St. Louis - General Offices

April 4, 1961

PYDRAUL A-200 File

Messrs.
E. D. Malone - J.F.Q.
D. Danna - J.F.Q.
R. A. Geisman - J.F.Q.
H. W. Tippee/F. W. Copanas - J.F.Q.
J. W. Newcombe/R. Davis - G.O.
R. S. Wobus - G.O.

Mr. D. B. Hosmer
General Offices

Early in March, when Production Planning was setting up a scheduled run of Pydraul A-200 at the Queeny Plant, both Mr. Wobus and the Sales Department came around to warn me that:

1. There had been odor complaints in late 1960 and early 1961 on Pydraul A-200.

2. The odor apparently came from some bad Santolube 393.

This was checked through with Krummrich supervision who thought that 393 odors had been abnormal as a result of some process and equipment difficulties but that these had been corrected.

Queeny supervision was warned of the problem and told to check and select 393 carefully to be sure that only fresh production and good odor material was used in the run. This was done and the current run has apparently improved on odor and odor stability as compared to the last previous run of Pydraul A-200. Malone feels, however, that the current production is "still not equal to the odor of the June 1959 production." He further feels that "unless the odor of Santolube 393 is further improved, that the odor of Pydraul A-200 will have to remain at the present level." I believe the Santolube 393 process has been changed in recent months and, although this change is apparently not the cause of the major odor problem that occurred late in 1960, it may have some bearing on the indicated change in Santolube over June 1959. Do we know whether the new process for 393 is equal in odor to the old process material? Is it equal in odor stability on heating?

This will need careful watching in future production of Pydraul A-200 in order to improve product quality and avoid complaints.

0019272

acd

Russell L. Miller

TOWOLDMON0055205