

FOOTNOTES

Dr.

Page 11, Exhibit K-799 - Page 11

FOOTNOTES TO COMPLAINANT'S EXHIBIT K-799
EXHIBIT K-799, CLAIMS AND
LITIGATION

1. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 1, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".

1. Litigation

1. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 1, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
2. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 2, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
3. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 3, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
4. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 4, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
5. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 5, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
6. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 6, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
7. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 7, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
8. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 8, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
9. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 9, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
10. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 10, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".

2. Litigation

3. Litigation

4. Litigation

1. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 1, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
2. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 2, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
3. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 3, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
4. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 4, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
5. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 5, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
6. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 6, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
7. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 7, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
8. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 8, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
9. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 9, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".
10. Plaintiff's Exhibit K-799, Claim 10, is a copy of a letterhead memorandum dated 10/10/64, captioned "Subject: [illegible]".

5. Litigation

RECEIVED
JAN 1 1971
OWEN
FINDING
12/1/70

02 209 0614

3. Los Angeles, California.

a) Wayne E. Foyar - Asbestosis - No change.

b) Martin Clark (Widow) vs. Warder Corp. Corporation, et al. - No change.

c) Robert E. Cocchin - Pneumococcal from asbestos and other dusts - No change.

d) Robert J. Kossan vs. Thorpe Insulation Co., et al. - Asbestosis - Compromise and Release for \$12,500 approved by Workmen's Compensation Appeals Board on September 1970.

e) Roy E. Merrill (Deceased) - Pneumococcal from asbestos and other dusts - No change.

f) Charles F. Furthousen vs. City of Los Angeles, et al. - Asbestosis - No change.

g) George H. Hunt vs. J. T. Thomas, Inc., et al. - Asbestosis - Case filed August 15, 1970 - Hearing scheduled February 1971.

h) Felix E. Shurt (Widow) vs. OGE, et al. - Asbestosis - Case filed October 8, 1970.

i) Clarence E. Thomas vs. Warder Corp. Corporation, et al. - Asbestosis - Case filed November 17, 1970.

4. San Francisco, California.

a) Harold Hooper - Hearing lost claim - No change.

b) Edward L. Pfeiffer - Asbestosis - No change.

c) James E. Clark - Face/Back Injury - Active arbitration - Attorney is trying to negotiate settlement and return to work.

d) Henry G. Frantz - Asbestosis - Claim, in answer to inquiry of December 17, 1970, advised that this case was closed.

02 209 0615

S. Seattle Washington.

a) Howard T. Belk - Asbestos abatement of asbestos and injury - No change.

b) Willie M. Moore - Asbestos abatement of asbestos and injury assessed OCF 25% of compensation payment to Moore. Investigation of Moore's employment with OCF offered no proof of asbestos exposure while in our employ. Appeal of this award has been dropped, and file should be closed.

c) William E. Tudor - Asbestos abatement of asbestos and injury assessed OCF 75% of compensation payment to Tudor. Tudor's employment with OCF offered no proof of asbestos exposure while in our employ. Appeal of this award has been dropped, and file should be closed.

d) Harold Hinton - Asbestos abatement of asbestos and injury assessed by OCF 10%.

Shoreline Division.

e) Fred J. Johnson - Asbestos abatement of asbestos and injury assessed by OCF 10%.

West Division.

West Division.

f) Eugene J. ... - Asbestos abatement of asbestos and injury assessed by OCF 10%.