

Message

From: Jones, Enesta [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=65B8E6C6E5CA4A7A9AE85D98A4C8EEDB-EJONES02]
Sent: 5/10/2018 11:39:41 PM
To: Mark Hamstra [REDACTED] **Ex. 6**
CC: Press [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b293283291dc44e0b5d1c36be9281d8a-Press]
Subject: Re: EPA Inquiry

Mark,

On background: It is currently in interagency review.

On May 10, 2018, at 4:17 PM, Mark Hamstra [REDACTED] **Ex. 6** wrote:

Hi Enesta — I just wanted to follow up and see if the NPRM for the proposed revisions to Section 608 to reconsider non-exempt substitute refrigerants has been filed yet?

Thanks,

Mark

On Tue, Apr 24, 2018 at 3:11 PM, Mark Hamstra [REDACTED] **Ex. 6** wrote:

ok thanks, this is helpful Enesta.

On Tue, Apr 24, 2018 at 3:08 PM, Jones, Enesta <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov> wrote:
Mark,

On background: As noted in the Administrator's letter dated August 10, 2017 (found here: <https://www.epa.gov/section608/letter-indicating-plans-revisit-some-aspects-final-rule>), EPA is planning to issue a proposed rule to revisit aspects of the 2016 rule's extension of the refrigerant management regulations to substitutes. EPA is currently developing that proposal. There is a regulatory agenda entry for the proposal, which you can find here: <https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaViewRule?pubId=201710&RIN=2060-AT81>

On Apr 19, 2018, at 8:41 AM, Mark Hamstra [REDACTED] **Ex. 6** wrote:

Thanks Enesta — My deadline is next Friday, April 27. Do you think there might be updates you can share by that time?

On Wed, Apr 18, 2018 at 5:21 PM, Jones, Enesta <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov> wrote:
Hi Mark, we have your inquiry below. What's your firm deadline?

I am writing an article for Accelerate America magazine based on your March 20 webinar about the Section 608 regulatory program, and I wanted to follow up about your mention of the fact that the EPA is developing a proposed rule that revisits aspects of the extension to cover non-exempt substitutes such as HFCs.

Can you provide any more detail on what changes are being considered?

When do you expect the proposed new rule to be published?

Best regards,

Mark Hamstra

Ex. 6

[LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

--

Mark Hamstra

Ex. 6

[LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

--

Mark Hamstra

Ex. 6

[LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

--

Mark Hamstra

Ex. 6

[LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)