

10:29:20:100 it. It was not the big thing was still getting
10:29:24:200 out our data book.

10:29:26:300 Q Okay. Let's go off the record, please.

10:29:30:400 MR. PIAZZA: The time is now 10:32,
10:35:22:500 we will take a pause in the testimony of
10:35:24:600 Mr. Drislane.

10:35:26:700 (A short recess was taken.)

10:35:26:800 MR. PIAZZA: The time is now 10:34,
10:35:28:900 we will resume the testimony of
10:35:31:000 Mr. Drislane.

10:36:24:100 MR. DeLUCA: Could I ask that the
10:36:26:200 last question and answer be read back,
10:36:28:300 please?

10:36:28:400 (The Reporter read back the
10:36:28:500 requested portion.)

10:36:28:600 MR. HARKINS: I object to a display
10:36:31:700 of the document on a screen. This is not
10:36:31:800 the way to properly confront a witness
10:36:31:900 about a prior statement. If you want to
10:36:32:000 ask him and then ask him whether
10:36:34:2:100 something refreshes his recollection or
10:36:34:2:200 whether demonstrating something that is
10:36:34:2:300 not consistent. You can't just display a
10:36:34:2:400 document during testimony until you