


WORKSHOP ON ASBESTOS:
DEFINITIONS AND MEASUREMENTS METHODS

July 18 - 20, 1977

GENERAL INFORMATION
WELCOME!



INFORMATION

The people at the Information Desk located in the registration area will be pleased to assist you.

TRANSPORTATION

Monday, July 18 - Wednesday, July 20

8:00 a.m. Early bus leaves Sheraton-Silver Spring for NBS

8:30 a.m. Last buses leave Sheraton-Silver Spring for NBS

Transportation will be provided from NBS to the hotels at the conclusion of the meeting on Monday and Tuesday. If you need transportation to the hotels at the conclusion of the meeting on Wednesday, please complete a request form at the Ground Transportation Desk.

TRANSPORTATION TO AIRPORTS

Transportation will be provided from NBS to the area airports at the conclusion of the Symposium. Reservations should be made at the Ground Transportation Desk by completing a request form and returning it by 2:00 p.m. on Tuesday, July 19. Persons wishing to make use of this service should bring their luggage to NBS on Wednesday, and leave it in the coatroom for the day.

COMMUNICATIONS

Symposium Main Desk. The telephone numbers for contact with the Symposium are (301) 921-3330 or 921-3340.

Messages. There will be a message board in the registration desk area. Please check this daily during the Symposium. Attendees will not be called out of the sessions to receive telephone calls except in emergencies.

Telephones. In-house and pay phones are located adjacent to the registration desks.

COFFEE

Coffee and donuts will be served before the session each morning. Coffee also will be served during mid-morning and mid-afternoon breaks.

Plaintiff's Exhibit
S-USS-138

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT
CAM-8

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT
P

ASB 0004088

SCF-ALLF-06610

LUNCHEONS

Attendees will be served lunch each day in a special section of the NBS Cafeteria. Lunch tickets are in the bottom pocket of your name badge. (Tickets will not be accepted in the front line of the cafeteria.)

AUDITORIUM

The auditorium is maintained at 23°C for energy efficiency. Please bear with us if you find this temperature personally uncomfortable.

WELCOME COFFEE

There will be a coffee for guests of registrants in the Board Room of the Sheraton-Silver Spring from 9-10:30 a.m., Monday, June 13. A hostess will be available to answer questions, provide tourist information, and help guests plan their visit in the area.

BUFFET

The Symposium buffet will be held Monday evening, July 18 in the Maryland Ballroom of the Sheraton-Silver Spring. The Cash Bar will begin at approximately 7:15 p.m. followed by dinner at 8:00 p.m. Additional tickets may be purchased at the registration desk.

MISCELLANEOUS

The following services are located in the basement of the NBS Administration Building. Please take the elevator from the Main Lobby.

Bank (Room A28) -- Hours: 9 a.m. - 3 p.m.

Beauty and barber shop (Room C31)

Newspaper and sundry stand (adjacent to elevators)

WORKSHOP ON ASBESTOS: DEFINITIONS
AND MEASUREMENT METHODS

National Bureau of Standards
Gaithersburg, Maryland

July 18-20, 1977

Final Registration List

Frederick R. Allen
N.C. Aggregates Association
P. O. Box 30603
Raleigh, NC 27612

Francis M. Alpiser
Environmental Protection Agency
Region III
Curtis Building, 6th & Walnut
Philadelphia, PA 19106

William Anderl
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
Division of Water Quality
Roseville, MN 55113

Charles H. Anderson
Athens ERL
Environmental Protection Agency
College Station Road
Athens, GA 30601

Sandra Anderson
Battelle, Columbus Laboratories
505 King Avenue
Columbus, OH 43201

Irvin Asher
Office of Science
Food and Drug Administration
8800 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20014

William H. Ashton
Johnson & Johnson
U.S. Rt. 202
Raritan, NJ 08869

Steven Aust
Bureau of Air Quality
201 W. Preston Street
O'Connor Building
Baltimore, MD 21201

Russell W. Ayres
Read, Smith, Shaw and McClay
747 Union Trust Building
Pittsburgh, PA 15230

James H. Baird
W. R. Grace & Company
7379 Route 32
Columbia, MD 21044

William G. Banfield
National Cancer Institute
9000 Rockville Pike
Building 10, Rm. 8B19
Bethesda, MD 20014

Walter Bank
Mining Enforcement & Safety Admin.
Denver Technical Support Center
P. O. Box 25367; DFC
Denver, CO 80225

Virgil J. Barczak
Kerr-McGee Corporation
Technical Center
P. O. Box 25861
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

Kenneth Barry
BNA - Occupational Safety and
Health Reporter
1231 - 25th St., NW
Washington, DC 20037

Ian Bartky
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

D. R. Beaman
Dow Chemical Company
574 Building
Midland, MI 48640

William E. Bell
Division of Resource Protection
Montgomery County Department of
Environmental Protection

6110 Executive Boulevard, Room 340
Rockville, MD 20852

Ed Berg
EMSL
Quality Assurance Branch
Cincinnati, OH 45268

Roy Bernard
MESA
309 Shadeswood Drive
Birmingham, AL 35226

Ching-tsen Bien
U.S. Department of Labor
Occupational Safety & Health Admin.
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210

J. Bignon
Universite Paris - Val de Marne
Centre Hospitalier Intercommunal
40 Avenue de Verdun
94010 Creteil
FRANCE

Eula Bingham
Assistant Secretary of Labor
U.S. Department of Labor
Room S-2315
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210

Kyle Bishop
Minnesota Department of Health
717 Delaware Street SE
Minneapolis, MN 55440

Rolland L. Blake
U.S. Bureau of Mines
Metallurgy Research Center
Twin Cities, MN 55111

R. L. Bleifuss
U.S. Steel Corporation
Research Laboratory
Coleraine, MN 55722

Hal Bohmer
PPG Industries, Inc.
P. O. Box 31
Barberton, OH 44203

Krell Bosler
Spartek Inc.
1375 Raff Road, SW
Canton, OH 44711

Fred M. Bowers
FMC Corp.
P. O. Box 8
Princeton, NJ 08540

Kenneth W. Boyer
HFF-147
Food & Drug Administration
200 C Street, SW
Washington, DC 20204

Joseph Breen
Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Toxic Substances
401 M Street, SW
Washington, DC 20460

John A. Breslin
U.S. Bureau of Mines
2401 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20241

Philip D. Brick
Pickands Mather & Company
811 Sellwood Building
Duluth, MN 55803

Alan T. Broderick
Inland Steel Mining Company
1701 North Ninth Avenue
Virginia, MN 55792

Harlan Browning
Alpha Portland Cement Company
Alpha Building
Easton, PA 18042

Morley Brownstein
Consumer & Corporate Affairs
Product Safety Branch
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0C9
CANADA

Leonard Bruckman
Department of Environmental Protection
Air Compliance
State Office Building
165 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06115

Merle Bundy
U.S. Steel Corporation
600 Grant St.
Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Edmund M. Buras, Jr.
Harris Research Laboratories
Gillette Research Institute
1413 Research Boulevard
Rockville, MD 20850

M. Raymond Buyce
N. Y. Geological Survey
Education Building Annex
Albany, NY 12186

Peter Buynok
Naval Facilities Engineering
Command
Alexandria, VA 22332

Daniel Cacchione
Portland Cement Association
5420 Old Orchard Road
Skokie, IL 60076

William J. Campbell
U. S. Bureau of Mines
College Park Metallurgy Research Center
College Park, MD 20740

Armand R. Casola
Food & Drug Administration
Bureau of Drugs
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20857

William A. Cawley
Environmental Protection Agency
Mail Code RD680
401 M Street, SW
Washington, DC 20460

James H. Chapman
Consad Research Corporation
121 North Holland Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15206

Eric J. Chatfield
Ontario Research Foundation
Sheridan Park Research Community
Mississauga, Ontario L5K 1B3
CANADA

Chia Ting Chen
OSHA - U.S. Dept. of Labor
Room 602
400 First Street, NW
Washington, DC 20215

Lauren Choate
Interpace Corporation
Mountain View Drive
Willsboro, NY 12996

K. S. Chopra
Union Carbide
4625 Royal Avenue
Niagara Falls, NY 14302

James M. Christie
Vulcan Materials Company
One Metroplex Drive
Box 7497
Birmingham, AL 35223

Frank H. Chung
Sherwin-Williams Company
10909 Cottage Grove Avenue
Chicago, IL 60628

David E. Clapp
Texas A&M University
Department of Industrial Engineering
College Station, TX 77843

Harold Clements
EMSL
Quality Assurance Branch
Cincinnati, OH 45268

Robert A. Clifton
U.S. Bureau of Mines
2401 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20241

Jay Coffman
Luck Quarriers, Inc.
P. O. Box 4682
Richmond, VA 23229

Stuart Cohen
Environmental Protection Agency
Pesticides & Toxic Substances
Enforcement
Mail Code EN-342
401 M Street, SW.
Washington, DC 20460

Richard R. Cole
Harry T. Campbell Sons' Company
100 W. Pennsylvania Avenue
Towson, MD 21204

Benjamin Collins
North American Exploration, Inc.
P. O. Box 7584
Charlottesville, VA 22906

Philip M. Cook
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Environmental Research Laboratory
6201 Congdon Blvd.
Duluth, MN 55804

W. Clark Cooper
Equitable Environmental Health
2180 Milvia Street
Berkeley, CA 94704

Joseph R. Copeland
Interpace Corporation
260 Cherry Hill Road
Parsippany, NJ 07054

R. E. Corcoran
U.S. Bureau of Mines
2401 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20241

James D. Cornell
U.S. Gypsum Company
101 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

Marcel Cossette
Centre for Technology of the Environment
University of Sherbrooke
Sherbrooke, P.Q. J1K 2R1
CANADA

Edwin Cox
Commonwealth Labs, Inc.
P. O. Box 8025
Richmond, VA 23223

Edward Crandall
Maryland State Health Dept.
Division of Employee Health Programs
201 W. Preston St.
Baltimore, MD 21201

Bill Crowley
Maryland Geological Survey
Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, MD 21218

Alan Davidson
O. M. Scott
Research Building
Marysville, OH 43040

Richard L. Davis
Koppers Co., Inc.
1177 Pinewood Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15243

E. K. Davison
National Sand and Gravel Association
900 Spring Street
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Peter DeBruyn
Office of the Director
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

John G. Delly
McCrone Associates
2820 S. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, IL 60616

John M. Dement
National Institute for Occupational
Safety and Health
~~4676 Columbia Parkway~~
Cincinnati, OH 45226

William P. Demery
Special Experimental Programs
U.S. Department of Labor
OSHA, Rm. N-3603
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210

Phillip B. DeNee
NIOSH-AIOSH
944 Chestnut Ridge Road
Morgantown, WV 26505

Robert E. Denham
Asarco, Inc.
120 Broadway
New York, NY 10005

Catherine Dentan
Carborundum Corp.
Res. and Dev. Division
P. O. Box 1054
Niagara Falls, NY 14302

Lois Dicker
Occupational Safety & Health Admin.
Division of Occupational Health
Programming
DOL Rm N3119
200 Constitution Avenue
Washington, DC 20210

Robert H. Dickey
MESA
4800 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Willard C. Dixon
U.S. Department of Labor
Occupational Safety & Health Admin.
390 Wakara Way
Salt Lake City, UT 84117

Ken Doolan
U.S. Coast Guard
400 - 7th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20590

M. J. Doyle
The Hanna Mining Company
100 Erieview Plaza
Cleveland, OH 44114

Ronald G. Draftz
IIT Research Institute
10 West 35th Street
Chicago, IL 60616

Guy F. Driver, Jr.
P. O. Drawer 84
Winston-Salem, NC 27102

James R. Dunn
Dunn Geoscience Corporation
Mountain View Drive
Averill Park, NY 12018

Frank Echelberger
Hooker Chem. & Plastics
P. O. Box 344
Niagara Falls, NY 14302

Richard D. Edsell
U.S. Department of Labor
OSHA, Rm. N-3657
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210

William V. Eisenberg
U.S. Food & Drug Administration
Washington, DC 20234

Michael H. Eisner
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Mines
College Park Metallurgy Research Center
College Park, MD 20740

Samuel Elkin
Temple University
3307 N. Broad Street
Philadelphia, PA 19116

William Ellis
Maryland Occupational Safety and
Health Service
203 East Baltimore Street
Baltimore, MD 21202

John T. Enwright
NL Industries, Inc.
P. O. Box 700
Hightstown, NJ 08520

Edwin S. Erickson, Jr.
Bethlehem Steel Company
Homer Research Laboratories
Bethlehem, PA 18016

John B. Ewell
Food & Drug Administration
Bureau of Biologics
8800 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20014

Lidia Farmer
Gillette Research Institute
1413 Research Boulevard
Rockville, MD 20850

William Fassuliotis
GAF Corporation
1361 Alps Road
Wayne, NJ 07470

Donald R. Ferry
Charles Mathieu, Inc.
18-22 Bank Street
P.O. Box A.M.
Summit, NJ 07901

R. M. Fisher
U.S. Steel Research Laboratory
Monroeville, PA 15146

Jean J. Fitzpatrick
Colorado School of Mines
Research Institute
P. O. Box 112
Golden, CO 80401

Delbert Flowers
U.S. Department of Labor
Room N-3106
200 Constitution Avenue
Washington, DC 20210

A. McM. Foster
Hollingsworth & Vose Company
112 Washington Street
East Walpole, MA 02032

Douglas P. Fowler
Stanford Research Institute
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

James E. Fox
Virginia Aggregates Assn.
2317 Westwood Avenue
Richmond, VA 23230

Kenneth S. Freeman
U.S. Gypsum Company
1000 East Northwest Highway
Des Plaines, IL 60016

Melvin H. Friedman
Food & Drug Administration
HFF 147
200 C Street, SW
Washington, DC 20204

Norman E. Fritsche
Environmental Protection Agency
Annapolis Field Office
Annapolis Science Center
Annapolis, MD 21401

Robert M. Furlong
W.W. Box 13527
Boxley Building
Jefferson Street
Roanoke, VA 24035

Arthur E. Gass
Office of Training and Education
OSHA
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20101

Lois L. Gerchman
Stanford Research Institute International
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

John C. Gifford
Asarco, Inc.
Oak Tree Road & Park Avenue
S. Plainfield, NJ 07080

Gordon J. Gill
Cyprus Industrial Minerals Company
~~555 S. Flower Street~~
32nd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071

J. K. Gilmore
Vermont Asbestos Group, Inc.
P. O. Box 70
Hyde Park, VT 05655

David M. Gioiello
National Aeronautics & Space Admin.
Goddard Space Flight Center
Health Unit, Bldg. 5
Greenbelt, MD 20771

Samuel Goldberg
MESA
4800 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

David Goldheim
Martin Marietta Corporation
1450 S. Rolling Road
Baltimore, MD 21227

Aurel Goodwin
MESA
4015 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22203

Cary Gravatt
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

David Gray
Minnesota Department of Health
717 Delaware Street SE
Minneapolis, MN 55440

Leven B. Gray
Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, MD 20771

Alice L. Greife
NIOSH/ALOSH
944 Chestnut Ridge Road
Morgantown, WV 26505

Joseph R. Gross
Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.
504 North 1st Street
Virginia, MN 55792

Paul Gross
Industrial Health Foundation
5231 Centre Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15232

Richard Gruenbaum
O. M. Scott
Research Building
Marysville, OH 43040

Fred I. Grunder
Bethlehem Steel Corporation
Homer Research Laboratories
Bethlehem, PA 18016

Richard Gullickson
International Minerals & Chemical Corp.
501 E. Lange
Mundelein, IL 60060

Joe A. Gutierrez
Vulcan Materials Company
P. O. Box 4195
Winston-Salem, NC 27105

Robert A. Haagensen
ASARCO, Inc.
Central Research Department
901 Oak Tree Road
South Plainfield, NJ 07080

Janet C. Haartz
NIOSH-MRB
4676 Colorado Pkwy.
Cincinnati, OH 45226

C. Y. Haas
Minerals & Chemicals Division
Engelhard Minerals & Chemicals Corp.
Menlo Park
Edison, NJ 08817

George Haecker
Plastic Engineering Company
Sheboygan, WI 53081

William Harris
Martin Marietta Corporation
1450 S. Rolling Road
Baltimore, MD 21227

Allan M. Harvey
R. T. Vanderbilt Co., Inc.
30 Winfield Street
Norwalk, CT 06855

Robert Hehir
Consumer Products Safety Commission
Westwood Towers Building, Rm. 712
4501 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20207

James Heil
Certain-Teed Corporation
Pipe & Plastics Group
P. O. Box 860
Valley Forge, PA 19482

Kurt F. Heinrich
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Clarence A. Herbst, Sr.
Resinoid Engineering Corporation
3445 Howard Street
Skokie, IL 60076

John Heter
Interpace Corporation
2901 Los Feliz Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90039

John L. S. Hickey
Research Triangle Institute
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Gavin Hildick-Smith
Johnson & Johnson
501 George Street
New Brunswick, NJ 08903

William B. Hillegass
O. M. Scott
Research Building
Marysville, OH 43040

J. A. Hodgeson
Office of Air & Water Measurement
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

John D. Hoffman
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Liselotte Hoggholzer
Armed Forces Institute of Pathology
Washington, DC 20306

Pat Honchar
Preventable Diseases Division
Connecticut State Department of
Health
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06115

Earl G. Hoover
National Crushed Stone Association
1415 Elliot Place, NW
Washington, DC 20007

Emanuel Horowitz
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Arthur J. Huettelman
Personal Products Company
Van Liew Avenue
Milltown, NJ 08850

Charles W. Huggins
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Mines
College Park Metallurgy Research Ctr.
College Park, MD 20740

uth Hughes
Western Health Services Research
213 Varsity Estates Road
Calgary, Alberta T3B 2A1
CANADA

Robert Huntington
Martin Marietta Corporation
450 S. Rolling Road
Baltimore, MD 21227

Daniel W. Hurley
IL Industries, Inc.
P. O. Box 700

Hightstown, NJ 08520

J. Y. Hwang
McGill University
775 University Street
Montreal, Quebec
CANADA

Robert Hylan
SAF Corporation
361 Alps Road
Wayne, NJ 07470

Donald A. Jackson
State of Maryland
Department of Natural Resources
Water Resources Administration
Fawes State Office Bldg.
180 Taylor Avenue
Annapolis, MD 21401

Joseph C. Jackson
A/C Pipe Producers Association
600 Wilson Boulevard
Suite 1308
Arlington, VA 22209

Harry E. Jaworski
OSHA - Chicago Regional Office
190 South Dearborn St.-Rm. 3263
Chicago, IL 60604

Dale Johnson
SAF Corporation
361 Alps Road
Wayne, NJ 07470

Frank Johnson
Armed Forces Institute of Pathology
Washington, DC 20306

H. Bradley Johnson
American Mining Congress
1100 Ring Building
Washington, DC 20036

Patricia C. Johnson
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Ronald B. Johnson
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

David R. Jones
I. I. T. Research Institute
10 West 35th Street
Chicago, IL 60616

Robert D. Joyce
Bethlehem Steel Corporation
Martin Tower, B-252
Bethlehem, PA 18016

Elizabeth Kaegi
Western Health Services Research Ltd.
1213 Varsity Estates Rd., NW
Calgary, Alberta T3B 2X5
CANADA

Eila Kairinen
Gillette Company Research Institute
1413 Research Blvd.
Rockville, MD 20850

David A. Kandel
Kaiser Steel Corporation
P. O. Box 217
Fontana, CA 92335

Mark D. Kaufman
Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan
3100 First National Bank Tower
Atlanta, GA 30303

Martin G. Kemplin
Nicolet, Inc.
Maple Street
Ambler, PA 19002

Charles B. Kenahan
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Mines
College Park Metallurgy Research Ctr.
College Park, MD 20740

Robert J. Kilgour
Armstrong Cork Company
~~Liberty and Charlotte Streets~~
Lancaster, PA 17604

William B. King
Armstrong Cork Company
Suite 205
1666 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

William H. Kirchhoff
Office of Air & Water Measurement
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Diana M. Kirkpatrick
Consumer Product Safety Commission
200 C Street, SW
Washington, DC 20204

Owen H. Kittilstad
CertainTeed Corporation
P. O. Box 860
Valley Forge, PA 19482

Patricia B. Klinger
Kennecott Research Center
P. O. Box 11299
1515 Mineral Square
Salt Lake City, UT 84147

Carl E. Kollmar, Jr.
J. M. Huber Corporation
Route 4, Huber
Macon, GA 31201

C. Kommineni
Department of Health, Education,
& Welfare
NIOSH
1014 Broadway
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Paul Kotin
Johns-Manville Corporation
Ken-Caryl Ranch
Denver, CO 80217

George J. Kraficisin
Kemper/NATLSCO
Long Grove, IL 60049

Sidney Krakauer
Pall Biomedical Products Corporation
30 Sea Cliff Avenue
Glen Cove, NY 11542

James R. Kramer
Department of Geology
McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4M1
CANADA

Jerry Krause
Colorado School of Mines
Research Institute
P. O. Box 112
Golden, CO 80401

Stephen Krop
Food and Drug Administration
Bureau of Drugs
200 C Street, SW
Washington, DC 20204

Edward Kuchar
Olin Corporation
New Haven, CT 06527

Philip D. La Fleur
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

J. F. Lally
U.S. Steel Research Laboratory
Monroeville, PA 15146

Jule K. Lamar
Food and Drug Administration
Bureau of Drugs
5600 Fishers Lane
Room 18-B-31
Rockville, MD 20857

Helen W. Lang
U.S. Bureau of Mines
4800 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Arthur Langer
Environmental Sciences Laboratory
Mt. Sinai Hospital
New York, NY 10029

William L. Lappenbusch
Coordinator, Standards Development
~~Office of Water Supply~~
Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC 20460

Maxwell W. Layard
National Cancer Institute
Landow Building, Rm C318
Bethesda, MD 20014

A. Philip Leber
Battelle - Columbus Laboratories
505 King Avenue
Columbus, OH 43201

George Lee
Applied Research
Johnson & Johnson Baby Products Co.
Route 202
Raritan, NJ 08869

R. J. Lee
U.S. Steel Research Laboratory
Monroeville, PA 15146

Robert Lee
Rogers Corporation
Rogers, CT 06263

James Leineweber
R&D Center
Johns-Manville Corporation
Denver, Colorado 80229

Robert S. Lemire
Reserve Mining Company
Silver Bay, MN 55614

Jacques Lepoutre
Eternit S.A.
2920 Kapelle-op-den-Bos
BELGIUM

Archie Liao
Prince Georges County Health Dept.
10210 Greenbelt Road
Seabrook, MD 20801

Charles Lichtenwalner
Bell Laboratories
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Jeff Limoge
Vermont Asbestos Group
P. O. Box 70
Hyde Park, VT 05655

Burt Linck
The Arundel Corporation
110 West Road
Baltimore, MD 21204

C. M. Llewellyn, Jr.
Lone Star Industries, Inc.
P. O. Box I-J
3011 Dock Street
Richmond, VA 23201

Harold L. Lloyd
Pennsylvania Glass Sand Corp. (ITT)
Berkeley Springs, WV. 25411

Thomas Loch
Clayton Environmental Consultants
25711 Southfield
Southfield, MI 48075

Robert H. Locke
W. R. Grace & Company
62 Whittemore Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02140

Ernest Lory
Civil Engineering Laboratory
CBC, Code L52
Port Hueneme, CA 93043

Howard R. Ludwig
National Institute for Occupational
Safety & Health
Taft Laboratories
4676 Columbia Pkwy
Cincinnati, OH 45226

Bernard S. Mac Cabe
Carborundum Co.
NAO-Water Management Division
P. O. Box 1054
Niagara Falls, NY 14302

John Maciejczyk
Rockwell International
600 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Joseph Mackey
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Joseph A. Macko, Jr.
Army Environmental Hygiene Agency
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Edgewood, MD 21010

Lloyd A. Madsen
Occupational Safety & Health Admin.
Analytical Laboratory
390 Wakara Way
Salt Lake City, UT 84108

Ralph E. Magnuson, Jr.
The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company
1460 Union Commerce Building
Cleveland, OH 44115

S. E. Malovrh
The Hanna Mining Company
100 Erieview Plaza
Cleveland, OH 44114

Roland E. Manger
Harry T. Campbell Sons' Company
100 W. Pennsylvania Ave.
Towson, MD 21204

Gregg Manning
Diamond Shamrock Corporation
T. R. Evans Research Center
P. O. Box 348
Painesville, OH 44077

Thomas J. Manthey
Pickands Mather & Company
1100 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114

John Marsh
Raybestos Manhattan Inc.
100 Oakview Drive
Trumbull, CT 06611

Eugene Martin
EDAX International, Inc.
157 Colique Avenue
New Rochelle, NY 10801

Robert F. Martin
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

John F. Martonik
U.S. Department of Labor
Occupational Safety & Health
Administration
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210

Brian Mason
Mineral Sciences
Smithsonian Institution
Washington, DC 20560

Woodrow W. Massad
Continental Oil Company
Box 1267
Ponca, OK 74601

Darrell K. Mattheis
Occupational Safety and Health Agency
Room N 3603
200 Constitution Avenue
Washington, DC 20210

John Matwey
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Arthur McClaughian
MESA
9 Mayfair Drive
Slingerlands, NY 12159

Lottie McClendon
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Ray McClure
Division of Occupational Health
U.S. Department of Labor
Occupational Safety & Health Admin.
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210

Brian McCoy
The Arundel Corporation
110 West Road
Baltimore, MD 21204

Ronald McCullen
Travelers Insurance Co.
248 CP Lab
1 Tower Sq.
Hartford, CT 06115

Phillip P. McGrath
Bureau of Biologics
Food and Drug Administration
8800 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20014

Douglas McLean
Stauffer Chemical Co.
Eastern Research Center
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522

James F. McVeigh
American Can Company
U.S. Highway 22
Union, NJ 07083

Carl W. Melton
Battelle, Columbus Laboratories
505 King Avenue
Columbus, OH 43201

Eric S. Mendelsohn
Air Pollution & Noise Control
Department of Environmental Protection
of Montgomery County
Room 340
6110 Executive Building
Rockville, MD 20852

Oscar Menis
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

J. C. Meranger
Environmental Health Center
Health & Welfare
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0L2
CANADA

Dennis Michalski
International Minerals & Chem. Corp
IMC Plaza
Libertyville, IL 60048

A. P. Middleton
Institute of Occupational Medicine
Roxburgh Place
Edinburgh, EH8 9SU
SCOTLAND

W. J. Miles
Industrial Mineral Ventures, Inc.
5920 McIntyre Street
Golden, CO 80401

Roger N. Miller
Windsor Minerals, Inc.
P. O. Box 680
Windsor, VT 05089

James R. Millette
Environmental Protection Agency
HERL
26 West St. Clair Street
Cincinnati, OH 45268

Robert J. Moolenaar
Dow Chemical Company
1702 Building
Midland, MI 48640

John A. Moore
National Institute of Environmental
Health Sciences
P. O. Box 12233
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Sanders Moore
Olin Corporation
P. O. Box 248
Charleston, TN 37311

Robert E. Morgan
Virginia Division of Mines
and Quarries
908 Branchwater Street
Fredericksburg, VA 22401

Bruce Morrissey
Office of the Director
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

J. Fraser Morton
Congoleum Corporation
2700 Emory Road
Finksburg, MD 21048

Wolfgang Mueller
Newmont Exploration Limited
44 Briar Ridge Road
Danbury, CT 06810

Frederick A. Mumpton
State University College
Department of the Earth Sciences
Brockport, NY 14420

Ronald A. Munson
Bureau of Mines
2401 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20241

Jack C. Murchio
School of Public Health
University of California -
Berkeley
Earl Warren Hall
Berkeley, CA 94720

Charles T. Myers
U.S. Steel Corporation
Room 6044
600 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Andrew J. Nawa
Mining Enforcement & Safety Admin.
4800 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Harry Naylor
Moore & Munger
140 Sherman Street
Fairfield, CT 06430

Dale Newberry
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Marvin W. Nichols, Jr.
MESA
130 North Arlington St.
Salisbury, NC 28144

Miron Nichols
MESA
4800 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

W. Nicholson
Environmental Sciences Laboratory
Mt. Sinai Hospital
New York, NY 10029

Clifford W. Niemi
U.S. Steel Corporation
Minnesota Ore Operations
P. O. Box 417
Mountain Iron, MN 55768

Gordon L. Nord, Jr.
U.S. Geological Survey
959 National Center
Reston, VA 22092

Robert E. Norwood
Pfizer, Inc.
640 N. 13th Street
P. O. Box 548
Easton, PA 18042

Andrew F. Oberta
A.F. Oberta Consulting Services
1200 Quince Orchard Boulevard
Gaithersburg, MD 20760

John O'Conner
PPG Industries, Inc.
1 Gateway Center
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Trevor L. Ogden
Health and Safety Executive
~~403 Edgware Road~~
London, NW2 6LN
ENGLAND

David McNeil Olds
Read, Smith, Shaw & McClay
747 Union Trust Bldg.
Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Lawrence W. Ortiz
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
P. O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87544

Donald G. Oss
Hanna Mining Company
2125 Second Avenue, East
Box 218
Hibbing, MN 55746

T. Dixon Oulton
Engelhard Minerals & Chemicals Corp.
Menlo Park
Edison, NJ 08817

Lalita D. Palekar
Northrop Services, Inc.
P. O. Box 12313
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Thomas Pang
Industry of Environment
Laboratory Services
French Resource Road
Islington, Rexdale, Ontario
CANADA

Ed Parkison
Martin Marietta Corporation
1450 S. Rolling Road
Baltimore, MD 21227

C. J. Parmentier
Cyprus Industrial Minerals Company
555 S. Flower Street
32nd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Premysl V. Pelnar
Institute of Occupational and
Environmental Health
Suite 603, 3 Place Ville Marie
Montreal, Quebec H3B 2E3
CANADA

Edward T. Peters
Arthur D. Little, Inc.
15 Acorn Park
Cambridge, MA 02140

Daryl E. Peterson
Occupational Safety & Health Admin.
Analytical Laboratory
390 Wakara Way
Salt Lake City, UT 84108

Terry Philippi
IITRI
10 West 35th Street
Chicago, IL 60616

John A. Pickerell
Inhalation Toxicology Research Inst.
Loveless Biomedical & Environmental
Research Institute
P. O. Box 5890
Albuquerque, NM 87116

Bob J. Pigg
Asbestos Information Association
1835 K Street, NW
Suite 402
Washington, DC 20006

F. D. Pooley
Johnson & Johnson
501 George Street
New Brunswick, NJ 08903

Warren Porter
Consumer Product Safety Comm.
Fed. Bldg. 8, Rm 5844
200 C Street, SW
Washington, DC 20204

Gene Proctor
National Aeronautics & Space
Administration
600 Independence Avenue
Code QG
Washington, DC 20546

David Pytynja
Nalco Chemical Company
6216 West 66th Place
Chicago, IL 60638

Don Quilici
Hanford Environmental Health Foundation
P. O. Box 100
Richmond, WA 99352

Pamela P. Quinn
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
4th and M Streets, SW
Waterside Mall
Washington, DC 20460

Paul Radauskas
City of Rockville
111 Maryland Avenue
Rockville, MD 20850

Lewis Raymond
MESA
4800 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Curt W. Reimann
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Harrison B. Rhodes
Union Carbide Corporation
Metals Division
P. O. Box 579
4625 Royal Avenue
Niagara Falls, NY 14302

Richard W. Richards
Pennsylvania Glass Sand Corp.
SR 522, North
Berkeley Springs, WV 25411

Roger Riley
Interpace Corporation
3502 Breakwater Court
Hayward, CA 94545

Courtney Riordan
Environmental Protection Agency
RD-682

401 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20460

Malcolm L. Roberts
Trudeau Institute, Inc.
Box 59
Saranac Lake, NY 12983

Fred J. Rogers
Gypsum Association
1603 Orrington Avenue
Evanston, IL 60201

F. Robert Rolle
Johnson & Johnson
501 George Street
New Brunswick, NJ 08903

Harry L. Rook
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Richard H. Rosenberg
Borg Warner Research Center
Wolf & Algonquin Road
Des Plaines, IL 60091

Joan R. Rosenblatt
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Malcolm Ross
U.S. Geological Survey
National Center 959
Reston, VA 22092

Robert G. Rucker
Congoleum Corporation
2700 Emory Road
Finksburg, MD 21048

Clyde L. Russell
Kerr-McGee Corporation
P. O. Box 25861
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

Clayton O. Ruud
Denver Research Institute
University of Denver
Denver, CO 80208

A. H. Samuel
Reserve Mining Company
Silver Bay, MN 55614

Joseph K. Samuels
Republic Steel Corporation
6801 Brecksville Road
Independence, OH 44131

David G. Sarvadi
Sherwin-Williams Company
101 Prospect Avenue, NW
Cleveland, OH 44115

James A. Saunders
Bureau of Toxic Substance Info.
Virginia Dept. of Health
109 Gov. Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Jim W. Scales
J. M. Huber Corporation
Route 4 Huber
Macon, GA 31201

Mary Lee Schaefer
Employers Insurance of Wausau
2000 Westwood Drive
Wausau, WI 54401

John P. Schelz
Johnson & Johnson
501 George Street
New Brunswick, NJ 08903

Wayne L. Schiffelbein
U.S. Consumer Product Safety
Commission
111 - 18th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20207

Marvin Schneiderman
National Cancer Institute
National Institutes of Health
Landow Building
Rm. C403
Bethesda, MD 20014

Richard F. Scholl
~~Fine Particles Research Section~~
IIT Research Institute
10 West 35th Street
Chicago, IL 60616

George Schwartzman
Food & Drug Administration
Code HFD 402
501 First Street, SE
Washington, DC 20204

J. M. Shackelford
Environmental Protection Agency
401 M Street
Mail Code RD680
Washington, DC 20460

Peter Shaffer
Carborundum Company
P. O. Box 1054
Niagara Falls, NY 14302

Raymond Shapiro
NIEHS
P. O. Box 12233
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

George Sharpe
Medical Office
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Lawrence E. Shirley
U.S. Bureau of Mines
Liaison Office
P. O. Box 2828
Raleigh, NC 27602

George R. Simon
Health Effects Div. (RD 683)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
401 M. Street, SW
Washington, DC 20460

Jon Simplicio
Allied Chemical Corporation
P. O. Box 1057R
Morristown, NJ 07960

S. B. Singer
State Department of Health
& Environmental Control
Air Bureau
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, SC 29201

Fred J. Sisson
GSA - Accident & Fire Prevention
Branch (3POA)
7th & D Streets, SW
Room 7712
Washington, DC 20407

Henry J. Smahlk
Dresser Industries, Inc.
Oilfield Products Group
601 Jefferson
Houston, TX 77002

John A. Small
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

William E. Smith
Health Research Institute
Fairleigh Dickinson University
Madison, NJ 07940

Vernon R. Snyder
The General Crushed Stone Company
P. O. Box 231
Easton, PA 18042

James A. Soles
Canada Center for Mineral
& Energy Technology (CANMET)
405 Rochester Street
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0G1
CANADA

Harold Stanley
Pfizer, Inc.
M.P.M. Division
P. O. Box 548
Easton, PA 18042

Mearl F. Stanton
National Cancer Institute
National Institutes of Health
Laboratory of Pathology
Bethesda, MD 20014

David N. Staples
Industrial Hygiene Section
Office of Chief of Naval
Operations
Occupational Safety & Health Div.
(OP-45)
The Pentagon
Washington, DC 20350

John T. Stasny
Structure Probe, Inc.
535 East Gay Street
West Chester, PA 19380

Eric B. Steel
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Mines
College Park Metallurgy Research Center
College Park, MD 20740

John Steelack
U.S. Department of Labor
OSHA/Rm. N-3657
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210

Arland Stein
747 Union Trust Building
Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Robert J. Stevenson
Department of Geology & Geophysics
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55414

Ian M. Stewart
Walter C. McCrone Assoc., Inc.
2820 S. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, IL 60616

R. L. Stoffer
Standard Oil Research Center
P. O. Box 400
Mail Station B-3
Naperville, IL 60540

Dr. Sundaram
Department of National Health
Ottawa, Ontario
CANADA

Joseph A. Sutton
U.S. Bureau of Mines
2401 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20241

L. W. Swent
Homestake Mining Company
650 California Street
9th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108

Reginald Sykes
Asbestos Research Council
GBA Industrial
P. O. Box 40
Rochdale, Lancaster OL12 7EQ
ENGLAND

Nancy Tait
Royal Commission on Distribution of
Wealth & Income
38 Drapers Road
Enfield, EN2 8LU
ENGLAND

John K. Taylor
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Linda Lee Taylor
Food and Drug Administration
Bureau of Foods
200 C Street, SW
Washington, DC 20204

Patricia Taylor
General Motors Corporation
G.M. Technical Center
Rm. 3230 Administrative Labs.
12 Mile & Mound Road
Warren, MI 48093

John W. Thatcher
MESA
Denver Technical Support Center
P. O. Box 25367
Denver Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225

C. S. Thompson
R. T. Vanderbilt Co., Inc.
30 Winfield Street
Norwalk, CT 06855

E. June Thompson
Consumer Product Safety Commission
Rm. 700, 5401 Westbard Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20207

George E. Thompson
DHEW/PHS/FDA/BF
Epidemiology Unit, HFF-108
200 C Street, SW
Washington, DC 20204

Richard Thompson
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Thomas Tomp
MESA
4800 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

James G. Topper
Rockville Crushed Stone, Inc.
P. O. Box 407
13900 Piney Meeting House Road
Rockville, MD 20850

Priestley Toulmin, III
U.S. Geological Survey
959 National Center
Reston, VA 22092

Kenneth Trabert
Environmental Protection Agency
(WH550)
401 M Street
Washington, DC 20460

Michael P. Trainor
MESA
4800 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Emil J. Triglia
Engelhard Minerals & Chemicals Corp.
Menlo Park
Edison, NJ 08817

L. C. Turnock
American Iron Ore Association
1501 Euclid Avenue
514 Bulkley Building
Cleveland, OH 44115

Lansing Tuttle
Warren Brothers Co.
675 Massachusetts Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

T. S. Twardowski
Department of Labor
OSHA/Rm. N3657
200 Constitution Avenue
Washington, DC 20210

Steve Urman
Federal Railroad Administration
2100 - 2nd Street, SW
Washington, DC 20590

John T. Vandeberg
DeSoto, Inc.
1700 S. Mount Prospect Road
Des Plaines, IL 60018

Peter G. Veeder
Thorp, Reed & Armstrong
2900 Grant Building
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Dave K. Verma
Occupational Health & Safety Div.
Government of Alberta
9820 - 106 Street
Edmonton, Alberta, T5K 2J6
CANADA

Richard Viau
Department of Consumer &
Corporate Affairs
Standards Laboratory Building
Runney's Pasture
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0C0
CANADA

Robert L. Virta
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Mines
College Park Metallurgy Res. Ctr.
College Park, MD 20740

Joseph Volk
Gold Bond Building Products Div.
National Gypsum Company
1650 Military Road
Buffalo, NY 14217

John B. Wade
Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc.
580 Walnut Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Jack Wagman
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Philip Waitkus
Plastic Engineering Company
Sheboygan, WI 53081

Daman S. Walia
IIT Research Institute
10 W 35th Street
Chicago, IL 60616

John L. Warren
Research Triangle Institute
Cornwallis Road
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

William V. Warren
Occupational Safety & Health Admin.
Room N3660
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210

James R. Weber
The Bendix Corporation
Executive Offices, Bendix Center
Southfield, MI 48076

Richard J. Wedlund
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
Division of Air Quality
1935 W. Co. Road, B-2
Roseville, MN 55113

John M. Wehrung
EMV Associates, Inc.
15825 Shady Grove Road
Rockville, MD 20850

S. Weiss
The Flintkote Company
365 West Passaic Street
Rochelle Park, NJ 07062

John F. Welch
A/C Pipe Producers Association
1600 Wilson Boulevard
Suite 1308
Arlington, VA 22209

John Wenniger
Bureau of Biologics
Food and Drug Administration
8800 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20014

Lewis F. Wheatley
Virginia Division of Mines
Drawer V
Big Stone Gap, VA 24219

Judith A. Whelan
Air Pollution & Noise Control
Department of Environmental Protection
of Montgomery County
Room 340
6110 Executive Boulevard
Rockville, MD 20852

Benjamin D. White
Assistant Secretary for Health
Department of Health and Mental
Hygiene
201 West Preston Street
Baltimore, MD 21201

Lowell D. White
ASARCO Incorporated
3422 South 700 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84119

James Whitney
Environmental Protection Agency
401 M Street, SW
Mail Code RD680
Washington, DC 20460

Diane Whittier
University of Pittsburgh
G.S.P.H.
130 DeSoto Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15261

John R. Whyte
The Anaconda Company
P. O. Box 27007
Tucson, AZ 85726

Peter C. Wight
Environmental Quality Control Div.
B-252MT
Ind. Relations Department
Bethlehem Steel Corporation
Bethlehem, PA 18016

A. A. Winer
Centre for Tech. of the Environment
University of Sherbrooke
Sherbrooke, P.Q. J1K 2R1
CANADA

Allen Winer
Naval Ship Engineering Center
Crystal City NC-2 (6101E)
Washington, DC 20360

Steven Winzer
Martin Marietta Corporation
1450 S. Rolling Road
Baltimore, MD 21227

George J. Wolfe
JEOL (USA), Inc.
101 Colonial Drive
Irwin, PA 15642

Vladimir E. Wolkodoff
 Johns-Manville Corporation
 Research and Development Center
 Denver, CO 80217

Gilbert H. Wood
 Environmental Protection Agency
 OAQPS, ESED, ISB, SSS (MD-13)
 Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Sandra Worblewski
 Kemper/NATLSCO
 Long Grove, IL 60049

George W. Wright
 460 S. Marion Parkway
 Denver, CO 80209

Gale D. Wyer
 Consumer Product Safety Comm.
 Directorate for Eng. and Science
 200 C Street, SW
 Room 5844
 Washington, DC 20204

Ann G. Wylie
 Department of Geology
 University of Maryland
 H.J. Patterson Hall
 College Park, MD 20740

Julie C. Yang
 W. R. Grace & Company
 62 Whittemore Avenue
 Cambridge, MA 02140

Brian Yawney
 Ontario Research Foundation
 Sheraton Park
 Mississauga, Ontario L5K 1B3
 CANADA

J. E. Zatek
 Mine Safety Appliances
 600 Penn Center Blvd.
 Pittsburgh, PA 15235

Carolyn Zelek
 Ford Motor Company
 Rotundo Blvd., Building 5
 Dearborn, MI 48121


F. H. Zimmerman
 National Gypsum Company
 4100 First International Building
 Dallas, TX 75270

Tibor Zoltai
 Department of Geology and
 Geophysics
 University of Minnesota
 Minneapolis, MN 55455

Richard M. Zomnir
 Thorp, Reed & Armstrong
 2900 Grant Building
 Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Jack Zussman
 Department of Geology
 University of Manchester
 Manchester M13 9PL
 ENGLAND

Final Program and Abstracts



Workshop on Asbestos: Definitions and Measurement Methods

July 18-20, 1977

Sponsored by:

National Bureau of Standards
U.S. Department of Commerce

Occupational Safety and Health Administration
U.S. Department of Labor

ASB 0004044

WORKSHOP ON
ASBESTOS: DEFINITIONS AND MEASUREMENT METHODS
National Bureau of Standards
Gaithersburg, Md.
July 18-20, 1977

Sponsored by NBS and OSHA

FINAL PROGRAM

Abstract
Page

Sunday, July 17, 1977

7:00-
9:00 p.m. Registration and Informal Reception
--Sheraton-Silver Spring Hotel

Monday, July 18, 1977

8:00 a.m. Buses leave from Hotel (Breakfast available in
NBS cafeteria)

8:30 a.m. Registration and Coffee

9:15 a.m. Purpose and Procedures of Workshop
Philip D. LaFleur, Chief
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards

I. Mineralogical Aspects: Chairman - Brian Mason,
Smithsonian Institution
Washington, D.C.

9:30 a.m. History of Asbestos-Related Mineralogical
Terminology

8

Tibor Zoltai
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota

10:05 a.m. Fibrous and Asbestiform Minerals

9

J. R. Kramer
McMaster University
Ontario, Canada

10:40 a.m. Coffee

11:00 a.m. Minerals Commonly Occurring in the Earth's
Crust that Might be Defined as Asbestos by
Various Regulatory Agencies 10

Malcolm Ross
U.S. Geological Survey
Reston, Virginia

11:35 a.m. X-ray Crystallographic Structure of Minerals
Related to Asbestos 11

Jack Zussman
University of Manchester
Manchester, England

12:10 p.m. General Discussion of Mineralogical Definitions

1:00 p.m. Lunch

II. Relationship between Chemical and Physical Properties and
Health Effects: Chairman - Marvin Schneiderman
NCI, NIH
Bethesda, Maryland

2:00 p.m. Epidemiological Evidence on Asbestos 12

I. Selikoff, W. Nicholson, and A. Langer
Mt. Sinai Hospital
New York, New York

2:35 p.m. Measurement of Asbestos Retention in Humans
Related to Health Effects 13 & 14

J. Bignon and P. Sebastien and
Université Paris A. Gaudichet
Centre Hospitalier Direction Départementale
Intercommunal des Affaires Sanitaires
France et Sociales
France

3:10 p.m. Review of Epidemiological Evidence of the
Effect of Types of Asbestos and Fiber
Dimensions on the Production of Diseases in Man 15

Clark Cooper
Equitable Environmental Health, Inc.
Berkeley, California

3:45 p.m. Coffee

Abstract
Page

4:00 p.m. Pathophysiology in Relation to the Chemical
and Physical Properties of Fibers 16

Paul Kotin
Johns-Manville Corporation
Denver, Colorado

4:35 p.m. The Carcinogenicity of Fibrous Minerals 17

Mearl F. Stanton and Maxwell Layard
NCI, NIH
Bethesda, Maryland

5:10 p.m. General Discussion of Health Effects

6:00 p.m. Buses leave NBS for Hotel

7:00 p.m. Workshop Buffet

Tuesday, July 19, 1977

8:00 a.m. Buses leave from Hotel (Breakfast available
in NBS cafeteria)

8:30 a.m. Buses leave from Hotel

9:00 a.m. Coffee

Relationship Between Chemical and Physical Properties and Health
Effects (continued)

9:15 a.m. Effects of Ingested Asbestos 18

R.D. Pontefract
Dept. of Health and Welfare
Ottawa, Canada

9:50 a.m. NIEHS-Oral Asbestos Studies 42

J.A. Moore and Dave Jones
NIEHS IITRI
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina Chicago, Illinois

10:25 a.m. EPA Study of Biological Effects of Asbestos-
Like Mineral Fibers 19 & 20

Lalita Palekar and David L. Coffin
Northrop Services Inc. EPA Health Effects
Research Triangle Park Research Lab.
North Carolina Research Triangle Park
North Carolina

10:40 a.m. Coffee *Buffet - Connected*

11:00 a.m. General Discussion of Health Effects

Phil Dineen - NIOSH
III. Analytical Methods: Chairman - K. Heinrich,
Analytical Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards

12:00 p.m. Identification of Selected Silicate
Minerals and Their Asbestiform Varieties 21

William Campbell
Bureau of Mines
College Park, Maryland

12:30 Electron Microscopy Methods 22 & 23

Clayton A. Ruud
Denver Research Institute
University of Denver
Denver, Colorado

1:00 p.m. Lunch

2:00 p.m. TEM Methods 24

Ian Stewart
Walter C. McCrone Associates, Inc.
Chicago, Illinois

2:30 p.m. Mineral Fiber Identification Using the
Analytical Transmission Electron Microscope 25 & 26

D.R. Beaman and H.J. Walker
Dow Chemical Co.
Midland, Michigan

3:00 p.m. Identification of Asbestos by Polarized
Light Microscopy 27

John Delly
Walter C. McCrone Associates, Inc.
Chicago, Illinois

3:30 p.m. Coffee

3:50 p.m. Statistics and Interpretation of
Analytical Results 28

James Leineweber
Johns-Manville Corporation
Denver, Colorado

4:20 p.m. Selection and Characterization of Fibrous
and Non-Fibrous Amphiboles for Analytical
Methods Development 29

Janet C. Haartz and Ron G. Draftz
B.A. Lange R. Scholl
NIOSH IITRI
Cincinnati, Ohio Chicago, Illinois

4:50 p.m. Asbestiform Minerals in Industrial Talc:
Commercial Definitions Versus Industrial
Hygiene Reality 30

John M. Dement
NIOSH-NRB
Cincinnati, Ohio

5:20 p.m. The Detection and Identification of Asbestos
and Asbestiform Minerals In Talc 31

Harold D. Stanley and Robert E. Norwood
Pfizer, Inc.
Easton, Pennsylvania

5:50 p.m. Misidentification of Asbestos in Talc 32

Jerome B. Krause and William H. Ashton
Colorado School of Johnson & Johnson
Mines New Jersey
Research Institute

6:20 p.m. Buses leave for Hotel

Wednesday, July 20, 1977

8:00 a.m. Buses leave from Hotel (Breakfast available
in NBS cafeteria)

8:30 a.m. Buses leave from Hotel

9:00 a.m. Coffee

Analytical Methods (continued)

9:30 a.m. Ambient Air Monitoring for Chrysotile in the U.S. 33

Richard Thompson
EPA
Research Triangle Park, N.C.

10:00 a.m. The Environmental Protection Agency Interim
Method for Determining Asbestos in Water 34

Charles H. Anderson
EPA
Athens, Georgia

10:30 a.m. Coffee

10:50 a.m. ASTM E-4 Report 35

K.S. Chopra
Union Carbide
Niagara Falls, New York

11:05 a.m. The Standard for Occupational Exposure to
Asbestos being Considered by ASTM Committee
E-34 36

M. Cassette and A.A. Winer
University of Sherbrooke
Quebec, Canada

11:20 a.m. Important Considerations in the Identification
and Counting of Mineral Fragments 37

R.J. Lee, J.F. Lolly, and Robert M. Fisher
U.S. Steel Research Lab.
Monroeville, PA

11:35 a.m. General Discussion of Analytical Methods
and Problems

12:30 p.m. Lunch

IV. Regulatory Aspects: Chairman - John Martonik,
OSHA
Washington, D.C.

② 1:30 p.m. MESA Regulations and Methods 38

Aurel Goodwin
MESA
Arlington, Virginia

③ 2:00 p.m. OSHA Regulations and Methods 39

Willard C. Dixon
OSHA
Salt Lake City, Utah

④ 2:30 p.m. FDA Projects and Methods 40

Irvin Asher, John Wenniger and
Philip McGrath
FDA
Washington, D.C.

① 3:00 p.m. CPSC Regulations and Methods 43

Robert Hehir
CPSC
Washington, D.C.

3:30 p.m. Coffee

3:50 p.m. Impact of Asbestos Regulations on the
Mining Industry 41

C.S. Thompson
Vanderbilt Co.
East Norwalk, Connecticut

4:20 p.m. General Discussion of Regulations

5:30 p.m. Buses leave NBS for Airports and Hotel

HISTORY OF ASBESTOS-RELATED
MINERALOGICAL TERMINOLOGY

Tibor Zoltai
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Asbestos pollution is a major health problem and its solution requires an extensive cooperation between several distinct scientific disciplines. A more effective interdisciplinary communication and more successful cooperation could be assured if we eliminate the current,

implicative misinterpretations of the asbestos-related mineralogical terminology. The erroneous use of terms like *asbestos*, *asbestiform*, *fiber* and *fibrous* is not only contrary to mineralogical traditions and definitions but also affects the effectiveness of our scientific language to describe the structural characteristics of the various mineral particles considered and to understand their potentially harmful physical-chemical properties.

The character of mineralogical concepts and the definitions of the asbestos-related mineralogical terms will be explained through their development in the history of scientific mineralogy. An attempt will be made to demonstrate that the relevant mineralogical terms are unambiguous, inspite of the seemingly confusing and extensive list of "asbestos-mineral" names introduced throughout the various evolutionary phases of mineralogy. The essential concepts and criteria associated with these terms will be summarized.

FIBROUS AND ASBESTIFORM MINERALS

J.R. Kramer
McMaster University
Ontario, Canada

The terms asbestos, asbestiform, and fibrous are used in overlapping definitions on many occasions. Often it is impossible to differentiate between the terms at the electron microscopic level. Lack of differentiation requires that a large number of minerals common in the earth's crust be included in a group definition. In the attempt to further define this group of minerals, electron diffraction analysis is carried out on a "finger print" basis and elemental analysis is carried out. These two techniques in the general environmental case are often not sufficient enough to characterize the mineral species. And it is not clear what relationship can be demonstrated between the analyses and environmental effects once a characterization is made. Other factors relating to surface details are suggested as being important in the study of fibrous minerals in context to environmental concern. Surface composition, surface charge and crystallographic modifications relative to mineral orientation are proposed as tools to define relationships of mineralogy to environmental effects.

MINERALS COMMONLY OCCURRING IN THE EARTH'S CRUST THAT MIGHT BE
DEFINED AS ASBESTOS BY VARIOUS REGULATORY AGENCIES

Malcolm Ross
U.S. Geological Survey
Reston, Virginia

Chrysotile, amosite, crocidolite, anthophyllite, tremolite, and actinolite particles having length $> 5\mu\text{m}$, diameter $< 5\mu\text{m}$, and length-to-width ≥ 3 , are presently defined by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration as "asbestos" (U.S. Federal Register, October 9, 1975, p. 47652,47660).

These minerals form complex chemical series and a variety of crystal structures and commonly have such ambiguous names that the common non-fibrous amphiboles hornblende and cummingtonite may be included within the definition. The drafting and/or application of asbestos regulations by those unappreciative of these complexities of rock-forming silicates may cause many igneous and metamorphic rocks to be considered "asbestos-bearing."

X-RAY CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC STRUCTURE OF MINERALS RELATED TO ASBESTOS

Jack Zussman
University of Manchester
England

The crystal structures of the two main asbestos-forming minerals, the amphiboles and serpentines, are surprisingly very different. The amphiboles are "chain silicates" in which SiO_4 tetrahedra are linked to form bands four tetrahedra wide and of very great length. These bands run parallel to the asbestos fiber axis and are linked laterally by cations, mainly Ca and Mg in tremolite; Na, Mg and Fe in crocidolite; Mg and Fe in amosite and anthophyllite. The tempting correlation of the chain unit of crystal structure with asbestiform nature is, however, too facile. Many amphiboles are not asbestiform, and as the serpentine minerals show, some asbestiform minerals do not have a chain structure.

The serpentine minerals are "layered silicates" in which SiO_4 tetrahedra are linked to form thin sheets of great lateral extent. The tetrahedra all point in the same direction and their apical oxygens are part of an $(\text{O},\text{OH})\text{-Mg-(OH)}$ sheet which is itself formed by $\text{Mg-(O},\text{OH)}$ octahedra. Thus the fundamental serpentine layer is polar and has a tetrahedral and octahedral component. The mismatch in dimensions of these two components generally leads to curvature of the layers and in chrysotile asbestos the layers form either scrolls or concentric cylinders with very high length/breadth ratio and with length parallel to the fiber axis. Other forms of serpentine, however, with chemistry very similar to that of chrysotile do not exhibit asbestiform morphology.

EPIDEMIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE ON ASBESTOS

I. Selikoff, W. Nicholson and A. Langer

Mt. Sinai Hospital
New York, New York

Data on the human health effects from occupational and environmental exposure to asbestos will be presented with special emphasis on the role of different asbestos minerals. Further, human tissue burdens of fibers and their association with asbestos related diseases will be discussed. Experimental animal data from various species and utilizing different routes of administration will also be presented, again with emphasis on differing fiber types.

MEASUREMENT OF ASBESTOS RETENTION IN
HUMANS RELATED TO HEALTH EFFECTS

J. Bignon
Université Paris-Val de Marne
Institut de Recherche sur l'Environnement
Centre Hospitalier Intercommunal
94010 Créteil, France

and

P. Sébastien and A. Gaudichet
Direction Départementale des Affaires Sanitaires et Sociales
75013 Paris, France

The retention pattern of particulate pollutants in humans is related to four mechanisms: penetration into the body, deposition on the surface of epithelial cells, intra-tissular translocation and clearance. Knowledge of such retention patterns for people exposed to asbestos dusts could provide useful information concerning the role of these mechanisms and the pathogenicity of fibers.

So, a biological monitoring program for asbestos has been carried out. This program, still in progress, involves measurement of asbestos burden in several kinds of biological samples ("in vivo" and "post mortem" samples) from people diversely exposed to asbestos dusts and affected by various asbestos-related diseases.

So far, most of the samples studied were from the respiratory tract: sputum, broncho-alveolar washing fluid, lung parenchyma, lymph nodes, parietal pleura and pleural fluid. Asbestos burden in these samples has been assessed by light and electron microscopy. In each sample, asbestos fibers, identified as chrysotile or amphiboles, have been counted and measured (length and diameter).

It has been assumed that asbestos fibers found in broncho-alveolar washing fluid were related to the deposited fraction of the inhaled aerosol whereas those found in sputum seemed more related to clearance of fibers from the lung.

The search for asbestos bodies in sputum has been found to be a good means to detect past occupational exposure, whereas TEM size fibers were present in sputum of some cases environmentally exposed.

The measurement program in tissue samples has been principally designed to compare asbestos burden in lung parenchyma, lymph nodes and parietal pleura. Concentration, type and size distribution of fibers encountered in such samples could be accurately assessed. Detailed analytical data will be given in the final document. Regarding asbestos burden in respiratory tissues, the results can be summarized as follows:

- Despite the fact that most of the consumed asbestos is of chrysotile type, the burden of amphibole in lung parenchyma was much more important in most cases.

- Most of fibers retained in lung tissues were less than 0.5 μ m in diameter and shorter than 5 μ m.

- Differences in size distribution according to the type of tissue samples have been observed.

- There was no evident correlation between fiber concentration in lung parenchyma and fiber concentration in parietal pleura.

- While was found a mixture of amphibole and chrysotile fibers in lung parenchyma, it seemed that amphibole-type fibers moved preferentially towards mediastinal lymph nodes and chrysotile type fibers towards parietal pleura.

The signification of these data, concerning the topographic variation in the fiber type and size, will be discussed in relationship with adverse health effects, particularly cancerogenesis.

REVIEW OF EPIDEMIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE OF THE EFFECT OF TYPES OF
ASBESTOS AND FIBER DIMENSIONS ON THE PRODUCTION OF DISEASES IN MAN

W. Clark Cooper
Equitable Environmental Health, Inc.
Berkeley, California

There is epidemiologic evidence to indicate that all types of commercial asbestos, i.e., chrysotile, crocidolite, amosite, tremolite, and anthophyllite, when inhaled, can cause pulmonary fibrosis and increase the risk of lung cancer. All but anthophyllite have been associated with malignant mesothelial tumors. There is also strong evidence to support a decreasing gradient of pathogenicity as one proceeds from crocidolite to amosite to chrysotile, but this evidence does not clearly rule out the interrelated influence of fiber dimension, shape, and co-factors.

Clear-cut epidemiologic evidence related to differing fiber dimensions is scanty. Such information is critically needed. The most pressing need is to determine the pathogenicity of ultrafine fibers in the electron-microscope size range, particularly those shorter than 3 micrometers, whether inhaled or ingested. Methods for epidemiologic study will be suggested.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY IN RELATION TO THE CHEMICAL
AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF FIBERS

Paul Kotin, M.D.
Johns-Manville Corporation
Denver, Colorado

The array of asbestos-related diseases are reviewed in relation to their pathogenesis, pathology, and natural history. Biological availability following host entry is especially critical for the biological effect of asbestos. Experimental data consistently demonstrate that hazard is related to the geometry of fibers, with fiber diameter and

fiber length being primary determinants. Controversy exists as to the extent of influence of the two major classes of asbestos fiber: chrysotile and amphibole. Considerations affecting the anatomic and metabolic fate of asbestos fibers are also discussed.

THE CARCINOGENICITY OF FIBROUS MINERALS

Mearl F. Stanton and Maxwell Layard
National Cancer Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

The carcinogenicities of 37 different dimensional distributions of seven different durable fibrous materials have been correlated with fiber dimension. Optimum carcinogenicity is attained with fibers that measure $\leq 0.25 \mu\text{m} \times > 8 \mu\text{m}$. Morphologic studies suggest that fibers in this dimensional range lie free in interstitial tissues, while fibers of smaller dimension are readily phagocytosed and fibers of larger dimension are sequestered by adherent phagocytes and fused phagocytic giant cells. Fibers that are fine and long may be more carcinogenic than others, simply because they are uncompromised by phagocytic activity.

EFFECTS OF INJECTED ASBESTOS

R. D. Pönte fract
Department of Health and Welfare
Ottawa, Canada

There is relatively little information in the literature concerning the effects of injected asbestos. A summary of the work accomplished will be presented with comments on what effects the objectives of the various workers had on their findings. Comments will also be made on some approaches that might be tried to help yield results that can perhaps clarify some of the many problems that yet exist with this difficult subject.

EPA STUDY OF BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF
ASBESTOS-LIKE MINERAL FIBERS

Lalita Palekar
Northrop Services, Inc.
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

and

David L. Coffin
EPA Health Effects Research Laboratory
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

A large amount of the earth's crust is composed of rock containing mineral fibers which resemble asbestos to varying degrees in their physical and chemical properties. Consequently, such materials are likely to be encountered inadvertently during the extraction of various ores, the extraction of rock for commercial purposes, and even from rock moving operations encountered during highway construction, and the like.

Because the air and water may become contaminated by these fibers, it is of interest from the standpoint of environmental protection to know how the biological effect of such materials compares with that of asbestos. Consequently, a study has been instituted by EPA to investigate the relative biological potency of such materials. The project is being approached on both in vivo and in vitro levels. The material being studied at the outset are fibrous amphiboles from a taconite mine, but it is the intent to broaden these studies as soon as possible. The animal studies are being conducted in pathogen free rats by intra-tracheal instillation (with and without interacting organic carcinogens) and by intra-plural injections. The end points are tumor induction and other chronic diseases. Attention is also being given to early pathogenic sequences.

The in vitro studies consist of red cell lyses of pulmonary macrophage systems and various biological and chemical studies connected with the influence of these agents on cell membranes and interaction with

mutagens and carcinogens. The prime objective is to compare the biological effect of the minerals studied to the corresponding asbestos species to determine the comparative influence of such co-variables as fiber length, trace element content, surface area, zeta potential, and the like, on the biological outcome. Thus the study will relate biological activity to mineralogical characterization so that generalization can be made on the basis of such factors.

IDENTIFICATION OF SELECTED SILICATE MINERALS
AND THEIR ASBESTIFORM VARIETIES

William Campbell
Bureau of Mines
College Park, Maryland

The asbestiform particulate problem, with its environmental and health implications, has been compounded by the lack of precision with which the term "asbestos" has been used. In many instances, non-asbestiform mineral particles have been identified as microscopic fibers of asbestos-related minerals. This lack of precision in identifying these particulates not only works to the disadvantage of the minerals industry, but is also a handicap to rational science-based decision making by regulatory agencies.

This report summarizes methods and terminology suggested by the Bureau of Mines for the identification and characterization of asbestiform minerals and also sharpens the distinction between common serpentine, and amphibole minerals and their relatively rare asbestiform varieties. The continuing effort of the Bureau's Particulate Mineralogy Unit is to characterize mineral particulates by morphological, compositional, and structural data using various instrumental analytical techniques and by developing new methods for identification and characterization.

ELECTRON MICROSCOPY METHODS

Clayton O. Ruud
Denver Research Institute
University of Denver
Denver, Colorado

According to a recent National Academy of Sciences Report, animal deposition model studies have shown the fiber size has some effect upon the toxicity of mineral fiber fragments, the long thin ones appearing to be most active. However, the extrapolation of these results to the relative carcinogenicity in humans must be tempered by the consideration that an experimental animal model has not been established. Moreover, the size range to be considered long thin fiber fragments is not clear, that is to say the shortest length may be on the order of one micron or ten microns. For this and other reasons most scientists in the field consider that it is necessary to obtain length and width data, as well as concentration and species of mineral fibers fragments in the environment.

Due to these considerations, microscopy methods are necessary for mineral fiber fragment analysis, and because of the small size of the particles, electron microscopy is necessary. This paper will describe the methods and techniques of electron microscopy which are most generally applied. These are the transmission electron microscope-selected area electron diffraction (TEM-SAED) and the scanning electron microscope-energy dispersive X-ray (SEM-EDX) methods. The advantages and disadvantages of these two techniques will be discussed including their relative proficiency in detecting sub-micron fiber fragments. Their ability to identify the species of mineral, sample preparation techniques, statistical considerations and the time required for analysis will also be reviewed.

The application of various techniques and methods based upon the TEM-SAED or SEM-EDX systems will be discussed including situations where one or the other is the optimum method. The advantages of combined systems, scanning transmission electron microscopy with SAED and EDX, will be discussed. Also new approaches of combination and

computer controlled methods using both TEM and SEM will be described.

In conclusion, the state of the art will be discussed in terms of general considerations necessary for the selection of an electron microscopy technique for mineral fiber fragment analysis.

TEM METHODS

Ian Stewart
Walter C. McCrone Associates, Inc.
Chicago, Illinois

The necessary requirements for the TEM identification and quantification of asbestos will be reviewed. Techniques currently in use will be described, and their relative merits discussed.

MINERAL FIBER IDENTIFICATION USING THE ANALYTICAL
TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPE

D.R. Beaman and H.J. Walker
The Dow Chemical Company
Midland, Michigan

In a transmission electron microscope equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS), it is possible to obtain the high resolution morphology, crystal structure, and elemental composition of sub-micron mineral fibers, particulate, and thin films. The reliability of fiber analysis is enhanced when fiber identification is based on the nearly simultaneous determination of these three characteristics because each of the individual modes can yield ambiguous information. Energy dispersive spectrometer data can be converted to elemental fiber compositions using known standard spectra or relative sensitivity factors which can be calculated or experimentally determined for a given instrumental configuration. Calculated and experimental sensitivity factors are found to agree within 15% for photon energies above 1.5 keV. The relative error in composition calculated from EDS spectra will generally be better than 10%, but only if the TEM column and components have been properly modified to reduce the effects of extraneous x-ray generation and electron scattering. The sources of these problems are described and a procedure for minimizing the effects outlined. Proper aperturing, collimation, selection of materials of construction, and operating conditions can provide useful mineral spectra. It is often necessary to correct for x-ray absorption even in fine mineral fibers, and this may be done using reference standards or sensitivity factors corrected for absorption. The effect of absorption increases rapidly as the difference between the mass-absorption coefficients of the elemental constituents by the mineral increases. Carbon contamination which degrades both EDS spectra and electron diffraction patterns can be minimized by using low current density and short analysis times.

Less than 15% of the chrysotile fibrils in a standard provided positive selected area electron diffraction patterns (SAED), but up to 50% did have the correct layer line spacing. The fraction of fibers providing good diffraction increases rapidly as the number of fibrils in a fiber increases. The reported differences in SAED quality arise primarily because investigators use differing criterion for defining a positive SAED pattern and the fiber size distribution examined varies. Sample preparation methods were reviewed and it was found that condensation washing is only reliable if loss corrections are applied, particularly in the case of amphibole fibers. In spite of the many problems, inter-laboratory and multiple sample reproducibility in the measurement of fiber concentrations can be $\pm 30\%$ when using good procedure.

IDENTIFICATION OF ASBESTOS BY
POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY

John Dally
Walter C. McCrone Associates, Inc.
Chicago, Illinois

A number of analytical tools can be used to characterize and
~~identify asbestos: infrared absorption, x-ray diffraction, DTA, SEM,~~
TEM, and the light microscope. Each has advantages and limitations.
The polarized light microscope (PLM) has many advantages, and the only
disadvantages are: 1) the asbestos particles must be at least a
micrometer in largest dimension, and 2) considerable training in optical
crystallography is needed.

PLM, on the other hand is very sensitive (ppm range), extremely
rapid (1-5 minutes to identify all components of most samples) and, of
all the methods, only PLM will identify the individual amphiboles.

STATISTICS AND INTERPRETATION OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

James Leineweber
Johns Manville Corporation
Denver, Colorado

The analysis of asbestos fibers by electron microscope methods involves many operations each of which can have an effect on the final results. The potential errors in each of the steps as well as the influence of normal statistical fluctuations is discussed. Recommendations are made on how the controllable errors can be minimized and for a uniform reporting format.

SELECTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FIBROUS AND NON-FIBROUS
AMPHIBOLES FOR ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT

J.C. Haartz and B.A. Lange
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Cincinnati, Ohio

and

R.G. Draftz and R. Scholl
IIT-Research Institute
Chicago, Illinois

More than 50 mineral specimens of fibrous and non-fibrous amphibole species, including specimens of actinolite, tremolite, grunerite, and cummingtonite were collected and characterized to determine their suitability for use as reference materials in the development of analytical methods. These methods will be used for the detection and measurement of hazardous materials which are found as workplace contaminants. While the existing sample of each mineral is quite limited, the source of each of the specimens and the appropriate methods of sample preparation have been carefully documented should additional quantities be desired.

These specimens have been characterized using light microscopy, X-ray diffraction (XRD) and thermal analysis (DTA). Some of these specimens have been purified by appropriate physical or chemical techniques and then ground to provide a material with a mass median particle size of less than 10 μm diameter. The effects of characterization studies of the minerals, including a comparison of the properties determined for each of the specimens, will be presented. Differences in physical properties, as determined by DTA and XRD, of the fibrous and non-fibrous specimens are indicated by the data obtained.

ASBESTIFORM MINERALS IN INDUSTRIAL TALCS: COMMERCIAL DEFINITIONS
VERSUS INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE REALITY

John M. Dement
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Cincinnati, Ohio

As part of its industry-wide study of the talc industry, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) has conducted detailed industrial hygiene studies of mine and mill operations processing talcs contaminated with asbestiform minerals.

The principal analytical method used for studies of asbestiform minerals in talc bulk samples and airborne dust samples is analytical transmission electron microscopy utilizing selected area electron diffraction and microchemical analysis for fiber identification. This presentation includes a discussion of the methods of analysis being used by NIOSH and comparisons of results of analysis with other analytical techniques. Also included are results of NIOSH industrial hygiene studies in asbestiform talc operations and comparisons of airborne fiber characteristics (fiber length, diameter, aspect ratios, etc.) in these operations with other industrial processes using asbestos fibers.

THE DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF ASBESTOS AND
ASBESTIFORM MINERALS IN TALC

Harold D. Stanley and Robert E. Norwood
Pfizer Inc.
Easton, Pennsylvania

Concern with the health hazards associated with the presence of chrysotile asbestos and/or the asbestiform minerals in talc has prompted widespread investigation of methods of analysis which would be consistent with good analytical practices. Of all the currently available techniques examined and evaluated, the two most reliable have been found by us to be Step Scanning X-ray Diffraction and Transmission Electron Microscopy, (TEM), with Selected Area Electron Diffraction; (SAED). The Step Scanning X-ray Diffraction technique allows quantitative detection and identification of tremolite and the asbestiform minerals down to 0.1% by weight. In the absence of chlorite it can detect and quantitatively determine chrysotile asbestos at the 0.5% level. Chlorite, however, is often associated with talc ore bodies. When present, chlorite will mask most of the main X-ray diffraction peaks of chrysotile. Additionally, the X-ray diffraction technique cannot distinguish between fibrous and non-fibrous forms of the asbestiform minerals. TEM is ideally suited to determinations of this type because of its high resolution and magnification capabilities, the morphological nature of the problem and the mineralogical identification capability through SAED.

MISIDENTIFICATION OF ASBESTOS IN TALC

Jerome B. Krause
Colorado School of Mines Research Institute

and

William H. Ashton
Johnson & Johnson
New Jersey

Both optical microscopy and x-ray diffraction (XRD) are widely used to detect minerals associated with talc. Optical microscopy can determine the morphology of a particle, but cannot always fully identify the specific mineral. Although XRD is an excellent screening

technique for the detection of minerals associated with talc, the method can misidentify minerals due to interferences, interpretive errors, and the inability to determine morphology.

Methods for reduction or elimination of these problems include special techniques of sample preparation and x-ray diffraction, combined with microscopic examination (both optical and electron).

AMBIENT AIR MONITORING FOR CHRYSOTILE IN THE U. S.

Richard Thompson
Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, N.C.

The only continuing national air monitoring has been conducted by the National Air Surveillance Network. The objective is long-term trend assessment of air quality. The information has proven of value in setting standards, in consideration of health effects, in estimation of economic effects and in showing patterns of pollutant distribution in both urban and non-urban areas.

In order to provide samples which could be analyzed for constituents not determinable in particulate matter samples collected with glass fiber filters, a membrane sampling network was instituted. The only analyses of the samples conducted thus far has been for airborne asbestos using in part a method developed under contract which provides for the determination of mass of chrysotile in the particulate samples.

A viewpoint will be presented on the method needed for air monitoring and an assessment of the mass method as the most suitable for this purpose. Data obtained will be examined which will include information on inter- and intra-laboratory replication.

THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY INTERIM METHOD
FOR DETERMINING ASBESTOS IN WATER

Charles H. Anderson
Environmental Protection Agency
Athens, Georgia

The discovery of asbestos and asbestiform minerals in water supplies and drinking water has resulted in the requirement for a reliable analytical method. In order to meet this requirement, an interim method, based upon the state-of-the-art in asbestos analytical methodology, has been issued. In this paper, the broad elements of the method are set forth and discussed.

ASTM E-4 REPORT

K.S. Chopra
Union Carbide Corporation
Niagara Falls, New York

ASTM Committee E-4 has been experimentally evaluating high magnification microscopic techniques being used for the analysis of fiber contamination in water. This paper will describe the procedures and present status of this technique evaluation.

THE STANDARD FOR OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE TO SBESTOS
BEING CONSIDERED BY ASTM COMMITTEE E-34

M. Cassette and A.A. Winer
University of Sherbrooke
Quebec, Canada

This presentation reviews the consensus reached by the Task Group on Naturally Occurring Inorganic Fibers of ASTM Committee E-34. Significant differences with the OSHA regulation are pointed out on the following topics: Definitions, exposure limits, record keeping, monitor-

ing, and the counting method. The reasons for these differences are outlined and a rationale in support of a dual standard is presented.

This Task Group document is now under study according to official ASTM procedures.

IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS IN THE IDENTIFICATION AND COUNTING
OF MINERAL FRAGMENTS

R.J. Lee, J.S. Lolly and R.M. Fisher
U.S. Steel Research Lab.
Monroeville, Pennsylvania

Positive identification of some micron diameter mineral fragments, and especially of amphiboles, required both chemical and crystallographic analysis of the unknown particle. At present only electron optical ~~methods can be used for this purpose and considerable care must be taken~~ to ensure that: 1 - the x-ray spectra and diffraction patterns pertain only to the particle in question (i.e., spatial resolution limitations must be recognized); 2 - x-ray data are compared with well characterized referenced standards; 3 - overlapping chemical composition and/or similar crystal structures of mineral series are recognized; 4 - crystal fragment is tilted into a zone-axis orientation before recording the electron diffraction pattern; 5 - appropriate statistical criteria are used to evaluate the significance of the results on individual or groups of samples. These problems and the analytical procedures used to minimize them will be described.

MESA REGULATIONS AND METHODS

Aurel Goodwin
MESA
Arlington, Virginia

MESA regulations for exposure to asbestos require that no employee be exposed to airborne concentrations greater than 5 fibers/ml (soon to be reduced to 2 fibers/ml) greater than 5 micrometers in length on a time-weighted average basis. We are proceeding with public meetings to obtain necessary data to reduce this permissible exposure even further. We use the membrane filter method for sampling and phase contrast

microscopy for counting. Our regulations specify that the term asbestos refers to chrysotile, amosite, crocidolite, anthophyllite asbestos, tremolite asbestos, and actinolite asbestos. In order to analyze for specific minerals we have contracted with Dr. Ruud at the University of Denver.

OSHA REGULATIONS AND METHODS

Willard C. Dixon
OSHA
Salt Lake City, Utah

OSHA uses the membrane filter method at 400 - 450x magnification (4mm objective) with phase contrast illumination for the analysis of asbestos in air. This method is substantially the same as is used by NIOSH.

In an atmosphere known to contain asbestos, all particulates with a length to diameter ratio of 3:1 or greater and a length greater than 5 micrometers are, in the absence of other information, considered to be asbestos fibers and counted as such.

The equipment for optical analysis of asbestos in use at the OSHA Salt Lake City Laboratory includes Zeiss microscopes having 40x objectives and 10x eyepieces, rotating stages, phase contrast illumination, polarized light, and retardation plates. The transmission electron microscope equipment in use by OSHA at the Salt Lake Laboratory is a Jeol model JEM 100C with a side entry goniometer and ASD-45 Model EM-15 SPS-2 scanning image display unit. We also have an Ortec-Delphi x-ray energy dispersive system.

X-ray diffraction, atomic absorption and other instrumentation are also available.

The techniques used for the identification of asbestos include sight recognition based on morphology, and optical tests including polarized light, index of refraction, angle of extinction, dispersion staining, and retardation. Electron microscopy tests include morphology, selected area diffraction and a determination of elemental composition by x-ray energy dispersive analysis.

A plan is presented for distinguishing between asbestos and other fibers which may be mistaken for asbestos. A system for differentiating between the various kinds of asbestos fibers is also presented.

FDA PROJECTS AND METHODS

Irvin Asher, John Wenniger, and Philip McGrath
Food and Drug Administration
Washington, D.C.

An overview of FDA projects related to asbestos detection and quantitation is presented. The results of a recent FDA symposium on the availability of suitable techniques are included. We then review the technical and regulatory issues in the food and cosmetics area with regard to asbestos contamination with emphasis on the analysis of perenteral drugs and cosmetic talc. For the present, SEM using

Nucleopore filters as a substrate and EDXA for chemical analysis appears to be a reasonable, cost effective method for routine detection of asbestos in foods, drugs and biologicals, although quantitation and reduction in the number of ambiguous fibers is still a problem.

IMPACT OF ASBESTOS REGULATIONS ON THE MINING INDUSTRY

C.S. Thompson
Vanderbilt Company
East Norwalk, Connecticut

No one in the mining industry objects to proper regulation of toxic substances. No one in the mining industry has any objection to the reasonable control of asbestos as long as the regulations apply to the truly asbestiform varieties of specific minerals. Unfortunately, the regulators have ignored basic mineralogical data and have included numerous minerals which bear no resemblance to the asbestos upon which essentially all health data have been obtained. This gross extrapolation of the known health hazards of excessive exposures to true asbestos, to the non-asbestiform varieties of common rock-forming minerals is totally unwarranted.

The full assessment of the economic impact of the asbestos regulations, as with other restrictive legislation, will undoubtedly take many years. This impact is also greatly dependent upon the outcome and recommendations resulting from this workshop. As of today, if the regulatory agencies apply their present rules and definitions regarding "asbestos", the entire mining industry and those dependent on it face an adverse economic impact unparalleled in its history. Furthermore, proposed regulations, based on the same erroneous definitions and extrapolations are so restrictive they threaten the existence of major segments in a wide variety of areas within the mining industry. The continued promulgation and enforcement of mineral legislation based on errors and misconceptions will have astronomical economic effects on the total U.S. economy and on the individual taxpayer.

NIEHS-ORAL ASBESTOS STUDIES

John A. Moore
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
Research Triangle Park, N.C.

Epidemiologic data clearly associates inhalation of asbestos with an increased incidence of cancer. In addition to pulmonary thoracic neoplasia, there is data which associates an increased incidence of gastrointestinal and peritoneal tumors. Controversy exists as to whether these latter types of neoplasia result from asbestos fibers that were injected subsequent to clearance from the respiratory system. Exposure to injected asbestos does occur in the general population through the presence of fibers in water and food.

The NIEHS oral asbestos studies in rats and hamsters represent a systematic attempt to assess the biological effects associated with primary injection of selected asbestos fibers. The objectives of the studies include: assessment of biological (carcinogenic) effects as a consequence of exposure to one of several types of asbestos; assess if an interaction may exist between a chemical carcinogen which is known to produce bowel cancer and injection of asbestos. The specific experimental design of this series of ongoing studies will be presented.

CPSC REGULATION OF NON-OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE
TO ASBESTOS IN CONSUMER PRODUCTS

Robert Hehir, Steven P. Bayard, and June Thompson
Consumer Products Safety Commission
Bethesda, Maryland

The Consumer Products Safety Commission (CPSC) has found that exposure to respirably free-form asbestos in two consumer products poses an unreasonable health risk. The Commission has recently voted to ban the use of free-form asbestos in consumer patching compounds, and in artificial fireplace ash or emberizing materials under Section 8 of the Consumer Products Safety Act. The broad regulatory provisions under CPSA, as well as those under the Transferred Acts are discussed.

Data on consumer exposure to asbestos are very limited. One study of airborne asbestos resulting from the use of consumer spackling/patching compounds has reported levels of airborne asbestos fibers exceeding the occupational exposure excursion levels.

Direct evidence exists of asbestos inhalation in non-occupationally exposed individuals from autopsy findings of asbestos fibers in lung tissue, and indirect evidence of asbestos-related cancers in non-occupationally exposed individuals from epidemiological studies.

A risk assessment has been made of the potential increase of lung cancer resulting from heavy consumer exposure to asbestos-containing patching compounds.