FILE NAME: Insulators Workers' Comp Claims (IWC)

DATE: 1959

DOC#: IWC028

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Claimant - Thorsted, Roy

FILED AND SERVED: 12-30-59
On all parties of
record--R.Crowley

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNI/

CLAIM NO. OAK 1367

ROY THORSTED)
Applicant)
vs.)
PLANT ASBESTOS COMPANY, ARMSTRONG CORK COMPANY, PACIFIC EMPLOYERS INSURANCE COMPANY, INDUSTRIAL INDEMNITY COMPANY, STANDARD ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY and TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY) FINDINGS AND ORDER
Defendants)

The above entitled matter having been regularly submitted before John H. Ankele, Referee, said Referee now makes his decision as follows:

COPY

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Roy Thorsted, an insulation installer, born December 1, 1926, was employed by Plant Asbestos Company and Armstrong Cork Company for various periods of time as indicated by the record, and alleges that he sustained an injury arising out of and occurring in the course of his employments, consisting of an asbestosis.

2. Applicant did not sustain any injury arising out of or occurring in the course of his said employments.

3. The disability of which applicant complains was not proximately caused, or caused at all, by any injury arising out of or occurring in the course of his employments, or by his employment

O R D E R

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that applicant take nothing by reason of his claim asserted in this proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Amended Claim of Line filed herein by the Department of Employment on April 27, 1959, be and the same is hereby disallowed.

JHA:rc

(S E A L)

JOHN H. ANKELE, REFEREE
INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION

FORM 297
2975 8-59 125M
SPO

Claimant
Ray Thorsted

State
California

Initial Claim
Plant~~s~~ Asbestos Company
Armstrong Cork

Date
12/30/59
"

Return to RCS
Dan Light.

INTER/OFFICE COMMUNICATION

Armstrong CONTRACTING

January 6, 1960

To J. E. Zeller, Lancaster

From J. S. Taylor, San Francisco

Subject Report of Findings & Order
Roy Thorsted vrs. Employers

DICK
YOU'LL BE IAN INTERESTED IN THIS
1/8/60

Enclosed report of Findings and Order in connection with asbestosis claim of Roy Thorsted before the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California.

I assume you will want to advise our Insurance Carrier through the Armstrong Cork Company of this decision.

DJM

J S T

FORM 31501 1-59

March 17, 1960

Mr. D. B. Edgar
V. L. P. Shriver Agency
1801 Union Bank Building
Pittsburgh 22, Pa.

Dear Dave:

Subject: Claim #96-C-928392
Roy Thorsted
D/A - 2/18/59 - Calif.

My tardy acknowledgment certainly does not indicate a lack of appreciation on my part of your March 9 letter regarding the disposition of this claim.

As you know, these pneumonoconiosis and asbestosis claims are on the increase in many sections of the country, and we are certainly happy to hear that Standard Accident's defense resulted only in an expense item of $183 for legal services.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

R. C. Schiedt, Jr.
Insurance Department

JEZ

RCS

STANDARD ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY
DETROIT

V. L. P. SHRIVER AGENCY, General Agent
1801 UNION BANK BLDG.
PITTSBURGH 22, PENNSYLVANIA
Phone Grant 1-7800

March 9, 1960

MAR 10 1960 WLH

Mr. R. C. Schiedt, Jr.
Insurance Department
Armstrong Cork Company
Lancaster, Penna.

Dear Mr. Schiedt:

Re:- Claim No. 96-C-928392
Roy Thorsted, Injured
Employee - 2/18/59
Policy No. AZ-688800

The Standard Accident Insurance Company has closed their file on the captioned case. No payments were made and the only expense to them was $183.00 for legal services.

The above was an Asbestos Worker in California and suffered what is known as Pneumonoconiosis with date of disability indicated as February 18, 1959. You may recall furnishing the San Bruno, California office of the Standard Accident Insurance Company with Mr. Thorsted's employment record for the years 1950 and 1951.

We are closing our file in the matter.

Thanking you, we are

Very truly yours,

V. L. P. SHRIVER AGENCY

D. B. Edgar

FILED AND SERVED: 12-30-59
On all parties of
record--R.Crowley

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CLAIM NO. OAK 1367

ROY THORSTED)
Applicant)
vs.)
PLANT ASBESTOS COMPANY, ARMSTRONG CORK COMPANY, PACIFIC EMPLOYERS INSURANCE COMPANY, INDUSTRIAL INDEMNITY COMPANY, STANDARD ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY and TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY) FINDINGS AND ORDER
Defendants)

The above entitled matter having been regularly submitted before John H. Ankele, Referee, said Referee now makes his decision as follows:

COPY

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Roy Thorsted, an insulation installer, born December 1, 1926, was employed by Plant Asbestos Company and Armstrong Cork Company for various periods of time as indicated by the record, and alleges that he sustained an injury arising out of and occurring in the course of his employments, consisting of an asbestosis.

2. Applicant did not sustain any injury arising out of or occurring in the course of his said employments.

3. The disability of which applicant complains was not proximately caused, or caused at all, by any injury arising out of or occurring in the course of his employments, or by his employments

O R D E R

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that applicant take nothing by reason of his claim asserted in this proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Amended Claim of Line filed herein by the Department of Employment on April 27, 1959, be and the same is hereby disallowed.

JHA:rc

(S E A L)

JOHN H. ANKELE, REFEREE
INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION

FORM 297
2975 6-59 125M
SPO

JOHN H. ANKELE, Referee
Oakland, 10-7-59

THORSTED
Injury:

59 OAK 1307
Asbestosis

REPORT OF REFEREE

The employee, an insulation installer, born December 1, 1926, claims to have sustained an asbestosis as a result of employment with various defendants.

ISSUES:
Occupation
Injury
Medical
Disability
Statute of limitations
Lack of notice and prejudice
Maritime jurisdiction
Litigation costs
Lien claim Department of Employment

GERALD L. CRENSHAW, M.D., testified on behalf of the applicant in substance as follows:

He is duly licensed to practice medicine in the State of California. His specialty is diseases of the chest and thoracic surgery. He first saw the applicant on December 29, 1958, in his office and received a history as indicated in his report and the hospital records.

He based his conclusion on the pathology report of Dr. Watson and his clinical examination. Applicant has a pathology caused by the inhalation of asbestos fibers, working with a product containing 85% magnesium and 15% asbestos. His experience indicated that if asbestos particles are sufficient in amount, some will cause scarring of the lungs. At this time he can not state whether or not there will be a progression but if there is no further exposure, there might not be any major disability. His advice would be against further exposure to asbestos. He can work at his old job by using inhalators or protective masks. He does not know how much the fibrosis will progress in the future. The lung has a fantastic reserve. Only time will tell if the present condition will work without further exposure.

He was paid $ 5.00 for his testimony.

The surgery was for diagnostic purposes. At the time of the surgery, the applicant was not disabled. The work history was taken by the nurse after he had seen the patient. The size of the particles of asbestos and the concentration were important.

When he last examined the applicant on October 5, 1959, the vital capacity averaged about 4 liters, and 75 liters per minute is not far off from normal. There may also be a chemical action in asbestosis cases but in asbestosis it is only suspected, whereas the chemical action is known in silicosis.

There was emphysema. He can not give the size of the particles necessary to cause asbestosis. They will cause a loss of elasticity of the lung. He has seen two other cases of asbestosis, fellow employees of the applicant, one of which was much more severe.

His charges are set forth in the bill. They are in accordance with the custom of the county.

Six fibers per cubic centimeter are a small amount. History is an important factor in the diagnosis. What makes it conclusive is the pathologist's report. Even if he had not known of the two fellow employees having asbestosis, his conclusion in this case would have been the same. He does not know the exact work history of the other employees involved but understands they worked with asbestos and fiberglass over ten years. He would say that exposure to fiberglass plays no part in applicant's disability. He does not know how much time was spent with fiberglass and how much time with asbestos.

The last X-ray was taken October 5, 1959. His X-ray reading differs from the readings of Dr. Hinshaw. The asbestosis is not severe but in working he must have a proper inhalator. The symptoms can be indicative of many other diseases. The diagnosis was positive after surgery. A number of particles would not be uniform throughout the lung. He does perform major surgery for diagnostic purposes and this is very definitely the practice of other specialists. It is an accepted surgical procedure.

HEARING OF DECEMBER 3, 1959:

H. CORWIN HINSHAW, M.D., testified in behalf of defendants in substance as follows: His specialty is internal medicine, especially diseases of the chest for the last 25 years. He examined the applicant on September 3, 1959. After the examination he examined the tissue removed at the time of the surgery. He also reviewed the X-rays and the report of Dr. Crenshaw, and the pathologist's report as contained in the report of Dr. Crenshaw. The original report of Dr. Watson, the pathologist, of October 1, 1959, does not change the original opinion expressed in his report of September 10, 1959, and September 25, 1959. The history contained in his reports was dictated in applicant's presence. He, himself, finds no asbestosis, and applicant does not have asbestosis now nor did he have asbestosis before. He is not disabled. Dr. Watson, the pathologist, found no inflammatory tissue, but some sparse asbestos particles, but those bodies did not produce any disease.

Dr. Bowman in the pathologists' report and the hospital records indicates some scarring in the section that he examined. Dr. Watson does not find any such scarring. He does not believe that he saw the report of Dr. Bowman before but the doctor did not indicate the cause. In any event, this report does not refute normal breathing capacity nor the lack of X-rays evidence of asbestosis or clinical evidence of asbestosis.

Thereports of the two pathologists are not in agreement. Dr. Watson found fibers. Dr. Bowman found none. The fibers found were very few. Such fibers are not easily missed. Dr. Watson was very definite as to the finding of no pathology in the lung tissue. It is reasonable to assume that if fibers were not found by Dr. Bowman, that the pathology he found was not caused by asbestos. Dr. Bowman made no finding of asbestosis or a disabling lung condition but this witness can not state the cause of the conditions found by Dr. Bowman. With a history given by a doctor, then there must be an X-ray examination. Previous X-rays must also be studied, if available, as well as the medical history. If these matters demonstrate a pneumonoconiosis, there should be a breathing capacity test. Then if there is no definite diagnosis, it would not be unusual to make a biopsy. With negative findings on X-rays as in this case, he, himself, would not have performed a lung biopsy but there are others who would.

Fees for biopsy vary. Certain fee schedules, such as the Industrial Accident Commission, the minimal fees are not adhered to by many doctors. The Medical Association has a fee schedule. He does not know what is reasonable or customary, however, he, himself, would not have recommended the procedure in this case. The finding of diminution of energy is not diagnostic. Fatigue and pain across the chest are not necessarily symptoms of asbestosis. Shortness of breath after activity is one of the symptoms but not specifically of asbestosis. The shortness of breath on activity is generally diagnostic of some lung or heart disorder.

Cough and production of yellowish sputum also is diagnostic but not of any specific lung disorder. With the history of shortness of breath on activity, cough producing yellowish sputum and other findings and clinical history in reference to Mr. Thorsted would not lead him to make a tentative diagnosis of asbestosis. He would then proceed with further tests. A lung biopsy is one method of getting a diagnosis. It is major surgery, not minor. If he suspected a lung disease for which treatment would benefit, he would perform a biopsy.

The report of Dr. Bowman was not totally consistent with asbestosis. His only explanation of the difference between the report of Dr. Watson and that of Dr. Bowman is that they took a different portion of the lungs.

From the record the amount of lung tissue removed is about the size of half the palm of one's hand, and this, of course, is enough for many slides. In general all portions of the lung will be similarly affected but they will not be entirely uniform as a finding of fibers of asbestos. He, himself, thinks that the X-rays that he reviewed are normal, although Dr. Crenshaw thought them to be abnormal. He does not think that Dr. Crenshaw's findings are reasonable if they looked at the same films. There is no way of resolving scar tissue or the destruction caused by typical asbestosis. The progress is irreversible. The lung function tests that he took were quite normal. Forced breathing exercises will clear out mucus in blocked areas, but they will clear out themselves if the patient breathes deeply. There is remarkably little actual increase in lung capacity following forced breathing, although there often is a marked sense of well being, following such treatment.

The additional information just obtained from the hospital record which contains a history of excessive smoking of about 30 cigarettes a day, led him to conclude that any one who smokes that many cigarettes would be entitled to all the symptoms that Thorsted had. When he took the history, he only received a history of smoking a few cigarettes a day. It is much more likely that bronchiectasis caused the pathology found by Dr. Bowman as Dr. Bowman found no asbestos fibers.

The symptom history in the first paragraph of Dr. Crenshaw's report on May 25, 1959, is indicative of many lung diseases or none at all.

When the sputum is yellowish, it indicates an infection of some sort. Before a biopsy he would test the sputum but would not be critical if it were not done.

The section referred to by Dr. Bowman was probably the whole section. A description of the specimen as a whole is that of normal lung tissue.

The operative findings of Dr. Crenshaw does not mean much to the witness.

Ordinarily, asbestosis is a progressive condition as long as there is continued exposure. It is not like silicosis where there is progression without further exposure. Although there is some dispute in this respect, it is in accordance with British opinion. Asbestos fibers will produce irritation, inflammation and fibrosis. Fibrosis causes the lung to become rigid and less capable of expansion. It is probably a mechanical thing as distinct from a chemical irritation as is caused by silicosis. In silicosis the particles are very minute and they are retained in the lung, and continue to irritate the lung. Perhaps many thousands of fibers are not harmful in the lung but breathing millions would, and certain persons would be more susceptible than others. There is no evidence of any mechanical irritation of the lung in respect to the tissues where they were found. Asbestos fibers can be expelled. In the studies of Dr. Sanders he found no progression but did not mention any improvement over the period.

APPLICANT testified in substance as follows:

He has been working with asbestos materials for 15 or 18 years, applying insulation on water lines and boilers. He uses the asbestos material in block form, and the form that fits the pipe. The blocks will usually run three feet long, and the thickness is in accordance with the specifications. The pipe forms are the same length and they are cut with saws and the cutting causes a fine powder, and sometimes causes dust to the extent that a person is covered with the dust, and his hair becomes white. He thinks this is a typical situation working with asbestos materials. This is a typical work situation at Plant Asbestos and the majority of work for Armstrong was similar to this situation.

About a year ago he got short of breath and pooped, and he went to see Dr. Crenshaw. He noticed the shortness of breath even climbing a six foot ladder and climbing on staging. After three flights of stairs in this building, he would become short of breath. His chest ached and he coughed a lot. He first noticed the coughing before shortness of breath. The first time he stopped work was the end of February, then he was off approximately two months for surgery. He admits that the approximate date was February [illegible], 1959, to April 20, 1959. He returned to work to Plant Asbestos and stopped working for them about six weeks ago. Then went to work for Thorpe. After he was off a week with the flu, he was employed by Thorpe.

He first knew he had asbestosis after the surgery. He thought he had it before but not before he went to see Dr. Crenshaw. The treatment after surgery helped.

Blue Cross paid $[illegible] on the surgery only. The balance of the bill is unpaid. Additional charges will leave a balance of $[illegible] for treatment. He paid the balance of the hospital bill, $100.00, and the Blue Cross paid $[illegible]. He paid $[illegible] on bill for the prescriptions. He was discharged by the doctor in October 1959 from treatment and is to return only if he does not feel right. He received $[illegible] unemployment disability.

He is all right now except he feels tired all the time. He is improved by rest. He can not put out as much as he did before. There is more effort to do a full day's work. He still has pains in his chest off and on. These occur three to four times a day, and sometimes they will be there for an hour steady. They are not too severe. Before surgery he smoked 30 cigarettes a day. After surgery he stopped smoking but the last two months after returning to work he smoked about four or five a day.

He did work at Napa Pale, for Armstrong Cork, three or four days where insulation was against cold, and he used magnesium pipe covering. On one job he used wool felt. This job lasted only for a day. He did not work with air cell for Armstrong, but while working for Armstrong he used unitestos and amosite. Unitestos and cork was the difference at Armstrong. On July 4th, 1958, he started on the hand saw at the U.S. A power house job at Antioch. He worked there 14 or 15 months. He mostly used unitestos/cork. That time he did almost everything in the insulation line.

There were a couple of boat jobs at Moore Shipyards in Oakland. Also worked at Hastings Law School; also at the Napa State Hospital job.

The family doctor is Dr. Paoli of Daly City. All he has had from him is a routine check. He did not advise the employer that he was going to the hospital for asbestosis.

From 1956 to 1958 he worked steadily for Plant Asbestos. Most of the installation for Plant Asbestos was indoors; new construction mostly. About 1/3 of the time he used magnesium pipe covering. Also some fiberglass and very little foam glass. There is about 15 or 18% asbestos fiber in magnesium pipe covering. Unitestos is about 10% asbestos.

DISCUSSION AND DECISION:

The great preponderance of the medical evidence indicates that applicant does not and did not have asbestosis, therefore, a denial award will issue.

JHA ws

JOHN H. ANKELS, Referee

WARREN L. HANNA
DONALD R. BROPHY
WALTER JAKOBSEN
IVAN A. SCHWAB
ROBERT N. MAC LEAN
JOHN A. MAC DONALD
EDWARD P. MC ALEER
HERBERT C. JENSEN
EDWARD W. KORAB

J. M. MC ELRATH
THOMAS B. RICHARDSON
DONALD V. MITCHELL
GEORGE W. BONNEY

LAW OFFICES OF
HANNA & BROPHY
1540 SAN PABLO AVENUE
OAKLAND 12, CALIFORNIA
TEMPLEBAR 2-5569

SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE
100 BUSH STREET
SAN FRANCISCO 4

FRESNO OFFICE
SECURITY BANK BLDG.
FRESNO 21, CALIF.

SACRAMENTO OFFICE
926 J STREET
SACRAMENTO 14, CALIF.

SAN JOSE OFFICE
2000 HEDDING STREET
SAN JOSE 28, CALIF.

October 16, 1959

Armstrong Contracting & Supply Corp.
304 Shaw Road
South San Francisco, California

Attention: Mr. John S. Taylor

Re: Roy Thorsted vs.
Armstrong Cork Co., et al

Gentlemen:

This case is coming up for further hearing, and it is important to have more detailed information as to the type of products handled by Mr. Thorsted and his work history. We have earnings report, but we would like a detailed report similar to the report that you submitted under date of September 14, 1959, to Travelers Insurance Company, on the claim of Robert Zini.

Dr. Hinshaw received a history that Mr. Thorsted did a good bit of work on boats at Bethlehem Shipyard. We would like to know whether this was new ship construction or work on boats that had previously been commissioned.

Very truly yours,

IAS:SR
c.c. Armstrong Cork Co.
Lancaster, Pa.
Att: R. C. Schiedt, Jr.
Insurance Dept.
c.c. Travelers Insurance Co.
Oakland (B-8972646)
c.c. Standard Acc. Ins. Co.
(96-C-928392)

Enclosure

3x5 card - filed Calif 52

RCS

[illegible] BRANCH OFFICE
THE [illegible] INSURANCE COMPANY
1500 WEBSTER ST.
OAKLAND 12, CALIF.
TEMPLEBAR 2-8200

June 30, 1959

Armstrong Cork Company,
304 Shaw Road,
San Francisco, California.

B-8972646
Armstrong Cork Co.
re: Roy Thorsted
d/a 1-1-52

Gentlemen:

Please submit, in quadruplicate, a statement showing dates of employment, hourly rate, occupation, location of employment, date of and reason for termination, gross earnings for the twelve month period immediately preceding termination, whether Mr. Thorsted was a temporary or permanent employeem and whether in his employment with your company his duties exposed him to asbestos.

A hearing before the Industrial Accident Commission will be held very shortly, and this information is required by our attorneys so that we may afford you a proper defense.

Very truly yours,

N. H. Abreu
Adjuster

NHA:JJ
cc: Armstrong Cork Co., Lancaster, Pa.

EMPLOYER'S REPORT OF INDUSTRIAL INJURY

TO

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
DIVISION OF LABOR STATISTICS AND RESEARCH

Every question must be answered fully to avoid further correspondence. FAILURE TO FILE IS A MISDEMEANOR SUBJECT TO MAXIMUM FINE OF $100.
(Labor Code, Sections 6407-6413)

Claim Department
THE TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY
1956 Webster Street, Oakland 12, Calif.

Please make this report in DUPLICATE so that we can file copies with Division of Labor Statistics and Research in your behalf. Every work injury to an employee which causes disability lasting longer than the day of the injury or which requires medical services other than first aid treatment must be reported within five days after the injury. **If the injury results in death, a report must be made by telephone or telegraph directly to the Division of Labor Statistics and Research not later than 24 hours after death.**

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS COLUMN

Case No.

Employer No.

Industry

Age

Sex and Marital Status

Weekly Wage

County

Accident Date

Occupation

Accident Type

Agency

Agency Part

Mech. Defect

Unsafe Act

Personal Defect

Nature of Injury

Location

Extent of Injury

Insurance Carrier

Report Lag

Coded by

EMPLOYER

1. Name (Give name under which concern does business) _____
2. Office address (No. and Street) _____ (City or Town) _____
3. Nature of business (Manufacturing shoes, retailing men's clothes, trucking for hire, etc.) _____

INJURED EMPLOYEE

4. Name _____ Soc. Sec. No. _____
5. Address (No. and Street) _____ (City and State) _____
6. Age _____ 7. Sex: Check (✓) Male _____ Female _____ 8. Check (✓) Married _____ Single _____
9. Number of hours worked per day _____; per week _____ Number of days worked per week _____
10. Wages: $_____ per hour, or $_____ per day, or $_____ per week. (If earnings at irregular rate, such as piece work or on commission basis, enter actual average weekly earnings for convenient period not to exceed one year.)
11. If board, lodging, or other advantages furnished in addition to wages, give estimated value $_____ per day, or $_____ per week

ACCIDENT

12. Place of accident (No. and Street) _____ (City or Town) _____ (County) _____
13. On employer's premises (Yes or No) _____ 14. Department _____
15. Date of accident _____ 16. Hour of day _____ A.M./P.M. 17. Did injury result in disability beyond day of accident? (Yes or No) _____ 18. If yes, give date last worked _____ 19. Was injured paid in full for this day? (Yes or No) _____ 20. If injured in a mine, check (✓) accident location: Surface _____ Mill _____ Underground _____ Shaft _____

CAUSE OF ACCIDENT

21. Occupation (job title) _____ 22. How long employed by you at this occupation? Check (✓) Less than 6 months _____; 6 months to 2 years _____; over 2 years _____ 23. What was employee doing when accident occurred? (Describe briefly, such as: loading truck, operating drill press, shoveling dirt, walking down stairs, etc.) _____
24. How did the accident happen? (Describe fully, stating whether the injured person fell, was struck, etc.; give all factors contributing to accident. Use other side of report for additional space) _____
25. What machine, tool, substance, or object was most closely connected with the accident? (Name the specific machine, tool, appliance, gas, liquid, etc., involved) _____
26. If mechanical apparatus or vehicle, what part of it? (State if gears, pulley, motor, etc.) _____
27. Were mechanical guards, or other safeguards provided? (Yes or No) _____ 28. Was injured using them? (Yes or No) _____
29. What do you recommend for preventing this type of accident? (State the specific preventive measures that can be taken by employer and workers. Do not say, "By being more careful." Specify what should or should not be done) _____

NATURE OF INJURY AND PART OF BODY AFFECTED

30. (Describe in detail the nature of the injury and the part of the body affected For example: amputation of right index finger at second joint, fracture of ribs, lead poisoning, dermatitis of left hand, etc.) _____
31. Name and address of physician _____
32. Name and address of hospital _____
33. Has employee returned to work? (Yes or No) _____ 34. If yes, give date _____ 35. At what wage? $_____ per _____
36. Did injury result in death? (Yes or No) _____ 37. If yes, give date _____
38. In case of death, give name and address of nearest relative _____
39. Names and addresses of witnesses _____
40. Is injured related to Employer? _____ If so how? _____

Date of this report _____

Signed by _____ Official position _____
Signature

Filing of this report is not an admission of liability. "... No report of injury required to be filed by an employer or an insurer by this chapter shall be admissible as evidence in any adversary proceeding before the Industrial Accident Commission." Labor Code, Section 6413.

C-4587 Rev. 7-53 PRINTED IN U.S.A.

June 23, 1959

Standard Accident Insurance Company
840 W. San Bruno Avenue
San Bruno, Calif.

Gentlemen: Attention: Vera E. Dowling

In reply to your letter of June 15, we enclose Roy Thorsted's employment record for the years 1950 and 1951.

Very truly yours,

ARMSTRONG CORK COMPANY

R. C. Schiedt, Jr.
Insurance Department

JEZ

Enclosures

V.L.P. Shriver Agency
1801 Union Bank Building
Pittsburgh 22, Pa.

Earnings of Employes

Claim No. 960928392

Standard Accident Insurance Co.

DETROIT

Compensation Claim Department

Assured Armstrong Cork Company Injured Roy Thorsted Accident February 18 19 59

Schedule of Weekly Earnings

Note: { Please give actual earnings of injured employe for _____ weeks preceding accident. If not on daily or weekly basis, explain basis of earnings.

Week No.	Week From Date	Week To Date	Amount Paid including overtime or extra work	Number of days worked
1	12/25/50	12/31/50	84 00	4
2	1/2/51	1/7/51	84 00	4
3	1/8/51	1/14/51	105 00	5
4	1/15/51	1/21/51	84 00	4
5	1/22/51	1/28/51	105 00	5
6	1/29/51	2/4/51	105 00	5
7	2/5/51	2/11/51	105 00	5
8	2/12/51	2/18/51	105 00	5
9	2/19/51	2/25/51	84 00	4
10	2/26/51	3/4/51	94 50	5
11	3/5/51	3/11/51	105 00	5
12	3/12/51	3/18/51	105 00	5
13	3/19/51	3/25/51	105 01	5
14	3/26/51	4/1/51	105 00	5
15	4/2/51	4/8/51	105 00	5
16	4/9/51	4/15/51	105 00	5
17	4/16/51	4/22/51	105 00	5
18	4/23/51	4/29/51	105 00	5
19	4/30/51	5/6/51	94 50	5
20	5/7/51	5/13/51	105 00	5
21	5/14/51	5/20/51	105 00	5
22	5/21/51	5/27/51	108 40	5
23	5/28/51	6/3/51	102 98	5
24	6/4/51	6/10/51	108 40	5
25	6/11/51	6/17/51	108 40	5
26	6/18/51	6/24/51	108 40	5
Total	Carried Forward			

Week No.	Week From Date	Week To Date	Amount Paid including overtime or extra work	Number of days worked
	Brought Forward			
27	6/25/51	7/1/51	108 40	5
28	7/2/51	7/8/51	65 04	3
29	7/9/51	7/15/51	Did Not Work	
30	7/16/51	7/22/51	108 40	5
31	7/23/51	7/29/51	113 40	5
32	7/30/51	8/5/51	90 72	4
33	8/6/51	8/12/51	113 40	5
34	8/13/51	8/19/51	113 40	5
35	8/20/51	8/26/51	113 40	5
36	8/27/51	9/2/51	90 72	4
37	9/3/51	9/9/51	90 56	4
38	9/10/51	9/16/51	90 72	4
39	9/17/51	9/23/51	113 40	5
40	9/24/51	9/30/51	113 40	5
41	10/1/51	10/7/51	113 40	5
42	10/8/51	10/14/51	113 40	5
43	10/15/51	10/21/51	113 40	5
44	10/22/51	10/28/51	113 40	5
45	10/29/51	11/4/51	113 40	5
46	11/5/51	11/11/51	113 41	5
47	11/12/51	11/18/51	90 72	4
48	11/19/51	11/25/51	90 72	4
49	11/26/51	12/2/51	113 40	5
50	12/3/51	12/9/51	113 40	5
51	12/10/51	12/16/51	113 40	5
52	12/17/51	12/23/51	113 40	5
Total				

REMARKS

I certify that the above is a true copy of payroll record of injured's earnings as shown on employer's records.

Signed _____

Form L. C. 1275 Ed. Oct. 1924

Earnings of Employes

Claim No. 960928392

Standard Accident Insurance Co.

DETROIT

Compensation Claim Department

Assured Armstrong Cork Company Injured Roy Thorsted Accident February 18 19 59

Schedule of Weekly Earnings

Note: { Please give actual earnings of injured employe for ____ weeks preceding accident. If not on daily or weekly basis, explain basis of earnings.

Week No.	Week From Date	Week To Date	Amount Paid including overtime or extra work	Number of days worked	Week No.	Week From Date	Week To Date	Amount Paid including overtime or extra work	Number of days worked
						Brought Forward			
1	7/24/50	7/30/50	94 00	5	27				
2	7/31/50	8/6/50	98 80	5	28				
3	8/7/50	8/13/50	100 00	5	29				
4	8/14/50	8/20/50	100 00	5	30				
5	8/21/50	8/27/50	100 00	5	31				
6	8/28/50	9/3/50	100 00	5	32				
7	9/4/50	9/10/50	80 00	4	33				
8	9/11/50	9/17/50	100 00	5	34				
9	9/18/50	9/24/50	70 00	4	35				
10	9/25/50	10/1/50	100 00	5	36				
11	10/2/50	10/8/50	100 00	5	37				
12	10/9/50	10/15/50	100 00	5	38				
13	10/16/50	10/22/50	100 00	5	39				
14	10/23/50	10/29/50	100 00	5	40				
15	10/30/50	11/5/50	105 00	5	41				
16	11/6/50	11/12/50	63 00	3	42				
17	11/13/50	11/19/50	105 00	5	43				
18	11/20/50	11/26/50	84 00	4	44				
19	11/27/50	12/3/50	105 00	5	45				
20	12/4/50	12/10/50	105 00	5	46				
21	12/11/50	12/17/50	105 00	5	47				
22	12/18/50	12/24/50	105 00	5	48				
23					49				
24					50				
25					51				
26					52				
Total	Carried Forward				Total				

REMARKS

I certify that the above is a true copy of payroll record of injured's earnings as shown on employer's records.

JUN 22 1959

Signed _____

Form L. C. 1275 Ed. Oct. 1924

D M FERRY, JR Chairman of the Board

L K KIRK President

SYMBOL OF SERVICE FOR 75 YEARS

Standard Accident Insurance Company

INCORPORATED 1884

CASUALTY · FIRE · MARINE · FIDELITY · SURETY

ROY W. SMITH
MANAGER

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA BRANCH OFFICE
840 WEST SAN BRUNO AVENUE
SAN BRUNO, CALIF.

PHONE JUNO 3-4000

JUNE 15, 1959

JUN 19 1959 WLH

ARMSTRONG CORK COMPANY
LANCASTER, PENNSYLVANIA

ATTN: MABEL E. BARNET
INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

RE: 96-C 928392
ARMSTRONG CORK COMPANY
ROY THORSTED
DATE OF DISABILITY: 2-18-59
POLICY NO: AZ 688800
1-1-50/1-1-51

GENTLEMEN:

WE HAVE RECEIVED A COPY OF AN APPLICATION FROM THE INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION ON THE ABOVE CAPTIONED. WE HAVE NOT FORMALLY BEEN SERVED ANY PAPERS AS OF THIS DATE, AND IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED ANY WE SHALL APPRECIATE YOUR FORWARDING SAME TO US.

ATTACHED TO THE APPLICATION IS A COPY OF HIS EMPLOYMENT RECORD THAT INDICATES THAT ROY THORSTED WORKED FOR ARMSTRONG CORK FROM 1950 TO 1952.

WILL YOU PLEASE CAREFULLY CHECK YOUR RECORDS AND ADVISE US THE EXACT PERIODS ROY THORSTED WORKED FOR YOUR COMPANY AND THE EXACT WAGE RECEIVED AND THE EXACT LOCATION OF EMPLOYMENT.

WE SHALL APPRECIATE YOUR IMMEDIATE ATTENTION TO OUR REQUEST AND ADVISE US AS SOON AS POSSIBLE BY RETURN AIR MAIL.

VERY TRULY YOURS,

Vera E. Dowling

VERA E. DOWLING
CLAIM REPRESENTATIVE

VED/MS
CC: V.L.P. SHRIVER AGENCY
1801 UNION BANK BUILDING
PITTSBURGH 22, PENNSYLVANIA

CC: HANNA & BROPHY, ATTORNEYS
1540 SAN PABLO AVENUE
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Earnings of Employes

Claim No._____

Standard Accident Insurance Co.

DETROIT

Compensation Claim Department

Assured_____ Injured_____ Accident_____ 19 ____

Schedule of Weekly Earnings

Note: { Please give actual earnings of injured employe for_____weeks preceding accident. If not on daily or weekly basis, explain basis of earnings.

Week No.	Week From Date	Week To Date	Amount Paid including overtime or extra work	Number of days worked	Week No.	Week From Date	Week To Date	Amount Paid including overtime or extra work	Number of days worked
						Brought Forward			
1					27				
2					28				
3					29				
4					30				
5					31				
6					32				
7					33				
8					34				
9					35				
10					36				
11					37				
12					38				
13					39				
14					40				
15					41				
16					42				
17					43				
18					44				
19					45				
20					46				
21					47				
22					48				
23					49				
24					50				
25					51				
26					52				
Total	Carried Forward				Total				

REMARKS

I certify that the above is a true copy of payroll record of injured's earnings as shown on employer's records.

Signed_____

Form L. C. 1275 Ed. Oct. 1924

ROY THORSTED SS # 560-28-5242 DO - SAN FRANCISCO TERMINATED - 6-6-52 B-(QUIT)

	Week No.	Week From	To	Amount	No Days Wkd
1950 -	31	7-24-50	7-30-50	9400	5
	32	7-31-50	8-6-50	9880	5
	33	8-7-50	8-13-50	10000	5
	34	8-14-50	8-20-50	10000	5
	35	8-21-50	8-27-50	10000	5
	36	8-28 50	9-3-50	10000	5
	37	9-4-50	9-10-50	8000	4
	38	9-11-50	9-17-50	10000	5
	39	9-18-50	9-24-50	7000	4
	40	9-25-50	10-1-50	10000	5
	41	10-2-50	10-8-50	10000	5
	42	10-9-50	10-15-50	10000	5
	43	10-16-50	10-22-50	10000	5
	44	10-23-50	10-29-50	10000	5
	45	10-30-50	11-5-50	10500	5
	46	11-6-50	11-12-50	6300	3
	47	11-13-50	11-19-50	10500	5
	48	11-20-50	11-26-50	8400	4
	49	11-27-50	12-3-50	10500	5
	50	12-4-50	12-10-50	10500	5
	51	12-11-50	12-17-50	10500	5
	52	12-18-50	12-24-50	10500	5
1951 -	1	12-25-50	12-31-50	8400	4
	2	1-2-51	1-7-51	8400	4
	3	1-8-51	1-14-51	10500	5
	4	1-15-51	1-21-51	8400	4
	5	1-22-51	1-28-51	10500	5
	6	1-29-51	2-4-51	10500	5
	7	2-5-51	2-11-51	10500	5

Con't

week No.	week From	To	Amount	No Days Wkd	
8	2-12-51	2-18-51	10500	5	
9	2-19-51	2-25-51	8400	4	
10	2-26-51	3-4-51	9450	5	
11	3-5-51	3-11-51	10500	5	
12	3-12-51	3-18-51	10500	5	
13	3-19-51	3-25-51	10501	5	
14	3-26-51	4-1-51	10500	5	
15	4-2-51	4-8-51	10500	5	
16	4-9-51	4-15-51	10500	5	
17	4-16-51	4-22-51	10500	5	
18	4-23-51	4-29-51	10500	5	
19	4-30-51	5-6-51	9450	5	
20	5-7-51	5-13-51	10500	5	
21	5-14-51	5-20-51	10500	5	
22	5-21-51	5-27-51	10840	5	
23	5-28-51	6-3-51	10298	5	
24	6-4-51	6-10-51	10840	5	
25	6-11-51	6-17-51	10840	5	
26	6-18-51	6-24-51	10840	5	
27	6-25-51	7-1-51	10840	5	
28	7-2-51	7-8-51	6504	3	
29	7-9-51	7-15-51			Did not work
30	7-16-51	7-22-51	10840	5	
31	7-23-51	7-29-51	11340	5	
32	7-30-51	8-5-51	9072	4	
33	8-6-51	8-12-51	11340	5	
34	8-13-51	8-19-51	11340	5	
35	8-20-51	8-26-51	11340	5	
36	8-27-51	9-2-51	9072	4	
37	9-3-51	9-9-51	9056	4	

Con't.

Week No	From	To	Amount	No. Days Wkd.
38	9-10-51	9-16-51	9072	4
39	9-17-51	9-23-51	11340	5
40	9-24-51	9-30-51	11340	5
41	10-1-51	10-7-51	11340	5
42	10-8-51	10-14-51	11340	5
43	10-15-51	10-21-51	11340	5
44	10-22-51	10-28-51	11340	5
45	10-29-51	11-4-51	11340	5
46	11-5-51	11-11-51	11341	5
47	11-12-51	11-18-51	9072	4
48	11-19-51	11-25-51	9072	4
49	11-26-51	12-2-51	11340	5
50	12-3-51	12-9-51	11340	5
51	12-10-51	12-16-51	11340	5
52	12-17-51	12-23-51	11340	5
Staff				
1952 - 1	12-24-51	12-30-51	6804	3
2	12-31-51	1-6-52	9072	4
3	1-7-52	1-13-52	10348	5
4	1-14-52	1-20-52	9781	5
5	1-21-52	1-27-52	11340	5
6	1-28-52	2-3-52	12191	5
7	2-4-52	2-10-52	11340	5
8	2-11-52	2-17-52	11554	5
9	2-18-52	2-24-52	9072	4
10	2-25-52	3-2-52	11340	5
11	3-3-52	3-9-52	11340	5
12	3-10-52	3-16-52	11341	5
13	3-17-52	3-23-52	10340	5
14	3-24-52	3-30-52	10340	5

Cont

Week No	Week From	To	Amount	No Days Wkd	
15	3-31-52	4-6-52	10340	5	
16	4-7-52	4-13-52	10340	5	
17	4-14-52	4-20-52	10006	5	
18	4-21-52	4-27-52	10340	5	
19	4-28-52	5-4-52	10859	5	
20	5-5-52	5-11-52	6721	4	
21	5-12-52	5-18-52			Did not work
22	5-19-52	5-25-52			
23	5-26-52	6-1-52	7938	4	
24	6-2-52	6-8-52	11340	5	

Terminated - 6-6-52 - B