Subject: Visit to FDA Concerning Aroclor 5460 Petition 9/10/68

W. F. Randolph said that the proposed identification ~~does not~~ in the petition and the data supporting this in the safety study does not gibe since there is no indication as to what the chlorinated biphenyl content was in the Aroclor 5460 that was fed

This information will have to be sent as a letter to supplement the present petition

MONS 054602

Call Gerry Miller Annistor Ext 206

Get a high and low Chlorinated biphenyl sample of 5460 ~~with~~

Get a sample of Chlorinated Biphenyl ~~that~~ can be added to 5460 for chemical Identity

Ship immediately

Called 9/14/68

Gerry is to ship One sample of high Chlor Biphenyl in 5460
" " " low " " " 5460
" " " Aroclor 1260

9/13/68 Gerry Miller called and said he was shipping the following

Aroclor 5460 High Chlor Biphenyl content 0.8%
Aroclor 5460 Low Chlor Biphenyl content 0.1 or less %
Aroclor 1260 Chlorinated biphenyl 100%

MONS 054603

Exp. Di-[illegible] phthalate 70
Sandiazer 6

Lot AI-43
Produced 2/3/67
On this same date analyzed storage tank of ~~[illegible]~~
Santowax R which is raw material for Terphenyl. Biphenyl
content was about 0.2%
Analysis from 2/2 and 2/3 were around 0.2 ± 0.05%

I Storage Tank

Jan 30	0.13 biphenyl	
Jan 31	0.05 "	
Feb 1	NDA < 0.01	Increase rate to still receivers.
Feb 2	0.01	
Feb 3	0.03	
Feb 4	NDA < 0.01	

Aniston cannot consistently produce sample which would be biphenyl free with the present equipment. They can produce material that does not exceed 1% biphenyl.

The sample of I-43 that was fed contained 0.01% biphenyl as per the storage tank analysis for that day which was 2/1/67

Hence material fed animals was apparently chlorinated biphenyl free.

Santowax R may have come from Receiver instead of storage tank. Therefore the biphenyl content could have been any of the following: Receiver Batch No. 99 - Biphenyl content 0.04

" " No. 100 - " " 0.07

" " No 101 - " " 0.33

" " No 102 - " " 0.42

MONS 054605