

Asbestos Content of Dust Encountered in Brake Maintenance and Repair

by A N Rohl PhD, A M Langer PhD,
R Klimentidis BA, M S Wolff PhD
and I J Selikoff MD
(Environmental Sciences Laboratory,
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
of the City University of New York,
New York, NY 10029)

Asbestos in Brake Linings

The composition of automotive brake linings includes chrysotile asbestos fibre which comprises about 50% of the friction material. The exposure of garage workers to asbestos during brake lining maintenance and repair has recently been investigated (Rohl *et al.* 1976). This important issue was studied because a large labour force is potentially exposed (over one million people in the United States alone). Consequently it was thought essential to determine if chrysotile fibre survives braking, and to measure the amounts liberated as an aerosol during maintenance and repair operations.

Investigators in the past have expressed doubt as to whether chrysotile fibres can survive the high temperatures generated during braking (Lynch 1968, Hickish & Knight 1970, Hatch 1970). Chrysotile is alleged to be subjected to temperatures in excess of 800°C, which would cause its thermal transformation to forsterite or to an amorphous magnesium silicate phase. While 'hot spots' up to 1000°C may be attained (Carroll 1962), the heat distribution is nonuniform, and other processes, in addition to thermal wear, contribute to degradation of brake linings. For example, abrasion and macroshear may also cause physical breakdown (Burwell 1957, Mizutani *et al.* 1973). Accordingly, brake lining disintegration by these mechanisms may liberate partially altered or even unaltered chrysotile fibres.

Analysis of Brake Drum Dust

Initially, ten samples of automobile brake drum dusts from brake repair shops in New York City were collected and examined by optical microscopy, X-ray diffraction, transmission electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, to determine the presence of chrysotile.

Optical microscopy: The detection of chrysotile in brake drum dust by optical microscopy is hindered by the nature of the debris matrix, consisting largely of opaque pyrolyzed phenolic-type resin binders and road dust. Chrysotile, particularly small fibres, has low optical relief and low birefringence, which further hinder its identification. Only in rare instances have large fibres, with optical

8001 0015

SCF-FA-7190

PRODUCED BY FORD

Table 1
Chrysotile asbestos content of brake drum dusts

	No. of samples	X-ray diffraction (step-scans)			Transmission electron microscopy No. positive
		No. positive	Weight percent Mean	Range	
Great Britain	8	6●	1.8	0.7-2.3	8
West Germany	8	5●	2.4	0.5-3.2	8
France	1	1	2.5		1
United States of America	10	10	4.5	2.0-15.1	10
Finland	5	3	1.8	0.8-2.5	5
Western Australia	7	4	1.4	0.5-2.3	7
	39	29	$\bar{x} = 2.4$ $s = 1.1$		39

● 1 sample possibly positive

properties consistent with chrysotile, been observed with this technique.

X-ray diffractometry: The ten brake dust samples were analysed by X-ray diffractometry, in both continuous and step-scan mode. The diagnostic reflection selected for chrysotile (3.66 Å; $hkl = (004)$) was step-scanned in the fixed-count mode. By comparison with external standards, the amount of chrysotile present can be determined. Chrysotile reflections were observed in all samples, with weight percentages estimated to range from 2 to 15 (average 4.5). Lead compounds, quartz, carbonate minerals, clays, halite (NaCl), graphite, micas and alpha-iron were also identified in various samples.

Twenty-nine additional brake drum dust samples were collected by colleagues in four European countries and Australia. The samples were obtained from areas representing variable circumstances, such as driving conditions, friction material composition, type of automobile and climate. The results of X-ray diffraction analyses are presented in Table 1. Of the 39 total samples analysed (including 10 United States samples) chrysotile was found in 29, or about three-fourths. The mean chrysotile content varied from 1.4% in the seven Australian samples to 4.5% in the New York City samples.

The thermal breakdown product of chrysotile, i.e., forsterite, which might be expected to form as a result of recrystallization, was not unambiguously detected in any sample.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM): In order to verify the results of X-ray diffraction analysis, the brake dust samples were prepared for electron microscopic analysis by means of a technique which disperses the dust particles in a nitrocellulose film without altering particle sizes. Both free chrysotile fibre bundles and fibrils were observed in all 39 samples. Most of the chrysotile retained its characteristic morphology without significant alteration, and selected area electron diffraction patterns obtained on representative fibres dem-

onstrated the preservation of crystal structure as well (Fig 1).

Fibre size distribution: In ten brake dusts sampled in New York City, free asbestos fibres were sized by TEM at magnification 42 000. The results showed that about 80% of chrysotile is in free fibril form and is shorter than 0.4 µm in length. Over 57% have lengths of about 0.2 µm. At magnification 40 000, such a fibre would be about 1 cm long. If lower magnification were used to scan for asbestos, significant numbers of fibres might not be detected.

It is obvious that most fibres are too small to be seen by optical microscopy. The Asbestos Standard adopted by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) of the US Department of Labour is limited to optical microscopy and neglects to count or control fibres less than 5 µm long. On the other hand, accumulating evidence suggests that such small asbestos fibres may produce disease (Holt *et al.* 1964, Davis 1965, Pott *et al.* 1972, Wagner *et al.* 1973, Hilscher *et al.* 1970, Bouhuys 1975).

Air sampling: Eight personal air samples taken during brake repair work were selected for electron microscopic analysis. This was done in order to positively identify chrysotile in the samples and to determine whether a systematic relationship existed between optically and submicroscopically visible fibres for this type of exposure. The membrane filters were ashed in plasma oxygen to eliminate organic materials and the residue was prepared for electron microscopy by a 'rubout' technique (Nicholson *et al.* 1971), which comminutes large chrysotile fibre bundles into individual fibres and fibrils. Large inorganic particles are likewise reduced in size, permitting all chrysotile to be seen and measured. Chrysotile was identified in the eight samples, in both fibre and fibril form (Fig 2). By measuring a large number of fibres at magnification 42 000 and converting the volumetric data into mass, a concentration per volume of air is determined. Comparison of optical microscopic

8001 0016

fibre counts and the electron microscopic asbestos mass calculations indicates that a positive correlation exists between the two sets of data. These results show that the standard (OSHA) optical fibre counts may be only indicative of the total asbestos exposure.

Asbestos Exposure of Workmen

After the identification of chrysotile in the brake drum dusts, asbestos fibre exposure during maintenance and repair was determined by personal air sampling carried out at automobile repair shops,

taxi fleet repair shops and a municipal truck repair shop in New York City. Air sampling and analytical methods for the determination of fibre concentrations were in accordance with the OSHA techniques (Bayer *et al.* 1975). Present regulations of OSHA prohibit asbestos concentrations of 5 fibres per millilitre (f/ml) or greater, longer than 5 μm as a time-weighted average, and concentrations above 2 f/ml will be illegal after July 1976. Peak concentrations or maximum excursions of 10 f/ml are permitted by the regulations.

In brake lining inspection and repair, the wheel




Fig 1 Electron photomicrographs of brake drum dusts, taken at various magnifications. A, the numerous chrysotile fibrils have average lengths of 0.4 μm or less ($\times 30,000$). B, large numbers of chrysotile fibres imbedded in, and protruding from, opaque particle, probably pyrolyzed phenolic resin binder ($\times 30,000$). C, large chrysotile fibre bundle ($\times 45,000$). D, large particle in left centre of photograph is the same as in C, but cannot be identified at low magnification ($\times 54,000$).

8001 0017

is removed from the axle and loose dust is commonly removed from the drum and back plates by means of a jet of compressed air. A survey of 220 garages in Baltimore and Washington, DC has shown that this is the method of choice in 80% of the shops (Castleman *et al.* 1975). A similar situation exists in New York City. The data in Table 2 show that fibre concentrations are high in the breathing zone of the operator during compressed air blowing. An asbestos concentration gradient, diminishing with time and distance away from the operation, appears to occur. Persons up to 22 m from such activity are exposed to measurable concentrations of asbestos.

Personal air sampling was also conducted at New York City Department of Sanitation where truck brakes are repaired. Used linings are salvaged by machine grinding to remove dirt and grease from the surface. New linings are bevelled by grinding to reduce noise and improve break-in. Accordingly, these studies relate to levels of asbestos exposure which may be experienced by workers engaged in brake lining manufacturing. Table 2 shows that fibre concentrations experienced during grinding ranged from 1.7 to 7.0 f/ml of air in the breathing zone of the operator. The background or area samples for this operation ranged from 1.2 to 0.2 f/ml, with a general decrease




Fig 2 Electron photomicrographs of personal air samples taken during brake repair work. Clumps of chrysotile are associated with opaque particles of road dust and resin binders. A, B. $\times 60\ 000$. C, D. $\times 72\ 000$

8001 0018

Table 2

Personal air samples, automobile and truck brake repair

	No. of samples	Peak fibre concentration (fibres per ml)	
		Mean	Range
<i>Automobile brake repair</i>			
Blowing dust from brake drums:			
Distance 1-1.5 m	4	15.0	6.6-29.4
Distance 1.5-3 m	3	3.3	2.0-4.2
Distance 3-6 m	2	1.6	0.3-1.8
Background (5 min after air jet blowing, distance 3.6-16 m)	2	0.2	0.1-0.2
Background (7-14 min after air jet blowing, distance 19.6-22.6 m)	2	0.1	0.1
	13		
<i>Truck brake repair</i>			
Renewing used linings by grinding (distance 1-1.5 m)	10	4.8	1.7-7.0
Background to grinding used linings:			
Distance 3.3 m	2	1.5	1.2-1.7
Distance 8.3 m	2	0.8	0.6-1.0
Distance 20.0 m	1		0.2
Bevelling new linings	4	37.3	23.7-72.0
Background to bevelling new linings:			
Distance 2.4 m	1		0.6
Distance 3.6 m	2	0.4	0.3-0.5
Distance 9.1 m	1		0.3
	23		

away from the operator. From six to ten other mechanics work within an area up to 20 m from this operation are exposed to asbestos as well. Since a person breathes about one cubic metre of air an hour, multiplication of the fibre concentrations by one million gives the number of fibres inhaled during this period. Fibre concentrations measured during bevelling of truck linings were as high as 72 f/ml and averaged about 37 f/ml. Background counts were measured up to 9.1 m away from this operation (0.3 f/ml). Fibre concentrations measured during drilling holes for rivets and grinding ranged from 0.3 to 29.2 f/ml, as reported by Boillat & Lob (1973). Four of the nine values exceeded 5 f/ml.

Conclusions

The existence of significant exposure during brake servicing operations necessitates the following measures:

- (1) Implementation of industrial hygiene procedures to control exposure.
- (2) Clinical studies of garage mechanics to determine evidence of asbestos-related disease.
- (3) Epidemiological studies of the mortality experience of exposed workers.

In addition, the entire variety of dusts and other materials present in brake repair work should be characterized in order to determine its disease potential, especially silica and lead compounds.

Acknowledgment: The authors wish to acknow-

ledge support under a grant from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, ES 00928 and support by the Ford Motor Company. MSW wishes to acknowledge support under a post-doctoral fellowship from the NIEHS, ES 02565.

We are grateful to the following for assistance in obtaining brake dust samples: Professor Donald Bowes, University of Glasgow, Scotland; Mr L B Bowes, Department of Labour and Industry, Adelaide, South Australia; Dr Trevor Turner, Adelaide, South Australia; Professor Jean Bignon, Hospital Laennec, Paris, France; Mäunu Harmie, Geological Survey of Finland, Otaniemi, Finland; Dr G. Gaál, Helsinki University of Technology, Helsinki, Finland; Dr K Robock and Dr W Klösterkötter, Institut für Hygiene und Arbeitsmedizin des Klinikum der Universität Essen, West Germany.

REFERENCES

- Bayer S G, Brown T A & Zumwalde R D
(1975) Document TR-84. US Public Health Service, Cincinnati, Ohio
- Boillat M A & Lob M
(1973) *Schweizerische medizinische Wochenschrift* 103, 39
- Bouhuys A
(1975) *Annals of Internal Medicine* 83, 898
- Burwell J T
(1957) *Wear* 1, 119
- Carroll W G
(1962) *British Plastics* 35, 414
- Castleman B, Camaroto L A, Fritsch A J, Mazzocchi S & Crawley R G
(1975) *Public Health Reports* 90, 254
- Davis J M J
(1965) *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 132, 98

8001 0019

Hatch D
 (1970) *Annals of Occupational Hygiene* 13, 25
 Hickish D E & Knight K L
 (1970) *Annals of Occupational Hygiene* 13, 17
 Hilscher W, Sethi S, Friedrichs K H & Port F
 (1970) *Naturwissenschaften* 57, 356
 Holt P F, Mills J & Young D K
 (1964) *Journal of Pathology and Bacteriology* 87, 15
 Lynch J R
 (1968) *Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association* 18, 824
 Mizutani Y, Obara H & Nakajima K
 (1973) *Wear* 23, 387
 Nicholson W J, Rohl A N & Ferraud E F
 (1971) In: *Proceedings of the Second International Clean Air Congress*. Ed. H M England & W T Berry. Academic Press, New York: pp 136-139
 Port F, Huth F & Friedrichs K H
 (1972) *Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie, Abt. 1, Originale*, 155, 463
 Rohl A N, Langer A M, Wolff M S & Weisman I
 (1976) *Environmental Research* 12, 110
 Wagner J C, Berry G & Timbrell V
 (1973) *British Journal of Cancer* 28, 173

8001 0020

PRODUCED BY FORD