

FILE COPY

OCT 1 1948

Transactions

35TH NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



VOLUME I

Annual Meeting, Banquet, Women's Activities,

Index to all Volumes and Exhibitors

PLEASE RETURN TO
LIBRARY
NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL
20 NORTH WACKER DRIVE
CHICAGO, ILL.

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
20 NO. WACKER DRIVE • CHICAGO 6, ILL.

35th National Safety Congress Transactions

Title	Vol.
Annual Meeting, Banquet, Women's Activities	1
Index to all Volumes and Exhibitors	1
American Society of Safety Engineers and Subject Sections	2
Aircraft Manufacturing Section	3
Air Transport Section	4
Automotive and Machine Shop Section	5
Cement and Quarry Section	6
Chemical Section	7
Coal Mining Section	8
Commercial Vehicle Section	9
Construction Section	10
Food Section	11
Industrial Nursing Section	12
Marine Section	13
Meat Packing, Tanning and Leather Industries Section	14
Metals Section	15
Mining Section	16
Paper and Pulp Section	17
Petroleum Section	18
Power Press Section	19
Public Utilities Section	20
Railroad Section	21
Rubber Section	22
Textile Section	23
Transit Section	23
Traffic Section	24
Wood Products Section	25
Farm Safety	26
Home Safety	27
School and College Sessions	28
Psychology You Can Use (Early Morning Sessions)	29

PRICES OF EXTRA COPIES OF INDIVIDUAL VOLUMES TO MEMBERS

VOLUME SIZE	1 to 9 copies	10 to 99 copies	100 to 999 copies	1000 or more
Less than 24 pages—	\$.20 ea.	\$.15 ea.	\$.15 ea.	\$.15 ea.
24 to 48 pages—	.25 "	.30 "	.30 "	.30 "
49 to 96 pages—	.35 "	.40 "	.40 "	.40 "
over 96 pages—	.50 "	.45 "	.45 "	.45 "

Complete set of Transactions (29 volumes)—\$5.00

NON-MEMBER prices are double member prices.

National Safety Council, Inc.

OFFICERS

Chairman, Board of Directors: CLEO F. CRANE, Vice-President, American Telephone and Telegraph Company, New York, N. Y.

President: NED H. DEARBORN, President, National Safety Council, Chicago, Ill.

Vice-President for Farms: GUY L. NOBLE, Managing Director, National Committee on Boys and Girls Club Work, Chicago, Ill.

Vice-President for Finance and Treasurer: O. GRESSEN, Vice-President and Comptroller, Commonwealth Edison Company, Chicago, Ill.

Vice-President for Homes: DR. D. B. ARMSTRONG, Second Vice-President, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York, N. Y.

Vice-President for Industry: GEORGE A. JACOBY, Director of Personnel Services, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Mich.

Vice-President for Local Safety Organizations: KENNETH B. COLMAN, Seattle, Wash.

Vice-President for Membership: E. W. KEMPTON, Assistant Vice-President, United States Steel Corporation of Delaware, Pittsburgh, Pa.

Vice-President for Public Information: W. EARL HALL, Managing Editor, Mason City Globe-Gazette, Mason City, Ia.

Vice-President for Schools and Colleges: DR. H. T. HEALD, President, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Ill.

Vice-President for Traffic and Transportation: LESLIE J. SORENSON, City Traffic Engineer, City of Chicago, Chicago, Ill.

Vice-President for Women's Activities: MRS. L. K. NICHOLSON, National Safety Chairman, National Congress of Parents and Teachers, Salt Lake City, Utah

Secretary: R. L. FORNEY, General Secretary, National Safety Council, Chicago, Ill.

Assistant Treasurer: B. B. McCULLOCH, President, Bureau of Safety, Inc., Chicago, Ill.

Assistant Treasurer: H. H. GREENWALD, Director, Accounting Division, National Safety Council, Chicago, Ill.

(Note: The lists here printed of Officers, Trustees and Board of Directors include those elected at the 1947 National Safety Congress and in the case of the Trustees, certain members previously elected for a two or three year term.)

National Safety Council, Inc.

TRUSTEES

Chairman of the Trustees: WILLIAM A. IRVIN, Member, Executive Committee, United States Steel Corp., New York, N. Y.

Vice-Chairman of the Trustees: ROBERT C. STANLEY, President, International Nickel Company of Canada, Ltd., New York, N. Y.

Secretary of the Trustees: NED H. DEARBORN, President, National Safety Council, Chicago, Ill.

Treasurer of the Trustees: THOMAS W. LAMONT, Chairman of the Board, J. P. Morgan and Company, New York, N. Y.

Members

WINTHROP W. ALDRICH, Chairman, Board of Directors, The Chase National Bank, New York, N. Y.

MELVIN H. BAKER, President, National Gypsum Company, Buffalo, N. Y.

LAWRENCE D. BELL, President, Bell Aircraft Corporation, Buffalo, N. Y.

JAMES B. BLACK, President, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Francisco, Calif.

S. BRUCE BLACK, President, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company, Boston, Mass.

MORGAN B. BRAINARD, President, Aetna Life Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.

CASON J. CALLAWAY, Hamilton, Ga.

JOHN W. CARPENTER, President, Texas Power and Light Company, Dallas, Tex.

THOMAS L. CASHEN, Chairman, Railway Labor Executives' Association, Washington, D. C.

WILLIAM G. CHAMBERLAIN, President, Scripps-Howard Supply Company, New York, N. Y.

KENNETH B. COLMAN, Seattle, Wash.

HOWARD COONLEY, Chairman of the Executive Committee, American Standards Association, New York, N. Y.

CLEO F. CRAG, Vice-President, American Telephone & Telegraph Co., New York, N. Y. (Ex-Officio)

FREDERICK C. CRAWFORD, President, Thompson Products, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio

WALTER J. CONNELLEY, Chairman of the Board, Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Company, Chicago, Ill.

NED H. DEARBORN, President, National Safety Council, Chicago, Ill. (Ex-Officio)

RICHARD R. DEUPREE, President, Procter and Gamble Company, Cincinnati, Ohio

BENJAMIN F. FAIRLESS, President, United States Steel Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa.

National Safety Council, Inc.

FRANCIS J. GAVIN, President, Great Northern Railway Company, St. Paul, Minn.

WALTER S. GIFFORD, President, American Telephone and Telegraph Co., New York, N. Y.

WILLIAM GREEN, President, American Federation of Labor, Washington, D. C.

E. ROLAND HARRIMAN, Brown Brothers Harriman and Company, New York, N. Y.

WILLIAM A. IRVIN, Member, Executive Committee, United States Steel Corporation, New York, N. Y.

WILLIAM M. JEFFERS, Vice Chairman of the Board, Union Pacific Railroad Company, North Hollywood, Calif.

HORACE P. LIVERSIDGE, President, Philadelphia Electric Company, Philadelphia, Pa.

GUSTAVE METZMAN, President, New York Central System, New York Central Building, New York, N. Y.

THOMAS A. MORGAN, Chairman, The Sperry Corporation, New York, N. Y.

PHILIP MURRAY, President, Congress of Industrial Organizations, Washington, D. C.

BENJAMIN O'SHEA, Member, Board of Directors, Union Carbide and Carbon Corp., New York, N. Y.

THOMAS I. PARKINSON, President, Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, New York, N. Y.

W. S. S. ROBERTS, Chairman, The Texas Company, New York, N. Y.

WILLIAM A. SIMPSON, President, William Simpson Construction Company, Los Angeles, Calif.

HERBERT E. SMITH, President, International Nickel Company of Canada Ltd., New York, N. Y.

JOHN STILWELL, Vice-President, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., New York, N. Y.

JUAN T. TRUFFE, President, Pan-American Airways System, New York, N. Y.

HARRY C. WISE, President, Humble Oil and Refining Company, Houston, Tex.

CHARLES E. WILSON, President, General Electric Company, New York, N. Y.

C. E. WILSON, President, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Mich.

CHARLES DEERE WILSON, President, Deere and Company, Moline, Ill.

ROBERT W. WOODRUFF, Chairman, Executive Committee, The Coca-Cola Company, Atlanta, Georgia

National Safety Council, Inc.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Chairman of the Board of Directors: CLEO F. CRAIG, Vice-President, American Telephone and Telegraph Company, New York, N. Y.

Vice-Chairman of the Board of Directors: GUY L. NOBLE, Managing Director, National Committee on Boys and Girls Club Work, Chicago, Ill.

Members

DR. D. B. ARMSTRONG, Second Vice-President, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York, N. Y.

CARROLL W. ASBRY, President, K&I Terminal Railroad, Louisville, Ky.

J. I. BANASH, Consulting Engineer, Chicago, Ill.

WALLACE N. BARKER, Executive Vice-President, Pullman Standard Car Manufacturing Co., Chicago, Ill.

L. J. BENSON, Assistant to the President, Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul, and Pacific Railroad Company, Chicago, Ill.

C. W. BERQUIST, Western Springs, Ill.

L. A. BLANCHARD, Assistant to the General Chairman, Federal Inter-departmental Safety Council, Washington, D. C.

HARRY H. BRAINERD, Executive Manager, Western Pennsylvania Safety Council, Inc., Pittsburgh, Pa.

THOMAS E. BRANIFF, President, Braniff Airways, Incorporated, Dallas, Tex.

THEO BROWN, Director, Deere and Company, Moline, Ill.

W. F. BROWN, Safety Director, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., New York, N. Y.

Mrs. J. F. BLAIR BUCK, President, General Federation of Women's Clubs, Washington, D. C.

JAMES B. CAREY, Secretary-Treasurer, Congress of Industrial Organizations, Washington, D. C.

RAY CARR, Advertising Counsel, Portland, Ore.

W. GRAHAM COLE, Assistant Secretary, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York, N. Y.

KENNETH B. COLEMAN, Seattle, Wash.

M. C. CONICK, Executive Partner, Main and Company, Pittsburgh, Pa.

DR. B. L. CORRETT, Manager Milwaukee Safety Commission, Milwaukee, Wis.

CLEO F. CRAIG, Vice-President, American Telephone & Telegraph Co., New York, N. Y.

A. J. R. CURTIS, Assistant Secretary & Safety Director, Portland Cement Association, Chicago, Ill.

NED H. DEARBORN, President, National Safety Council, Chicago, Ill.

CLINTON W. DRYER, Manager, East Bay Chapter, National Safety Council, Oakland, Calif.

WALLACE J. FALVEY, Vice-President, Massachusetts Bonding and Insurance Company, New York, N. Y.

National Safety Council, Inc.

R. H. FERGUSON, Manager of Safety, Republic Steel Corporation, Cleveland, O.

KIRK FOX, Editor, Successful Farming Meredith Publications, Des Moines, Ia.

ROY M. GOOWIN, Director of Safety, Philadelphia Electric Company, Philadelphia, Pa.

O. GREENE, Vice-President and Comptroller, Commonwealth Edison Company, Chicago, Ill.

W. EARL HALL, Managing Editor, Mason City Globe Gazette, Mason City, Ia.

JULIEN H. HARVEY, Manager, Accident Prevention Dept., Association of Casualty and Surety Companies, New York, N. Y.

DR. T. LYLE HAZLETT, Medical Director, East Pittsburgh, Pa.

DR. H. T. HEALD, President, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Ill.

JAMES W. HOOSON, Assistant Western Counsel, Northern Pacific Railway Company, Seattle, Wash.

REYBURN HOWMAN, Manager, The Safety Council of Greater St. Louis, St. Louis, Mo.

MRS. HIRSH C. HOUGHTON, Second Vice-President, General Federation of Women's Clubs, Red Oak, Ia.

DR. HEROLD C. HUNT, General Superintendent of Schools, Chicago, Ill.

GEORGE A. JACOBY, Director of Personnel Services, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Mich.

FRANK L. JONES, The Equitable Life Assurance Society of the U. S., New York, N. Y.

W. DEAN KEEFER, Second Vice-President, Lumbermen's Mutual Casualty Company, Chicago, Ill.

E. W. KEMPTON, Assistant Vice-President, United States Steel Corporation of Delaware, Pittsburgh, Pa.

CARTER KENDALL, Assistant Chief Safety Engineer, Bethlehem Steel Company, Inc., Bethlehem, Pa.

WALTER G. KING, Safety Director, American Optical Company, New York, N. Y.

J. O. LERIX, Director of Safety, Gilbert Associates, Inc., Reading, Pa.

HAROLD F. LILLIX, Manager, Lansing Safety Council, Lansing, Mich.

JOHN E. LONG, Superintendent of Safety, The Delaware and Hudson Railroad Corporation, Albany, N. Y.

GEORGE H. LOWE, Lowe and Huggins, Ogden, Utah

A. E. LYON, Executive Secretary, Railway Labor Executives' Association, Washington, D. C.

THOMAS H. MACDONALD, Commissioner, Public Roads Administration, Washington, D. C.

PAUL A. MCCHEE, Dean of The School of General Education, New York University, New York, N. Y.

National Society Council, Inc.

- I. W. MILLARD, President, Industrial Glove Company, Danville, Ill.
- ERLE S. MINER, Engineer, American Telephone and Telegraph Company, New York, N. Y.
- HAROLD L. MINER, Manager, Safety & Fire Prevention Division, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Wilmington, Del.
- J. HOWARD MYERS, Director of Safety and Fire Prevention, The Atlantic Refining Company, Philadelphia, Pa.
- MRS. L. R. NICHOLSON, National Safety Chairman, National Congress of Parents and Teachers, Salt Lake City, Utah
- GUY L. NOBLE, Managing Director, National Committee on Boys and Girls Club Work, Chicago, Ill.
- HENRY E. NORTH, Vice-President, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, San Francisco, Calif.
- C. E. PETTIBONE, Vice-President and Manager, Engineering Department, American Mutual Liability Insurance Co. Boston, Mass.
- R. J. REICHELUTH, Treasurer, New Haven Trap Rock Company, New Haven, Conn.
- HERBERT F. REINHARD, Consulting Engineer, Union Carbide & Carbon Corporation, New York, N. Y.
- THEODORE S. REPLER, President, The Advertising Council, Inc. Washington, D. C.
- J. L. RIDGNER, Safety Director, Inland Steel Company, Indiana Harbor Works, East Chicago, Ind.
- A. V. RORWEDER, Superintendent of Safety & Welfare, Duluth, Minn. and Iron Range Railway Co., Duluth, Minn.
- FRED M. ROSELAND, Executive Vice-President, New Jersey State Safety Council, Inc., Newark, N. J.
- JUDGE LEE E. SKEEL, Appellate Court, Cleveland, Ohio
- DR. WITT SMITH, Vice Chairman in Charge of Domestic Services, The American National Red Cross, Washington, D. C.
- R. T. SOLENTEN, Vice-President, Elliott Service Company, Mount Vernon, N. Y.
- LESLIE J. SORENSON, City Traffic Engineer, City of Chicago, Chicago, Ill.
- R. C. STRATTON, Supervising Chemical Engineer, Travelers Insurance Companies, Hartford, Conn.
- PAUL F. STRICKER, Executive Vice-President, Greater New York Safety Council, New York, N. Y.
- C. E. WOOLSEY, Director of Personnel, A. O. Smith Corporation, Milwaukee, Wis.
- DR. WILLIAM F. YANT, Director of Research & Development, Mine Safety Appliances Company Research Laboratory, Pittsburgh, Pa.
- J. W. YOUNG, Safety Director, International Harvester Company, Chicago, Ill.

Safety Exposition Exhibitors

EXHIBITION HALL—STEVENS HOTEL
MEZZANINE FLOOR—SHERMAN HOTEL

Alphabetical List of Exhibitors of Industrial and Public Safety Equipment

- Acme Protection Equipment Co., Chicago, Ill.
Gas Masks.
- All American Safety Equipment Co., Chicago, Ill.
Power and Kick Press Guards.
- American Abrasive Metals Co., Irvington, N. J.
Safety Treads, Abrasive Paints.
- American Cord & Webbing Co., New York, N. Y.
Acro-lyte Products, Reflectors and Fluorescent Webbing.
- American-LaPrance-Foamite Corp., Elmira, N. Y.
Fire Protection Equipment.
- American Mat Corp., Toledo, O.
Safety Matting.
- American Optical Co., Southbridge, Mass.
Industrial Safety Goggles, Respiratory Devices and Protective Clothing.
- Ampeco Metal, Inc., Milwaukee, Wis.
Beryllium-Copper Non-Sparking Safety Tools.
- Ansul Chemical Co., Marinette, Wis.
Fire Protection Equipment.
- Audio-Development Co., Minneapolis, Minn.
Hearing Testing Equipment.
- Batterson Distributing Co., Houston, Tex.
Plastic Traffic Markers for Streets, Highways and Industry.
- Bausch & Lomb Optical Co., Rochester, N. Y.
Safety Lenses and Goggles.
- Bear Manufacturing Co., Rock Island, Ill.
Motor Vehicle Inspection and Correction Equipment; Static and Dynamic Balancing Machines.
- Behr-Manning Corp., Troy, N. Y.
Coated Abrasives.
- Benson and Associates, Inc., Chicago, Ill.
General Safety Equipment.
- Bradley Washfountain Co., Milwaukee, Wis.
Group Washfountains and Multi-Stall Showers.
- John H. Brock, Inc., Springfield, Mass.
Protective Creams and Hand Cleaners.
- Stewart R. Browne Mfg. Co., Inc., New York, N. Y.
Flashlights and Extension Lights.
- Buckingham Mfg. Co., Inc., Binghamton, N. Y.
Linemen's Accessories.
- Buffalo Fire Appliance Corp., Dayton, Ohio
Fire Extinguishing Equipment.
- R. H. Burke Co., Chicago, Ill.
Safety Equipment and Allied Products for Construction and Maintenance Work.
- R. D. Bullard Co., San Francisco, Cal.
Everything in Safety.
- Canfield Oil Co., Cleveland, Ohio
Oil-Spunj Absorbents.
- A. B. Chance Co., Centerville, Mo.
Line Construction and Live Line Maintenance Equipment.

Ohalla, Inc., New York, N. Y.
 Polaroid Color and Contrast Vectograph Displays.
 Chicago Eye Shield Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Head and Eye Protective Equipment.
 Columbia First Aiders, Chicago, Ill.
 First Aid Supplies.
 Columbus-McKinnon Chain Corp., Tonawanda, N. Y.
 Chain and Chain Hoists.
 C-O-Two Fire Equip. Co., Newark, N. J.
 Carbon Dioxide Fire Extinguishers, Hose Units, Manual-Automatic Systems,
 Smoke Detecting Systems.
 M. E. Cunningham Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
 Safety Marking Tools.
 Davis Emergency Equipment Co., Newark, N. J.
 Respiratory Protection; Combustible Gas Indicators; General Safety and First Aid
 Equipment.
 The Diversary Corp., Chicago, Ill.
 Oil and Grease Absorbents, Cleaning Compounds, Hand Cleaners.
 Dobbins Mfg. Co., Elkhart, Ind.
 Portable Drinking Fountains and Accessories.
 Dockson Corp., Detroit, Mich.
 Industrial Head and Eye Protection.
 C. B. Dolge Co., Westport, Conn.
 Fungicides, Protective Creams, Skin Cleaner, Cutting Oil Germicides.
 Dunn Products, Chicago, Ill.
 Industrial Protective Clothing Made from Rubber, Asbestos Cloth and Leather.
 Duo-Safety Ladder Corp., Oshkosh, Wis.
 Safety and Fire Ladders, Ladder Shoes.
 E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Wilmington, Del.
 Hand Protective Creams, Industrial Hand Creams, Neoprene Products, Rayon Yarn.
 E. & J. Manufacturing Co., Glendale, Calif.
 Resuscitator Inhalator and Aspirator.
 Elliott Service Co., New York, N. Y.
 Foremanship Training Material, Bulletin Board Displays on Safety,
 Quality and Waste; Suggestion Systems.
 J. H. Emerson Co., Cambridge, Mass.
 Automatic Resuscitation and Respiration Equipment.
 Finnell System, Inc., Elkhart, Ind.
 Floor-Care Machines and Floor Treatments.
 Fyr-Fyter Co., Dayton, Ohio.
 Fire Extinguishing Equipment.
 General Detroit Corp., Detroit, Mich.
 Fire Extinguishers, Motor Fire Apparatus and Allied Products.
 Granbard's, Inc., Newark, N. J.
 Gro-Cord Rubber Co., Lima, Ohio.
 Gro-Cord, Raw-Cord and Neo-Cord Soles, Heels and Taps.
 Haas Corporation, Mendon, Mich.
 Optical Magnifiers.
 Harley-Davidson Motor Co., Milwaukee, Wis.
 Hld Floor Machine Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Electrically Operated Floor Scrubbing & Polishing Machines—
 Portable Industrial Vacuum Machines.
 Hillyard Sales Companies, St. Joseph, Mo.
 Floor Treatments, Building Maintenance, Sanitation Products, Equipment
 and materials.
 Holcomb Safety Garment Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Protective Clothing Made of Asbestos, Leather and Fire-Proofed Duck.
 Hospital Specialty Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
 Manufacturers and Distributors—GARDS, FEMS, TAMPAX—Sanitary Pads
 and Dispensers.

- Huntington Laboratories, Inc., Huntington, Ind.
Sanitary Supplies, Liquid Soaps, Disinfectants, Floor Finishes and
Bleaches, Insecticides.
- Hydra-Tex Corp., Chicago, Ill.
Industrial Aprons.
- Hyman Westcott & Dunning, Inc., Baltimore, Md.
Morsurechrome and Thant's Lozenges.
- Indian Motorcycle Co., Springfield, Mass.
- Industrial Gloves Co., Danville, Ill.
Protective Clothing, Gloves, Mittens, Headguards, Leggings, Flame-Proof Suits.
- Industrial Products Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
Safety Equipment for All Industries.
- Industrial Safety Equipment Assn., New York, N. Y.
- Insto-Gas Corp., Detroit, Mich.
Underwriters Approved Torches and Furnaces.
- International Business Machine Corp., Chicago, Ill.
- International Shoe Co., High-Test Div., St. Louis, Mo.
Hy-Test Safety Shoes.
- Iron Age Div., H. Childs & Co., Inc., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Safety Shoes.
- Jackson Products, Warren, Mich.
Insulated Electrode Holders, Cable Connectors, Face Shields and Goggles.
- Junkin Safety Appliance Co., Inc., Louisville, Ky.
Interlocking Barrier Gate and Swinging Die Closure Guards.
- Justite Manufacturing Co., Chicago, Ill.
Safety Cans, Safety Flashlights, Electric Lanterns.
- Karl First Aid Supply Co., Chicago, Ill.
Industrial First-Aid Supplies and Equipment.
- Ker National Service, New York, N. Y.
Safe Wiping Materials.
- Keystone View Co., Meadville, Pa.
Industrial Visual Testing Equipment.
- Walter Kidde & Co., Inc., Belleville, N. J.
Fire Extinguishing Equipment.
- Kimball Safety Products Co., Cleveland, O.
Protective Clothing, Gloves and Goggles.
- Walter G. Legge Co., Inc., New York, N. Y.
Non-Slip Floor Polishes and Treatments, Non-Sparking Floor Treatment.
- Lahigh Safety Shoe Co., Inc., Allentown, Pa.
Safety Shoes and Rubber Boots.
- Lightfoot Schalts Co., New York, N. Y.
Industrial Soaps—Powdered, Bar, Paste and Hand Lotion.
- Macwhyrts Company, Kenosha, Wis.
Wire Rope and Wire Slings.
- Magnesium Co. of America, Chicago, Ill.
Magneco Light Weight Magnesium Dockboards, Pallets, Barrel Skids,
General Fabrication.
- Marsh & McLennan, Inc., Chicago, Ill.
Insurance Brokers and Agents.
- Martindale Electric Co., Lakewood, Ohio.
Respirator for Non-Toxic Dusts, Electrical Testing Instruments.
- Thom McAn Safety Shoe Co., New York, N. Y.
Safety Shoes.
- Julian A. McDermott Corp., Corona, New York.
Warning and Safety Lights for Municipal, Industrial and Utility Use.
- H. F. McDonald Co., Los Angeles, Cal.
Mining and Industrial Safety Appliances.
- Medical Supply Co., Chicago, Ill.
First Aid Kits—All Purposes.

- Melfax Products Co., Akron, Ohio.
 Flooring Materials, Treads and Standing Mats.
 Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., New York, N. Y.
 Accident Prevention Educational Materials for Industry, Home and Traffic Safety.
 Milburn Company, Detroit, Mich.
 Protective Skin Creams and Plastic Coated Safety Clothing.
 R. J. Miller, Equipment Co., Franklin, Pa.
 Linemen's Equipment and Plastic Specialties.
 Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
 Safety Equipment for Personal and Plant Protection.
 Minnesota Mining & Mfg. Co., St. Paul, Minn.
 Reflective Sign Material and Non-Skid Floor Surfacing.
 Motorola, Inc., Chicago, Ill.
- National Assoc. of Insecticide and Disinfectant Mfrs., Inc., New York, N. Y.
 Household and Industrial Insecticides, Disinfectants and Allied Products.
 National Safety Council, Inc., Chicago, Ill.
 Services and Material for Safety Education.
 National Society for the Prevention of Blindness, New York, N. Y.
 Conservation and Utilization of Eyesight in Industry.
- Occupational Hazards, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio
 Publishers.
- Oil-Dri Co. of America, Chicago, Ill.
 Oil and Grease Absorbent.
 Onox, Inc., San Francisco, Cal.
 Athlete's Foot Preventive.
- G. H. Packwood Mfg. Co., St. Louis, Mo.
 Skin Cleansers, Soap Dispensers, Cleansing Cream.
 Patent Scaffolding Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Safety Ladders, Scaffolding and Work Stands.
 Peda Spray Co., Inc., New York, N.Y.
 Products for the Prevention of Athlete's Foot.
 Plister Mfg. Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.
 Maintained Air Pressure Liquid Fire Extinguishers.
 Positive Safety Manufacturing Co., Cleveland, Ohio
 Power Press Guards.
- Pressed Steel Car Co., Inc., Chicago, Ill.
 Protectoseal Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Safety Devices for Hazardous Liquids.
 Pulmo-Seal Safety Equipment Corp., Brooklyn, N.Y.
 Industrial Safety Equipment.
 Pyrene Manufacturing Co., Newark, N. J.
 Fire Extinguishers, Compounds, and Systems of Various Types.
- Randolph Laboratories, Inc., Chicago, Ill.
 Fire Extinguishers—Carbon Dioxide.
 Robbins Co., Attleboro, Mass.
 Safety Emblems and Awards.
 Rose Mfg. Co., Denver, Colo.
 Industrial Safety Equipment.
- Safety Clothing & Equipment Co., Cleveland, O.
 Industrial Safety Equipment.
 Safety Engineering, New York, N. Y.
 Publishers, Safety Engineering Magazine.
 Safety First Shoe Co., Holliston, Mass.
 Safety Shoes.
- Sager Glove Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Safety Apparel for Industrial Use.
 W. H. Salisbury Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Linemen's Rubber Protective Equipment—Rubber Gloves, Aprons, Boots and Clothing.
 A. Schrader's Son, Brooklyn, N. Y.
 Pneumatic Press Controls, Valves, Cylinders & Associated Products.

Scott Aviation Corp., Lancaster, N. Y.
 Safety Equipment and Safety Breathing Equipment. The Scott Air-Pak.
 Scully Signal Co., Cambridge, Mass.
 FMI Signals and Petroleum Safety Equipment.
 Sellstrom Mfg. Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Face and Eye Protective Equipment.
 Southern Aircraft Co., Garland, Tex.
 School Bus Bodies.
 Spencer Turbine Co., Hartford, Conn.
 Industrial Vacuum Cleaners and Dust Collecting Equipment.
 Standard Safety Equipment Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Personal Safety Protective Equipment.
 Steel Scaffolding Co., Inc., Brooklyn, N. Y.
 "Trouble Saver" Steel Scaffolding.
 Stepan Chemical Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Skin Cleanser.
 Stephenson Corp., Red Bank, N. J.
 Resuscitation Equipment.
 Stonehouse Signs, Inc., Denver, Colo.
 Accident Prevention Signs.
 Sugar Beets Products Co., Saginaw, Mich.
 Skin Cleanser and Cleaner.
 Surtz Mfg. Co., Inc., Chicago, Ill.
 Safety Appliances for Machines and Underfoot Hazards.
 S. G. Taylor Chain Co., Hammond, Ind.
 Iron and Steel Welded Chain of all Descriptions.
 G. H. Tennant Co., Minneapolis, Minn.
 Floor Cleaning Machines, Floor Seal and Floor Wax.
 Titan Safety Shoe Co., Boston, Mass.
 "ALIV" Safety Shoes for Distribution Direct to Industry.
 Traffic Control Corp., Chicago, Ill.
 Trimont Mfg. Co., Roxbury (Boston), Mass.
 Forged Steel Safety Tools.
 Trinal, Incorporated, Chicago, Ill.
 Complete Foot Protection.
 United States Safety Service Co., Kansas City, Mo.
 Complete Line of Safety Equipment.
 Walsh Press & Die Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Safety Devices and Punch Presses.
 Watchemoket Optical Co., Inc., Providence, R. I.
 Industrial Safety Goggles.
 Waverly Petroleum Products Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
 Oil Absorption Material.
 Weaver Mfg. Co., Springfield, Ill.
 Automatic Brake Testers "Safety Lanes."
 Welsh Manufacturing Co., Providence, R. I.
 Industrial Eye Protection Products.
 West Disinfecting Co., Long Island City, N. Y.
 Disinfectants, Deodorants—Products for the Promotion of Sanitation.
 F. H. Wheeler Mfg. Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Industrial Clothing made from Asbestos, Duck, Leather, Twills and Wool.
 Wiseman Manufacturing Co., Dayton, Ohio.
 Power Press Guards; Guide Pin Cover.
 Wilkins Company, Cortland, N. Y.
 K-LENS-M Products—Dispenser Cabinets, Lens Cleaner and Tissues.
 Williams Jewelry & Mfg. Co., Chicago, Ill.
 Safety Awards, Badges and Trophies.
 Willson Products, Inc., Reading, Pa.
 Industrial Goggles, Gas Masks, Helmets and Respirators, and Allied Safety Devices.
 Wyandotte Chemical Corp., Wyandotte, Mich.
 Absorbents.

Transactions

35TH NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



VOLUME V

AUTOMOTIVE AND MACHINE SHOP SECTION

**NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
20 NO. WACKER DRIVE • CHICAGO 8, ILL.**

Automotive and Machine Shop Section

Executive Committee 1947-1948

General Chairman—J. E. MOORE, Director of Safety, Corporate Service Incorporated, Detroit, Mich.

Vice-Chairman—L. B. LOOMIS, Safety Engineer, Fisher Body—Ternstedt Division, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Mich.

C. A. DeMONGE, Safety Director, Kelsey-Hayes Wheel Company, Detroit, Mich.

Secretary—D. L. McCULLY, Safety Supervisor, Westinghouse Air Brake Company, Wilmerding, Pa.

Program Committee

Chairman: GEO. F. NUERNBERGER, Safety Engineer, A. B. Dick Company, Chicago, Ill.

R. D. HARVEY, Safety Engineer, The Murray Corporation of America, Detroit, Michigan

C. O. ENOCHS, Safety Director, Chevrolet Flint Division of General Motors Corporation, Flint, Michigan

F. H. HUMPHRIES, Manager Safety and Claims Division, American Car and Foundry Company, New York, N. Y.

Engineering Committee

Chairman: F. W. FISKE, Safety Director, The Brewer Titchner Corporation, Cortland, New York

BARNEY J. POVOLNY, Safety Director, Detroit Diesel Engine Division, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

WM. SMITH, Director of Safety, Ford Motor Company of Dearborn, Michigan

Membership Committee

Chairman: PAUL J. BLACK, Safety Supervisor, Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Lima, Ohio

ARTHUR E. EWING, Latrobe Electric Steel Company, Latrobe, Pa.

FRED G. YELTON, Safety Director, Delco-Remy Division, General Motors Corporation, Anderson, Indiana

News Letter Committee

Chairman: PAUL F. BUNGER, Safety Director, Frigidaire Division, General Motors Corporation, Dayton, Ohio

LOUIS A. GALANTUCCI, Safety Engineer, General Electric Company, Bloomfield, N. J.

G. W. YEMM, Safety Director, Otis Elevator Company, Harrison, N. J.

Health Committee

Chairman: A. L. BROOKS, M.D., Medical Division, Fisher Body Division, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

C. F. ENGEL, M.D., Assistant Medical Director, Westinghouse Electric Corporation, East Pittsburgh, Pa.

FRANK A. PATTY, Director of Industrial Hygiene, General Motors Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

Publicity Committee

Chairman: O. C. BOILEAU, Supervisor of Safety, Health and Retirement, R.C.A. Victor Corporation of America, Camden, N. J.

GERALD C. SQUIER, Assistant Director of Personnel, Bulldog Electric Products, Detroit, Michigan

EDWARD G. HOLTZMAN, Director of Safety and Medical Departments, Wagner Electric Corporation, St. Louis, Missouri

Statistical Committee

Chairman: H. J. JENNINGS, Safety Engineer, General Electric Company, West Lynn, Massachusetts

W. E. BROWN, JR., Safety Director, The City Auto Stamping Company, Toledo, Ohio

LOYLE DAVIS, Director of Personnel and Industrial Relations, Mueller Company, Decatur, Illinois

Off-the-Job Committee

Chairman: M. F. BIANCARDI, Safety Engineer, Health and Safety Department, Industrial Relations, Div., Allis Chalmers Company, Milwaukee, Wis.

ROBERT C. BENSON, Director of Personnel and Safety, Lansing Stamping Company, Lansing, Michigan

E. CLARK WOODWARD, Director of Safety, A-O Smith Corporation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Poster Committee

Chairman: CARL PETERSON, Safety Supervisor, Plymouth Motors Division of Chrysler Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

CARLTON R. REID, Safety Engineer, Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company, Springfield, Massachusetts

HAROLD M. ERICKSON, Director of Safety, Nash Motors, Kenosha, Wis.

Members-at-Large—*J. W. YOUNG, International Harvester Co., Chicago, Ill.; *O. F. LEHMAN, Chrysler Corp., Detroit, Mich.; *W. A. BECHILL, Chrysler Corp., Detroit, Mich.; *J. C. BOWER, 1021 Millin Ave., Pittsburgh, Pa.; *M. A. CLARK, 5537 Devonshire Road, Grosse Pointe, Mich.; *H. B. DUFFUS, Westinghouse Electric Corp., East Pittsburgh, Pa.; *HOYT L. FRACHER, Detroit Steel Products Co., Detroit, Mich.; *G. A. KUECHENMEISTER, Dominion Forge & Stamping Co., Walkerville, Ont., Canada; *M. J. HOPKINS, Fisher Body Detroit Div., General Motors Corp., Detroit, Mich.; *ROBERT A. SHAW, Detroit Diesel Engine Div., General Motors Corp., Detroit, Mich.; LT. COMDR. PAUL S. STRECKER; *R. F. THALNER, Buick Motor Div., General Motors Corp., Flint, Mich.; *V. I. TROTTER; *C. E. WOOLIEVER, A. O. Smith Corp., Milwaukee, Wis.

Staff Representative—ARTHUR S. KELLY, National Safety Council, Chicago, Ill.

*Indicates Past General Chairman

MONDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 6, 1947

Presiding: Dr. A. L. Brooks, Medical Director, Fisher Body Division, General Motors Corporation

Recognition and Control of Fume and Dust Exposure

By V. J. CASTROP

Research Laboratories Division, General Motors Corporation

Illness which can be attributed to the occupation may occur in the automotive and allied industries. While occupational disease cases are much fewer in number than those resulting from accidental injury, there still remains the need for vigilance in maintaining a healthful working environment. The responsibility for employee health is delegated by management to the medical director with the safety director charged with matters pertaining to safety. For the smooth functioning of either department it is necessary that these individuals work in close harmony with each other.

A safety engineer who is capable of recognizing conditions which may be detrimental to the health of the employee is an excellent teammate for the doctor in any employee health maintenance program. The safety engineer need not be capable of actually sampling air in the environment or designing a ventilation system for the control of toxic materials. He should, however, have knowledge of the materials which have been proved harmful, should be fairly well informed of how these materials may be used safely, have some understanding of basic control measures which are normally recommended when such materials are used.

The intention is not to present a highly technical discussion of fume and dust exposures or detailed information regarding ventilation systems, as such matters are of interest to the professional industrial hygienists and of comparatively little value to safety engineers. This discussion will be limited to the more basic principles of industrial hygiene as they relate to fume and dust exposures, to indicate what control measures are available or used to protect the employee's health, and, to call to your attention operations and materials which are commonly used in the automotive and allied industries, at the same time indicating the generally

accepted opinion as to their effect on health.

Many conditions are corrected or improved because of reasons other than their effect upon the health of the employees. Better products, better employee relationship, reduction in maintenance of equipment may be cited as justification for management's decisions for such correction or improvement.

Generally speaking there are two means of entrance of toxic compounds into the human body under industrial conditions, namely, by ingestion or via the respiratory tract through the inhalation of fumes, dusts, mists or gases. Ingestion is of importance if toxic materials which have a systemic effect are used and adequate washing facilities are not provided, or, where the employees are slovenly in their personal habits. Inhalation is considered the most important portal of entry of fumes or dusts and the particle size, therefore, becomes significant in evaluating an exposure. The particles which cause the physiological changes are those which are small enough to remain suspended in the air and not those which immediately fall to the floor. Flying particles, which are of concern to a safety man and for which he advocates the use of goggles, are not of hygienic significance since they are not of respirable size. To judge the seriousness of an exposure by the quantity of detritus adjacent to an operation is often misleading. This is especially true where mechanical ventilation has been provided which will remove the fine material but is inadequate to trap or convey the larger particles.

In evaluating an exposure it is necessary to consider the toxicity of the material, the duration of the exposure, the average concentration of the contaminant with special attention being paid to those operations which produce high concentrations at some phase of an operating cycle, and the measures which have been provided to control the exposure.

Medical records of the individuals subjected to the exposure may be of value if the examinations are thorough and frequent and not of a cursory type. It must be remembered that occupational diseases are slow in development and in some instances lasting in effect. Slow changes may not be detected and the mere fact that cases have not occurred is no definite assurance that exposures to known toxic materials may be ignored.

The determination of the concentration of the toxic material in the air is important in evaluating the exposure. Tests of this type are not only indicative of the degree of contamination but in some instances which operation is the major offender.

The apparatus used in collecting the contaminant and the method used in estimating the amount present in the atmosphere vary with the type of material. Tests of this type are specialized in nature and are beset with many pitfalls which can lead to very erroneous results and conclusions if done by other than experienced personnel.

In a discussion pertaining to toxic materials it is generally necessary to quote permissible concentrations or as it is sometimes expressed—maximum allowable concentrations—permissible limits, or threshold limits. These terms are used extensively by industrial hygienists to indicate concentrations of a substance in the air which are considered compatible with good health. The limit usually applies to exposures of eight hours duration for a prolonged period.

The limits are, for the most part, advisory standards or bench marks which are practical to meet. In general, these limits are derived from three types of evidence all of which have value and limitations.

1. Animals have been exposed at different concentrations and the effects noted.
2. A few experiments have been made on human subjects for short periods of time.
3. Safe limits have been arrived at by measuring the concentrations of substance in the workroom air and correlating this information with results of medical examinations of workmen.

There is no magic connected with these limits and the mere fact that they are exceeded does not necessarily mean that someone will definitely become ill, but there is a good probability that someone will suffer ill effects if the limit is greatly exceeded for a

considerable period. There remains much to be learned regarding the toxicity of many substances used in industry, and it is hoped that with more research and study that the answers will be found.

So much for the general picture. Now let's take a look at some specific operations.

Welding—Welding processes most commonly used can be divided into three types, arc, gas, and resistance. The safety measures pertaining to clothing, goggles, and helmets are of common knowledge and therefore shall not be considered in this discussion.

Arc welding when performed on uncoated steel does not produce a condition which is considered harmful to the welder provided it is accomplished in a comparatively large open area. The fumes which result from welding consist essentially of the oxides of iron and oxides of metals which may comprise the steel or coating of the welding rod. Some investigators have found characteristic markings on chest x-rays of men who have welded under severe conditions for long periods of time. These markings are presumably due to the deposition of iron fumes within the lungs, but it has not been demonstrated that such fumes have caused physical impairment.

A frequently suggested limit for welding fume is 15 milligrams of iron oxide per cubic meter of air. Concentrations of this magnitude existing in the workroom would materially reduce visibility and thereby become a nuisance or an unsafe condition requiring correction.

Welding within confined areas such as tanks, inside machinery or equipment, or in small rooms presents a condition which can be of concern. The concentration of fume is materially higher under these conditions as there is little opportunity for dilution by natural air currents normally present in a large shop. Nitrogen oxides formed by the electric arc when inhaled in sufficient quantities causes fluids to form in the lung—edema—with the resultant failure of the respiratory system. While welding in large open areas these toxic gases are normally not present in sufficient quantities to cause harmful effects. However, when welding is done in confined areas, the nitrogen oxides may reach a concentration which will be harmful.

Gas welding generally is not productive of harmful fumes. There are, however, in brazing two potentially harmful exposures. While boric acid or borates generally are used in

brazing fluxes, some metals require fluxes containing significant quantities of fluoride compounds and fumes from these fluxes have been known to produce significant nasal irritation. Fluorides in general are looked upon with suspicion since they may produce harmful effects upon the bone structure. A limit of 2.5 mg. per cubic meter has been suggested for fluorides; but no standard has been agreed upon. Most industrial hygienists attempt to keep them as low as practicable in order to be absolutely certain that bone damage does not occur among the workers.

Silver solder is one of the more common alloys used in brazing. Solders of this type vary in composition and may in some instances contain cadmium which if volatilized in sufficient quantities is considered to be harmful. At present the suggested limit for cadmium fume in air is 1 milligram per 10 cubic meters of air.

Resistance, spot, or butt welding of plain steel is of no concern from the physiological standpoint. The fumes arising from such operations generally are caused by the volatilized oil which has come upon the metal stock during previous operations or which has been placed upon the metal as a rust preventive.

The preceding discussion of welding operations has been based upon the assumption that the metals being welded are of plain steel. The performance of welding operations, either arc, gas, or resistance, upon metals which have been coated with such toxic materials as lead, cadmium, or mercury may cause harmful exposures. The heat of the flame or of the arc causes volatilization of these metals. Welding or cutting ofterne plate or other metals containing significant quantities of lead can result in the volatilization of lead fume, which if inhaled for a sufficient period of time, may result in lead absorption and ultimate poisoning. The cutting or welding of surfaces which have been coated with paint containing lead has caused lead poisoning in the past and will continue to do so unless adequately controlled.

Welding of cadmium plated material was attributed as the cause of illness and even death to a number of individuals during the recent war activity. The reported cases indicated that death occurred in a comparatively short time after the exposure.

Welding of galvanized metal causes the volatilization of the zinc coating. Temporary

discomfort associated with metal fume fever may result from such an exposure.

Where warranted the control of welding fumes is generally accomplished by providing a mechanical ventilation system. The type of ventilation used is dependent upon the nature of the welding. When the object to be welded is positioned in a fixture or jig it is possible to obtain effective control of the fumes by the use of a grill side hood, or flexible duct near the source of fume. If the parts are large and it is necessary to weld more than a foot from a fixed point it is difficult to obtain good control of the fume with reasonable air volumes through system using flexible ducts. Since the effective range of most flexible ducts is approximately 8 inches from the duct opening, it is necessary for the welder to move the duct whenever the arc is outside this range. The practical result is that most ducts are normally not moved and the ventilation system does not remove the fumes when liberated. According to reports this type of system has been effectively used in shipyards, for the control of welding fumes in confined areas. In view of this experience it should therefore be a satisfactory means of control for welding fumes in tanks. However, excellent supervision and education is necessary to make such systems effective.

Where the room volume per welder is large, the natural ventilation within the plant is usually sufficient to prevent the accumulation of excessive concentration of fumes from plain steel welding. Circulating fans directed from the side help to dilute the fume which may be concentrated in the breathing zone of the welder.

Another means of control is the use of personal respiratory equipment. Air line respirators and fume respirators have been developed which will provide adequate protection. Some manufacturers of this type of equipment have on the market welders' helmets with facilities for providing fresh air to the wearer. The use of this type of equipment has been limited. Since the welder is already burdened with helmet, goggles, and protective clothing he probably will not be receptive to a respirator. It is usually sufficient in most welding for the employee to wear an ordinary helmet and to arrange the position of the work so as to prevent the concentrated fume from flowing under the helmet.

Dry Grinding—The dust resulting from the

grinding of nontoxic metals which are free of sand is generally considered a nuisance and is not harmful per se. Despite this fact some state laws require grinding operations to be ventilated. This requirement has probably been a hold over from the days when sandstone wheels were prevalent and also to prevent the creation of a nuisance condition. Grinding wheels in use in industry have artificial abrasives such as aluminum oxide or silicon carbide. Emery, which is a natural product composed of essentially aluminum and the magnetic oxide of iron, may also be used. A permissible limit of 50 million particles per cubic foot of air for aluminum oxide and silicon carbide was recently accepted by the members of the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists. Such a limit is liberal and concentrations of such magnitude are normally not encountered.

When lead, silica, or some similar toxic material comes in contact with the grinding wheel the exposure to the abrasive matter may be ignored and the exposure evaluated on the basis of the toxic material. If this latter material is controlled the nuisance will also be eliminated.

Ventilation systems for grinding wheels have been fairly well standardized and generally are satisfactory to prevent a nuisance. Hoods should enclose the wheel as completely as is practicable. Air volumes should be provided which are sufficient to cause an inward flow into the hood when using the smallest wheel. This is especially true where toxic materials are involved.

Wet Grinding—Baffles, hoods, and guards are generally located near wet grinding wheels to prevent dispersion of the liquid used as a coolant. These devices are usually sufficient to control the dust if it is nontoxic in nature. If the dust liberated is toxic, mechanical exhaust ventilation may be required.

Buffing and polishing—The buffing compound applied to wheels used for buffing and polishing vary in composition. Waxes and various types of abrasive are the major components. These materials plus lint and fibers from the wheel are the essential ingredients of the airborne dust. The toxicity is dependent upon the type of abrasive. Generally ventilation is provided so as to improve housekeeping and reduce the number of complaints and not because of the hazard to health.

Machining—Smoke from oily parts is the prevailing source of air contamination at machining operations. Illness has not been attributed to this type of exposure. As is the case with most fumes and dusts of the nuisance variety little is known as to what effect such materials have on already existing physical abnormalities.

Machining operations on steel produce large chips or turnings; consequently, dust is not a problem. Operations involving the machining of high lead alloys have been studied, and significant quantities of air-borne lead have not been demonstrated.

Graphite which becomes airborne during the machining of cast iron is not considered to be physiologically significant, and therefore is classified as a nuisance dust. Frequently management considers it advisable to provide ventilation for the machines which are productive of the most dust, as graphite on the clothing and person and surrounding machinery is conducive to many complaints. Ventilation systems for the machining, drilling, and so forth, of cast iron should include hoods located as near the cutters or drills as is practicable. Many of these hoods are in use on production machinery and are doing an excellent job of dust control.

Abrasive Cleaning—Since silica is recognized as the most harmful of mineral dusts, an adequate ventilation system is normally provided at the time of installation of commercially built sand blast equipment. Continuous usage and lack of maintenance result in a breakdown of adequate control. Visible escape of dust is the best evidence that this has occurred and it is advisable to correct such a condition without undue delay.

Employees who are stationed in blast rooms are dependent upon personal respiratory equipment. It is of utmost importance that such devices are of a type which will provide the necessary protection. Devices of this type are of the supplied-air variety, and it is necessary that the air provided be free of harmful contaminants. The air compressors should be located in an area free of contamination otherwise the contaminant will be transmitted to the helmet and the breathing zone of the worker.

Normally, ventilation within the room is provided so as to improve visibility and to help prevent the escape of dust to the surrounding areas not to reduce the concentration of silica dust to a safe level.

Tumble or rotating table type of sand blast units should be provided with sufficient ventilation to prevent the escape of silica dust. Leakage due to excessive wear should be remedied.

Small sand blast cabinets, where the operator controls the blast nozzles from outside the cabinet, frequently have faulty seals around the doors or the rubber gauntlets are loose or torn. The dust which escapes from the cabinet through these openings is in close proximity to the breathing zone of the employee and in many instances exceeds the permissible limit for silica. This leakage should be corrected and the men should not be required to use respirators.

If the articles being blasted are free of silica or other toxic material, the dust resulting from grit or shot blasting is classified in the nuisance category. It follows that the maintenance of ventilating systems for grit blasting, although desirable, is not always so necessary as for sand blasting.

The use of steel grit or shot in blasting rooms produce excessively high concentrations of dust within the enclosure. It is therefore, necessary to protect the worker within the room. The remarks included in the section devoted to sand blasting rooms are therefore, pertinent when considering rooms in which steel grit or shot are used as the abrasive.

Vapor blasting, a variation of sand blasting, is of comparatively recent development. This procedure involves the use of fine silica and water under pressure. The silica used in vapor blast units varies in size and may even be as small as 1250 mesh (approximately 10 microns). It is generally believed that airborne silica particles below 5 microns are most significant in causing silicosis. It then follows that operations involving the use of silica abrasive whose initial particle size approaches that order of magnitude are more hazardous, and require continual vigilance in maintaining good control. It is, therefore, essential that the vapor blast cabinets be maintained so that leakage of mist does not occur. Good housekeeping is also essential as spillage of the sand and water sludge upon the floor will create a source for dust dissemination when the water evaporates. Likewise, containers of the dried sludge should not be allowed to stand in the factory where they can be influenced by air currents of high velocity.

Practically all industrial plants have operations which involve the use of leading base bearing metal or solder. Babbitt bearing metal containing approximately 75 per cent lead has been encountered. The composition of solder metal is variable and dependent upon the purpose for which it is used, with ordinary soft solder containing a comparatively high percentage of lead.

Assuming that the babbitt or solder in use is one containing a significant amount of lead, the question then arises as to whether or not the employees working with this material will become ill. The answer to such a question could be given by a qualified industrial hygienist after he had seen the operation and considered such factors as the temperature to which the metal is heated, the method of removing excess solder, the length of exposure, and the effectiveness of the control measures provided.

It is a well known fact that lead causes illness if absorbed in excessive amounts, and therefore operations wherein lead is used have received considerable attention and study. Out of this experience certain practices are known to produce excessive exposure whereas other practices have been proved not to be harmful. It is generally conceded that excessive concentrations do not result from operations where solder is applied by means of an electric soldering iron. This is probably due to the small amounts of solder used and the comparatively low heat of the metal. When an iron is used which is heated in a small gas fired furnace, the exposure may be of greater consequence. Solder which remains on the iron coming into contact with the flame or heat is vulnerable for volatilization. Small amounts of solder drop from the iron onto the hot floor of the furnace where it is readily volatilized or oxidized. The oxidized material may become airborne due to disturbances created by the flame or by removing or placing the soldering iron in the furnace. Frequently industrial hygienists recommend that ventilation be provided for heating units of this type thereby removing lead fumes and dust from the environment. This is particularly true in small rooms or where many units are in use.

The atmospheric contamination occasioned by the use of either gas or electrically heated pots containing solder is dependent on a number of factors. With higher temperatures more volatilization will occur and the greater

will be the exposure. With the higher temperature the metal is more readily oxidized to produce a dross consisting of a high percentage of lead oxide. The dross may provide a protective coating to reduce the volatilization of the lead; however, disturbance of this coating will create an exposure. As a safeguard against excessive contamination, pots containing solder or babbitt are usually ventilated. Wherever possible it is advisable to enclose the pot as completely as possible and provide sufficient air volume to overcome the thermal effects of the molten metal. Attempts have been made to use natural draft ventilation systems to control these fumes and in those instances where the ducts were not of sufficient diameter the system was inadequate. A preferable method is the use of mechanical systems.

The removal of excess solder with power driven tools, such as grinding wheels, discs, or wire wheels, creates airborne dust which may contaminate large areas of the factory if the operation is extensive. Diligent use of abrasive cloth will also result in excessive concentrations of lead dust. Filing, however, is not productive of small particles and is preferred over the use of power driven tools. If filing is not practicable or feasible it will be necessary to provide some type of mechanical ventilation system for the solder removal operations involving the use of power tools. Since the permissible limit generally accepted for lead is 1.3 milligrams per cubic meters of air (355 cubic feet) it will be necessary to have an effective system to provide adequate control. Engineers experienced in designing ventilation systems to control toxic materials should be consulted, otherwise a costly system might be erected and later discovered to be entirely ineffective. Frequently solder removal operations require the use of portable tools on large objects and a local exhaust system would not be effective, as is the case in the fabrication of automobile bodies. General contamination of the factory with lead dust has been eliminated by enclosing the entire operation in a large booth and exhausting a sufficient quantity of air from the enclosure to insure a constant inward flow of air from the factory into the booth. Under these circumstances no practical amount of air could be removed from the booth to reduce the lead concentration to a safe level, and therefore it is necessary that the men working therein be provided with adequate personal protective devices.

Heat Treating—High temperatures are a frequent source of complaint from employees in heat treat departments but such conditions and their control are not within the subject matter under discussion.

Fumes disseminated from cyanide pots are often associated with cyanides used as fumigants or as the lethal agent in death chambers and supervision as well as the employee becomes apprehensive. Fumes from such a source are irritating and because of this property should be adequately ventilated. The irritant nature of the fume is caused by alkali formed upon decomposition of the cyanide.

Molten lead is sometimes used as a hardening medium for metals. Frequently charcoal or some similar material is placed on the surface of the metal to conserve heat and prevent oxidation. A covering of this type reduces the volatilization of lead while the bath is quiescent, but has no effect while the bath is in use. Adequate ventilation should therefore be provided for baths of this type. As complete an enclosure as is practical for the operation being performed is advisable with air quantities dependent upon the open areas in the hood. To prevent contamination resulting from volatilization of lead accumulating in the combustion chambers the products of combustion from gas fired lead pots should be vented to the outdoors and not be allowed to dissipate into the workroom.

Iron Foundries—The principal ingredients of foundry dusts are silica, sea coal, clays, and parting compounds. The predominant material will be dependent upon which phase of the foundry operation is being studied and what operation is being performed. The control of dust is the major problem, whereas fume is of secondary importance.

A discussion of all the aspects of the industrial hygiene problems in such plants would require a separate treatise. For the present discussion it should be sufficient to state that all obvious sources of dust dispersion should be reduced to a minimum by adequate localized exhaust systems. In a mechanized foundry such places would be sand conditioning and mixing equipment, tumbling mills, shakeouts, core removal and knockout stations, transfer points of dry sand, abrasive blasting operations, and all grinding operations performed on castings containing appreciable quantities of sand.

Maintenance of ventilation equipment is a major item in foundries because of the abrasive action of the dust. It is imperative that a good program be maintained so as to keep the equipment functioning at maximum efficiency.

Because of the heat associated with large scale foundry activities it is a common sight to see pedestal fans or air cooling ducts directed in such a manner as to create cross drafts which interfere with the efficient removal of the dust dispersion. Better discretion in the placement of these fans or ducts will go far in reducing dust concentrations in the areas where they are used.

After the obvious has been corrected, it is advisable that a survey of the foundry be made by an industrial hygienist so that an accurate evaluation of the exposure may be obtained.

Respiratory Protective Devices—As has been stated, the atmospheric concentration of dust and fume and other materials may be reduced to a safe level by adequate exhaust ventilation. In some cases it is possible to correct the condition by substituting a less toxic material. If these measures are not practical respiratory protective devices may be used. They may also prove valuable in giving protection during an emergency or to provide protection for intermittent exposures or to supplement other methods of control. They should, however, not be considered as the desirable means of controlling a health hazard.

Experience has shown that frequently protective devices have been issued which do not provide adequate protection. Upon inquiry information was generally offered that the safety engineer was not too well informed as to what should have been provided.

The U. S. Bureau of Mines at their experimental station in Pittsburgh tests equipment of this type and studies the protection offered to the wearer. If the device is satisfactory in performance, they issue an approval number to the manufacturer which appears on the device as well as the container in which it is received from the manufacturer. The approval granted by the Bureau also stipulates that type of materials for which it is approved.

It has become general practice in the field of industrial hygiene to recommend the use of only those respirators which have been approved by the Bureau. Safety engineers should likewise insist that such devices be procured and acquaint themselves with the type of protection afforded by each device and thereby provide adequate control for the individual wearing the equipment. Some engineers prefer to stock only that type of respirator which will protect against the more harmful dusts or fumes, since a respirator of this type will also give adequate protection for materials of lower toxicity. The advantage of such a procedure has merit as it reduces the types of devices needed in stock and likewise insures the correct device for the more serious exposures.

Metal Cleaning

By THOMAS MOONEY

Med-Fac Industrial Hygiene Laboratories

The complete finishing of metals by electroplating may include:

1. Cleaning by abrasives.
2. Polishing the base metal.
3. Cleaning with solvents.
4. Cleaning with alkaline solutions.
5. Cleaning with acids often referred to as "Pickling."
6. Metal deposition or electroplating.
7. Buffing the deposits.
8. Lacquering the finished product.

In abrasive cleaning, sand or steel shot is

used under pressure. The potential health hazards in this type of cleaning are exposure to dust of a high free silica (SiO_2) content caused by the sand and also to metallic dusts where metals capable of producing toxic dusts are cleaned by shot and sand blasting. Steel shot blasting is a much less severe health hazard than sand blasting; consequently, steel shot abrasive cleaning methods should be used wherever possible.

The maximum allowable concentrations (M.A.C.) for free silica are five million parti-

scratches, before the metal is plated or painted. The principal hazards to health in metal finishing are the dusts of toxic metals such as lead, which are used to fill hollow surfaces when power grinding or disc machines are used to grind them down to a smooth finish, as is often done in automobile finishing.

In operations of this kind, where the lead surface is ground with power tools, the job should be isolated from other adjoining departments and have suction applied to it to remove the fine lead particulate dusts from

the area. All workers involved in metal finishing where lead is used and ground with power tools should be required to wear a metal fume respirator approved by the U.S. Bureau of Mines for lead dust, if the concentration of lead in the air is 1.5 milligrams per ten cubic meters of air as determined by test. The writer knows of no lead hazard introduced when finishing lead surfaces by hand filing.

REFERENCES

1. Bloomfield, J. J., and Blum, Wm., "Health Hazards in Chromium Plating." Public Health Reports, 43:2330 (1928).

Control of Solvent Exposures

By E. C. BARNES

Industrial Hygiene Engineer
Westinghouse Electric Corporation

The term "solvent" as used in industry denotes a variety of liquids that are used mainly for the purpose of dissolving some other material. The term is used rather loosely and may include such liquids as water or acids. Although there are many interesting factors involved in the proper control of all types of solvents, perhaps the greatest concern is attached to the organic solvents, and this discussion will be limited to that group of solvents generally referred to as "organic solvents." Most of these solvents are purchased to perform a specific function and they are later thrown away, usually in the form of a vapor. For example, a varnish may be made by dissolving a resin in toluol. The varnish is applied to some piece of equipment and the toluol is then evaporated by placing the equipment in an oven. Or, perhaps it is desired to wash grease from some metal parts. The parts may be wet with the solvent until the grease is removed and they are then allowed to dry. We can almost generalize and say that solvents are purchased for the express purpose of creating solvent vapors. These vapors create hazards which must be controlled.

There are about 300 different organic solvents available in drum or tank car quantities. These solvents are supplied to industry both as a specific chemical compound and also as a mixture of one or more of these chemical compounds. Thousands of these

mixtures are supplied to industry. In addition to this group of liquids which is available to industry in large quantities, there are several thousand others which are available in quantities of a few quarts or gallons.

Out of the many millions of gallons involved, the 300 organic solvents which are available in large quantities present the greatest problem of control. At the same time, this group of solvents has been used and studied sufficiently, so that reasonably good technical information and methods of control are available. Many of the several thousand organic solvents used in small quantities are not so well known and adequate technical information may not always be available. They thus present a more difficult problem in control.

In addition to these solvents which are now available, there are probably at least a dozen new organic solvents made available in large quantities each year, and hundreds of new solvents are made available in limited quantities. A continual check on new materials and new processes is therefore advisable to be certain that the use of new solvents will be adequately controlled.

When we speak of controlling solvent exposures, we obviously must include all the hazards associated with the use of the solvent. We must include such elements as fire, explosion, and health hazard. When speaking of control, we may feel the desirability of

establishing some kind of an automatic control which would shut off or reduce the exposure before hazardous conditions are created. It would be nice if we had a thermostat or regulator that would automatically turn off the exposure before any hazard was created.

Automatic controls should obviously be applied wherever they are practical. For example, combustible gas alarms may be used to control the explosion hazard in an oven being used to volatilize solvents. Automatic releases may be installed to actuate fire fighting equipment the moment a fire starts. Unfortunately, we do not have such a gadget which can be attached to one of the workers to indicate when he is over-exposed. Other methods of controlling the human exposures must be utilized, and these will be discussed later. Essentially, control of solvent exposures must be based on prevention of hazardous exposures. In order to prevent these exposures, certain technical information must be available. Equipment and processes must be properly designed. Finally, quantitative measurements must be made to establish the extent of the exposure. Perhaps we should consider these three elements more carefully.

Before giving much consideration to the design of a piece of processing equipment or a manufacturing operation involving organic solvents, a considerable amount of technical information must be available. Such elementary data as the boiling point, vapor pressure, vapor density, flammability, flash point, explosive limits, corrosive action, and last but not least, the Maximum Allowable Concentration and skin irritation properties must be known.

The boiling point of a liquid is of interest because it indicates the temperature at which large quantities of vapor will be given off at ordinary atmospheric pressure. It also serves as a guide in estimating the volatility of a solvent.

The vapor pressure of the liquid at room temperature, and also at any processing temperature, is of importance because it indicates the possible concentrations of solvent vapor that might be present under varying conditions. When the vapor pressure is known, the maximum vapor concentration that will be present under saturated conditions can be calculated.

The vapor density is significant since it

indicates whether the vapor is lighter or heavier than air, and in cases where highly concentrated vapors may escape from enclosures, it will be known whether these vapors will tend to flow downward or upward through the air. You will note that I said "concentrated vapors." I should like to emphasize this because there is frequently a misunderstanding in connection with the interpretation of the flow of vapors of varying densities. If there are only a few hundred parts per million of vapor in air, this mixture will not tend to flow upward or downward through the air when it is released, but will rather be carried along with whatever air currents may be present at the point where it is released. You are all familiar with the high school chemistry experiment of pouring carbon dioxide from one beaker into another beaker containing a burning candle and the resultant extinguishment of the flame on the candle. If the carbon dioxide in the first beaker were diluted to a concentration of only a few hundred parts per million of carbon dioxide in air, it would not pour from the first beaker into the second but would be carried away with any air currents and rapidly diffused in the surrounding air. Thus, any interpretation placed upon vapor density must take into consideration only those vapors which have not been diluted appreciably with air or other gases.

It is certainly desirable to know whether a solvent is flammable or non-flammable. If the solvent is non-flammable, no special consideration need be given to the possibility of an explosion or the danger of fire. If the solvent is flammable, however, special consideration must be given to its use so that the possibility of an uncontrolled fire or explosion may not occur. Even though conditions may be established for use of the solvent which minimize the fire or explosion hazard, it is essential that a suitable type of fire fighting equipment be made available in case some accident should occur which would cause the solvent to burn. Fire fighting equipment of a type suitable for the specific solvent involved should be selected. Assuming that the proper fire fighting equipment has been provided, it then becomes necessary to train a sufficient number of persons in its proper use.

Assuming that we are dealing with a flammable solvent, its flash point must be known to properly evaluate the means of protection

which is required. The flash point of a flammable liquid is the lowest liquid temperature at which enough vapors are given off to form a flammable mixture of vapor in air immediately above the liquid surface. If the liquid is above the flash point temperature, a small flame will ignite vapors from the liquid and they will continue to burn. Below this temperature, a small flame passed over the surface of the liquid will not ignite the vapors.

Where a solvent is constantly maintained at a temperature below its flash point, there is not much chance of it being ignited by sparks or small flame. If, however, the solvent is at a temperature higher than its flash point, any small spark or flame near the surface of the liquid will ignite it and the liquid will burn with increasing intensity. Thus, we see that a solvent whose flash point is above room temperature can be used without much danger of fire or explosion wherever the solvent remains at room temperature. If, however, its flash point is below room temperature, care must be taken to avoid igniting it. Care must also be taken to avoid the formation of explosive mixtures where the flash point is less than room temperature. In general, it can be said that when liquids are maintained at temperatures above their flash point, it is possible that explosive mixtures of the vapor in air will be formed.

The lower explosive limit of a gas or vapor is that concentration of vapor in air, below which an explosion cannot occur even though a source of ignition is present. If we are operating an oven under conditions where explosive concentrations of solvents are present, then it requires only a spark or small flame to cause a shattering explosion. Since it is difficult to be certain that no spark or flame will be present, it seems reasonable that we should always operate this type of equipment in such a way that the solvent vapors will always be well below the lower explosive limit. Then we can be sure that if a spark or flame occurs, there will be no possibility of an explosion. We have felt that it is desirable to maintain a four to one safety factor on such exposures, and therefore we limit the vapor concentrations in ovens or similar pieces of processing equipment to 25 percent of the lower explosive limit. When new equipment is being designed, the amount of solvent vapor to be released can usually be calculated and from this the amount of exhaust ventilation can be es-

tablished, using the four to one safety factor. After installation of the equipment, actual measurements are made to be certain that the design conditions have been met.

In general, the corrosive action of organic solvents is not very great. However, this factor must be known so that suitable piping and processing equipment can be provided. We can thus be assured that a corroded pipe or piece of equipment will not fail and produce hazardous conditions.

The Maximum Allowable Concentration and skin irritation properties of a solvent are part of the technical information which must be available if we are to satisfactorily control exposures. We do not find these properties listed in the handbooks the same as boiling point, vapor pressure, flash point, etc. They are just as important, however, and must be furnished as a part of the technical information which must be in the hands of people who are developing, designing and operating processing equipment or manufacturing operations involving organic solvents. The Maximum Allowable Concentration for different solvent vapors varies over a wide range—from a few parts per million to thousands of parts per million. It is obvious that a piece of processing equipment involving the use of a highly toxic solvent would be designed quite differently than one using a solvent of low toxicity.

The need for providing engineers and supervisors with all essential technical information regarding solvents has been emphasized. Perhaps it should be re-emphasized. All this information may be very helpful and useful if it is in the files of the safety engineer or the industrial hygiene engineer. However, to be most useful, it must be in the hands of those persons who are actually developing, designing or operating the processes which use these solvents. We have used several methods of supplying such information, which I will describe briefly.

All materials used in our plants are assigned a material number. For each material a 4 x 6 card is written by our Headquarters Engineering Department. On each card they list the following information—

Material Number

Material Name

Caution (A brief statement of precautions to be observed in using this material)

For Use By (Name of plant)

Furnished By (Name of supplier)

Order From Supplier As (Supplier's designation or catalog number)

Characteristics (A brief statement of the properties or engineering characteristics of the material).

Application (A brief statement of where the material is used and its general application).

Specify As (How to specify the material on drawings or other written documents). You will note that the third item on this material card is "caution." Before issuing any of these material cards, the Engineering Department consults the Industrial Hygiene Laboratory and they are furnished with a brief statement of the precautions that should be used for this specific material. These are brief statements such as "do not breathe vapors of this material," or "avoid contact of this material with the skin." This system has two advantages—first, it provides the Industrial Hygiene Laboratory with information about all new or changed materials that are used in the plants; second, a suitable warning as to any hazards can be given. These material cards are distributed to purchasing agents, materials and process engineers, development laboratories, design and engineering departments and all other persons having a definite need for information about materials.

Another method that is used for providing good technical information to the proper persons in the organization is the data which are furnished with our Process Specifications. Any process operation used at any plant is described on a process specification. Each process specification is assigned a specific number, and in general it can be said that each of these process specifications describes in detail any methods to be used in preparing a specific item or product. For example, one process specification may describe in detail the method to be used for insulating armature coils, and another may specify the details of the method of molding resins.

Before issuing or revising any of these process specifications, our Headquarters Engineering Department sends a copy to our Industrial Hygiene Laboratory for approval. It is checked to be certain that wherever hazardous materials are used, a suitable warning regarding the hazards has been included. For a number of years we included certain technical information such as Maximum Allowable Concentration, flash point, explosive

limits, etc. in each specification. More recently, we are making reference in the specifications to a Safe Practice Data Sheet. These Safe Practice Data Sheets are provided along with the process specifications so that when a person has a process specification he also has a safe practice data sheet. The Safe Practice Data Sheets list the following information about each material that may involve any hazard—

Containers and Storage

Properties

Fire

Explosion

Breathing

Swallowing

Skin Irritation

Personal Protective Equipment

Precautions

First Aid

We feel that this provides fundamental technical information to all persons who are involved in the design, development or operation of processing equipment.

We have made a number of references to the "engineering design" of equipment or processes involving the use of organic solvents. With complete technical information available, the designer of the equipment is in a good position to take advantage of any special characteristics which a material may have. He can utilize equipment and methods to suit the specific needs of the solvent involved. If he is dealing with a solvent which has a relatively low vapor pressure and also a low order of toxicity, such as kerosene, there may be no need for totally enclosed equipment. If the solvent has a relatively high vapor pressure and a high order of toxicity, such as carbon disulfide, the designer will recognize that totally enclosed equipment is essential, and in addition, local exhaust ventilation will be required wherever vapors might escape. In the second case, a considerable expenditure of money will be necessary for this type of equipment.

It is surprising the number of solvent exposures that can be eliminated by proper design of equipment or shop layout. Of course, if we inherit a piece of equipment, or manufacturing methods have not been originally set up to eliminate the solvent exposures, some means of protection against the exposures must be devised. Such methods as the installation of exhaust ventilating equipment, the use of respirators, or the ap-

application of hand creams may be indicated. Sometimes these methods of protection may be satisfactory. In general, however, they require an abnormal amount of supervision.

Wherever solvents are used, it seems essential that some quantitative measurements be made to establish the extent of the exposure. We may have good technical information and good engineering design, but we are never certain that we have attained our goal in controlling the solvent exposure unless the actual exposure has been properly measured. The fire hazard can usually be determined by inspection. If there is any possibility of an explosion hazard, the measurement of vapor concentration by use of one of the readily available combustible gas indicators will determine accurately whether satisfactory control has been established.

Unfortunately, it is a little more difficult to determine the exposure of a person. There are two basic methods of determining the exposure of a person to a solvent. The first is by some measurement or inspection. For example, we may determine by measurement the concentration of solvent vapor in the air where the employee works, or an inspection may reveal whether there is any contact of the solvent with the skin of the employee. Another method of determining the true exposure of an employee is by some measurement which indicates the amount of solvent vapor which has been breathed by the employee during his working period. Exposure to benzol, for example, may be determined by a chemical analysis of a urine specimen, to determine the ratio of organic sulfates to total sulfates in the urine. Some recent research work indicates that it will be possible to determine the exposure of an employee to trichlorethylene vapors by a measurement of the trichloroacetic acid in urine.

A number of solvents increase the rate of excretion of glucuronic acid in the urine. These methods have not been extensively used, but certainly will find wider application in the future. We have found it very helpful in controlling benzol exposures to determine the sulfate ratios in the urine at periodic intervals. Whenever these determinations indicate an excessive exposure, an investigation is immediately made to determine why such exposure exists and to institute corrective measures.

I should like to emphasize that these are methods of determining exposure and should

be used as such. They may not be related in any way to any harmful effect of the solvent.

The second general method of determining an exposure of an employee to a solvent vapor is by a periodic physical examination. This method depends upon making some specific determination which will give an indication of early effects of the solvent in the body. For example, blood counts, when properly performed, can be used to indicate any early effects from exposure to a number of solvents, such as benzol. Other laboratory tests may be made, depending on the type of injury reaction that may occur in the body from a specific solvent. If we depend upon physical examinations to determine exposure to solvent vapors, they obviously must be done at rather frequent intervals, and the physical examination program must continue indefinitely.

I should like to emphasize the difference between these two general methods of control. By making measurements which indicate the exact extent of any individual person's exposure, we are carrying out a procedure which gives an indication of over-exposure before there is any chance for a harmful reaction to occur in the employee. When we depend solely upon physical examinations to determine these exposures, it is possible to get an indication of over-exposure only after some physiological change has taken place in the body. The desirability of the first procedure is obvious.

Even though we may not wish to utilize the periodic physical examination as a means of controlling our solvent exposures, there is still a need for proper pre-placement and periodic physical examinations. The pre-placement examination can indicate any abnormalities in an employee which later might be interpreted as the result of some solvent exposure. Likewise, certain physiological changes may take place in an employee during his period of employment, even though there has been no harmful exposure. It is desirable to detect these unrelated changes and make them known to the employee so that proper treatment can be instituted and the employee will not have a feeling that perhaps his solvent exposure was a factor.

To summarize briefly, there are a wide variety of organic solvents used in industry in relatively large quantities. From these solvents a tremendous quantity of solvent vapors are liberated. To control exposures to these

Transactions

35TH NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



VOLUME VIII

COAL MINING SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
2000 WACKER DRIVE • CHICAGO 6, ILL.

Entertainment Committee—C. M. DONAHUE, Mine Safety Appliance Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.

Poster Committee—STANLEY H. MOONEY (Chairman), Safety Dir., Woodward Iron Co., Woodward, Ala.; ALVA S. HARRIS, Gen. Supt., Ingle Coal Corp., Elberfield, Ind.; G. R. SPINDLER, West Virginia State Dept. of Mines, Charleston, W. Va.

Visual Aids Committee—C. R. STAHL, (Chairman), Asst. to Vice-Pres., Koppers Coal Div., Mt. Hope, W. Va.; R. M. MONTEITH, Safety Dir., Locust Coal Co., Shenandoah, Pa.; F. J. PETERNELL, Safety Engr., Union Pacific Coal Co., Rock Springs, Wyo.; J. V. BERRY, Supervisor of Safety, Industrial Collieries Corp., Johnstown, Pa.; WOODS G. TALMAN, Asst. to Genl. Supt., Tennessee Coal, Iron & R. R. Co., Birmingham, Ala.

Program Committee—J. J. FORBES (Chairman), Chief, Coal Mines Inspection Div. and Asst. Chief, Health & Safety Branch, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C.; W. D. NORTHOVER, Safety Engr., Rochester & Pittsburgh Coal Co., Indiana, Pa.; WILLIAM C. DAVIS, Personnel Dir., West Kentucky Coal Co., Earlington, Ky.; P. A. GRADY, General Supt., Carrs Fork Coal Co., Allcock, Ky.

Membership Committee—GEORGE H. DEIKE (Chairman), Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.; J. H. REITZ, Supt., Industrial Relations, Republic Steel Corp., Uniontown, Pa.; F. J. FORESMAN, Safety Dir., The Pittsburgh & Midway Coal Mining Co., Pittsburgh, Kan.; H. E. WHITE, Pres. Dist. 12, United Mine Workers of America, Springfield, Ill.

Publicity Committee—A. J. BARTLETT (Chairman), Dir. of Safety, Island Creek Coal Co., Holden, W. Va.; G. O. ARNOLD, Mgr., Phelps Dodge Corp., Sag Canon Branch, Dawson, New Mexico

Staff Representative—G. G. GRIEVE, National Safety Council, Inc., Chicago, Ill.

that an improvement in ventilation has been made. The physical aspect is that when water is applied to large piles of loose coal, such as are present in mechanized mining, the infiltration of water results in a much quicker liberation and absorption of occluded or trapped explosive fumes, carbon monoxide and oxides of nitrogen. Thus, these are picked up and diluted by the air currents instead of forming undetected pockets in the coalpile, to be later released in the workman's face while loading goes on.

In other words, noxious-gas dilution and dispersal is hastened without costly increase in ventilating volumes. Of course, we only have reference to the aerating of loose piles and not to endeavoring to assist materially the normal ventilation necessary to sweep the solid coal face.

After many experiments to determine the efficacy of spraying haulageways to allay spillage dust, it has been found that surface tension keeps the water sprayed from a moving tank car from having the desired effect.

Wetting agents were then added to the water to form a water-dust emulsion. This also proved unsatisfactory and uneconomical due to the rapid evaporation caused by the low humidity of intake air currents.

It was later observed that where a full dust-control program was in effect at the working faces very little, if any, dust resulted from the damp spillage in transit.

It can readily be seen that by proper control methods the dust counts can be kept at or below acceptable allowable limits.

To attempt to quote cost figures on a tonnage basis would be inconclusive due to the fact that the useful life of control equipment has not been established. Against the actual cost are the indeterminable profits resulting from an improved accident record as a result of increased visibility, increased production due to higher efficiency and better morale, and a probable lower labor turnover.

The presence of water lines at the faces also has additional value in the prevention of mine fires.

Control of Coal Dust in the Operations of the Tennessee Coal, Iron and Railroad Company

By JAMES C. GRAY

General Superintendent, Coal Mines

Tennessee Coal, Iron and Railroad Company

The need for control of coal dust in coal mine operations is very aptly stated in a report issued by the Bureau of Mines dated March 25, 1947—quote: "the outstanding lesson to be learned from this disaster is that mines which liberate little or no methane are not immune from widespread and tragic explosions if dry and dusty conditions exist therein and adequate measures are not taken to control the dust hazard."

It is the writer's sincere desire that the information presented may add something to the considerable "know how" that has been developed on this subject by those associated with the coal industry presently as well as in the past. The information presented will be confined to practices in the Coal Mines of the Tennessee Coal, Iron and Railway Company and there will be no more quotations.

The company operates five mines in the

Warrior Coal Basin of Alabama, lying Northwest of Birmingham and adjacent to it. Another mine is presently being developed in the same field. The output from the five producing mines is approximately 20,000 tons per day tipple weight. All the mines are mechanized, using shaking conveyors. In thin seam work, some of which are equipped with duckbills for loading, and trackless mining equipment where height of seam and grades permit. Modern shortwall from various manufacturers are used for cutting coal for conveyor loading, and universal machines both caterpillar and rubber mounted are used for the trackless method where caterpillar mounted loading machines are employed for loading into shuttle cars.

The control of coal dust can be divided into four phases: (1) Face preparation, (2) Use of water, (3) Use of rock dust and (4) Dust control measures in preparation plants.

Transactions

35TH NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



VOLUME XIII

MARINE SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
20 NO. WACKER DRIVE • CHICAGO 6, ILL.

Marine Section

Executive Committee 1947-1948

General Chairman—WILLARD F. JONES, General Manager Marine Department, Gulf Oil Corporation, New York, N. Y.

Vice General Chairman (Executive Committee Activities in New York) COMMODORE ROBERT C. LEE, Executive Vice President, Moore-McCormack Lines, New York, N. Y.

Advisory Committee (Past General Chairmen)—CARTER KENDALL, Assistant Chief Safety Engineer, Bethlehem Steel Company, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania; W. C. GARBUTT, Industrial Relations Manager, Pittsburgh Steamship Company, Cleveland, Ohio; CARL E. HOLMES, Manager Claims Department, Marsh and McLennan, Inc., New York, N. Y.; CAPTAIN EDWARD C. HOLDEN, JR., Mgr. Safety Dept., United States P. & I. Agency, Inc., New York, N. Y.; CAPTAIN E. W. FISKE, JR., Marine Transportation Dept., Socony-Vacuum Oil Company, Inc., New York, N. Y.; JOHN S. HUNTER, The Atlantic Refining Company (retired), Philadelphia, Pa.; CAPTAIN FRANK H. COGAN, Manager Marine Dept., The Delaware, Lackawanna and Western R. R. Co., Hoboken, N. J.; ARTHUR M. TODE, Consulting Engineer, New York, N. Y.

Assistant General Chairman (Programs, Meetings and Conventions)—H. M. WICK, Assistant to the President, American Bureau of Shipping, New York, N. Y.

Assistant General Chairman (Membership)—E. P. HERGES, Safety Director, Bureau of Employees' Compensation, Federal Security Agency, Washington, D. C.

Assistant General Chairman (Ship Construction and Repair)—JOHN W. THOMSON, Safety Engineer, Shipbuilding Division, Bethlehem Steel Company, San Francisco, California

Assistant General Chairman (Marine Industrial Relations) Atlantic Region—T. E. BUCHANAN, General Manager, Marine Department, The Texas Company, New York, N. Y.; Gulf Region—A. H. SCHRODER, Supervisor of Insurance and Safety, Freeport Sulphur Company, Port Sulphur, La.; Pacific Region—ANDREW F. SCHMITZ, Safety Consultant, Pacific Coast Area, Bureau of Employees' Compensation, Federal Security Agency, San Francisco, California

Assistant General Chairman (Public Relations)—CORNELIUS H. CALLAGHAN, Executive Vice-President, Maritime Association of the Port of New York, New York, N. Y.

Assistant General Chairman (Posters)—HAROLD J. SEGRAVE, District Manager, Mine Safety Appliances Company, New York, N. Y.

Secretary (Admiralty and Maritime Counsellor)—HENRY C. EIDENBACH, Hagen and Eidenbach, New York, N. Y.

Editor, Marine News Letter—CAPTAIN HENRY BLACKSTONE, Safety Engineer, United States P. and I. Agency, Inc., San Francisco, California

Assistant Editor, Marine News Letter—EDWARD F. BRAYER, Chief Statistician, Safety Division, Bureau of Employees' Compensation, Federal Security Agency, New York, N. Y.

Regional Activities and Committees

Chairman, Coastal Region—ADMIRAL J. F. FARLEY, Commandant, United States Coast Guard, Washington, D. C.

Assistant Chairman, Coastal Region—CAPTAIN G. M. PHANNEMILLER, Chief, Engineering Division, United States Coast Guard, New York, N. Y.

Director, Atlantic Region—THEODORE GEISER, Safety Eng., Federal Shipbuilding and Drydock Company, Kearny, New Jersey

Assistant Director, Atlantic Region—HERMAN J. NORDSTROM, Safety Engineer, Newport News Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co., Newport News, Virginia

Director, Gulf Region—ERNEST RICHARD, Mississippi Shipping Company, New Orleans, La.

Assistant Director, Gulf Region—JOSEPH F. ZUCCA, Safety Director, Consolidated Steel Corporation, Orange, Texas

Director, Pacific Region—JOSEPH H. TRAVERS, Manager, Accident Prevention Bureau, Waterfront Employers Association, San Francisco, California

Assistant Director, Pacific Region—JOHN F. JULI, Safety Director, Consolidated Steel Corporation & Subsidiaries, Los Angeles, California
Director, Great Lakes Region—W. R. MACDONALD, Counsel, Hutchinson & Company, Cleveland, Ohio
Director, Canadian Region—JOHN W. MCGIFFIN, Superintendent of Safety, Canada Steamship Lines, Ltd., Montreal, P. Q., Canada
Director, Ohio River Region—G. O. GRIFFIN, Safety Director, Dravo Corp., Neville Island, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Assistant Director, Ohio River Region—J. E. JACKMAN, Personnel Manager, McCrady Rodgers Company, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Director, All Inland Waterways—VICE ADMIRAL LYNDON SPENCER, USCG (ret.), Vice President, Lake Carriers Association, Cleveland, Ohio

Special Service Committees

Chairman, Committee for the Advance of the American Merchant Marine—J. LEWIS LUCKENBACH, President, American Bureau of Shipping, New York, N. Y.
Chairman, Committee on Operations of Liners and Cargo Vessels—CAPTAIN LOGAN CRESAP, Marine Superintendent, Isthmian Steamship Company, New York, N. Y.
Chairman, Stevedoring Committee—ANDREW A. NELSON, T. Hogan and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y.
Chairman, Statistics and Contacts Committee—BENJAMIN H. SELF, Engineering Department, The Travelers Insurance Company, New York, N. Y.
Chairman, Publicity and Publications Committee—H. J. HARDING, Publisher, "Marine Progress," New York, N. Y.
Vice-Chairman, Publicity and Publications Committee—R. C. BRANDON, Safety Director, Todd Pacific Shipyards, Inc., Tacoma Div., Tacoma, Washington
Chairman, Engineering and Minimum Standards Committee—A. J. SMITH, Marine Engineer, Marine Office of America, New York, N. Y.
Chairman, Tankers Committee—JAMES E. MOSS, Director, American Petroleum Institute, Washington, D. C.
Chairman, U. S. Navy Yards Safety Committee—G. D. McCAULEY, Head, Safety Section (Code 785), Industrial Relations Branch, Bureau of Ships, Navy Department, Washington, D. C.
Chairman, Maritime Associations Committee—FRANK C. GREGORY, Labor Relations Manager, Waterfront Employers Association of San Francisco, San Francisco, California
Special Maritime Advisor—H. L. SEWARD, Professor of Mechanical Marine Engineering, Yale University, School of Engineering, New Haven, Connecticut

Special Government Committees for Safety in National Defense Shipbuilding, Inspections, and Personnel Training

Chairman, Naval Committee for Safety in National Defense—CAPT. E. E. SAUNDERS (csc) USNR, Head Safety Branch, Office of Industrial Relations, Navy Dept., Washington, D. C.
Chairman, New Ship Design and Construction Committee—REAR ADMIRAL C. D. WHEELOCK, USN, Deputy and Assistant Chief of Bureau, Bureau of Ships, Navy Department, Washington, D. C.
Chairman, Technical Committee (Design and Accident Prevention Measures)—JAMES L. BATES, Director, Technical Division, U. S. Maritime Commission, Washington, D. C.
Chairman, Ship Inspection Committee and U. S. Government Bureaus—COMMODORE H. C. SHEPHEARD, USCGR, Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Safety, United States Coast Guard, Washington, D. C.
Chairman, Committee on Merchant Marine Safety—J. K. CARSON, JR., Commissioner, United States Maritime Commission, Washington, D. C.
Chairman, Committee on Education and Training of Ship Personnel—REAR ADMIRAL TELFAIR KNIGHT, Chief, Training Division, and Commandant, USMS, United States Maritime Commission, Washington, D. C.
Chairman, Committee on Transportation Safety—MAJOR GENERAL EDMOND J. LEAVEY, Chief of Transportation, U. S. Army, Washington, D. C.
Staff Representative—L. W. DUTTON, National Safety Council, Chicago, Illinois

Transactions

35TH NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



VOLUME XV

METALS SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
20 NO. WACKER DRIVE • CHICAGO 6, ILL.

Metals Section

Executive Committee 1947-1948

General Chairman—F. W. KELSEY, Supervisor of Safety & Welfare, Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.

Vice-Chairman—J. F. COLLINS, Supervisor of Safety, The Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co., Youngstown, Ohio

Secretary—P. C. KAUFFMAN, Supt., Safety & Welfare Dept., Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Pittsburgh Works, Pittsburgh, Pa.

News Letter Editor—P. E. GRUNDMAN, Safety Engineer, Rustless Iron & Steel Division, The American Rolling Mill Co., Baltimore, Md.

Engineering Committee—D. A. FARRELL, (Chairman), Supervisor of Safety, Carnegie-Illinois Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.; T. F. BRADY, Safety Director, the International Nickel Co., Inc., Huntington Works, Huntington, West Va.; E. O. KUMLER, Asst. Dir. Ind. Relations—Safety, Casualty & Pensions, American Steel & Wire Co., Cleveland, Ohio; C. E. WADDELL, Safety Director, Acme Steel Company, Riverdale Works, Riverdale, Ill.; E. J. WALLMAN, Safety Director, American Forge Division, American Brake Shoe Co., Chicago, Ill.

Program Committee—HOWARD HOLLAND (Chairman), Supervisor of Safety, The Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co., East Chicago, Ind.; A. B. CIESAR, Asst. Supervisor of Safety, Carnegie-Illinois Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.; J. P. LEONARD, Personnel & Safety Director, Blaw-Knox Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.; W. W. MacVICAR, Supt. Safety Department, Bethlehem Steel Co., Sparrows Point Plant, Sparrows Point, Md.; H. H. McCORKLE, Asst. Superintendent, Industrial Relations, Republic Steel Corp., Warren District, Warren, Ohio; H. L. REBRASSIER, Manager, Compensation Dept., Wheeling Steel Corp., Wheeling, West Va.

Contest and Statistics Committee—JAMES NOLAN (Chairman), Safety Engineer, Bethlehem Steel Company, Bethlehem, Pa.; J. M. BROOKS, Personnel Manager, Michle Printing & Mfg. Co., Chicago, Ill.; M. J. NAUGHTEN, Safety Supervisor, Kaiser Company, Inc., Iron & Steel Division, Fontana, California; R. M. WALSH, Assistant Director of Safety & Plant Service, Inland Steel Co., Indiana Harbor Works, East Chicago, Ind.; A. H. ZEILINGER, Safety Engineer, The Colorado Fuel & Iron Corp., Pueblo, Colo.

Poster Committee—G. O. GRIFFIN (Chairman), Safety Director, Dravo Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa.; R. H. BUMGARDNER, Manager, Safety & Casualty Bureau, Tennessee Coal, Iron & R.R. Co., Birmingham, Ala.; J. K. HANSEE, Safety Director, Portsmouth Steel Corp., Portsmouth, Ohio; J. N. MAHAN, Supervisor of Safety & Insurance, Continental Steel Corp., Kokomo, Ind.; J. C. DISSINGTON, Chief Engineer & Safety Dir., Buffalo Forge Company, Buffalo, New York.

Railway Car Builders Committee—P. J. BRAND (Chairman), Director of Safety & Compensation, Pullman Std. Car Mfg. Co., Chicago, Illinois

Health Committee—DR. W. F. LYON, M.D., Supervisor Medical Services, International Harvester Company, Chicago, Ill.; DR. R. J. BENNETT, JR., M.D., Chief Surgeon, Chicago Dist., Carnegie-Illinois Steel Corp., Chicago, Ill.; DR. E. H. CARLETON, M.D., Medical Director, Inland Steel Company, East Chicago, Ind.; DR. J. H. CHIVERS, M.D., Medical Director, Crane Co., Chicago, Ill.

Membership Committee—J. J. BOUGHEY (Chairman), Asst. to Director, Labor Relations, Carnegie-Illinois Steel Corp., Chicago, Ill.; M. W. GRAY, Safety Director, Weirton Steel Co., Weirton, West Va.; G. J. EIGENBROD, Supervisor of Safety, Republic Steel Corp., Buffalo, New York; J. J. JEFFREY, Dir. of Personnel & Industrial Relations, Great Lakes Steel Corp., Tecumseh Road, Ecorse, Michigan; J. F. JULI, Safety Director, Consolidated Steel Corp., Los Angeles, Calif.; H. W. REED, JR., Director of Personnel & Safety, The American Welding & Mfg. Co., Warren, Ohio

Visual Education Committee—C. L. WAGNER (Chairman), Director of Safety, Carnegie-Illinois Steel Corp., Duquesne Works, Duquesne, Pa.; A. E. ASEI, Safety Supervisor, Sheffield Steel Corp., Sheffield Station, Kansas City, Mo.; J. A. DOWNEY, JR., Director Personnel & Safety, Sloss-Sheffield Steel & Iron Co., Birmingham, Ala.; H. J. JONES, Mgr. Ind. Relations, The Colorado Fuel & Iron Corp., Buffalo, New York; T. R. SMITH, Safety Supervisor, Republic Steel Corp., Youngstown, Ohio

Foundry Committee—H. S. SIMPSON (Chairman), Safety & Sanitation Engineer, Caterpillar Tractor Company, East Peoria, Ill.; L. S. ADAM, Personnel Supervisor, Continental Foundry & Machine Co., East Chicago, Ind.; I. A. BRINKMAN, Dir. of Industrial Relations, Mackintosh-Hemphill Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.; J. P. MUDD, Personnel Supervisor, The Midvale Co., Nicetown, Philadelphia, Pa.

Members-at-Large—*C. M. ALLEN, Staff Supervisor of Safety, American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio; *E. F. BLANK, Asst. to Vice President, Personnel & Ind. Relations, Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa.; GROVER C. BROWN, Industrial Relations, American Iron & Steel Institute, New York, New York; *R. A. CHAFFIN, Vice President in Charge of Industrial Relations, Continental Steel Corp., Kokomo, Ind.; J. E. CULLINEY, Chief Safety Engineer, Bethlehem Steel Co., Bethlehem, Pa.; *H. W. DARR, Supervisor of Safety, Bethlehem Steel Co., Johnstown, Pa.; *R. H. FERGUSON, Manager of Safety, Republic Steel Corp., Cleveland, Ohio; *G. T. FONDA, Weirton Steel Co., Weirton, West Va.; *E. H. FYLER, Supt. of Ind. Relations, South Works Carnegie-Illinois Steel Corp., Chicago, Ill.; *H. J. GRIFFITH, Asst. to Mgr. of Coal Mines, Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., California, Pa.; *H. G. HENSEL, Safety Director, The Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co., Chicago, Ill.; F. A. LAUERMAN, Dir. of Ind. Relations, Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corp., San Diego, Calif.; *JOHN A. OARTEL, Pittsburgh, Pa.; *J. L. RIDINGER, Director of Safety & Plant Service, Inland Steel Co., East Chicago, Ind.; *R. L. SCHMITT, Partner, Robert L. Schmitt Co., Louisville, Ky.; *H. J. SPOERER, Dir. of Ind. Relations, The Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co., Youngstown, Ohio; *J. A. VOSS, Dir. of Ind. Relations, Republic Steel Corp., Cleveland, Ohio

Staff Representative—EDWARD M. JASPER, National Safety Council, Inc., Chicago, Ill.

*Indicates Past General Chairman

Transactions

35TH NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



VOLUME XVI

MINING SECTION

**NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
20 NO. WACKER DRIVE • CHICAGO 6, ILL.**

Mining Section

Executive Committee 1947-1948

General Chairman—JOHN L. BOARDMAN, Anaconda Copper Mining Co., Butte, Montana

First Vice Chairman—A. H. TRESTRAIL, Pickands, Mather & Co., Caspian, Michigan

Second Vice Chairman—E. J. EISENACH, Climax Molybdenum Co., Climax, Colorado

Third Vice Chairman—JAMES K. RICHARDSON, Utah Mining Association, Kearns Building, Salt Lake City, Utah

Secretary of News Letter Editor—S. H. ASH, Safety and Health Branch, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C.

Entertainment Committee—J. T. RYAN, JR., Chairman, Mine Safety Appliances Co., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Engineering Committee—GEORGE GEDGE, Chairman, Hollinger Consolidated Gold Mines, Timmins, Ontario, Canada; RALPH CLELAND, International Nickel Co., Copper Cliff, Ontario, Canada; WOODS G. TALMAN, Tennessee Coal, Iron & R. R. Co., Birmingham, Alabama; C. E. SHEA, Oliver Mining Co., Hibbing, Minnesota; H. B. HUTCHENS, Eagle Picher Mining & Smelting Co., Cardin, Oklahoma; RAYMOND R. KNILL, Potash Company of America, Carlsbad, New Mexico; JOHN B. BIKER, Consolidated Mining & Smelting Co., Ltd., of Canada, Trail, British Columbia.

Membership Committee—C. GIBSON, Chairman, Mines Accident Prevention Association of Ontario, Timmins, Ontario, Canada; BRIAN BURT, Consolidated Copper Mines, Kimberly, Nevada; H. C. HENRIE, Phelps Dodge Corporation, Bisbee, Arizona; J. O. MARSHALL, St. Joseph Lead Co., Balmat, New York; J. T. WILLIAMS, Bunker Hill & Sullivan Mining & Concentrating Company, Kellogg, Idaho

Program Committee—S. H. ASH, Chairman, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C.; W. O. TOWER, Chief Inspector of Mines, Parliament Building, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; F. NETZEBAND, Consulting Engineer, Keetley, Utah

Poster and Visual Aids Committee—A. J. STROMQUIST, Chairman, Cleveland Cliffs Iron Co., Ishpeming, Michigan; R. E. HARMON, Phelps Dodge Corporation, New Cornelia Branch, Ajo, Arizona; THOMAS KISER, Federal Mining & Smelting Co., Baxter Springs, Kansas; W. C. NEILSON, Alcoa Mining Company, New York, New York; M. C. POLLARD, National Gypsum Co., Buffalo, New York; LAMAR WEAVER, Tennessee Copper Company, Copperhill, Tennessee; A. H. ZEILINGER, Colorado Fuel & Iron Co., Pueblo, Colorado; G. W. KNUDSEN, Utah Copper Company, Bingham, Utah

Statistics Committee—FOREST T. MOYER, Chairman, U. S. Bureau of Mines, Accident Analysis Division, Washington, D. C.

Safety Promotion Committee—JAMES K. RICHARDSON, Chairman, Utah Mining Association, Kearns Building, Salt Lake City, Utah; WALTER E. MONTGOMERY, Quebec Asbestos Producers Association, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; P. D. McMURRER, Mining Congress Journal, Washington, D. C.; EVAN JUST, Engineering & Mining Journal, New York, New York; EDWARD H. ROBIZ, American Institute of Mining and Metallurgical Engineers, New York, New York.

Members at Large—*C. E. McKNIGHT, Lake Shore Mines, Ltd., Kirkland Lake, Ontario, Canada; *C. M. FELLMAN, The Montreal Mining Company, Montreal, Wisconsin; E. A. ANUNDSEN, Pickands, Mather & Company, Duluth, Minnesota; BYRON G. BEST, Oliver Iron Mining Company, Wolvin Building; *J. W. ALT, Calumet & Hecla Consolidated Copper Co., Calumet, Michigan; *P. M. ARTHUR, American Zinc Company of Tennessee, Mascot, Tennessee; *ANGUS D. CAMPBELL, McIntyre Porcupine Mines, Ltd., Schumacher, Ontario, Canada; *W. H. COMINS, Barrod Sales Division, National Lead Company, Texarkana, Texas; *R. DAWSON HALL, "Coal Age", McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., Inc., New York, New York; H. T. HARPER, Tennessee Copper Company, Copperhill, Tennessee; *W. D. HASELTON, Pickands, Mather & Co., Duluth, Minnesota; *H. C. HENRIE, Phelps Dodge Corporation, Bisbee, Arizona; *GEORGE MARTINSON, Pickands, Mather & Co., Cleveland, Ohio; D. D. MOFFATT, Utah Copper Company, Salt Lake City, Utah; R. H. SEIP, The New Jersey Zinc Company, Franklin, New Jersey; L. T. SICKA, St. Joseph Lead Company, Bonne Terre, Missouri; JOHN TREWEEK, Homestake Mining Company, Lead, South Dakota; HOWARD I. YOUNG, American Zinc, Lead & Smelting Company, St. Louis, Missouri.

Staff Representative—G. G. GRIEVE, National Safety Council, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

*Past General Chairman

Transactions

 35TH NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



VOLUME XVIII

PETROLEUM SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
20 NO. WACKER DRIVE • CHICAGO 6, ILL.

Petroleum Section

Executive Committee 1947-1948

- General Chairman*—W. I. KENT, Magnolia Petroleum Company, Dallas, Texas.
- Vice-Chairman for Production*—D. A. KLEMME, Stanolind Oil & Gas Company, Tulsa, Okla.
- Vice-Chairman for Marketing*—W. O. WILSON, Standard Oil Company of Indiana, Chicago, Ill.
- Vice-Chairman for Pipe Lines*—D. M. FARRELL, Shell Pipe Line Corporation, Houston, Texas.
- Vice-Chairman for Manufacturing*—RAY A. RANDELS, Shell Oil Co. Inc., Wood River, Ill.
- Secretary*—F. G. WILSON, American Petroleum Institute, Washington, D. C.
- News Letter Editor*—R. L. CONWAY, JR., United Gas Pipe Line Company, Shreveport, La.
- Assistant News Letter Editor*—D. G. BURRILL, Socony-Vacuum Oil Co. Inc., Kansas City, Mo.
- Chairman Contest and Statistical Committee*—J. WILLARD LORD, The Atlantic Refining Company, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Chairman Engineering Committee*—DON ATTAWAY, Arkansas Fuel Oil Co., Shreveport, La.
- Chairman Health Committee*—DR. B. B. REEVE, Standard Oil Company of Indiana, Chicago, Ill.
- Chairman Data Sheet Committee*—C. B. SWANNER, Magnolia Petroleum Company, Dallas Texas.
- Chairman Off-the-Job Committee*—ROY S. BONSIB, Standard Oil Company (New Jersey), New York, N. Y.
- Chairman Visual Education Committee*—R. E. DISHMAN, Hunt Oil Company, Shreveport, La.
- Chairman Atlantic Division*—T. C. TRAVIS, Socony-Vacuum Oil Co. Inc., Brooklyn, N. Y.
- Chairman Gulf Division*—J. F. MADIGAN, Texas Co., Houston, Texas.
- Chairman Great Lakes Division*—THERON ARRAS, The National Refining Co., Findlay, Ohio.
- Chairman Mid-Continent Division*—L. G. CLARK, Gulf Oil Corp., Tulsa, Okla.
- Chairman Pacific Coast Division*—J. E. HILL, Union Oil Company (Calif.), Los Angeles, Calif.
- Past General Chairmen*—J. HOWARD MEYERS, The Atlantic Refining Company, Philadelphia, Pa.; JOHN C. ASKAM, The Ohio Oil Company, Findlay, Ohio; R. W. BLACK, Standard Oil Company of New Jersey, Elizabeth, N. J.; H. W. BOGCESS, Sinclair Prairie Oil Company, Tulsa, Okla.; ROY S. BONSIB, Standard Oil Company (New Jersey), New York, N. Y.; A. W. BREENLAND, Lone Star Gas Company, Dallas, Texas; JOHN H. BROWN, Tide Water Associated Oil Company, New York, N. Y.; R. E. DONOVAN, Standard Oil Company of California, San Francisco, Calif.; C. L. HIGHTOWER, United Gas Pipe Line Company, Shreveport, La.; H. T. MARKEE, Phillips Petroleum Company, Bartlesville, Okla.; F. R. McLEAN, Socony-Vacuum Oil Company, Inc., Detroit, Mich.; CHAS. A. MILLER, The Texas Company, Houston, Texas; J. L. RISINGER, Socony-Vacuum Oil Company, Inc., New York, N. Y.; R. B. ROOPER, Humble Oil and Refining Company, Houston, Texas.
- Staff Representative*—RAY KETCHMARK, National Safety Council, Chicago, Ill.

Transactions

35TH NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



VOLUME XIX

POWER PRESS SECTION

NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
20 NO. WACKER DRIVE • CHICAGO 6, ILL.

Power Press Section

Executive Committee 1947-1948

General Chairman—GEORGE W. GREENWOOD, Safety Dir., Western Electric Co., Chicago, Ill.

Vice-Chairman—HERSCHEL L. SMITH, Safety Eng., General Electric Co., Fort Wayne, Ind.

Secretary—WM. J. MCCHESNEY, Safety Eng., Scovill Manufacturing Company, Waterbury, Conn.

News Letter Committee—MACK R. KRANTZ, (Chairman) Stewart-Warner Corp., Chicago, Ill.; ROBERT J. EGE, Safety Dir., Industrial Safety and Claims Service, Inc., Chicago, Ill.

Engineering Committee—CHARLES CLARK, (Chairman) Asst. Safety Supr., Dodge Div., Chrysler Corp., Detroit, Mich.; L. A. FAULKNER, Supr. of Machine Guarding, Loss Prevention Dept., Liberty Mutual Ins. Co., Boston, Mass.; W. L. HANAU, Asst. Supr., Eng. Dept., The Fidelity & Casualty Co. of New York, Chicago, Ill.; R. E. WISSMAN, Minister Machine Co., Minster, Ohio; RUSSELL JOHNSON, Safety Dir., Croshaw, Inc., Chicago, Ill.

Health Committee—DR. EDWARD J. SCHOWALTER, (Chairman) Western Electric Co., Chicago, Ill.; DR. L. E. HAMLIN, Med. Dir., American Brake Shoe Co., Chicago, Ill.; DR. T. S. O'MALLEY, A. O. Smith Corp., Milwaukee, Wis.

Financial Aid in Training Committee—LEONARD COLE, (Chairman) Safety Eng., Crane Co., Chicago, Ill.; RUSSELL JOHNSON, Safety Dir., Croshaw, Inc., Chicago, Ill.; NORMAN J. LIND, Safety Dir., J. P. Seeburg Corp., Chicago, Ill.

Membership Committee—ARTHUR R. GRAHAM, (Chairman) Bituminous Casualty Co., Chicago, Ill.; HARRY COIN, Safety Dir., Fisher Body Div., General Motors Corp., Pontiac, Mich.; F. W. FISKE, Safety Dir., The Brewer-Fitchner Corp., Cortland, N. Y.; EDWARD SHARPE, Illinois Tool Works, Chicago, Ill.

Program Committee—PAUL WINDSOR, (Chairman) Safety & Med. Mgr., R. G. Le Tourneau, Inc., Peoria, Ill.; TOM PHILLIPS, General Electric X-Ray Corp., Milwaukee, Wis.; OSCAR E. ABRAMS, Moore Drop Forging Co., Springfield, Mass.

Sectional Publications Committee—STEWART T. COOPER, (Chairman) Safety Dir., Nineteen Hundred Corp., St. Joseph, Mich.; LOUIS HAND, Floodville-Hensley Corp., Chicago, Ill.; GORDON R. MCCLURE, American Can Co., Chicago, Ill.

Statistics Committee—BASIL BURNS, (Chairman) Western Electric Co., Chicago, Ill.; H. B. DUFFUS, Supr., Acc. Pre. Service, Westinghouse Electric Corp., E. Pittsburgh, Pa.; CARL C. BEASOR, Statistician, Div. of Safety & Hygiene, Ohio Industrial Commission, State Office Bldg., Columbus, Ohio

Off-The-Job Committee—ROBERT E. CARY, (Chairman) Asst. Mgr., Industrial Relations, Solar Aircraft Co., Des Moines, Iowa; BERNARD E. CLARK, Safety Dir., The Cleveland Hardware & Forging Co., Cleveland, Ohio

Members-at-Large—*E. C. WOODWARD, A. O. Smith Corp., Milwaukee, Wis.; *C. A. DeMONGE, Kellogg-Hayes Wheel Co., Detroit, Mich.; *W. C. BAKER, Williams OilOMATIC Heating Corp., Bloomington, Ill.; *G. H. BERRY, Western Electric Co., Inc., Chicago, Ill.; *H. C. HOWSAM, Howsam Spool Co., Aurora, Ill.; *F. J. HUNTER, Motor Wheel Corp., Lansing, Mich.; G. A. KUECHENMEISTER, Dominion Forge & Stamping Co., Ltd., Windsor, Ont.; *T. O. MEISNER, American Can Co., Chicago, Ill.; *V. G. PENDLETON, Saugus, Mass.; *B. E. ROCKHOFF, Detroit Steel Products Co., Detroit, Mich.; *E. C. SCHULTES, JR., C. Hager & Sons Hinge Mfg. Co., St. Louis, Mo.; *S. H. SLAYMAKER, Fairbanks Morse & Co., Beloit, Wis.; *E. J. WALLMAN, American Brake Shoe Co., Chicago, Ill.

Staff Representative—ARTHUR S. KELLY, National Safety Council, Chicago, Ill.

*Past General Chairman

Transactions

35TH NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



VOLUME XXII

RUBBER SECTION

**NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
20 NO. WACKER DRIVE • CHICAGO 6, ILL.**

Rubber Section

Executive Committee 1947-1948

General Chairman—GLEN D. CROSS, The Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, Ohio

Vice-Chairman in Charge of Program—T. H. BOYD, Manhattan Rubber Mfg. Div. of Raybestos, Manhattan, Inc., Passaic, New Jersey.

Secretary—H. L. ANDREWS, The Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, Ohio

News Letter Editor—GORDON TRANK, Hewitt-Robins, Inc., Buffalo, New York

Engineering Committee—S. A. WRIGHT (Chairman), Inland Manufacturing Division, General Motors Corp., Dayton, Ohio; R. W. FICKES, The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, Ohio; T. J. CAIN, JR., The B. F. Goodrich Co., Akron, Ohio

Health Committee—F. W. SANDS (Chairman), U. S. Rubber Co., New York, New York; Dr. M. A. THOMPSON, U. S. Rubber Reclaiming Co., Buffalo, New York; Dr. R. H. WILSON, The B. F. Goodrich Co., Akron, Ohio; Dr. L. C. HATCH, The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, Ohio

Membership Committee—R. M. BOYLES (Chairman), Midwest Rubber Reclaiming Co., East St. Louis, Ill.

Publicity Committee—GEORGE BURKHARDT (Chairman), General Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, Ohio

Poster Committee—M. F. BADSTUBER (Chairman), The Ohio Rubber Co., Willoughby, Ohio; C. E. BECK, St. Clair Rubber Co., Marysville, Michigan; R. L. WAMPLER, Dayton Rubber Mfg. Co., Dayton, Ohio

Statistics Committee—W. M. GRAFF (Chairman), U. S. Rubber Co., Detroit, Michigan

Members at Large—*E. W. BECK, U. S. Rubber Co., New York, New York; *R. A. BULLOCK, Corduroy Rubber Co., Grand Rapids, Michigan; *R. S. FARNUM, U. S. Rubber Co., Detroit, Michigan; *O. HOPKINS, U. S. Rubber Co., Providence, Rhode Island; *C. F. HORAN, Arrow Mutual Liability Insurance Co., Chestnut Hill, Mass.; *ROLAND KASTELL, U. S. Rubber Co., New York, New York; *J. M. KERRIGAN, U. S. Rubber Reclaiming Co., Inc., Buffalo, New York; *J. T. KIDNEY, The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, Ohio; *J. E. LOVAS, U. S. Rubber Co., Passaic, New Jersey; *W. L. SCHNEIDER, The B. F. Goodrich Co., Akron, Ohio; *P. VAN CLEEF, Van Cleef Bros., Chicago, Illinois; Dr. J. NEWTON SHIRLEY, Arrow Mutual Liability Insurance Co., Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts

Staff Representative—F. A. VAN ATTA, National Safety Council, Chicago, Illinois

*Pxx General Chairman

on the bearing has been reversed and the clearance is now on the top of the bearing. With shifting of the clearance, the electrical switch attached to the top link is raised in such a way that it misses the arm attached to the shaft. Since this electrical switch is attached to the power source, if its contact is broken the press will stop.

If the valve stem has become plugged, the Maynard-type switch is therefore inoperative, and the press has started to open. Since pressure exists in the curing bag, the linkage is still under load. There is no reversal of load and no change in the clearance. Since the switch attached to the top link does not rise, in its opening movement it interferes with the arm, breaking the contact to the motor and stopping the vulcanizer. So that this design can work, the press must open slightly, but this slight opening is not sufficient to cause the bag to explode, because the tire

casing and bag are of very heavy construction. If the valve is completely plugged, it is impossible to release the pressure in the bag. In this case, everyone should get at a safe distance, and the press should then be opened by other means.

This switch is being rather widely accepted. Its only disadvantage is that it is very sensitive to low pressure, and in many plants the exhaust lines contain pressure that will operate this switch.

Mold Changing

Safety men should make sure that the mold changers sufficiently tighten the top bolts that hold the mold in place in the vulcanizer. In most vulcanizers the top half of the mold is held in the press by means of bolts or cap screws. In some instances, men use washers under the bolts so that only one or two threads on the bolts enter the mold.

Safety in Mechanical Goods Curing

By J. E. DOVAS

Safety Supervisor, U. S. Rubber Company

Horizontal Heaters

Since the discovery by Goodyear in 1859 of the vulcanization of rubber by heating with sulphur, many varied types of vulcanizers have been progressively designed.

In a mechanical rubber goods plant, various methods are used to carry out the operation of vulcanization. The most important are open steam cures and press cures.

Open steam cures are used chiefly for curing hose, tubed goods and insulated wire. For this purpose a horizontal cylindrical vulcanizer, or heater as it is commonly known, is used. It is an unfired pressure vessel built to operate with high pressure steam.

They are safely constructed under the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, American Standards Association and State unfired pressure vessels codes.

Despite the fact these heaters are constructed according to the foregoing standards and regulations, attention should be paid to certain accessories when installing for operating purposes in the plant.

Some of the accessories are: pressure relief

safety valves, steam gauges, reducing valves or automatic regulators, thermometers, steam traps, blow down valves, vent or testing valves, safety door inter-locks, external and internal tracks, carriages and winches.

Whenever possible, duplicate pressure relief safety valves should be installed. One set slightly above the operating pressure and the other at the safe working pressure of the vessel. In this way the first valve would give warning of excessive pressure. To insure against clogging by coming in contact with corrosive vapors from vulcanization, these valves should be installed in the main steam supply line.

On extremely low heaters, an escape pipe with at least the same cross-sectional area as the safety valve should be connected to the valve to conduct the steam beyond the working area. This is to prevent possibility of burns or scalds to anyone working in the area of the valve when it blows. The escape pipe should be so arranged as to prevent the high temperature of exhausting steam from coming in contact with overhead fire control and sprinkler systems.

TUESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 7, 1947

Presiding: ROLAND KASTELL, Assistant to Superintendent of Safety, U. S. Rubber Company

Has the Safety Effort Lagged Since the War?

By FRANK SWANSON

Factory Manager, Detroit Plant, U. S. Rubber Co.

An analysis of the statistics showing accident rates in the rubber industry for the past 16 years indicates that the last four years of the 30's produced the best frequency rates in the 16 year period, and also the best severity rates. Commencing with the year 1940, both frequency and severity increased until a high spot in 1942 showed a frequency of 13 1/2 accidents per million hours worked, and a high spot in 1946 showed a severity of 9/10 days lost per thousand hours worked. I might call to your mind that it was during the 1940-1942 period that we were either getting ready for war or engaging in our first or second year of war production. Many things happened during that time which normally would contribute to an increase in the frequency of accidents.

To begin with, the industry lost many of its most experienced employees. They either were inducted into the armed services, or perhaps they shifted to the more essential industries. These people in many instances were replaced by inexperienced workers and oftentimes by people who would not normally have been employed in industrial plants. Obviously, these conditions brought about a situation which was responsible for a bad accident record. I might also add that many jobs which had previously been done by male labor on the theory that they were too heavy to be done by women, or too complicated, were taken over and more or less successfully performed by women during this period. The anxiety exhibited by everybody to get the job done so that the boys in the services might encounter no shortages, resulted in everyone's wanting to pitch in and do his utmost. It is my opinion, therefore, that the increase as noted during '40, '41 and '42 was low compared to what might have been expected.

The tremendous effort placed on the elimination of accidents, and the correction of accident hazards, that stemmed directly from

Washington in its various bureaus charged with the procurement of labor, and from the National Safety Council helped check this increase. An outstanding example, and one with which I am personally familiar, was the Safety and Security Branch of the U. S. Army.

The Army found, in many instances, that they themselves had to build the facilities for manufacturing articles, and either operate them or have them operated by private concerns. With the manpower situation as it existed, everything possible had to be done to instruct this manpower in safety so that there might be no interruption to production of goods, as well as to insure conservation of manpower and materials for the services. Therefore, with the foundation of the Safety and Security Branch, hundreds, and I believe, thousands of individuals, both civilian and military personnel, were trained and prepared in the engineering features which would enable them to recognize a hazard and take steps to effect its correction. They also aided in the preparation of text material which would enable plant operators to train their employees to work safely. Starting from scratch, this very rapidly developed into high gear, and I believe that the drop as shown in the frequency of accidents after 1942, can be attributed largely to this activity.

Everyone of us, who was either at a government-owned plant or at a privately owned plant doing war work, had experience with both civilian and commissioned personnel in the form of various fire or safety inspectors who, after inspection of our properties, were persistent in their efforts to eliminate fire and accident hazards. This persistence on their part should be commended, and the results they obtained speak for themselves.

The natural result from all of this activity, in connection with safety and fire protection and prevention, is an awakened consciousness on the part of all industry and all personnel connected with industry to the end

ideal method of instituting health control measures is in the initial design of the plant or process. However, one must frequently work with existing equipment. Redesign may not be economically possible. The writer recently had occasion to review a graduate engineer's paper dealing with the control of a health hazard. The proposed solution was theoretically excellent, except that the manufacturer would have been put out of business in order to effect the control measures proposed. Industrial health and safety still need to be sold. Every opportunity should be taken to indicate means of writing off the expenditures involved in lower compensation costs, lower absenteeism, better employee-employer relationships, less product contamination, etc.

3. It must not interfere with the employee's production. This is particularly true of those operations involving piece work wages. Little comment on this is necessary. The location of exhaust hoods and ventilating equipment must be such as not to interfere

with production. Personal protective equipment, particularly respiratory, should be used only as an adjunct to other control measures, or where other measures are wholly impractical. Notwithstanding the fact that many commercial respirators are efficient devices, working personnel resist wearing them and will do so only when actually compelled to. This, of course, is usually impractical and frequently impossible.

4. It must meet local and/or state codes. This is particularly true with respect to maximum allowable concentrations of atmospheric contaminants, ventilation codes, and the release of atmospheric contaminants out of doors. Little uniformity exists between different states and municipalities with respect to these codes. Some are only advisory; others are legal statutes. A thorough investigation should be made of any existing codes before an installation is made. Following the installation, the necessary measurements should be made to determine the effectiveness of the control.

The Hygiene Survey in the Rubber Industry

By F. W. SANDS

Industrial Hygienist, U. S. Rubber Company

A recently-suggested definition of industrial hygiene is as follows: "Industrial hygiene is the science and art of preserving health through the recognition, evaluation and control of environmental causes and sources of illness in industry." Included in the scope of this definition is the recognition, sampling, and analysis of atmospheric contamination, dusts, gases, fogs, mists, vapors, radiant energy, illumination, noise, temperature and humidity; interpretation of the results of analysis; and studies into the causes and control of dermatitis.

The plant health survey is the practical application through the actual study of plant conditions of all of the principles enumerated in the definition of industrial hygiene itself. The definition above speaks of recognition. Ability to recognize conditions affecting employee health, is a prime requisite for performing a successful health survey.

The first plant visit necessarily involves the gathering of a great deal of general in-

formation, statistics, study of hospital records, etc. The industrial hygienist must obtain a general picture of the products manufactured, the operations involved, the raw materials being used, the number of employees, and a great many other factors. Rather than to go into this general type of information in detail, I prefer to call your attention to several forms which were developed by the United States Public Health Service for use by its field representatives in industrial health. Copies of these forms are available to you here. I believe that it is very important that information of this general nature be obtained systematically. These forms assist greatly in avoiding the omission of important health factors.

Having obtained this information, the industrial hygienist is in a better position to make the trip through the plant itself. The importance of the actual plant visit cannot be over-emphasized. It is here that all the knowledge, training and experience of the

Transactions

35TH NATIONAL SAFETY CONGRESS



VOLUME XXII

TEXTILE SECTION

**NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL, INC.
20 N. WACKER DRIVE • CHICAGO 8, ILL.**

Table Section

Executive Committee 1947-1948

Chairman—E. G. PADGETT, Employers Mutual Liability Insurance Company, Winston-Salem, North Carolina

Vice-Chairman for Membership—H. E. WILLIAMS, Member, Mills Division of Marshall Field & Company, Inc., Spary, North Carolina

Secretary—LEWIS P. SORRELL, North Carolina Department of Labor, Raleigh, North Carolina

Financial Education Committee—C. J. HYSUP, Chairman Manufacturing Co., Elmer, North Carolina

Statistics and Contact Committee—D. R. OLINGER, Buyer & Black Div., of the Kendall Company, Chicago, Illinois

News Letter and Publicity Editor—GLENN FENLAND, The Erwin Cotton Mills Company, Durham, North Carolina

Associate Editor—ROBERT JENNETTE, U. S. Rubber Company, Whiteside Mills, Wainboro, South Carolina

Off-The-Job Committee—T. B. IPOCK, JR., Firestone Textiles, Gastonia, North Carolina

Health Committee—MRS. SARAH VAN SANT, R.N., Graniteville Company, Graniteville, South Carolina

Program Committee—THOMAS K. SELF, American Mutual Liability Insurance Company, Atlanta, Georgia

Engineering Committee—ROBERT M. MURRAY, Department of Labor, Providence, Rhode Island

Trade Associations Committee—WERNER W. HAARDT, Forthman Wood Co., Newark, New Jersey

Synthetic Fibre Committee—J. D. BROWN, American Lank Corporation, Lenoir, North Carolina

Wool and Worsted Committee—ROBERT SALTONSTALL, Arlington Mills, Lawrence, Massachusetts

Cotton Committee—C. S. LINK, JR., Avondale Mills, Sylacauga, Alabama

Dyeing and Finishing Committee—T. L. PERMINGER, Liberty Manufacturing Company, Spartanburg, South Carolina

Membership—JOHN T. BURKE, W. C. Dicks & Sons, Inc., Charlotte, North Carolina

W. A. WEAVER, Textile Mills Division of Marshall Field & Company, Inc., Spary, North Carolina

W. A. WEAVER, Textile Mills Division of Marshall Field & Company, Inc., Spary, North Carolina

EVERETT A. ROBERTSON, American Mutual Liability Insurance Company, New York, New York

MINNIE FRANCES BETHUNE, W. C. Dicks & Sons, Inc., Charlotte, North Carolina

W. A. WEAVER, Textile Mills Division of Marshall Field & Company, Inc., Spary, North Carolina

W. A. WEAVER, Textile Mills Division of Marshall Field & Company, Inc., Spary, North Carolina

MONDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

October 6, 1947

Presiding: Dr. A. L. Brooks, Medical Director, Fisher Body Division, General Motors Corporation

Recognition and Control of Fume and Dust Exposure

By V. J. CASTROP

Research Laboratories Division, General Motors Corporation

Illness which can be attributed to the occupation may occur in the automotive and allied industries. While occupational disease cases are much fewer in number than those resulting from accidental injury, there still remains the need for vigilance in maintaining a healthful working environment. The responsibility for employee health is delegated by management to the medical director with the safety director charged with matters pertaining to safety. For the smooth functioning of either department it is necessary that these individuals work in close harmony with each other.

A safety engineer who is capable of recognizing conditions which may be detrimental to the health of the employee is an excellent teammate for the doctor in any employee health maintenance program. The safety engineer need not be capable of actually sampling air in the environment or designing a ventilation system for the control of toxic materials. He should, however, have knowledge of the materials which have been proved harmful, should be fairly well informed of how these materials may be used safely, have some understanding of basic control measures which are normally recommended when such materials are used.

The intention is not to present a highly technical discussion of fume and dust exposures or detailed information regarding ventilation systems, as such matters are of interest to the professional industrial hygienists and of comparatively little value to safety engineers. This discussion will be limited to the more basic principles of industrial hygiene as they relate to fume and dust exposures, to indicate what control measures are available or used to protect the employee's health, and, to call to your attention operations and materials which are commonly used in the automotive and allied industries, at the same time indicating the generally

accepted opinion as to their effect on health.

Many conditions are corrected or improved because of reasons other than their effect upon the health of the employees. Better products, better employee relationship, reduction in maintenance of equipment may be cited as justification for management's decisions for such correction or improvement.

Generally speaking there are two means of entrance of toxic compounds into the human body under industrial conditions, namely, by ingestion or via the respiratory tract through the inhalation of fumes, dusts, mists or gases. Ingestion is of importance if toxic materials which have a systemic effect are used and adequate washing facilities are not provided, or, where the employees are slovenly in their personal habits. Inhalation is considered the most important portal of entry of fumes or dusts and the particle size, therefore, becomes significant in evaluating an exposure. The particles which cause the physiological changes are those which are small enough to remain suspended in the air and not those which immediately fall to the floor. Flying particles, which are of concern to a safety man and for which he advocates the use of goggles, are not of hygienic significance since they are not of respirable size. To judge the seriousness of an exposure by the quantity of detritus adjacent to an operation is often misleading. This is especially true where mechanical ventilation has been provided which will remove the fine material but is inadequate to trap or convey the larger particles.

In evaluating an exposure it is necessary to consider the toxicity of the material, the duration of the exposure, the average concentration of the contaminant with special attention being paid to those operations which produce high concentrations at some phase of an operating cycle, and the measures which have been provided to control the exposure.