

017023

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT

WRG-59

R. E. Schneider

December 1, 1971

O. F. Stewart

RECEIVED

DUST COUNT AT TRENTON AND
EASTHAMPTON

DEC 3 1971

H. A. Brown

CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS DIVISION

H. A. B.

I finally have gotten around to reading the report by Environmental Analysts on the Trenton and Easthampton plants.

This is a very good report and I appreciate the copy. There is one great unknown which has a bearing on the problem. What ore was being run in the furnaces at the time the samples were taken? South Carolina or Libby???? Can you find out from someone? Both are available at each plant. The #3 and #4 ore is South Carolina with the #1 or #2 being Libby.

1. I gathered from this report that several places exceeded the TVL limits because of poor collection or holes in duct or exhaust equipment not being in operation.
2. Not good enough collection at Bagger Station on furnace. This showed the highest count at Enoree D-16 also, even more than dry mill.
3. We are OK on free silica if high dust loads are kept down.
4. The asbestos or total fiber count was high at two locations at Trenton. I would certainly like to know if they were running South Carolina or Libby ore at the time. If South Carolina, then I am certainly surprised. This is even high for Libby ore.

OFS:bm

