

the documents were inspected. Any dispute as to the propriety of any objection can thereafter be resolved by the Court.

INTERROGATORY NO. 56: Has Defendant, any predecessor or any related company, or any person or entity acting on behalf thereof, including but not limited to any insurance company, at any time, gone into any area where any product identified in response to Interrogatory Nos. 19 and 42 was being manufactured, used, applied or installed to perform a dust level count or similar test?

SECOND AMENDED ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 56:

Abex objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, compound, vague and ambiguous and calls for speculation.

Abex also objects to this interrogatory to the extent it purports to seek information or materials regarding time periods, products and work sites that are not at issue in these cases, on the grounds that such information or materials lack relevance and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Abex further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the information or materials it purports to seek lack relevance to the issues arising in these cases and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Abex objects to this interrogatory to the extent to which it purports to seek information or materials that have been gathered, received, or prepared in the course of litigation, or which are otherwise subject to the attorney-client privilege, protected by the attorney work-product doctrine, the rule protecting materials prepared in anticipation of and/or in connection with litigation, or any other applicable privilege.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, with respect to the manufacture of those products identified in Answer to Interrogatory No. 19, see Answer to Interrogatory No. 54; and with respect to the use, application, and installation of those products identified in Answer to