

Safety Assoc.
nu

CTL002535

AD-12568-11, 1981

Cale

AD-12568-11, 1981

SUBJECT: ANNUAL VINYL CHLORIDE SAFETY ASSOCIATION MEETING SEPTEMBER 1981

On September 12, 13, 1981 the Annual Vinyl Chloride Safety Association Meeting was held in Tandon Springs, Florida. The meeting agenda is given as Appendix 1. This was the first meeting in which the client sees representatives but numbered the United States representatives. It was decided during the business meeting that next years meeting will be held in October and that it will be held in Washington D.C.

Attached as table 1 are the action points which will be taken from the Annual Vinyl Chloride Safety Association Meeting. These action points have been discussed and I would anticipate feed back by October 31, 1981 on accomplishments.

DISCUSSION

These discussions are a summary of the meeting presentations.

ITEM 1 HAZARD RATE QUANTIFICATION FOR PVC REACTORS

Basically this discussion broke down to a discussion of fault tree analysis as performed by Air Products. When they looked at their protective levels within their reaction area, they put the areas as 1. Reliance on Chilled Water and Reflux Condensers; 2. Small amount of short stop gas holder depressuring; or drop to a stripper; 3. Massive short stopping; 4. Rupture Disks. I would recommend that Frank, Norman, and Bruce get together and evaluate each of our main areas for control in the Polymerization Building and review for example cooling water, short stop system, charging, agitation and instrumentation. What are the key views we have to help us be safe. For example under cooling water, we have a backup diesel pump, dual water levels on the cooling tower basin, we are considering fire water backup makeup for the cooling tower. We have preactive flow alarms set at 50%, and we are looking at improved acidizing manually. With a short stop system, we are looking at implementing an effective system. Under charging to control pressure, we have a checklist, radio formula input to the Control Room, and we use only one initiator. Under agitation, we have dual electrical feeders, emergency electrical backup from PFG, and annual preventative electrical maintenance. Under instrumentation, we have dual PSIA and PSIG systems, one for control and one for alarm. We might need to consider adding a weekly measure of condensor and vessel cooling capability. Also the rate of cooling water demand alarm on the computer, and to report monthly on level performance. Again, I think that Frank, Bruce, and Norman should review this kind of information and come up with a Lake Charles view towards how we are considerably more safe than we have been in the past.

CTL002536

ITEM 2 SAFETY RELIEF VALVE TESTING PROGRAM

Ken Reynolds of BFG next gave a short view of their Safety Relief Valve Testing Program which Red will be discussing with them. Also, he gave a short review of their Baker computer system which he will also look into. He mentioned that they use 3% LEL for VCM being hazardous. They have automatic weather input into the Baker computer system. They have 50 preset plans that they thought through for the system, and the system costs \$70,000. It was noted during the conversation that Air Products in Pensacola, Florida also has a Baker Computer System with automatic contacting with the Civil Defense Department.

ITEM 3 SAFE STORAGE OF PEROXIDE CATALYSTS

Joe Kind of Occidental reported that they use a Nitrogen System in their Initiator Bunker for over heat protection rather than water.

ITEM 4 ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION: MANAGEMENT SYSTEM APPROACH

Herm Waltime of BFG presented this paper, and the main point that he brought home was that in Accident Investigations, we should always attempt to continue to answer the answer WHY. Also very important for us to remember that ALL ACCIDENTS CAN BE PREVENTED, AND MANAGEMENT CAN CONTROL EMPLOYEE ACTIVITIES. He went on then to discuss the hot work permit procedure they have and what they go through to be sure to eliminate accidents. We are attempting to get a copy of this work.

ITEM 5 DIOXINS AND PVC

A copy of an article presented by Dr. Deuschl of Wacker is attached as Appendix II.

ITEM 6 COMMUNITY EMERGENCY PLANNING

Presentation on Community Emergency Planning was given by Herm Waltime of BFG. They basically rate their chemicals with what they call a Hazard Index. They obtain this Hazard Index by dividing the LD life or the LEL divided by the vapor pressure. For example, for VCM the number comes out 13.8, for ammonia it comes out 9.1, and for chlorine it comes out to .02. The lower the number the more hazardous the material. We should review the Community Emergency Planning Questionnaire in Appendix III. Red and Bruce should attempt to fill this out so that we can discuss it during October.

CTL002537

INTERNAL USE ONLY - PHOTOCOPYED - SAFETY - RESOLUTION DEFENDING
ENTER TO THE FILE
SEPTEMBER 27, 1984
AGE 7

EM 7 CONTAINMENT FOR VCM FROM EMERGENCY AND MANUAL RELEASES AT FORMOSA

Bill Hadden had related that they are being required by the state of Alabama to have a VCM containment system, therefore Formosa has come up with adding 5 new 20,000 gallon VCM storage bullets for surge off their recovery system. In the industry this is a big question mark both the envl Institute and all the industry in general, and the EPA are saying that such a system is not functional and safe. We will be keeping tabs on this system and on the present EPA VCM regulations that are being written. We are fairly sure that this will not be added to the regulations.

EM 8 SURVEY: VCM SPILLAGE/GAS RELEASE PRECAUTIONS

A presentation was given by Bob Dobre of Dow, and this presentation of comparisons of safety incidents is presented as Appendix IV. Also attached as Appendix VI is a summary of VCM Storage and Loading facilities and the safety precautions that are present with each one of them throughout our industry. We should certainly review these comments to determine where we can show improvement within our VCM storage and recovery systems.

M 9 SAFETY RELIEF DESIGN FOR PVC REACTORS

Fisher of Union Carbide gave a very excellent presentation on Safety Relief Design. The details of this presentation are in Appendix

Every important point which was brought out during the presentation was that during an emergency relief from a PVC reactor, whether in suspension or bulk, we should assume that the ingredients, either the polymer or the liquid VCM or the water will come out with a gas. This is not a sure issue. You very seldom under emergency conditions will have vapor flow. **SO ANY PLANNING FOR EMERGENCIES SHOULD INCLUDE A HIGH PROBABILITY OF BOTH SOLID AND LIQUIDS COMING OUT WITH THE VCM**
R.

CTL002538

-- TRUE CONFESSIONS

LC Valve Fire

At ICI in England they had a fairly serious fire at a valve on a EDC furnace. Basically the cause of the fire was an iron chlorine reaction. They had some leakage of hydrocarbon into chlorine, got reaction where it was not normally to have reaction and the temperature got to 200 C to 250 C which allows the auto-ignition of iron and chlorine and basically you end up burning holes in the pipe from an iron chlorine reaction. The actions taken to resolve this in the future was different procedures, nitrogen purge on a chlorine header, and following procedures that were in place that were not followed.

C. Fire

C. FFG, an EDC fire was had near the furnace where a valve bonnet, which is significantly larger than ours, ruptured causing a significant fire. This fire caused a good portion of the furnace to be rebuilt. The failure occurred at 3,000 PSI. The actions taken to resolve this were to put a relief valve on the body cavity, nitrogen purge on the line, new procedures and a vertical orientation of the valve.

Monomer Pump Fire

There was a VCM pump fire at ESSO in Canada because of acid getting stuck in that area and allowing the VCM to leak out and catch fire. They are avoiding this type of corrosion failure in the future by flon coated pipe.

Hydraulic Work Platform Injury

This injury occurred at ESSO when a manlift, similar to what we use for painting, malfunctioned and operated when it was not supposed and rammed the men against some pipes breaking some ribs. After investigation it was found that the dead man switch that is supposed to protect the worker was not functional. In the future, ICI plans to have **ALL EQUIPMENT SAFETY CHECKED TO MAKE SURE ALL EQUIPMENT IS OPERATIONAL CORRECTLY BEFORE IT IS USED FROM AN OUTSIDE AGENCY.**

CTL002539

E. Stainless Steel Slurry Tank Failure

At Borden, they had a stainless steel slurry tank failure. The stainless steel was 304 and the entire bottom about a 16 foot diameter tank fell out. This tank was not pressurized it was only the weight of the slurry. What happened overtime the 304 stainless steel corroded from the outside in because the rubber coating they put on as insulation. There was a high level of chloride in both the rubber and the cement and it caused chloride stress corrosion of the 304 stainless over time which caused the entire bottom of the tank to fail. Fortunately no one was injured.

F. Reactor Heading Header Piping

At Tenneco this year they were attempting to put a 2" addition to a 10" header on top of their reactors and found that they could not successfully weld the 2" into the 10". They eventually found by putting a torch to the 10" and seeing if it had any stress cracks that it had a significant amount of chloride stress corrosion. The majority of their reactor piping had the same challenge.

As history this plant was built in 1975. They have 34,000 gallon reactors and the piping above the reactors are mostly 304 stainless steel with a small amount of 316 stainless steel. The found in further testing that all of the 304 under the severe torch test would show chloride stress cracking, and the 316 would not fail the test. AFTER 10 YEARS OF SERVICE THEY HAVE SIGNIFICANT FAILURES OF THE 304 AND ALMOST NO FAILURE OF THE 316. Consequently they had to replace the majority of their stainless piping above the reactors at a cost of approximately \$3,600,000. In talking back to their licensor, Shinitzu, they found that Shinitzu estimated that LIFE OF 304 STAINLESS STEEL IN PVC SERVICE AT A REACTOR IS ESTIMATED TO BE 5 YEARS and SERVICE OF 316 STAINLESS STEEL IN PVC REACTORS IS TO BE IN EXCESS OF 10 YEARS.

CTL002540

ATTACHMENT 1

VINYL CHLORIDE SAFETY ASSOCIATION MEETING 1985

PROGRAM

THURSDAY September 12

0800 REGISTRATION AND COFFEE

0830 ① HAZARD RATE QUANTIFICATION FOR PVC REACTORS

Robert Ormsby
Air Products

0900 ② SAFETY RELIEF VALVE TESTING PROGRAMME

B. Reynolds
PFG

0930 ③ SAFE STORAGE OF PEROXIDE CATALYSTS

J. King
Occidental

1000 ④ ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION: MANAGEMENT SYSTEM APPROACH

H. Waltemate
BFG

1030 C O F F E E

1045 ⑤ DIOXINS AND PVC

H. Deuschl
Wacker

1115 APME: SAFETY COMMITTEE REPORT
(EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION)

T.B.N.

1145 ⑥ INCENTIVE AWARDS - ANY ROLE IN SAFETY?

O. Aas
Norsk Hydro

1215 L U N C H - A SPEAKER WILL BE PROVIDED BY NASA
TO GIVE A SPACE AGE VIEW OF SAFETY

1345 / MEXICO CITY DISASTER

J. Zarate
Pimex

1430 ⑦ COMMUNITY EMERGENCY PLANNING

H. Waltemate
BFG

1500 C O F F E E

1515 UNITED KINGDOM: CONTROL OF MAJOR ACCIDENT
HAZARD REGULATIONS

D. Middleton
Norsk Hydro U.K.

1545 ⑧ CONTAINMENT FOR VCM FROM EMERGENCY AND MANUAL
RELEASES FORMOSA

B. Hager

1600 OFFICIAL CLOSE OF MEETING

1605 VINYL INSTITUTE UPDATE ON ACTIVITIES

R. Gottesman
VI

FRIDAY September 13

0800 BUSINESS MEETING

Election of Officers
Selection of 1986 Meeting Site
Treasurer's Report

0830 (11) SURVEY: VCM SPILLAGE/GAS RELEASE PRECAUTIONS

R. Oubre
Dow

0900 (12) SURVEY: GAS MONITORING SYSTEMS

J.T. Vaughan
ICI

0930 (13) SURVEY: BURSTING DISC TYPES

Esso Chemical
Canada

1000 C O F F E E

1015 (14) SAFETY RELIEF DESIGN FOR PVC REACTORS

Harold Fisher
Union Carbide

1115 (15) UPDATE ON EPA/OSHA REGULATORY ACTIVITIES

John Barr
Air Products

(15) UPDATE ON LITIGATION ON RELEASES

Joe King
Occidental

1145 (16) ANGIOSARCOMA UPDATE

J.T. Vaughan
ICI

1215 L U N C H

1330 (17) TRUE CONFESSIONS

Descriptions by members of recent incidents in their
plants will include

- i) An EDC Valve Fire
- ii) An EDC Fire
- iii) A VC Monomer Pump Fire
- iv) An injury due to a hydraulic work platform
- v) A stainless steel slurry tank failure

CTL002542

385LJ