

To: Dravis, Samantha[dravis.samantha@epa.gov]
Cc: Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]; Lovell, Will (William)[lovell.william@epa.gov]; Feeley, Drew (Robert)[Feeley.Drew@epa.gov]; Bolen, Brittany[bolen.brittany@epa.gov]; Gunasekara, Mandy[Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov]
From: Jeff Sadosky
Sent: Mon 11/13/2017 2:27:37 PM
Subject: Re: Following up re HFC 134a and Wabash/Structural Composites

Samantha,
Know you're working this among many other issues, but just wanted to touch base to see if you guys had had a chance to discuss internally your plans on moving forward re HFC 134a yet. No worries either way, just wanted to check in. Hope things are well.
Best,
Jeff

On 11/3/17, 9:29 AM, "Dravis, Samantha" <dravis.samantha@epa.gov> wrote:

Jeff,

I have not forgotten about this issue, but we have not yet made a determination internally about how we will proceed. We have a number of issues on our plate we are trying to work through - Thank you for the follow up and the documents.

Talk soon,
Samantha

> On Nov 1, 2017, at 1:50 PM, Jeff Sadosky <jsadosky@forbes-tate.com> wrote:

>

> Samantha et al.,

> Thank you again for the time recently discussing the challenges Wabash and Structural Composites/Compsys are facing re HFC 134a. Following up on that meeting, I wanted to circle with a document (attached, Wabash-MSD.docx) that highlights the investment made and the impact this will have on the deployment of this technology. Again, all of this is threatened by regulations prohibiting its usage despite the lack of an alternative and the long term environmental benefit, which is significant given the lower weight, improved fuel efficiency and resulting overall lower emissions.

>

> Also attached are the documents we sent over ahead of the meeting, just in case they were needed in any way.

> Please let me know when a good moment might be to talk through potential next steps or your thoughts following the meeting, and hope all is well.

> Please holler with any questions.

> Best,

> Jeff

>

>

> From: Jeffrey Sadosky <jsadosky@forbes-tate.com>

> Date: Friday, October 13, 2017 at 11:19 AM

> To: "Lovell, Will (William)" <lovell.william@epa.gov>, "Kime, Robin" <Kime.Robin@epa.gov>

> Cc: George Cooper <gcooper@forbes-tate.com>

> Subject: Pre-read documents for Structural Composites/EPA Meeting

>

> Will/Robin,

> Attached you'll find the following:

>

> - Overview of EPA SNAP Regulations Affecting U.S.-Based Small Business Foam-Blowing Application

>
> - A January of '17 response from Compsys/Structural Composites to questions from the EPA dated January 9, 2017
>
> - A 2015 petition for administrative reconsideration of the final rule
>
> - June 2016 response to EPA proposed rule, published at 81 Fed Reg 22810 from April of '16.
> Hope this is helpful context, know it is a lot of reading, but believe the first document summarizes things well.
> Holler with any questions, and look forward to seeing everyone on Monday.
> Thanks,
> Jeff
>
> Jeffrey C. Sadosky
> Forbes Tate Partners
> 777 6th Street NW
> 8th Floor
> Washington, DC 20001
> (202) 340-8586 cell
>
> <Final 10.16.17 EPA Meeting Overview of EPA SNAP Regulations Affecting US Small Business.PDF>
> <Compsys Response to EPA Questions 1_9_17 (2).PDF>
> <Compsys Petition for Reconsideration of SNAP Rule 80Fed.Reg.42870 07202015.PDF>
> <Compsys SNAP Comments June 2 2016.PDF>
> <Wabash - MSC[1].DOCX>