


FILE NAME: Kubota (KUB)

DATE: 2010

DOC#: KUB027

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Legal - Defendant Kubota's Responses to Plaintiffs' Requests for Admission Set One



1 Thomas C. Corless (State Bar No. 100614)
2 Aide C. Ontiveros (State Bar No. 169629)
3 **WILSON, ELSE, MOSKOWITZ,**
4 **EDELMAN & DICKER LLP**
5 555 S. Flower Street, Suite 2900
6 Los Angeles, California 90071
7 Telephone: (213) 443-5100
8 Facsimile: (213) 443-5101
9 Attorneys for Defendant,
10 KUBOTA CORPORATION

11
12
13
14
15
16
17 **SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
18 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT**

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

RHODA EVANS and BOBBY EVANS) (Unlimited Civil Case)
Plaintiffs,) Case No.: BC 418867
Judge: Conrad R. Aragon, Dept. 49
v.)
DEFENDANT KUBOTA
CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO
PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTS
FOR ADMISSION, SET ONE
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.)
Defendants.)
Action Filed: July 29, 2009

PROPOUNDING PARTIES: RHODA EVANS AND BOBBY EVANS

RESPONDING PARTY: KUBOTA CORPORATION

SET NO.: ONE

Defendant **KUBOTA CORPORATION** ("Defendant" or "KUBOTA") hereby responds to Plaintiffs Rhoda Evans and Bobby Evans' ("Plaintiffs") Request for Admissions, Set No. 1 as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

These responses are made solely for the purpose of, and in relation to, this action. Each answer is given subject to all appropriate objections including, but not limited to, objections concerning competency, relevancy, materiality, propriety and admissibility, which would require the exclusion of any statement contained herein where made by a witness present and testifying

1 in court. All such objections and grounds therefore are reserved, and may be interposed at the
2 time of trial.

3 It should be noted that this responding party has not fully completed its investigation of
4 the facts relating to this case, has not yet fully completed its discovery in this matter, and has not
5 completed its preparation for trial. All of the answers contained herein are based only upon such
6 information and documents which are presently available to and specifically known to this
7 responding party and disclose only those contentions which presently occur to such responding
8 party. It is anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation, legal research and
9 analysis will supply additional facts, add meaning to the known facts, as well as establish
10 entirely new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may lead to substantial
11 additions to, changes in, and variations from the contentions herein set forth.

12 As Responding Party ceased the sale of asbestos cement pipe in 1975 and during the
13 ensuing 35 years, potentially knowledgeable witnesses have left the employ of the asbestos
14 cement pipe division of Kubota Corporation or have become deceased and, through standard
15 company record destruction policies, potentially responsive documents have been destroyed, it
16 should be noted that Responding Party lacks sufficient information and belief to respond to many
17 of the requests for admissions. These responses are made on behalf of Kubota Corporation only
18 with regard to information existing during the time asbestos cement pipe was exported to the
19 United States.

20
21 The following responses are given without prejudice to Kubota Corporation's right
22 to produce evidence of any subsequently discovered facts which this responding party may later
23 recall. Kubota Corporation accordingly reserves the right to change any and all answers herein
24 as additional facts are ascertained, analyses are made, legal research is completed and
25 contentions are made.

26
27 The answers contained herein are made in a good faith effort to supply as much factual
28 information and as much specification of legal contentions as is presently known, but should

1 no way be to the prejudice of Kubota Corporation in relation to further discovery, research or
2 any answers to herein, no admission of any nature whatsoever is to be implied or inferred. The
3 fact that any request for admission herein has been partially answered should not be taken as an
4 admission to the entire request, or that such answer constitutes evidence of any facts thus set
5 forth or assumed. All answers must be construed as given on the basis of present recollection.
6 Any request for admission deemed as continuing is objected to as oppressive, over burdensome,
7 improper, and not in compliance with *Code of Civil Procedure Sections 2033, et seq.*, and will
8 not be regarded as continuing in nature.

9 **RESPONSES PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION**

10 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:**

11 Admit that on approximately June 29, 2005, you made an announcement regarding the
12 occurrence of many occupational victims of asbestos, as well as the victims of asbestos dust from
13 environmental exposure around the Kanzaki plant.

14 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:**

15
16 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "many
17 occupational victims of asbestos" and "victims of asbestos dust", is overly broad, irrelevant and
18 is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The request is
19 propounded to oppress and harass KUBOTA.

20 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:**

21 Admit that approximately 75 former workers of the Kanzaki Plant developed
22 mesothelioma as a result of their exposure to the plant's asbestos-containing products, and have
23 died as a result of this fatal disease.

24 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:**

25
26 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "former
27 workers of the Kansaki Plant" and "asbestos-containing products", is overly broad, irrelevant and
28

1 is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The request is
2 propounded merely to oppress and harass KUBOTA. In addition, the request and its
3 accompanying Form Interrogatory No. 17.1 calls for information that invades the privacy
4 privilege of third parties and their families.

5 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:**

6 Admit that you have compensated the surviving families of deceased workers of the
7 Kanzaki Plant who developed mesothelioma as a result of their exposure to the plant's asbestos-
8 containing products.

9 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:**

10 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "surviving
11 families", "deceased workers of the Kanzaki Plant" and "asbestos-containing products", is overly
12 broad, irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
13 The request is propounded merely to oppress and harass KUBOTA. In addition, the request and
14 its accompanying Form Interrogatory No. 17.1 calls for information that invades the privacy
15 privilege of third parties and their families. Should KUBOTA be ordered to respond to this
16 request, said order will force KUBOTA to breach its confidentiality contract with third parties.

17 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:**

18 Admit that residents of Amagasaki City, Japan developed fatal mesothelioma during and
19 after the years the Kanzaki Plant produced asbestos-containing products as a result of exposure
20 to the Kanzaki Plant's asbestos-containing products.

21 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:**

22 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "residents of
23 Amagasaki City, Japan", "Kanzaki Plant" and "asbestos-containing products", is overly broad.
24 irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The
25

1 request is propounded merely to oppress and harass KUBOTA. In addition, the request and its
2 accompanying Form Interrogatory No. 17.1 calls for information that invades the privacy
3 privilege of third parties.

4 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:**

5 Admit that from 1962 through 1975 approximately 240,000 tons of asbestos was used at
6 the Kanzaki Plant in the production of asbestos water pipes and building material.

7 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:**

8 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "Kanzaki
9 Plant" and "asbestos water pipes" and "building material". With regard to the years 1962 to
10 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER
11 AND POWER, this request is overly broad and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead
12 to the discovery of admissible evidence. The request is propounded merely to oppress and harass
13 KUBOTA.

14 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

15 Deny.

16 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:**

17 Admit that from 1962 through 1975 YOU were informed and had documentation
18 regarding the HAZARDS ASSOCIATED WITH ASBESTOS EXPOSURE and/or ASBESTOS
19 CONTAINING MATERIAL.

20 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:**

21 Objection: This request is compound with regard to the terms "from 1962 to 1975" and
22 "and/or ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL". The request is vague and ambiguous with
23 regard to the term "documentation" and the term "ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL".

24 With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS
25

1 ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and
2 irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The
3 request is propounded merely to oppress and harass KUBOTA.

4 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

5 Through the passage of time and demise of its employees, KUBOTA is unable to more
6 completely respond to this interrogatory, but shortly before its enactment, KUBOTA became
7 aware of a 1960 Japanese Pneumoconiosis Act that addressed pneumoconiosis and asbestosis
8 resulting from long term exposures to asbestos at manufacturing facilities, but not lung cancer or
9 mesothelioma, and on that basis admits the request. KUBOTA believes it first learned of
10 asbestos related cancer and mesothelioma hazards shortly before promulgation of the 1975
11 Japanese Ordinance on Prevention of Hazards Caused by Specific Chemical Substances and on
12 this basis denies the request.
13
14

15 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:**

16 Admit that from 1962 through 1975 precautionary equipment was available to YOU, to
17 protect YOUR employees from HAZARDS ASSOCIATED WITH EXPOSURE TO
18 ASBESTOS.

19 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:**

20 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "precautionary
21 equipment". With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with
22 LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and
23 irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The
24 request is propounded merely to oppress and harass KUBOTA.
25

26 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

27 Admit.
28

1 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:**

2 Admit that YOU did not offer protective respiratory equipment to employees at all of
3 your asbestos cement pipe manufacturing facilities form 1962 through 1975.

4 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:**

5 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "protective
6 respiratory equipment". With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans'
7 employment with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is
8 overly broad and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of
9 admissible evidence. The request is propounded merely to oppress and harass KUBOTA.

10
11 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

12 Deny.

13
14 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:**

15 Admit that YOU did not provide consumers of your produced asbestos-containing
16 products any WARNINGS about the HAZARDS RELATED TO ASBESTOS EXPOSURE with
17 the ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL YOU SOLD at any time from 1962 through 1975.

18 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:**

19 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "consumers",
20 "asbestos -containing products" and "ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL". With regard to
21 the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS ANGELES
22 DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and irrelevant and is
23 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The request is
24 propounded merely to oppress and harass KUBOTA.

25
26 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

27 Deny.
28

1 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:**

2 Admit that YOU did not provide WARNINGS on any packaging or product itself
3 associated with ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL YOU provided to consumers of your
4 produced asbestos-containing products from 1962 through 1975.

5 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:**

6
7 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "asbestos –
8 containing products". With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
9 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
10 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
11 The request is propounded merely to oppress and harass KUBOTA.

12 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

13 Admit.
14

15 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:**

16 Admit that YOU did not provide any WARNINGS about the HAZARDS RELATED TO
17 ASBESTOS EXPOSURE with the ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL YOU SOLD to
18 VOSS at any time from 1962 through 1975.

19 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:**

20 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "ASBESTOS
21 CONTAINING MATERIAL. With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans'
22 employment with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is
23 overly broad and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of
24 admissible evidence. The request is propounded merely to oppress and harass KUBOTA.
25

26 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

27 Deny.
28

1 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:**

2 Admit that YOU did not provide WARNINGS on any packaging or product itself
3 associated with ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL YOU provided to VOSS at any time
4 from '1962 through 1975.

5 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:**

6 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "ASBESTOS
7 CONTAINING MATERIAL". With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans'
8 employment with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is
9 overly broad and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of
10 admissible evidence. The request is propounded merely to oppress and harass KUBOTA.

11 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

12 Admit.

13 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:**

14 Admit that YOU did not provide any WARNINGS about the HAZARDS RELATED TO
15 ASBESTOS EXPOSURE with the ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL YOU SOLD to the
16 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to 1975.

17 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO.13 :**

18 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "HAZARDS
19 RELATED TO ASBESTOS EXPOSURE" and "ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL".
20 With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS ANGELES
21 DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and irrelevant and is
22 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The request assumes
23 facts not in evidence that KUBOTA sold any asbestos containing material to the LOS
24 ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER at any time from 1962 to 1975.

1 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

2 At this point the Defendant is unaware of any sales of its product to the Los Angeles
3 Department of Water and Power and therefore cannot admit or deny the request and on that basis
4 denies it.

5 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:**

6 Admit that YOU did not provide WARNINGS on any packaging or product itself
7 associated with ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL YOU provided to the Los Angeles
8 Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to 1975.

9 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:**

10 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "HAZARDS
11 RELATED TO ASBESTOS EXPOSURE" and "ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIAL".
12 With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS ANGELES
13 DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and irrelevant and is
14 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The request assumes
15 facts not in evidence that KUBOTA sold any asbestos containing material to the LOS
16 ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER at any time from 1962 to 1975.

17 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

18 At this point the Defendant is unaware of any sales of its product to the Los Angeles
19 Department of Water and Power and therefore cannot admit or deny the request and on that basis
20 denies it.

21 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:**

22 Admit that YOU were aware of the asbestos fiber release that occurred when
23 ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIALS YOU manufactured and supplied to VOSS, were cut
24 with a power saw at any time from 1962 through 1975.

1 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:**

2 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "ASBESTOS
3 CONTAINING MATERIAL", "cut" and "power saw". With regard to the years 1962 to 1967
4 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND
5 POWER, this request is overly broad and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to
6 the discovery of admissible evidence. The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA
7 and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos containing material to the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT
8 OF WATER AND POWER at any time from 1962 to 1975.

9
10 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

11 Admit.

12 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:**

13 Admit that YOU SOLD KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE to VOSS from 1962
14 through 1975.

15
16 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:**

17 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
18 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
19 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
20 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
21 containing material to the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER at any
22 time from 1962 to 1975.

23
24 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

25 Admit.

26 ///

1 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:**

2 Admit that YOU knew VOSS SOLD KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE in Los
3 Angeles County from 1962 through 1975.

4 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:**

5 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
6 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
7 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
8 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
9 containing material to the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER at any
10 time from 1962 to 1975.
11

12 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

13 Admit, however Voss did obtain asbestos cement pipe from other manufacturers.
14

15 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:**

16 Admit that you had an exclusive distribution agreement with VOSS, under which VOSS
17 SOLD KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE in California from 1962 through 1975.

18 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:**

19 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
20 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
21 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
22 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
23 containing material to the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER at any
24 time from 1962 to 1975.
25

26 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

27 Admit, however Voss did obtain asbestos cement pipe from other manufacturers.
28

1 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:**

2 Admit that you had an exclusive distribution agreement with VOSS, under which VOSS
3 SOLD KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE in Los Angeles County from 1962 through 1975.

4 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO.19 :**

5 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
6 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
7 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
8 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
9 containing material to the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER at any
10 time from 1962 to 1975.
11

12 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

13 Admit, however Voss did obtain asbestos cement pipe from other manufacturers.
14

15 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:**

16 Admit KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE was supplied to VOSS without any
17 printed warnings affixed to the pipe from 1962 through 1975.

18 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:**

19 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "printed
20 warnings" and "affixed", is overly broad. With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby
21 Evans' employment with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this
22 request is overly broad and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of
23 admissible evidence. The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS
24 supplied any asbestos containing material to the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER
25 AND POWER at any time from 1962 to 1975.
26

27 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:
28

1 Admit.

2 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:**

3 Admit KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE was supplied to VOSS without any
4 printed warning materials from 1962 through 1975.

5 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:**

6
7 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "printed warning
8 materials", is overly broad. With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans'
9 employment with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is
10 overly broad and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of
11 admissible evidence. The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS
12 supplied any asbestos containing material to the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER
13 AND POWER at any time from 1962 to 1975.

14
15 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

16 Admit.

17 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:**

18 Admit that between the years 1962 and 1975 YOU knew, of the HAZARDS
19 ASSOCIATED WITH ASBESTOS EXPOSURE.

20 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:**

21
22 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
23 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
24 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
25 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
26 containing material to the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER at any
27 time from 1962 to 1975.
28

1 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

2 Through the passage of time and demise of its employees, KUBOTA is unable to more
3 completely respond to this interrogatory, but shortly before its enactment, KUBOTA became
4 aware of a 1960 Japanese Pneumoconiosis Act that addressed pneumoconiosis and asbestosis
5 resulting from long term exposures to asbestos at manufacturing facilities, but not lung cancer or
6 mesothelioma, and on that basis admits the request. KUBOTA believes it first learned of
7 asbestos related cancer and mesothelioma hazards shortly before promulgation of the 1975
8 Japanese Ordinance on Prevention of Hazards Caused by Specific Chemical Substances and on
9 this basis denies the request.
10

11 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:**

12 Admit that KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE that YOU SOLD TO VOSS
13 CONTAINED CROCIDILITE from 1962 through 1975.
14

15 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:**

16 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
17 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
18 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
19 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
20 containing material to the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER at any
21 time from 1962 to 1975.
22

23 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

24 Admit.

25 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:**

26 Admit that VOSS stamped KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE with a large logo
27 consisted of "Voss" on a triangle and "Kubota" underneath the triangle, from 1962 through 1975.
28

1 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:**

2 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
3 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
4 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
5 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
6 containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to
7 1975.
8

9 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

10 Deny.

11 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:**

12 Admit that YOU did not warn users of KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE to use
13 RESPIRATORY PROTECTION when working with KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE
14 from 1962 through 1975.
15

16 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:**

17 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "users" and "working"
18 With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS ANGELES
19 DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and irrelevant and is
20 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The request assumes
21 facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos containing material to
22 the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to 1975.
23

24 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

25 Deny.

26 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:**

27 Admit that YOU did not warn users of KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE to use
28

1 RESPIRATORY PROTECTION when cutting KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE from
2 1962 through 1975.

3 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:**

4 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "users" and "cutting".
5
6 With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS ANGELES
7 DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and irrelevant and is
8 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The request assumes
9 facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos containing material to
10 the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to 1975.

11 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

12 Deny.

13
14 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:**

15 Admit that YOU did not tell VOSS to warn users of KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT
16 PIPE to use RESPIRATORY PROTECTION when working with KUBOTA ASBESTOS
17 CEMENT PIPE from 1962 through 1975.

18 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:**

19 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "users" and "working".
20
21 With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS ANGELES
22 DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and irrelevant and is
23 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The request assumes
24 facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos containing material to
25 the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to 1975.

26 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

27 Deny.
28

1 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:**

2 Admit that YOU did not tell VOSS to warn users of KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT
3 PIPE to use RESPIRATORY PROTECTION when cutting KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT
4 PIPE from 1962 through 1975.

5 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:**

6 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "users" and "cutting".
7
8 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS
9 ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and
10 irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The
11 request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
12 containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to
13 1975.

14
15 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

16 Deny.

17 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:**

18 Admit that YOU did not require VOSS to warn users of KUBOTA ASBESTOS
19 CEMENT PIPE to use RESPIRATORY PROTECTION when working with KUBOTA
20 ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE from 1962 through 1975.

21 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:**

22 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "users" and "working".
23
24 With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS ANGELES
25 DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and irrelevant and is
26 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The request calls for a
27 legal conclusion and assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any
28

1 asbestos containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time
2 from 1962 to 1975.

3 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

4 Deny.

5
6 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:**

7 Admit that YOU did not require VOSS to warn users of KUBOTA ASBESTOS
8 CEMENT PIPE to use RESPIRATORY PROTECTION when cutting KUBOTA ASBESTOS
9 CEMENT PIPE from 1962 through 1975.

10 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:**

11 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "users" and "cutting".
12 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with LOS
13 ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and
14 irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The
15 request calls for a legal conclusion and assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or
16 VOSS supplied any asbestos containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and
17 Power at any time from 1962 to 1975.

18
19 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

20 Deny.

21
22 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:**

23 Admit that YOU did not know the SYSTEM OF DISTRIBUTION VOSS used to
24 distribute KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE in Los Angeles County, California from 1962
25 through 1975.

26 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:**

27 Objection: vague, ambiguous and unintelligible as to the phrase "system of
28

1 transportation;" and with regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
2 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
3 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
4 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
5 containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to
6 1975.
7

8 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

9 Admit, although KUBOTA did have general knowledge of the distribution system, i.e.,
10 transport by truck from port to yard and then from the yard to Voss' customers.
11

12 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:**

13 Admit that YOU do not know the WORKSITES where VOSS distributed KUBOTA
14 ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE from 1962 through 1975.

15 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:**

16 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
17 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
18 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
19 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
20 containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to
21 1975.
22

23 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follow

24 Admit.

25 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:**

26 Admit that KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE that YOU SOLD TO VOSS
27 CONTAINED CROCIDILITE from 1962 through 1975.
28

1 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:**

2 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
3 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
4 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
5 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
6 containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to
7 1975.
8

9 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

10 Admit.

11 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:**

12 Admit that YOU did not warn VOSS of the HAZARDS ASSOCIATED WITH
13 ASBESTOS EXPOSURE from 1962 through 1975.
14

15 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:**

16 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
17 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
18 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
19 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
20 containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to
21 1975.
22

23 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

24 Deny.

25 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:**

26 Admit that YOU knew that consumers were cutting KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT
27 PIPE with power saws from 1962 through 1975.
28

1 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:**

2 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "consumers", "cutting"
3 and "power saws". With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
4 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
5 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
6 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
7 containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to
8 1975.
9

10 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

11 Admit that KUBOTA knew that consumers like Voss would require various persons to
12 perform occasional cutting of pipes outdoors in small quantities.
13

14 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 36:**

15 Admit that from 1962 through 1975, YOU knew that when consumers cut KUBOTA
16 ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE with power saws that asbestos fiber would be released into the air.

17 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 36:**

18 Objection: This request is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "consumers", "cut" and
19 "power saws". With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment with
20 LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad and
21 irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The
22 request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
23 containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to
24 1975.
25

26 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

27 Admit.
28

1 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 37:**

2 Admit that printed warnings regarding asbestos dust were on bags of JOHNS-
3 MANVILLE asbestos fiber you were supplied by TOKYO KOGYO BOEKI SHOKAI for the
4 production of KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE from 1962 through 1975.

5 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 37:**

6
7 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
8 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
9 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
10 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
11 containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to
12 1975.

13
14 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

15 Deny.

16 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 38:**

17 Admit that YOU SOLD KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE to VOSS from 1962
18 through 1975.

19 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 38:**

20
21 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
22 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
23 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
24 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
25 containing material to the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER at any
26 time from 1962 to 1975. Asked and answered at Request for Admission No. 16.

27 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:
28

1 Admit.

2 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 39:**

3 Admit that YOU knew VOSS SOLD KUBOTA ASBESTOS CEMENT PIPE in Los
4 Angeles county from 1962 through 1975.

5 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 39:**

6
7 Objection: With regard to the years 1962 to 1967 prior to Bobby Evans' employment
8 with LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, this request is overly broad
9 and irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
10 The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS supplied any asbestos
11 containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at any time from 1962 to
12 1975. Asked and answered at Request No. 17.

13 Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

14 Admit.

15 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 40:**

16 Admit that Rhoda Evans has mesothelioma caused by asbestos exposure.

17 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 40:**

18 Deny.

19 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 41:**

20 Admit that YOU contributed to Rhoda Evans' mesothelioma.

21 **RESPONSE REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 41:**

22 Objection: The request assumes facts not in evidence that KUBOTA and/or VOSS
23 supplied any asbestos containing material to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at
24 any time from 1962 to 1975.

25
26 ///

27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Without waiving these objections, Defendant responds as follows:

Deny.

Dated: March 15, 2010

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

By: 

Thomas C. Corless
Aide C. Ontiveros
Attorneys for Defendant,
KUBOTA CORPORATION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VERIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I have read the foregoing DEFENDANT KUBOTA CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, SET NO. ONE (1) and know its contents.

I am Masahiko Uchino, Legal Department for KUBOTA CORPORATION, a party to this action entitled *Rhoda Evans v. A. W. Chesterton, et al*, LASC Case No. BC 418867, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

Executed on March 15, 2010 at Osaka, Japan.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

内野 雅彦
Signature

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE
1013a(3) CCP

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 555 South Flower Street, 29th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071.

On March 15, 2010 I caused the foregoing document described as **DEFENDANT KUBOTA CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, SET ONE** to be served on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in seal envelopes addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

☒ (BY FACSIMILE) I caused said document to be telephonically transmitted to each addressee's telecopier (Fax) number as noted on Proof of Service List.

AND

☒ (BY MAIL) I caused such envelope(s) fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence or mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

☐ (BY OVERNIGHT-FEDERAL EXPRESS) I caused said document(s) to be picked up by U.S. Federal Express Services for overnight delivery to the offices of the addressees listed on the Service List.

☐ (BY HAND DELIVERY/PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused said document(s) to be personally delivered by a courier/attorney service to the addressee as noted on the Service list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on March 15, 2010, Los Angeles, California.


Irene Guzman Buelna

SERVICE LIST
RHODA EVANS, et al. v. KUBOTA CORPORATION, et al.
Case No.: BC418867
Our File No.: 00495.06997

Jeffrey A. Kaiser, Esq. T. Scott Hames, Esq. LEVIN SIMES KAISER & GORNICK LLP 44 Montgomery Street, 36th Floor San Francisco, California 94104 (ORIGINAL)	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, RHODA EVANS and BOBBY EVANS Tel: (415) 646-7160 - Fax: (415) 981-1270
K&L Gates, LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94111 (COPY)	Attorneys for Crane Co., Individually & as successor-in-interest to Chapman Valve Co. Tel: (415) 882-8200 - Fax: (415) 882-8220
Corinne Orquiola, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012 (COPY)	Attorneys for Advocate Mines, Limited Tel: (213) 250-1800 - Fax: (213) 580-7942 orquiola@lbbslaw.com
William J. Sayers, Esq. Farah S. Nicol, Esq. Mary McKelvey, Esq. McKENNA, LONG & ALDRIDGE, LLP 300 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90071 (COPY)	Attorneys for Certain-Teed Corporation Tel: (213) 688-1000 - Fax: (213) 243-6330 mmckelvey@mckennalong.com
Carmen A. Trutanich, Esq. Pamela L. McFarlane, Esq. Eskel Solomon, Esq. 111 North Hope Street, Suite 340 P.O. Box 51111 Los Angeles, CA 90051 (COPY)	Attorneys for Los Angeles Department of Water and Power Tel: (213) 367-4640- 4534 - Fax: (213) 367-4588 (Maggie Flores – Secretary Pamela.mcfarlane@ladwp.com Eskel.solomon@ladwp.com
R. Gregory Amudson, Esq. Seymour B. Everett, Esq. WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN 5000 Birch Street, Suite 8500 Newport Beach, CA 92660 (COPY)	Associated Counsel for City of Los Angeles Acting by and through the Department of Water and Power of the City of Los Angeles Tel.: (949) 757-4500 - Fax: (949) 757-4550 gamudson@wshblaw.com severett@wshblaw.com

PROOF OF SERVICE