

File

7-11-70
R.C. Frick
John Rose

PITTSBURGH CORNING CORPORATION

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT

U-527

To *Walter Lovett*

From Joseph J. Wilson

Subject: NIMA Trip Report

Date March 16, 1970

Dear Walt:

I realize that you were present at this meeting in New York, but I thought it best to put the results of the meeting in writing.

The agenda for the meeting called for:

- (1) A discussion of Revised Specification for Calcium Silicate C 533:

Two negative votes from Harry Hoops and Ed Smiley were reviewed and suggested changes were to be discussed and voted upon in ASTM 16.20 Committee.

- (2) Proposed inside diameters of Rigid High Temperature Insulation:

This was referred back to Bill Turner and ASTM with the indication of non-acceptance by NIMA. All present felt that the cost of meeting these new dimensions would cost entirely too much.

- (3) Special Committee on "Potential Health Hazards of Thermal Insulation":

There was a very short discussion on this report and it was felt that those present could offer nothing to this report. It will be held until further information is available.

At this point in the meeting, J. Barnhart stated that three states (Kansas, Massachusetts, and California) have introduced a bill in the State Legislature which would prevent the use of asbestos fiber insulation (or any other material that can become airborne) in air circulating ducts. J. Barnhart will keep informed as to the action taken and let NIMA participants know the results.

The proposed changes (deletions) to MIL-Std. 769c were then discussed and the result of this discussion was to turn these suggested changes over to "The Health and Safety" Committee of NIMA. This committee will keep NIMA people informed as to the action taken.

- (4) Standard Packaging - GSA Recommendation:

A short discussion on this subject indicated "non-acceptance" of these suggested packaging requirements and all members are requested to submit in writing the list of sizes that do meet these recommendations so as to show the extensive packaging change needed to meet these recommendations if they were accepted by the industry. This is to be provided to J. Barnhart by May 1, 1970.

John Rose
I would like to discuss this
24. 8

RJ

Dismissed
John Rose
3/25/70
RJW

Walter Lovett
-- March 16, 1970

All present were requested once more to review MaRad Specification and this time offer "Constructive Criticism" not just negative comments. Comments will be sent to the Government T & R Committee.

A short discussion was held on the merits of the "long-term" and the "7-day" stress corrosion test in conjunction with the proposed ASTM Specification. There was no definite decision made for the record.

I think this covers everything.

Best regard,



Joseph J. Wilson

JJW:gl