

Message

---

**From:** Juberg, Daland (DR) [DRJuberg@dow.com]  
**Sent:** 3/8/2018 3:47:18 PM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=168ecb5184ac44de95a913297f353745-Beck, Nancy]  
**Subject:** TSCA

Hi Nancy – Years ago I chaired an SOT TSCA Task Force, which was regrettably sunset following passage of the law. There were several of us on the TF who thought SOT should continue as we had built some solid relationships and respect on the Hill related to the non-advocacy science we brought to the table.

My question – do you envision any opportunities/roles where science knowledgeable on toxicology, the law, and TSCA could play a role as there are oversight hearings, implementation continues to move forward, etc.? SOT's attitude over the past few years is that this is now in EPA's hands and they should allow EPA alone to do the implementation.

I'm not advocating one way or the other, but know there are some knowledgeable outside interested scientists and groups that would be willing partners/assistants to EPA if the need is there.

Welcome your thoughts and even better, if you'll be at SOT, could we meet for 30 minutes?

Regards,

Daland