

Technical Facts

Asbestos Products

Asbestos-containing Flooring Products



CANADIAN
ASBESTOS
INFORMATION
CENTRE

CTD033056

FOREWORD

One of the main objectives of the Canadian Asbestos Information Centre is to make available to all interested parties the most factual and up-to-date information possible on all scientific, medical, technical, regulatory or commercial aspects of asbestos.

The Centre's Technical Facts aim to assemble in a single document the various data existing on asbestos products — and often originating from multiple sources — from the technical and technological standpoint as well as that of health and safety. The documents cover a variety of aspects such as manufacturing processes, usage, physical characteristics, performance assessment.

The purpose of these documents is to provide a maximum of facts on asbestos-containing products so as to promote enlightened decisions on the uses of asbestos.

The present document was compiled to answer the many queries received by the Centre, especially from the United States, about asbestos-containing floor coverings. In view of the particular interest manifested in the United States, most of the data referred to in this brochure have been gathered from U.S. sources.



CANADIAN
ASBESTOS
INFORMATION
CENTRE

INTRODUCTION

This brochure explains the use of asbestos in vinyl-asbestos floor tile and vinyl sheet flooring. The test data gathered in this brochure are aimed at providing update information on the functions and safety of these products.

Health Concerns in Perspective

Because of the potential health problems associated with occupationally-related exposure to asbestos, there continues to be some question regarding its safe use in modern products. When discussing asbestos health concerns related to asbestos-containing flooring products, it is important to keep several facts in mind.

1. In the manufacture of flooring products containing asbestos fibre, advances in packaging materials for asbestos and adherence to proper work procedures and industrial hygiene practices are meant to prevent potentially hazardous exposures in occupational and related environments.

2. In vinyl sheet flooring, asbestos is only incorporated in the backing felt, where it is encapsulated by the latex binder. No asbestos is used in the wearing surface of sheet flooring and thus traffic wear will not cause release of fibre. Asbestos in vinyl-asbestos tile is mixed throughout the tile, but is encapsulated by the plastic binder. In-use monitoring tests have been performed on

floor tile in an effort to evaluate potential fibre release. To our knowledge, all tests have failed to demonstrate any detectable fibre release from heavy pedestrian traffic or floor maintenance operations.

A similar conclusion can be found in a U.S. Navy report on a study of asbestos-containing flooring materials. They reported no reason to be concerned about adverse health effects in using such products.

"Materials which contain asbestos encapsulated in a pliable or malleable organic binder (vinyl-asbestos floor tile or asphalt-asbestos roof tile) are not likely to release asbestos fibres even under the most severe conditions and consequently need not be substituted except when specifically directed." (1)

3. During the removal of asbestos-containing flooring products, adherence to recommended work procedures, published by flooring manufacturers or, in the U.S., by the Resilient Floor Covering Institute, has been shown to prevent hazardous exposures. The recommended procedures stress the importance of not sanding an existing resilient floor covering. However it is considered completely acceptable to buff an existing resilient floor covering.

SECTION I

Vinyl Sheet Flooring

Why Asbestos?

The asbestos fibre in vinyl sheet flooring contributes many valuable characteristics to the finished product. The asbestos/latex backing makes the flooring resistant to rot, which is especially important in high humidity environments or when the flooring is laid in basements or on concrete slab floors. Asbestos also contributes to the dimensional stability of the flooring in these environments. Another advantage of asbestos/latex felt backed flooring resides in its stretch recovery characteristic, resulting in a product that, after installation, retains its shape even as the sub-floor expands and contracts with temperature change. Asbestos provides a cost-effective means of building thickness in the flooring, ensuring warmth and comfort together with strength and durability.

Aside from its characteristics in the finished product, asbestos/latex felt plays a key role in facilitating the manufacturing process, permitting the felt to withstand the high temperatures required for the finishing and curing operations and adding the strength needed to endure the rolling and pulling effect of manufacturing machinery.

Manufacturing

Asbestos/latex backing is produced on a paper machine. Asbestos fibre used in the process is supplied in pulvable paper bags that need not be opened. Mixing is done in a hydropulper with water. Latex is added to the asbestos slurry in a chemical chest before being pumped into the paper machine head box.

On the most commonly used paper machine, the fibre suspension will flow out of the head box onto a traveling wire mesh cloth. Water drains through the wire assisted by table rolls and/or suction boxes. The sheet, with the fibre encapsulated by the latex, then passes through rollers and is dried on a series of steam-heated cylinders. In a final step, the sheet is passed through a stack of calendar rolls to control thickness and smoothness.

The asbestos/latex felt is converted to a finished flooring product in a variety of operations, which may include addition of a foam cushion layer to the felt, followed by roto-gravure printing or embossing of a decorative pattern. In the final step a vinyl or urethane coating is applied, which serves as the wearing surface of the flooring.

Substitutes

Since a vast wealth of knowledge on potential exposures and safe work procedures is available to those manufacturing asbestos/latex vinyl flooring, it is, in a very practical sense, probably safer to use asbestos than many other materials about which little is known.

Among the substitutes developed for asbestos in the backing of vinyl sheet flooring is a composite material based on cellulose and fillers such as calcium carbonate. This product, according to experts in the field, lacks the dimensional stability and rot resistance of the asbestos/latex backing. In addition, the composite material does not have the tensile strength and heat resistance of asbestos that is important in manufacturing.

The evaluation of other substitute materials, such as polyesters and fibrous glass, also tends to show that asbestos still is the most cost-effective material for sheet flooring.

At present, competitive products for asbestos-containing vinyl flooring are carpeting and hardwoods. While the choice of products often is conditioned by price, other factors also are important. Both carpeting and hardwoods normally require greater amounts of maintenance than vinyl sheet flooring and may not be suitable for high-traffic commercial areas. In addition, most carpeting and hardwoods will not provide the same rot protection that is inherent in asbestos.

CTD033059

SECTION II

Vinyl-Asbestos Floor Tile

Why Asbestos?

When used in the manufacture of floor tiles, asbestos fibre imparts abrasion and indentation resistance, as well as reinforcement and dimensional stability. The result is a durable, long-lasting floor tile that is especially suitable for commercial installations where heavy wear can be expected.

The reinforcing characteristic of asbestos in floor tiles is especially important, and is demonstrated by the fact that tiles not manufactured with asbestos have a greater tendency to indent or become brittle and crack. This attribute is important when heavy wear can be expected from pedestrian traffic, mobile equipment or when heavy furniture or appliances will be resting on the flooring.

The tensile strength of asbestos and its ability to withstand high temperatures also are important in the manufacturing process.

Manufacturing

In the manufacture of vinyl-asbestos floor tiles, unopened bags of asbestos can be fed directly into the mixers since the polyethylene bags will melt at the high temperatures used in the process.

A cohesive mass is formed after being mixed with resin, plasticizers, fillers and pigments. This hot material is fed to a two-roll mill where it is blanketed out to a desired thickness. The slab then is passed through a series of calendar rolls to bring the product to a uniform, finished thickness.

Embossing or pigmenting is done before cutting, while the material still is soft. Cooling usually is accomplished by water sprays, although air cooling is necessary before die cutting in order to minimize shrinkage.

Substitutes

The search for cost effective substitutes for asbestos in vinyl floor tiles has been difficult. The flooring industry considers that by and large asbestos remains the most efficient, reliable and cost-effective material for this use.

One substitute for asbestos that has been evaluated is a product that uses more limestone in place of the asbestos. Tests, however, show that this mixture lacks the hot strength of asbestos, and also tends to be brittle and more likely to crack.

Fibrous glass also has been evaluated as a substitute. This material tended to cause problems in the

manufacturing process, that would necessitate a change in equipment for most floor tile manufacturers. In addition, the mixture was difficult to stabilize thermally under the high temperatures used in manufacturing, often resulting in degradation of the vinyl itself.

When a combination of fibrous glass and ball clay was tested, the end product proved to be brittle and to pose the need for costly changes in the manufacturing process.

Some man-made organic materials, such as polyethylene and polypropylene, also have been evaluated as substitutes for asbestos in vinyl floor tiles. These materials tend to melt or degrade at the high temperatures necessary in the manufacturing process, resulting in quality problems in the final product.

Another substitute for vinyl-asbestos floor tiles is vinyl tile, without asbestos. This product costs considerably more.

Carpeting and hardwoods also can be considered for most of the same uses as vinyl-asbestos floor tiles; however, they may not be as suitable for heavy wear applications. It is generally agreed that vinyl-asbestos tiles will outlast even the best carpeting and hardwoods in heavy commercial usage.

SECTION III

Exposure Studies: Sheet Flooring

Monitoring

In 1979, Stanford Research Institute (SRI) International, in the U.S., undertook a study of sheet vinyl flooring to monitor for asbestos during installation and removal operations. In-use and maintenance tests were not performed since there is no asbestos in the wear layers. (2)

All monitoring and analysis was conducted according to methods prescribed by the U.S. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). The NIOSH asbestos monitoring technique has a minimum sensitivity of 0.1 fibre per cubic centimeter. Results are given to hundredths of a fibre per cubic centimeter for illustrative purposes only.

Installation: Personal, area and high volume samples were taken during this operation in a private home. Background level samples also were taken prior to and after installation for comparison purposes. The results of this test showed asbestos fibre concentrations ranging from 0.008 to 0.012 fibre/cc, compared with a permissible federal limit of 2.0 fibres/cc. The researchers concluded that the "airborne asbestos concentrations are very low and well below the OSHA allowable limit when recommended procedures are followed." All of the findings were within the ranges normally found in background levels. (Tables 1 through 5).

Removal: A variety of removal tests were conducted — unadhered, adhered and partially adhered removal. Personal, area and background air samples were taken, and in all cases, the detectable concentrations of asbestos fibre were well below the recommended OSHA limit. When proper work procedures were followed, the ranges were comparable to natural background levels. (Tables 6 through 8).

Comparison Testing

SRI International also conducted a study aimed at monitoring asbestos fibre levels during the removal of sheet flooring using different methods — wet versus dry, and different scraping instruments. (3) (The industry recommends wet scraping methods).

Results of this study showed that wet scraping methods resulted in very low concentrations of asbestos fibre well below permissible occupational exposure levels. Dry scraping methods resulted in an increase in the level of airborne asbestos although even these ranges still were at or below permissible limits. They concluded by recommending the wet scraping procedures. (Table 9)

SECTION IV

Exposure Studies: Floor Tiles

Monitoring

A study was undertaken in 1979 by Stanford Research Institute (SRI) International to monitor for asbestos fibres that might be present during conditions of use, maintenance, installation and removal of vinyl-asbestos floor tiles. (4)

All monitoring and analysis was conducted according to methods prescribed by the U.S. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). The NIOSH asbestos monitoring technique has a minimum sensitivity of 0.1 fibre per cubic centimeter. Results are given to hundredths of a fibre per cubic centimeter for illustrative purposes only.

In-Use Test: The researchers simulated the worst conditions by stripping all wax from vinyl-asbestos floor tiles in an office building, and then monitoring for emission of fibre during heavy pedestrian traffic. Since no detectable traces of asbestos fibre were found during this test, the researchers concluded that the asbestos constituent of floor tiles does not pose a health threat. (See Table 10)

Maintenance Test: Monitoring was conducted during maintenance of a vinyl-asbestos floor — damp mopping, floor stripping, etc. No detectable amounts of asbestos fibres were found and the researchers concluded that such operations present no hazard to maintenance workers. (See Table 11)

Installation Test: Personal samples of workers and general environment samples were taken during the installation of a vinyl-asbestos floor in a private home. The researchers concluded: "The number of asbestos fibres on the filters was very small, all well below 0.1 fibre/cc. Compared to the OSHA limit of 2.0 fibres/cc, the concentrations measured when recommended work procedures are followed, 0.0046 f/cc to 0.0027 f/cc, are insignificant." (See Tables 12 and 13)

Removal Test: Monitoring for asbestos fibres was conducted during the complete removal of a vinyl-asbestos floor in a private home. Both personal and area samples were taken during this operation. Results of the study showed that, "the number of asbestos fibres on the filters was very small, all well below 0.1 fibre/cc. Compared to the OSHA limit of 2.0 fibres/cc, the concentrations measured when recommended work procedures were followed, 0.006 f/cc to 0.015 f/cc, are insignificant." (See Table 14)

Consumer Union Study

The Consumers Union, in a study of a variety of floor tiles, also conducted tests on vinyl-asbestos floor tiles. Its researchers saw no reason to discontinue the use of vinyl-asbestos floor tiles, concluding:

"The asbestos fibres in tiles that have them are securely bonded into the vinyl. They are not likely to be released — except perhaps by sanding — a practice that should be avoided." (5)

IRDA Study

The Institut de Recherche et de Développement sur l'Amiante (IRDA), in Sherbrooke, Québec, conducted tests to examine the possible environmental burden which may result from normal handling and from wearing of vinyl-asbestos tile flooring. (6)

Simulation of "normal" work practices for laying vinyl-asbestos tiles showed that this operation results in no detectable exposure to airborne asbestos fibres.

Simulation of heavy misuse by continuous, prolonged sanding yielded an average of 1.2 fibres/cc, counting fibres according to the NIOSH method. (See Table 15)

SECTION V

Work Practices

A number of studies have verified the fact that adherence to generally accepted housekeeping practices and recommended work procedures will provide protection from any health risk.

As noted, recommended work procedures for the installation and removal of asbestos-containing floor coverings are available from manufacturers, or the Resilient Floor Covering Institute. (7)

Standards for exposure to asbestos dust and for emissions of asbestos to the atmosphere are available from governmental regulatory agencies or departments. (8)

CONCLUSION

As noted in this brochure, vinyl sheet flooring backed with asbestos/latex and vinyl-asbestos floor tiles offer special characteristics which make them highly suitable, in comparison with other materials, for use in heavy traffic or commercial areas.

In the manufacturing process of both products, the tensile strength of asbestos and its ability to withstand high temperatures constitute important advantages.

From the health and safety standpoint, a number of studies of both vinyl-asbestos floor tiles and vinyl sheet flooring have shown that, with proper work procedures, the possibility of exposure to asbestos dust in all stages of use, maintenance, installation and removal is not significant and presents no evidence of threat to human health.

When such practices are carried out, modern flooring products are safe to use, and appear to be the most cost-effective materials presently available.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. ARENTZEN, W. P., Dept. of the Navy, Bur. of Medicine and Surgery. International Correspondence, August 9, 1979.
2. WALCOTT, R. and WARRICK, J., SRI International, Monitoring for Airborne Asbestos Fibers: Sheet Vinyl Floor Covering, for Resilient Floor Covering Institute (1979).
3. WALCOTT, R. and WARRICK, J., SRI International, Comparison Testing Monitoring for Airborne Asbestos Fibers: Sheet Vinyl Floor Covering, Wet Versus Dry Scraping, for Resilient Floor Covering Institute (1979).
4. WALCOTT, R. and WARRICK, J., SRI International, Monitoring for Airborne Asbestos Fibers: Vinyl-Asbestos Floor Tile, for Resilient Floor Covering Institute (1979).
5. Consumers Report, Self-Stick Floor Tiles, p. 635 (October 1980).
6. DUNNIGAN, J. and LEBEL, J., Institut de Recherche et de Développement sur l'Amiante (IRDA), Measurement of Chrysotile Fibre Emission from Asbestos-Vinyl Tile Laying and Sanding Operations (June 1982).
7. Resilient Floor Covering Institute, Inc., Recommended Work Procedures for Resilient Floor Coverings (1980).
8. In the U.S., references are:
 CRF 29, 1910.1001 — OSHA, Standard for Exposure to Asbestos Dust.
 CFR 40, Title 46, Ch. 1 — EPA, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants — Asbestos and Mercury.
 In Canada,
 Air Pollution Control Directorate, Environmental Protection Service (EPS-3-AP-76-6). Also relevant provincial agencies and departments.

TABLE 1

SRI International Test Results Sheet Vinyl Flooring — Adhered

Installation — Site No. 1: Model home kitchen in a new housing development in Northern Virginia

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Outside Air	XX-1	660	330	4.7	0.002	0.0001	
Area-Bedroom	C-1069	876	438	111.7	0.1	0.0052	
High-Volume Sample	XX-1	782.6	78.2	99.5	0.18	0.009	
Head Mechanic	C-1071	354	177	133.7	0.31	0.0155	0.0155
Assist. Mechanic	XX-003	350	175	105.8	0.246	0.0123	0.0123

*Fibres/sq. mm of filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that 5% of the total number of fibres were asbestos.

CTD033064

TABLE 2

SRI International Test Results Sheet Vinyl Flooring — Adhered

Installation — Site No. 2: Kitchen of a six-year-old home in a suburban Maryland community

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Outside Air	AB-9	760	380	2.5	0.0026	0	
Outside Air	C-1089	766	383	0	0	0	
Kitchen Background	XX009	748	374	4.5	0.005	0	
Mechanic 1	MWC0620	200	100	0	0	0	0
Mechanic 2	MWC-1097	200	100	0	0	0	0

*Fibres/sq. mm of total filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that none of the fibres were asbestos.

TABLE 3

SRI International Test Results Sheet Vinyl Flooring — Adhered

Installation — Site No. 3: Kitchen of a private home in Woodstown, New Jersey

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Background	RFCI #1	264	120	0	0	0	
Background	RFCI #2	321	169	0	0	0	
Kitchen Area	MWCO 681	462	231	92	0.17	0.017	
Mechanic JW	MWCO 690	104	58	77	0.633	0.063	0.063
Mechanic JP	MWCO 698	120	60	92	0.655	0.066	0.066

Wet bulb temperature — 61°F (16°C); dry bulb temperature — 72°F (22°C).

*Fibres/sq. mm of total filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that 10% of the fibres were asbestos.

Note:

All measurements with the GCA Respirable Dust Monitor were less than the detection limit (0.1 mg/m²) of the instrument.

TABLE 4

SRI International Test Results Sheet Vinyl Flooring — Adhered

Installation — Site No. 4: lower-level foyer of split-level home in Pennsville, New Jersey

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Background	MWCO 693	336	168	0	0	0	
Background	MWCO 677	675	355	0	0	0	
Family Room Area	MWCO 688	678	357	62	0.078	0.0008	
Steps-Area	RFCI #4	650	342	70	0.092	0.009	
Mechanic JW	MWCO 674	304	179	197	0.554	0.055	0.055
Mechanic JP	MWCO 679	283	177	25	0.075	0.008	0.008

Wet bulb temperature — 63°F (17°); dry bulb temperature — 73°F (23°C).

*Fibres/sq. mm of total filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that 10% of the fibres were asbestos.

Note:

All measurements with the GCA Respirable Dust Monitor were less than the detection limit (0.1 mg/m²) of the instrument.

TABLE 5

SRI International Test Results Sheet Vinyl Flooring — Unadhered

Installation — Site No. 5: Kitchen of private home in Salem, New Jersey

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Background	MWCO 699	327	172	30	0.078	0.008	
Background	RFCI #6	241	127	17	0.060	0.006	
Area-Living Room	MWCO 661	238	119	0	0	0	
Area-Kitchen	MWCO 697	216	108	100	0.395	0.040	
Mechanic JP	MWCO 694	84	44	32	0.325	0.033	0.033
Mechanic JW	MWCO 680	90	45	107	1.01	0.102	0.102

*Fibres/sq. mm of filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that 10% of the fibres were asbestos.

CTD033066

TABLE 6

SRI International Test Results Sheet Vinyl Flooring — Unadhered

Complete Removal — Site No. 5: Kitchen of private home in Salem, New Jersey

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Background	MWCO 699	327	172	30	0.078	0.008	
Background	RFCI #6	241	127	17	0.060	0.006	
Area-Living Room	MWCO 661	238	119	0	0	0	
Area-Kitchen	MWCO 697	216	108	100	0.395	0.040	
Mechanic JW	RFCI #7	144	76	17	0.100	0.010	0.010
Mechanic JP	MWCO 689	148	74	12	0.069	0.007	0.007

*Fibres/sq. mm of filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that 10% of the fibres were asbestos.

TABLE 7

SRI International Test Results Sheet Vinyl Flooring — Adhered

Complete Removal — Site No. 4: lower-level foyer of split-level home in Pennsville, New Jersey

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Background	MWCO 693	336	168	0	0	0	
Background	MWCO 677	675	355	0	0	0	
Area-Family Room	MWCO 688	678	357	62	0.078	0.008	
Area-Steps	RFCI #4	650	342	70	0.092	0.009	
Mechanic JW	MWCO 696	206	121	97	0.402	0.040	0.040
Mechanic JP	MWCO 691	197	123	85	0.368	0.037	0.037

*Fibres/sq. mm of filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that 10% of the fibres were asbestos.

CTD033067

TABLE 8

SRI International Test Results Sheet Vinyl Flooring — Adhered

Partial Removal — Site No. 3: Kitchen of a private home in Woodstown, New Jersey

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Background	RFCI #1	264	120	0	0	0	
Background	RFCI #2	321	169	0	0	0	
Area-Kitchen	MWCO 681	462	231	92	0.170	0.017	
Mechanic JW	RFCI #3	88	44	42	0.408	0.041	0.041
Mechanic JP	MWCO 678	112	62	25	0.190	0.019	0.019

*Fibres/sq. mm of filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that 10% of the fibres were asbestos.

TABLE 9

SRI International Test Results Sheet Vinyl Flooring — Unadhered

Comparison Testing

Complete Removal — Site: Kitchen of six-year-old home in a suburban Maryland community

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Background Samples							
Outside	AB-9	760	380	0	0	0	
Outside	C-1089	766	383	0	0	0	
Kitchen	XX009	748	374	0	0	0	
Wear Layer Removal							
Mechanic 1	AB-12	150	75	39	0.218	0.1637	0.1637
Mechanic 2	AB-2	140	70	14	0.0844	0.0844	0.0844
Wet Scrape							
Mechanic 1	AB-14	110	55	96	0.4837	0.4093	0.4093
Dry Scrape							
Mechanic 1	AB-13	126	63	327	2.168	2.0248	2.0248
Mechanic 1	AB-11	80	40	96	1.0035	0.8279	0.8279
Mechanic 2	AB-10	126	63	191	1.2669	1.1207	1.1207
Mechanic 2	AB-15	90	45	121	1.1259	1.0583	1.0583

*Fibres/sq. mm of filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that about 90% of the fibres were asbestos.

CTD033068

TABLE 10

SRI International Test Results Vinyl-Asbestos Floor Tiles

In-Use — Site No. 2: Office building in Alexandria, Virginia

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc
Background samples						
Air Vent	C-1066	588	294	4.7	0.0067	0
Hallway	XX005	556	278	4.7	0.007	0
Copy Centre	XJB	590	295	0	0	0
Outside	XX102	616	308	0	0	0
Outside	XX031	834	417	0	0	0
Outside	XX006	840	420	0	0	0
Copy Centre						
1 ft high	XX015	594	297	2.5	0.0035	0
3 ft high	XX008	594	297	0	0	0
5 ft high	XX016	594	297	0	0	0
Snack Shop	C-1051	284	142	0	0	0
In-use samples						
Copy Centre — Table						
1 ft high	XX027	838	419	4.4	0.0044	0
3 ft high	XX030	838	419	0	0	0
5 ft high	XX011	838	419	11.1	0.011	0
Copy Centre — Middle Room						
1 ft high	C 1078	822	411	2.3	0.0023	0
3 ft high	C 1096	822	411	4.5	0.0046	0
5 ft high	C 1083	822	411	4.6	0.0047	0
Snack Shop						
Bookcase — 1 ft	XX017	260	130	0	0	0
Bookcase — 3 ft	XX022	260	130	0	0	0
Bookcase — 5 ft	XX002	260	130	2.5	0.0079	0
Storage Door — 1 ft	XX023	266	133	4.5	0.0618	0
Storage Door — 3 ft	XX019	266	133	2.4	0.0088	0
Storage Door — 5 ft	XX029	266	133	0	0	0

*Fibres/sq. mm of filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that none of the fibres were asbestos.

CTD033069

TABLE 11

SRI International Test Results Vinyl-Asbestos Floor Tiles

Maintenance — Site No. 2: Office building in Alexandria, Virginia

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc
Background samples						
Air Vent	C1066	588	294	4.7	0.0067	0
Hallway	XX005	556	278	4.7	0.007	0
Copy Centre	XJB	590	295	0	0	0
Outside	XX102	616	308	0	0	0
Outside	XX031	834	417	0	0	0
Outside	XX006	840	420	0	0	0
Snack Shop	C1051	284	142	0	0	0
Maintenance samples						
Mopping-Copy Centre	XX026	30	15	4.9	0.1351	0
Buffing-Copy Centre	XX001	30	15	4.3	0.1210	0
Mopping-Snack Shop	XX000	42	21	9.8	0.1949	0
Buffing-Snack Shop	XX025	42	21	0	0	0
Buffing-Copy Centre	KGB-1	22	11	2.4	0.0921	0
Buffing-Copy Centre	XX	60	30	0	0	0
High Volume Sample (Mopping)	QTH-1	537	54	4.9	0.0075	0
Floor Area	XX-014	30	15	2.5	0.682	0

*Fibres/sq. mm of filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that none of the fibres were asbestos.

TABLE 12

SRI International Test Results Vinyl-Asbestos Floor Tiles

Installation — Site No. 3: Private home in Newark, Delaware

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Background	RFCI #8	587	326	0	0	0	
Background	MWCO 700	594	297	10	0.014	0.001	
Area-Breezeway	MWCO 692	537	316	70	0.0111	0.0011	
Area-Laundry	MWCO 686	739	389	140	0.161	0.016	
Area-Powder Room	MWCO 685	725	372	75	0.088	0.009	
Mechanic JS	MWCO 682	464	232	145	0.267	0.027	0.027
Mechanic TD	MWCO 684	418	220	40	0.081	0.008	0.008

*Fibres/sq. mm of total filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that 10% of the fibres were asbestos.

TABLE 13

SRI International Test Results Vinyl-Asbestos Floor Tiles

Installation — Site No. 1: Model home in new housing development in Northern Virginia

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Indoor Background	C1069	876	438	111.7	0.104	0.0052	
Outdoors	XX-1	660	330	4.7	0.003	0.003	
High-Volume Sample	XX11	1710	90	38.1	0.28	0.0014	
Head Mechanic	C102	228	114	27	0.092	0.0046	0.0046
Assistant Mechanic	C1062	226	113	52.3	0.184	0.0092	0.0092

*Fibres/sq. mm of filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that about 5% of the fibres were asbestos except for filter XX-1.

CTD033071

TABLE 14

SRI International Test Results Vinyl-Asbestos Floor Tiles

Complete Removal — Site No. 3: Private home in Newark, Delaware

Description	Filter No.	Air Vol. (Liters)	Time (Min.)	Fibres/Sq. mm*	Total Fibres/cc	Asbestos** Fibres/cc	8-Hr. TWA
Background	RFCI #8	587	326	0	0	0	
Background	MWCO 700	594	297	10	0.014	0.001	
Area-Breezeway	MWCO 692	537	316	70	0.111	0.011	
Area-Laundry Room	MWCO 686	739	389	140	0.161	0.016	
Area-Powder Room	MWCO 685	725	372	75	0.088	0.009	
Mechanic JS	MWCO 662	246	123	18	0.062	0.006	0.006
Mechanic TD	MWCO 687	255	134	44	0.147	0.015	0.015

*Fibres/sq. mm of filter surface area.

**Analyst estimated that 10% of the fibres were asbestos.

TABLE 15

IRDA Test Results

Fibre Concentration Resulting from Various Handling Operations of Vinyl-Asbestos Tiles

Sampling Data	Operations					
	Tile Laying		Tile Laying; Sanding; Vacuuming; Installation of Second Layer		Continuous Sanding	
Duration	30 min.		40 min.		23 min.	
Flow Rate	2 liters/min.		2 liters/min.		2 liters/min.	
Fibre concentration (f/cc > 5)	Samplers		Samplers		Samplers	
	Fixed	Personal	Fixed	Personal	Fixed	Personal
	B.D.L.	B.D.L.	0.53	0.45	1.15	1.23
	—	—	0.51	0.56	1.12	1.30
Average	—		0.52	0.48	1.14	1.27
	B.D.L.		0.5		1.2	

B.D.L.: Below detectable limit of 0.06 f/cc

CTD033072

