

Lung function and radiographic change in chrysotile workers in Swaziland

MARGERIE McDERMOTT,¹ MARGARET M BEVAN,¹ P C ELMES,¹ J T ALLARDICE,^{2*} AND A C BRADLEY^{3†}

From the MRC Pneumoconiosis Unit,¹ Cardiff, Turner and Newall Ltd,² Manchester, and Havelock Mine,³ Swaziland

ABSTRACT The effect on lung function and radiographic indices of exposure to chrysotile asbestos was investigated by cross-sectional studies in two groups of men at Havelock Mine, Swaziland. The first group consisted of 214 employees and ex-employees, mean age 52, who had been employed for at least 10 years, and whose dust exposure ranged from minimal for surface workers to very heavy for those in the grading and bagging sections of the mill. In this group 29% had category 1 or more simple pneumoconiosis and 4.5% category 2 or more. For surface and mine workers, the estimated annual deterioration in FEV₁ and FVC and the increase in category of pneumoconiosis was similar to that due to age alone, while the heaviest exposure almost doubled the decline in lung function and trebled the rate of progression of pneumoconiosis. The second group consisted of 224 men, mean age 33, all currently working in the mill and having been employed there for at least a year. In this group 30% had category 1 or more simple pneumoconiosis, and 2.7% category 2. Exposure in the dustiest sections of the mill more than doubled the estimated annual decline in lung function and doubled the rate of progression of pneumoconiosis.

The production of chrysotile asbestos is usually considered to be less hazardous to health than that of crocidolite and amosite. Rossiter *et al*¹ found a low level of asbestosis among workers in Canadian chrysotile mines compared with that reported from Italy.² The aim of our investigation was to determine the extent of occupational lung disease among mill, mine, and surface workers at Havelock chrysotile mine, Swaziland, from where little asbestos-related disease had been reported. The lack of a serious hazard should be confirmed if the continued production of chrysotile is to be justified. The work force at Havelock consists of about 2000 Africans and 100 Europeans who form a community that is the third largest centre of population in Swaziland. The mine has been in full operation since the late 1930s, at which time most of the Africans were from Malawi, Mozambique, and South Africa; today, however, they are mainly Swazis.

We report two studies that took place about five months apart and have been treated separately.

First study

METHODS

An attempt was made to trace all men who had been employed for 10 years or more before 1970. A search of the mine records produced a list of 748 men, of whom 185 were still employed, 153 had left but still lived in Swaziland, 200 had moved to Malawi or Mozambique, and the remaining 210 had died. In the group of 338 living in Swaziland were 270 Swazis and 68 Europeans, of whom 235 Swazis and 36 Europeans attended the mine hospital for chest radiographs and examinations, lung function measurements, and to complete occupational histories and respiratory questionnaires. The respiratory symptoms are currently being analysed; the analysis described here is of lung function and radiographic data from the Swazis. Twelve of these, who were exposed to coal or silica dust in other occupations, were excluded as were nine with incomplete data or technically unsatisfactory results, leaving a group of 214 men. Figure 1 gives the distribution of age and years of employment. The mean

*Present address: Employment Medical Advisory Service, Leeds

†Present address: Office of the Medical Officer of Health, Port Elizabeth, South Africa.

Received 2 November 1981
Accepted 27 November 1981




Fig 1 First study: distribution of (a) age and (b) years of employment.

age was 52 years and mean duration of employment 25 years.

The radiographs, after being placed in random order, were read by three experienced readers. The profusion of irregular and rounded small opacities was assessed according to the 12-point scale (categories 0/- to 3/+) of the ILO classification,³ and the index of parenchymal change was taken as the median of the three readings. The categories (0/- to 3/+ were represented by numbers 1-12 to facilitate numerical analysis.

The forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) were measured using a McDermott digital spirometer. Each subject's height was measured, and the FEV₁ and FVC expressed at a standard height of 1.7 m, using the regression model proposed by Cole,⁴ where each reading is multiplied by the factor (1.7/height).²

No accurate estimates of dust exposure are possible, as monitoring of the airborne dust was not started until 1976, when a major dust suppression programme began. Discussions with reliable, long-service employees, however, suggest that dust concentrations, particularly in the mill, had been extremely high in the past. They have compared previous conditions with a present, unmanned section in which the airborne dust concentration is about 50 fibres/ml (5×10^7 fibres/m³). From their recollections, it seems that in most areas of the mill

the reduction in visibility due to the airborne dust was similar to, or worse than, in this "reference" section, although, since about 1970, some attempts had been made to reduce the dust concentrations. The proportion of fibrous dust varies among different areas of the mill, the lowest proportion being in the rock crushing plant. In the mine, which is wet, dust concentrations have always been considerably lower than in the mill. Asbestos is transported from Havelock on an aerial ropeway; it was originally packed in hessian sacks, which resulted in the workers on the ropeway receiving a high dust exposure. Since about 1970, however, polyethylene bags have been used thereby considerably reducing the dust concentration. No section of the workforce may be described as "non-exposed," as in dry, windy weather dust from the mill and waste tips is blown over the whole area, so that even estate workers are exposed to the "background" concentration. This concentration, too, is now lower than in the past as the waste tips have been dampened since about 1970.

The jobs listed in the men's occupational histories have each been allocated to one of six categories, J1-J6 in ascending order of dust exposure. As these divisions are based on crude estimates, there is no way of calculating the absolute dust concentrations in each location. Table 1 lists the categories.

Table 1 First and second studies. Allocation of jobs to category of dust exposure

J1	All surface jobs except road sweeping
J2	Aerial ropeway since 1970
J3	Mine
J4	Waste dump since 1970
J5	Aerial ropeway before 1970
J6	Road sweeping
	Mill: rock crushing plant
	Waste dump before 1970
	Mill: treatment, conditioning, and sample plants
	Mill: grading and recovery plants
	Fibre storage
	Bagging

For workshop and maintenance workers who entered the mill regularly, their exposure has been calculated as equivalent to one day a week in J5.

Results

Figure 2 shows the distribution of parenchymal change—29% of the men had category 1 or more pneumoconiosis, 4.5% category 2 or more, and 1.5% category 3.

A multiple regression analysis has been used to relate lung function and radiographic indices to age and exposure. Table 2 shows the numbers of men who worked at any time in each of the six categories of exposure, from which it may be seen that, except




Fig 2 First study: distribution of parenchymal change.

Table 2 First study: number of men in each exposure category

Category	Men who worked at any time in category		Men with exposure in no other category	Men with exposure in no other category except J1
	No	Mean exposure (years)		
J1	143	16.4	38	—
J2	102	14.7	32	65
J3	26	11.8	0	12
J4	27	10.1	4	10
J5	63	11.0	11	24
J6	25	9.3	3	9

for J1 and J2, few men worked in one category alone; even when J1, the background level, is discounted, the numbers in all categories except for J2 are too small for separate analyses. As already men-

tioned, it is impossible to relate numerically the exposure levels in the six categories; hence, each has been treated as a separate variable.

Table 3 gives the results of the multiple regression analysis which shows that exposure in categories J1-J4 produces no significant deterioration in any of the indices, while all indices deteriorate significantly with age. Exposure in categories J5 and J6 significantly reduces FVC and increases the profusion of small opacities, while FEV₁ is significantly reduced in J6. The FEV₁/FVC% appears to be unaffected by exposure, even at the highest level.

This table also shows the effect of excluding categories J1-J4 from the analysis; some significance levels are increased and the effect of J5 on the FEV₁ has become significant. The annual decline in FEV₁ in category J5 is 40% greater than that due to age alone, and in J6 80% greater, while for FVC the figures are 60% and 90% respectively. The increase in profusion of small opacities in J5 is slightly more than in J6; in both categories it is over three times greater than that due to age alone. Using the regression equations, the effect on the FEV₁ and FVC of a man working from 20 to 60 years of age in either of the two dustiest categories has been estimated (fig 3).

The total number of men who were exposed to the highest dust concentrations in categories J5 and J6 is 77; fig 4 shows the distribution of parenchymal change in this group compared with that of the 137 men who worked only in the lower exposure categories J1-J4. Of the men in the low-exposure group, 22% had category 1 or more pneumoconiosis compared with 43% in the high-exposure group.

Table 3 First study: dependence of lung function and radiographic indices on age and years of exposure in all six dust categories and in the two dustiest categories

Index	Regression coefficients of index on age and years in category							Constant
	Age	J1	J2	J3	J4	J5	J6	
In all six dust categories								
FEV ₁	-0.027***	-0.003	0	-0.011	-0.005	-0.014	-0.023*	3.97
FVC	-0.024***	-0.007	-0.002	-0.011	-0.009	-0.021*	-0.028*	4.95
FEV ₁ /FVC%	-0.294***	+0.040	-0.046	-0.151	-0.040	-0.008	-0.091	84.88
Parenchymal Change†	+0.033**	-0.015	0	-0.023	-0.029	+0.073***	+0.061*	1.23
In two dustiest categories								
FEV ₁	-0.027 ± 0.004***					-0.011 ± 0.005*	-0.021 ± 0.009*	3.94
FVC	-0.025 ± 0.005***					-0.016 ± 0.006**	-0.022 ± 0.010*	4.89
FEV ₁ /FVC%	-0.286 ± 0.073***					-0.030 ± 0.084	0.120 ± 0.150	85.09
Parenchymal Change†	+0.032 ± 0.012**					+0.081 ± 0.014***	+0.068 ± 0.024**	1.09

†Categories 0/- to 3/+ represented by Nos 1-12

***p < 0.001.

**p < 0.01.

*p < 0.05.




Fig 3 First study: effect of age and dust exposure on FEV_1 and FVC.




Fig 4 First study: distribution of parenchymal change in high-exposure and low-exposure groups.

EFFECT OF SMOKING

Of the 214 men in the sample, 10% were ex-smokers and 38% smokers, mostly of pipes and hand-rolled cigarettes, with some manufactured cigarettes. Only imprecise estimates of the amount smoked could be obtained, but the average daily

tobacco consumption was certainly under 10 g and probably only about 4 g. When the effect of dust exposure is ignored, there are no significant differences between smokers and non-smokers in FEV_1 , FVC, or parenchymal change. The $FEV_1/FVC\%$ is significantly lower, however, in smokers than in non-smokers ($p < 0.001$).

The effect of dust exposure in smokers is not significantly different from that in non-smokers for any of the lung function indices. In category J6, however, the increase in profusion of small opacities is significantly greater in non-smokers than in smokers ($p < 0.05$).

Second study

METHODS

As the men working in the mill are exposed to a considerably higher concentration of dust than those in the mine or in surface jobs, the second group was chosen to consist of all current mill employees except for those included in the first study. A total of 273 men attended the mine hospital and were tested as before. Twelve Europeans have again been excluded, while of the 261 Swazis, 17 had incomplete data or technically unsatisfactory results and a further 20 had been exposed to silica or had worked in the mill for under a year. The mean age of the remaining 224 men was 33 years and mean duration of employment eight years, the distributions being shown in fig 5. The lung function was measured by the medical staff at the mine, using a Vitalograph, and the chest radiographs were read as before by the same three readers. The jobs have again been allocated to categories J1-J6 (table 1), each man being currently employed in one of the mill categories—that is J4, 5, or 6.

RESULTS

Figure 6 shows the distribution of parenchymal change—30% of the men had category 1 or more pneumoconiosis and 2.7% category 2, while there were none with category 3. Table 4 shows the number of men who worked at any time in each of the exposure categories and the mean duration of exposure.

As in the first study, lung function and radiographic indices have been related to age and exposure by multiple regression analysis, using categories J4, 5, and 6 only, and again treating each as a separate variable. The results of the analysis are shown in table 5. Although the men are much younger, and have worked in the dust for shorter periods than those in the first group, the deterioration in the indices due to exposure is again clearly shown. Parenchymal change, FEV_1 , and FVC are all significantly affected by exposure in J5 and J6, while the $FEV_1/FVC\%$




Fig 5 Second study: distribution of (a) age and (b) years of employment.

Table 4 Second study: number of men in each exposure category

Category	Men who worked at any time in category	
	No.	Mean exposure (years)
J1	19	4.9
J2	17	4.5
J3	1	4.0
J4	20	6.0
J5	147	6.8
J6	89	4.6

FVC% is again unaffected. In the rock crushing plant (J4), which has the lowest proportion of fibrous dust, the effect of exposure is not significantly different from that of age for any of the indices. The regression coefficient of parenchymal change for J4, although greater than for J5, is not statistically significant as there are only 20 men in the category.

Table 5 also shows the effect of excluding J4 from the analysis. Exposure in J5 almost doubles the annual decline in FEV₁ and more than doubles that in FVC, while in J6 the decline in FEV₁ is more than doubled and that in FVC trebled. The increase in




Fig 6 Second study: distribution of parenchymal change.

profusion of small opacities for men in J5 is no longer significantly different from that due to age alone, but for men in J6 it is doubled. Figure 7 shows the estimated effect on FEV₁ and FVC of a man working from age 20 to age 60 in either of the two dustiest categories.

EFFECT OF SMOKING

In this sample 4% were ex-smokers and 33% smokers, with smoking habits similar to those in the first sample. As before, estimates of the amount smoked are not accurate, but the average was probably 3-4 g daily. There are no significant differences between smokers and non-smokers in any of the indices, and the effect of dust exposure in smokers is not significantly different from that in non-smokers.

Discussion

The incidence of parenchymal change category 1 or more is similar in both studies, being 29% in the first, older group and 30% in the younger. The younger group, however, were all employed in the mill, 93% having exposure in J5 and J6, while only 36% of the older group ever worked in these dustiest areas. In Quebec mine and mill workers with 10-30 years' exposure the incidence of parenchymal change category 1 or more was 5%,¹ while Ghezzi *et al*² reported an incidence of 29.8% among workers exposed for at least one year in an Italian chrysotile mine. In Corsica, of men examined 14 years after an 11-year exposure period, 40.3% were found to have parenchymal change category 1/1 or more.³ In both groups of Swazis the increase in profusion of small opacities with age is statistically significant; this might be explained by the presence of the background dust over the area, to which, before the dampening of the tips, the whole population was constantly exposed.

Table 5 Second study: dependence of lung function and radiographic indices on age and years of exposure in all three mill categories and in the two dustiest categories


Index	Regression coefficients of index on age and years in category				Constant
	Age	J4	J5	J6	
All three mill categories					
FEV ₁	-0.029***	-0.014	-0.029**	-0.038**	4.58
FVC	-0.017**	-0.026	0.036***	-0.050***	4.97
FEV/FVC%	-0.374***	-0.181	-0.025	-0.065	94.35
Parenchymal change†	-0.054***	-0.070	+0.043*	+0.076*	0.87
In two dustiest categories					
FEV ₁	-0.031 ± 0.005***		-0.026 ± 0.009**	-0.035 ± 0.012**	4.61
FVC	-0.020 ± 0.005***		-0.030 ± 0.009***	-0.044 ± 0.012***	5.02
FEV/FVC%	-0.353 ± 0.066***		-0.063 ± 0.113	-0.030 ± 0.152	94.01
Parenchymal change†	+0.062 ± 0.012***		+0.029 ± 0.020	+0.061 ± 0.027*	0.73

†Categories 0- to 3/- represented by Nos 1-12.

***p < 0.001

**p < 0.01

*p < 0.05

Fig 7 Second study: effect of age and dust exposure on FEV₁ and FVC.

The results of the first study have shown that exposure in any part of the mill, with the exception of the rock crushing plant, caused a considerable deterioration in lung function and an increase in the profusion of small opacities. These findings are confirmed by the results of the second study, in which the men were much younger, and were exposed to dust for much shorter periods. Although, before these studies, little asbestos-related disease

had been reported from Havelock, it would appear that past dust concentrations in the mill have been unacceptably high, probably at least 50 fibres/ml (5×10^7 fibres/m³). Since 1976, however, measures have been taken to suppress the dust, and the levels of exposure have gradually been decreasing. A programme of regular health checks on all 2000 employees has recently started, which, together with continuous monitoring of the airborne dust, should appreciably reduce the risk of asbestos-related disease in the future.

References

- Rossiter CE, Bristol LJ, Cartier PH, *et al*. Radiographic changes in chrysotile asbestos mine and mill workers in Quebec. *Arch Environ Health* 1972;24:388-400.
- Ghezzi I, Aresini G, Vigliani EC. Il rischio di asbestosi in una miniera di amianto erisotilo. *Med Lav* 1972;63:189-211.
- International Labour Office. ILO 1980. *International classification of radiographs of pneumoconioses*. Geneva: ILO, 1980.
- Cole TJ. Linear and proportional regression models in the prediction of ventilatory function. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society (series A)* 1975;138:297-337.
- Viallat JR, Bouira C. Radiographic changes in chrysotile mine and mill ex-workers in Corsica. *Lung* 1980;157:155-63.