

1 The Defendant ASARCO was represented by
2 John E. Gregory, Jr., Esq. of the law firm of
3 Porzio, Bronberg & Newman, Esqs., 100 Southgate
4 Parkway, Morristown, New Jersey 07962.

5 The Defendant 3M Company was represented
6 by Thomas M. Crispi, Esq. of the law firm of
7 Cerussi & Spring, One North Lexington Avenue,
8 White Plains, New York 10601.

9 The Defendants Olin, Monsanto,
10 Occidental Underwriters Laboratories, Solutia,
11 Goodrich Corp., Degussa, Conoco Phillips, and
12 Cytec were represented by Matthew R. Grant,
13 Esq., of the law firm of Husch & Eppinger,
14 LLP, 190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600, St.
15 Louis, Missouri 63105.

16 The Defendant A.W. Chesterton was
17 represented by Ryan L. Leonard, Esq. of the law
18 firm of Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman &
19 Dicker, LLP, The Curtis Center, Suite 1130
20 East, Independence Square West, Pennsylvania
21 19106.

22 The Defendant Union Carbide was
23 represented by Barric L. Rosenberg, Esq., of
24 the law firm of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe,
25 LLP, 666 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York
10103.

26 The Defendants Carborundum, Georgia
27 Pacific, BWD, Inc. and TAO/TAR, Inc. were
28 represented by George A. Kisor, Esq., of the
29 law firm of Burroughs, Hepler, Broom,
30 MacDonald, Hebrank & Truc, 103 West Vandalia,
31 Street, Suite 300, Edwardsville, Illinois
32 62025.

33 The Defendant Burns International
34 Service Corp. was represented by Gary L. Smith,
35 Esq. (via telephone) of the law firm of Herzog,
36 Crebs & McGhee, LLP, 515 North Sixth Street,
37 One City Centre, St. Louis, Missouri 63101.

1 The Defendants John Crane, Inc. Sepco,
2 Cleaver-Brooks, and Sealing Equipment Products
3 Company were represented by Daniel J.
4 O'Connell, Esq. (via telephone) of the law firm
5 of O'Connell & Associates, P.C., 645 Tollgate
6 Road, Suite 220, Elgin, Illinois 60137.

7 The Defendant Honeywell International
8 was represented by Nicole C. Behnen, Esq. (via
9 telephone) of the law firm of Polsinelli Shalton
10 Welte, P.C., 100 South Fourth Street, Suite
11 1100, St. Louis, Missouri 62102.

12 The Defendant United States Steel was
13 represented by Edward Matushek, Esq. (via
14 telephone) of the law firm of Matushek &
15 Associates, 1 North LaSalle Street, Suite 3210,
16 Chicago, Illinois 60602.

17 The Defendants Cemex and Southdown
18 were represented by Gil N. Beckemeier, Jr.,
19 Esq. (via telephone) of the law firm of
20 Sandberg, Phoenix & von Gontard, One City
21 Centre, Suite 1500, St. Louis, Missouri 63101.

22 The Defendants Motion Control
23 Industries, Dentsply International, Inc.,
24 Freightliner, LLC, Fru-Con Construction
25 Corporation, Mead Corporation and Montello were
represented by Bryan Nicholson, Esq., (via
telephone) of the law firm of Armstrong
Teasdale LLP, 211 North Broadway, Suite 2600,
St. Louis, Missouri 63102.

ALSO PRESENT:

J. D. MARTINEZ, Videographer
Hamilton Communications

1 The Defendant Tarkett was represented by
2 Sheri Chandler Peniston, Esq., (via telephone)
3 of the law firm of Reed, Armstrong, Goran,
4 Mudge & Morrissey, 115 North Buchanan, P.O. Box
5 368 Edwardsville, Illinois.

6 The Defendants Ingersoll-Rand, Kentele
7 Floors, Halliburton Technical Services,
8 Electric Energy, George P. Reintjes, Ferro
9 Engineering, Opdway, Aurora, Hydromatic Pumps,
10 Scott Laboratories, Inc., Cooper Industries,
11 Floor Constructors International, Inc.,
12 Kirkwood Industries, Central Steel & Wire
13 Company, Sideser Supply, Fire King
14 International, Citroen Auto Parts, Inc.,
15 Research-Controll, Inc., L. Wolf Company,
16 Corning Incorporated, Transtar, Barrett Diamond
17 Products, Inc., Christy Firebrick, Crane Co.,
18 The Olinite Company, J.C. Whitney & Company,
19 Peugeot Motors of America, Inc., Premit, Inc.
20 and Thyssen Krupp Budd Co. were represented by
21 Susan Grasty, Esq. (via telephone) of the law
22 firm of Gundy & McCarthy, 150 South Wacker
23 Drive, Suite 1025, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

24 The Defendant Union Carbide was
25 represented by Tobin F. Teylor, Esq. (via
telephone) of the law firm of Heyl, Royster,
Voelker & Allen, 124 SW Adams Street, Suite
600, Peoria, Illinois 61602.

26 The Defendant Tyco International was
27 represented by Milton C. Spaulding, Esq. (via
28 telephone) of the law firm of Spencer Fane
29 Britt & Browne, LLP, 120 South Central Avenue,
30 St. Louis, Missouri 63105.

31 The Defendant United States Steel
32 Corporation was represented by Danielle D.
33 Swanda, Esq. (via telephone) of the law firm of
34 Baughman & Associates Co., LPA, Public Square,
35 Suite 2215, Cleveland, Ohio 44113.

36 The Defendant Genuine Parts Company was
37 represented by Virginia M. Giokaris, Esq. (via
38 telephone) of the law firm of Rasmussen,
39 Willis, Dickey & Moore, LLC, 9200 Ward Parkway,
40 Suite 310, Kansas City, Missouri 64114.

1 THE VIDEO OPERATOR: We are on
2 the record. This is the deposition of
3 Theodore R. Marinor Ph.D taken in the
4 case recording all Madison County
5 asbestos litigation, filed in the
6 Circuit Court, Third Judicial Court,
7 Madison County, Illinois.

8 Today's date is April 1, 2003 the
9 time on the videotape record is
10 9:34 a.m. This deposition is being held
11 at 666 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York.

12 My name is J.D. Martinez. I'm
13 employed by Hamilton Communications of
14 60 Pine Lake Road, Westbrook,
15 Connecticut.

16 Would everyone please introduce
17 yourselves for the record?

18 MR. GIANARIS: Ted Gianaris on
19 behalf of all The Simmons Firm asbestos
20 plaintiffs.

21 MS. NIELSEN: Christina Nielsen
22 on behalf of all O'Brien Law Firm
23 plaintiffs.

24 MR. CRISPI: Tom Crispi on behalf
25 of 3M Company.