has any of a long list of symptoms of disease.

Physician selected by employer to conduct medical surveillance program shall decide if employee can or cannot wear respirator.