

TAFT, STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER LL.

NORTHERN KENTUCKY OFFICE
SUITE 340
1717 DIXIE HIGHWAY
COVINGTON, KENTUCKY 41011-4704
606-331-2838
513-381-2838
FAX: 513-381-6613

1800 FIRSTAR TOWER

425 WALNUT STREET

CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-3957

513-381-2838
FAX: 513-381-0205

www.taftlaw.com

CLEVELAND OHIO OFFICE
3500 BP TOWER
200 PUBLIC SQUARE
CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114-2302
216-241-2838
FAX: 216-241-3707

COLUMBUS OHIO OFFICE
21 EAST STATE STREET
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215-4221
614-221-2838
FAX: 614-221-2007

ROBERT A. BILOTT
(513) 357-9638
bilott@taftlaw.com

AR 226 - 1175

March 26, 2002

TELECOPY AND REGULAR U.S. MAIL

Chris Negley, Esq.
West Virginia Division Of Environmental
Protection
Office Of Legal Services
1356 Hansford Street
Charleston, WV 25301

William Toomey
Manager of Source Water Assessment
Program
West Virginia Department of Health and
Human Resources
Bureau for Public Health
815 Quarrier Street, Suite 418
Charleston, WV 25301

Dee Ann Staats
West Virginia Department of Environmental
Protection
1356 Hansford Street
Charleston, WV 25301

Janet E. Sharke, Esq. (3EC00)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region III
Office Of Enforcement, Compliance
and Environmental Justice (Mail code
3EC00)
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Greg Smith, Esq.
Office of Legal Services
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
122 South Front Street
P.O. Box 1049
Columbus, OH 43216-1049

Lillian Pinzon, Esq.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region V
77 West Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604-3590

RECEIVED
OPT NCIC

2003 JAN 15 AM 11:15

Re: Jack W. Leach, et al. v. E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company et al.
(Circuit Court of Wood Cty, WV, Civil Action No. 01-C-608)

CONTAIN NO CBI

000061

March 26, 2002

Page 2

Ladies and Gentlemen:

As indicated in prior communications, our law firm, and the law firms of Hill, Peterson, Carper, Bee & Deitzler, PLLC and Winter Johnson & Hill PLLC of Charleston, West Virginia, are representing the Plaintiffs in a lawsuit currently pending against E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company ("DuPont") and the Lubeck Public Service District ("LPSD") in State Court in West Virginia in which claims have been asserted against DuPont and the LPSD in connection with contamination of human drinking water supplies with ammonium perfluorooctanoate ("C-8") originating from DuPont's Washington Works facility in Wood County, West Virginia. On Friday, March 22, 2002, Judge Hill of the Wood County Circuit Court agreed to certify Plaintiffs' claims to proceed as a class action against DuPont and the LPSD on behalf of all persons whose drinking water is or has been contaminated with C-8 attributable to releases from DuPont's Washington Works facility. (This class currently includes thousands of Ohio and West Virginia residents.) Our law firms will, therefore, be pursuing the Plaintiffs' claims on behalf of all members of that class of individuals.

As indicated in our prior letters of November 1, 2001, and March 8, 2002, we are concerned that your agencies may not be receiving all of the information they need in order to perform the tasks required of the "C-8 Assessment of Toxicity Team" ("CAT Team") under the November 14, 2001, Consent Order entered into between the State of West Virginia and DuPont. Our law firm has been receiving and reviewing a substantial amount of internal correspondence and internal, unpublished reports from DuPont and 3M concerning C-8 since the summer of 2000, when our law firm began receiving C-8 documents from DuPont in connection with discovery related to claims that C-8 being discharged from DuPont's Dry Run Landfill in Wood County, West Virginia, was killing hundreds of head of cattle who were drinking from the Dry Run Creek. As of today's date, we have received and reviewed approximately 185,000 pages of documents from DuPont and 3M relating to the toxicity and effects of C-8. We also have retained consultants to review the available C-8 data. As indicated in our prior correspondence, our consultants' assessment of the available C-8 toxicology data differs substantially from the information that the CAT Team apparently has been provided to date by DuPont, as memorialized in the analysis set forth in the January 2002, report from Environ that was prepared for DuPont. As indicated in our prior correspondence, we believe that the available C-8 data does not justify or support the analysis advocated by DuPont through the Environ report. Because the United States EPA, nevertheless, agreed to use the 14 ppb standard advocated in that Environ report in its recent Consent Order with DuPont, we are concerned that your agencies may not be receiving all of the available C-8 data.

Based upon the foregoing, we hereby request the opportunity to appoint a representative to sit as a member of the CAT Team on behalf of the entire class that we now represent (all persons whose drinking water is or has been contaminated with C-8 attributable to releases from DuPont's Washington Works), to ensure that this very large group of citizens has a voice in the CAT process, and to ensure that the other members of the CAT Team are provided access to all


000062

March 26, 2002

Page 3

of the available C-8 data that your agencies may not otherwise receive from DuPont. Given statements recently made in the local Parkersburg media that the CAT Team may be on track to complete its C-8 toxicity analysis by as early as the end of April, 2002, we request confirmation as soon as possible as to whether our clients can designate a representative to serve as a member of the CAT Team. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Bilott", written over the typed name.

Robert A. Bilott

RAB/mdm

cc: R. Edison Hill, Esq.
Larry A. Winter, Esq.
Gerald J. Rapien, Esq.

000063