

C O P Y

February 2, 1968

To: R. M. Burns

Re: Deferring Sheeter Mill Moves

- 2 -

3. Tear out sheeter mills, move to Robinson and store the parts in the location where they will ultimately be installed. This would clear the Chicago plant. There would be no extra storage charges, the move would be completed at one handling and all material would be under our control during storage. There would be some extra cost involved in shipping rolls to be ground at time of overhaul, but this should be less than that of re-shipping the whole machine.

Robinson 2

I recommend we go with alternate #3 and include with the mills, on the same store-without-rebuild basis, the 2 best body mixers. We would do the necessary planning and engineering for the rebuilding and installation now, while the project is fresh in mind, so we can get the equipment into operation in minimum time when it is needed.

Estimated cost of this move would be approximately \$19,000, instead of the estimated \$52,000, to move, overhaul and re-install these 5 pieces of equipment. If the large sheeter mill could be left in position in Plant 2, this figure would be reduced to \$11,000.

If it should be decided that a fifth mill should be installed for extra insurance against breakdowns, rapid increase in requirements, etc., then this mill should be V.E. #1911 which is the better of the 2 older 60 inch sheeters. It can be put into operation at less cost than either of the others. It would go into No. 2 position at Robinson which might be less convenient for operation than if it were No. 3, but the other 60 inch mill which will go in No. 3 space requires much more repair and possibly a new hot roll. Estimated cost to rebuild and install V.E. #1911 would be \$8,500, in addition to the disassembly and moving already figured.

RSM/mr

VPD-91-0001265