

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lowin Sample #26</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc - 75% 2. Carbonate - 10% 3. Quartz - 5% 4. Hematite - Trace 5. Chlorite - Trace? 6. Chrysotile - None observed 7. Tremolite - " " 8. Diatomaceous material - 10% % by best Vol. estimate					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>23 Nov 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>John W. Harty</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	8. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION ☐		ACTIVITY ☐		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
				(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
				(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET			1. PRODUCT <i>Levin Sample #26</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER		
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION	
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>							
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND % OF DECLARED		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE			
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc - 75% 2. Carbonate - 10% 3. Quartz - 5% 4. Hematite - Trace 5. Chlorite - Trace? 6. Chrysotile - none observed 7. Tremolite - " " 8. Diatomaceous material - 10% % by best Vol. estimate							
11. RESERVE SAMPLE							
12. DATE REPORTED <i>23 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(e) NAME <i>John W. Heavitt</i>		13b. NUMBER		13c. PC	
						14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED a. BY b. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA							
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)			
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC (X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM (P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN (C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO			
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS			
1.							
2.							
3.							

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lewis Sample # 37</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION					
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND % OF DECLARED		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 20-40% 2. Carbonate 10% 3. Qtz — 1-5% 4. Hematite - Trace 5. Chlorite 40-60% 6. Chrysotile - none observed 7. Tremolite - 200 fibers/mg 8. Boric Acid - 5-10%					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>24 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>John W. Hunt</i>		13b. NUMBER	
				13c. PC	
				14. CALCULA- TIONS CHECKED	
				a. BY	
				b. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Levin Sample # 37</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION					
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CON-TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL-ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 20-40% 2. Carbonate 10% 3. Qtz — 1-5% 4. Hematite - Trace 5. Chlorite 40-60% 6. Chrysotile - none observed 7. Tremolite - 200 fibers/mg 8. Boric Acid - 5-10%					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>24 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John W. Stuart</i>		13b. NUMBER	
				13c. PC	
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	
				a. BY	
				b. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Levon Sample # 42</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		9. LABELING ☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 70 % 2. Carbonate 3. Qtz - ? 4. Hematite Trace 5. Chlorite - none observed 6. Chrysotile " 7. Tremolite 600 fibers/mg 8. Boric acid - 25 %					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>25 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John W. Dunst</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	8. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
				(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
				(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lewin Sample #42</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. talc 70 %</i> <i>2. Carbonate</i> <i>3. Qtz - ?</i> <i>4. Hematite Trace</i> <i>5. Chlorite - none observed</i> <i>6. Carrysotile "</i> <i>7. Tremolite 600 fibers/mg</i> <i>8. Boric acid - 2.5 %</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>25 Apr. 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>W. W. Smith</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULA- TIONS CHECKED	a. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC (X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM (P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN (C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lowm Sample # 1</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum powder product</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS					
<i>1. Talc 77%</i> <i>2. Gt - none observed.</i> <i>3. Carbonate > 5%</i> <i>4. Chlorite > 3%</i> <i>5. Hematite - trace (0.2%)</i> <i>6. no pyrite observed.</i> <i>7. Trampelite - very fine / 10%</i> <i>(pyrite)</i> <i>percentages are by wet estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>6/11/74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John W. Stewart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULA- TIONS CHECKED	15. BY
					b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION ☐		ACTIVITY ☐		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
PMS NO.		HIA/DCC NO.		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
PROBLEM		NO. OF LOTS		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
HOURS				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
1. <table border="1" style="width:100%; height: 20px;"></table>		2. <table border="1" style="width:100%; height: 20px;"></table>		3. <table border="1" style="width:100%; height: 20px;"></table>	
3. <table border="1" style="width:100%; height: 20px;"></table>		4. <table border="1" style="width:100%; height: 20px;"></table>		5. <table border="1" style="width:100%; height: 20px;"></table>	

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Low Sodium Salt</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER <i>228</i>	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☐ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum powder product</i>					
8. NET CONTENTS	☐ NOT APPLICABLE	☐ DECLARED _____		9. LABELING	☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED
	☐ NOT DETERMINED	AMOUNT FOUND _____			☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED
	☐ UNITS EXAMINED	% OF DECLARED _____			☐ NONE
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc - 50%</i> <i>2. Magnesia 3.5%</i> <i>3. Silica - ?</i> <i>4. Benzaldehyde - Trace</i> <i>5. Glycerol - none detected</i> <i>6. Fragrance -</i> <i>7. Triphenylamine (anesthetic) - 7,040 lbs/yr.</i> <i>Percentages are by best estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>March 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John A. Hunt</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
					14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED
					a. BY
					b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Loose in Sample 11</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>powder packet</i>					
8. NET CON-TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL-ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc > 75%</i> <i>2. Oil none observed</i> <i>3. Ceromph > 5%</i> <i>4. White > 5%</i> <i>5. Hematite - none (100%)</i> <i>6. No nit nitrite observed</i> <i>7. Tramp bits - not traceable</i> <i>8. 11600</i> <i>percentages are by est. estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>6/11/64</i>		13a. ANALYST(e) NAME <i>H. W. Smith</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	8. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>2000 Sample # 10</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☐ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Yellow powder product</i>					
8. NET CON-TENTS	☐ NOT APPLICABLE	☐ DECLARED _____		9. LABEL-ING	☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED
	☐ NOT DETERMINED	AMOUNT FOUND _____			☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED
	☐ UNITS EXAMINED	% OF DECLARED _____			☐ NONE
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. 20 - 50 g</i> <i>2. Moisture 3.5%</i> <i>3. 100 - ?</i> <i>4. 1000°C - 1100°C</i> <i>5. 1000°C - 1100°C</i> <i>6. 1000°C - 1100°C</i> <i>7. 1100°C (1000°C, 1100°C) - 1000°C - 1100°C</i> <i>1000°C - 1100°C</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>8/20/72</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>J. Smith</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
					14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED
					a. BY
					b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCE NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lavium Sample # 1</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Yellow powder product</i>					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS					
<i>1. Talc 77%</i> <i>2. Oil none observed.</i> <i>3. Carbonate 75%</i> <i>4. Chloride 75%</i> <i>5. Hematite none (2%)</i> <i>6. no silicate observed.</i> <i>7. Tronchite - see lines/mg</i> <i>8. phosphate.</i> <i>percentages are by est. estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>6/11/74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>Phil W. Shatt</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	15. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION ☐		ACTIVITY ☐		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
PMS NO.		HIA/DCE NO.		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
PROBLEM		NO. OF LOTS		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
1.		1.		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
2.		2.		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
3.		3.			

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Low Sample # 88</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☐ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talc powder product</i>					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc - 50%</i> <i>2. Magnate 35%</i> <i>3. Sil - ?</i> <i>4. Magnate - 71.2%</i> <i>5. Cu - 2.0% - none secured</i> <i>6. insoluble -</i> <i>7. Titanium (impurities) - 7,000 lbs/ing.</i> <i>per 100 lbs are by test estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>March 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(a) NAME <i>J. Hunt</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	15. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION ☐		ACTIVITY ☐		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
PMS NO.		HIA/DCC NO.		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
PROBLEM		NO. OF LOTS		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
1.		1.		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
2.		2.		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
3.		3.			

ANALYST WORK SHEET			1. PRODUCT <i>Iron Ore #63</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER		
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☐ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION	
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder</i>							
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE			
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>2. carbonate 1%</i> <i>3. Qtz 5%</i> <i>4. Hematite trace</i> <i>5. Chlorite none observed</i> <i>6. Chrysotile "</i> <i>7. Talc 35,500 fibers/mg</i> <i>8. Silica 5-10% or Siliceous material(?)</i> <i>9. Iron 5%</i> <i>Percentages by wet wt. estimate</i>							
11. RESERVE SAMPLE							
12. DATE REPORTED <i>10/2/76</i>		13a. ANALYST(e) NAME <i>J. J. Smith</i>		13b. NUMBER		13c. PC	
						14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	
						a. BY b. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA							
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)			
☐		☐		☐ (A) MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC ☒ (X) X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM ☐ (P) PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN ☐ (C) CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO			
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS			
1.							
2.							
3.							

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>1000-200-100 H43</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Taken 2nd Product</i>					
8. NET CON-TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		9. LABEL-ING ☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL-ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>2. 1000-200-100</i> <i>3. QTR 4.5</i> <i>4. Hysteria trace</i> <i>5. 1000-200-100 1000-200-100</i> <i>6. 1000-200-100</i> <i>7. 1000-200-100 35,500 fibers per</i> <i>8. 1000-200-100 1000-200-100</i> <i>9. 1000-200-100 5-10%</i> <i>Percentages by test etc. estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>12 Apr 70</i>		13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>John J. Stewart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	15. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Levin Sample # 70</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Pwd. Prod.</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 60-75% 2. Carbonate 5% 3. Qtz 5% 4. Hematite Trace 5. Chlorite 10-20% 6. Chrysotile none ab 7. Tremolite 300 fibers/mg 8. Diatomaceous material 5-10% Percentages by best vol. estimate					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>11 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>John W. Stewart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	8. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Levin Sample #70</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Pwd. Prod.</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 60-75% 2. Carbonate 5% 3. Qtz 5% 4. Hematite Trace 5. Chlorite 10-20% 6. Chrysotile none 7. Tremolite 500 fibers/mg 8. Diatomaceous material 5-10% Percentages by best vol estimate					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>11 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>John W. Stewart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULA- TIONS CHECKED	15. BY
					b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
				(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
				(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lead Sample #71</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE ☐		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION					
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Pwd. Product</i>					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND % OF DECLARED		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc 79.0%</i> <i>2. Carbonate 2.1%</i> <i>3. Oil 0.5%</i> <i>4. Heavy metal 7.2%</i> <i>5. Cobalt 2.1%</i> <i>6. Copper 1.0%</i> <i>7. Tremolite 0.1%</i> <i>8. Isotopic material? 5%</i> <i>Percentages by last vol estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>8-10-74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John A. Stewart</i>		13b. NUMBER	
				13c. PC	
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	
				a. BY	
				b. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Leucine #71</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER																																									
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION																																								
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE																																													
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE																																									
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS																																													
<i>2.90%</i> <i>2.70% error in 10</i> <i>10.5%</i> <i>1.4%</i> <i>5.0% error < 100</i> <i>6.0% error 100-1000</i> <i>7.0% error 1000-10000</i> <i>8.0% error 10000-100000</i> <i>Percentages by last volume note</i>																																													
11. RESERVE SAMPLE																																													
12. DATE REPORTED <i>Aug 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>Don W. Smith</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC																																								
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	a. BY b. DATE																																								
15. PROGRAM DATA																																													
OPERATION ☐		ACTIVITY ☐		METHOD (Check no more than two)																																									
				(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC																																									
				(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM																																									
				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN																																									
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO																																									
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">PMS NO.</th> <th colspan="2">PROBLEM</th> <th colspan="2">HIA/DCC NO.</th> <th colspan="2">NO. OF LOTS</th> <th colspan="2">HOURS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						PMS NO.		PROBLEM		HIA/DCC NO.		NO. OF LOTS		HOURS		1.										2.										3.									
PMS NO.		PROBLEM		HIA/DCC NO.		NO. OF LOTS		HOURS																																					
1.																																													
2.																																													
3.																																													

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lead Sample # 72</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION					
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS					
<i>1. 75%</i> <i>2. 75%</i> <i>3. 75%</i> <i>4. Hematite trace</i> <i>5. 75%</i> <i>6. 75%</i> <i>7. 75%</i> <i>8. Fine grained material, 75%</i> <i>1. 75%</i> <i>2. 75%</i> <i>3. 75%</i> <i>4. 75%</i> <i>5. 75%</i> <i>6. 75%</i> <i>7. 75%</i> <i>8. 75%</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>11/1/74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>Paul F.</i>		13b. NUMBER	
				13c. PC	
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	
				a. BY	
				b. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
				(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.		HIA/DCC NO.		NO. OF LOTS	
PROBLEM				HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

Rec'd 4/5/72

ANALYST WORK SHEET			1. PRODUCT		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE			4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION						
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE						
Tanner Powder Product						
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED			9. DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND % OF DECLARED		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS						
1. Talc 75% 2. talc 1-5% 3. Qtz 2% 4. Hematite Trace 5. Calcite none observed 6. Chrysotile " 7. Amphibole " 8. Fine grained material, generally 100 or less - 25% percentages by weight estimate of Vol.						
11. RESERVE SAMPLE						
12. DATE REPORTED						
13a. ANALYST(e) NAME						
13b. NUMBER						
13c. PC						
14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED						
a. BY						
b. DATE						
15. PROGRAM DATA						
OPERATION						
ACTIVITY						
METHOD (Check no more than two)						
(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC						
(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM						
(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN						
(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO						

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Levon Sample #74</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talvon Prod Product</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Taste, white 2. 22 on the back 3. QTZ 5-10% 4. Hepatic tissue 5. Choleste 6. Cholestele 7. 176 on the 3500 mg 8. Starch</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>7 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>John W. Stewart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULA- TIONS CHECKED	15. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Levon Sample # 74</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		9. LABEL- ING ☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 80% 2. carbonate 5-10% 3. QTZ 5-10% 4. Hematite trace 5. Chlorite some observed 6. Chrysotile " " by C.R. 7. Mica 3300 grains/lmg 8. Starch					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>12 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(e) NAME <i>John W. Stuart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULA- TIONS CHECKED	a. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lewin Sample #77</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION					
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		9. LABEL- ING ☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc ~ 80% 2. Carbonate 25% 3. Qtz 25% 4. Hematite - Trace 5. Chlorite none observed 6. Chrysotile none observed 7. Titanolite 2500 fibers/mg 8. Fine grained material (nuc) 15-20% Percentages by best Vol. estimate					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>16 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John W. Stuart</i>		13b. NUMBER	
				13c. PC	
				14. CALCULA- TIONS CHECKED	
				a. BY	
				b. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.		HIA/DCC NO.		NO. OF LOTS	
PROBLEM		HOURS			
1.					
2.					
3.					

Reserve Sample

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lewin Sample #77</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc ~ 80% 2. Carbonate 25% 3. Qtz 45% 4. Hematite - Trace 5. Chlorite none observed 6. Chrysotile none observed 7. Tichnolite 2500 fibers/mg 8. Fine grained material (in bag) 15-20%</i> <i>Percentages by best vol. estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>16 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>John H. Stewart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	8. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Levin Sample #78</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CON-TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND % OF DECLARED		9. LABEL-ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc 60-70%</i> <i>2. Carbonate 5-10%</i> <i>3. Qtz 5-10%</i> <i>4. Hematite trace</i> <i>5. Chlorite none observed</i> <i>6. Chrysotile "</i> <i>7. Tremolite 96,000 fibers/mg</i> <i>8. Mica (?) 1-5%</i> <i>Percentages by best Vol. estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>17 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(e) NAME <i>John D. Stuart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	a. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
				(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
				(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Levin Sample #75</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc 60-70%</i> <i>2. Carbonate 5-10%</i> <i>3. Qtz 3-10%</i> <i>4. Hematite trace</i> <i>5. Chlorite none observed</i> <i>6. Chrysotile "</i> <i>7. Tremolite 96,000 fibers/mg</i> <i>8. Mica (?) 1-5%</i>					
<i>Percentages by best Vol. estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>17 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John W. Stewart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	a. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
PMS NO. PROBLEM HIA/DCC NO. NO. OF LOTS HOURS				(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC (X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM (P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN (C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
1. <table border="1" style="width:100%; height: 20px;"></table>					
2. <table border="1" style="width:100%; height: 20px;"></table>					
3. <table border="1" style="width:100%; height: 20px;"></table>					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Low in Sample #80</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CON-TENTS	☐ NOT APPLICABLE	☐ DECLARED		9. LABEL-ING	☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED
	☐ NOT DETERMINED	AMOUNT FOUND			☐ COPIES SUBMITTED
	☐ UNITS EXAMINED	% OF DECLARED			☐ NONE
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 60% 2. Carbonate 1-5% 3. Qtz 5% 4. Hematite <1% 5. Chlorite 30% 6. Chrysotile <i>none observed</i> 7. Tremolite (amphibole) <i>" "</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>22 Apr 77</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John W. Hunt</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	15. BY DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	

ANALYST WORK SHEET			1. PRODUCT <i>Low in Sample 80</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER		
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION	
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>							
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE			
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 60% 2. Carbonate 1-5% 3. Qtz 5% 4. Hematite 21% 5. Chloride 30% 6. Chrysotile <i>none observed</i> 7. Tremolite (amphibole) <i>" "</i>							
11. RESERVE SAMPLE							
12. DATE REPORTED <i>22 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>W. H. H. H.</i>		13b. NUMBER		13c. PC	
						14. CALCULA- TIONS CHECKED	
						a. BY	
						b. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA							
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)			
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC			
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM			
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN			
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO			
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOYS	HOURS			
1.							
2.							
3.							

Ref D + 1/28/74

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lawn Sample #85</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION					
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc 90% 2. Carbonate 1-5% 3. Qtz 1-5% 4. Hematite - trace 5. Chlorite none observed 6. Chrysotile " 7. Tremolite "</i> <i>Percentages by best vol. estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>18 Apr 74</i>		13a. ANALYST (P) NAME <i>John W. Hecht</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	a. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.		HIA/DCC NO.		NO. OF LOTS	
PROBLEM				HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

2nd 1/2/74

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Leam Sample #85</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION					
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum and Products</i>					
8. NET CON-TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL-ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc 90% 2. Carbonate 1-5% 3. Qtz 1-5% 4. Hematite 10000 5. Chlorite none observed 6. Chrysotile " 7. Tremolite "</i> <i>Percentages by surf. vol. estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>18 Apr 74</i>					
13a. ANALYST(S) NAME <i>W. H. Hearn</i>		13b. NUMBER		13c. PC	
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	
				E. BY	
				D. DATE	
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☒ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☐ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.		HIA/DCC NO.		NO. OF LOTS	
PROBLEM		HOURS			
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lumin Sample # 89</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM	6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION	
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum powder Docket</i>					
8. NET CON-TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL-ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. <i>Trace 70-90%</i> 2. <i>Monomale 5-10%</i> 3. <i>Q-2 <1%</i> 4. <i>Heroin - Trace</i> 5. <i>Chalk - none observed</i> 6. <i>Crack</i> 7. <i>Tramadol (ampicillin) - 2,900 - 1000mg</i> 8. <i>See records Material >1% <5%</i> <i>Percentages by est estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>March 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>W. H. Hunt</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	15. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
1. PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET			1. PRODUCT <i>Low Sample #89</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER																					
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION																				
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum powder product</i>																										
8. NET CON-TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL-ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE																						
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 70-90% 2. Calcite 5-10% 3. Qtz <1% 4. Hematite - Trace 5. Chlorite - none observed. 6. Chrysotile " 7. Tremolite (amphibole) - 2,904 fibers/mg 8. Miscellaneous material >1% <5% Percentages by test estimate																										
11. RESERVE SAMPLE																										
12. DATE REPORTED <i>11 March 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>W. H. Hunt</i>		13b. NUMBER		13c. PC																				
						14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED																				
						a. BY																				
						b. DATE																				
15. PROGRAM DATA																										
OPERATION ☐		ACTIVITY ☐		METHOD (Check no more than two)																						
				(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC																						
				(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM																						
				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN																						
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO																						
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse; font-size: 0.8em;"> <thead> <tr> <th>PMS NO.</th> <th>PROBLEM</th> <th>HIA/DCC NO.</th> <th>NO. OF LOTS</th> <th>HOURS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>							PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	1.					2.					3.				
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS																						
1.																										
2.																										
3.																										

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lead Sample # 90</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☐ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CON-TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL-ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Trc 40-60 %</i> <i>2. Quartz 40 %</i> <i>3. Qtz none observed</i> <i>4. Hematite - trace</i> <i>5. Chlorite - none observed</i> <i>6. Chrysotile - none observed</i> <i>7. Tremolite 4,000 fibers/lb</i> <i>8. Dmta. across material? - 5-10%</i> <i>Percc given as est estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>13 March 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>Jim W. Stuart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	15. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION ☐		ACTIVITY ☐		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
PMS NO.		HIA/DCC NO.		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
PROBLEM		NO. OF LOTS		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
HOURS				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lead Sample # 90</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER																													
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☐ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION																												
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>																																	
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE																													
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS 1. Talc 40-60% 2. Carbonate 40% 3. QTz none observed 4. Hematite - trace 5. Chlorite - none observed 6. Chrysotile - none observed 7. Tremolite - 4,004 fibers/mg 8. Diatomaceous material? - 5-10% Percentages are estimates.																																	
11. RESERVE SAMPLE																																	
12. DATE REPORTED <i>13 March 79</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John W. Stuart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC																												
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	15. BY b. DATE																												
15. PROGRAM DATA																																	
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)																													
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <th>PMS NO.</th> <th>PROBLEM</th> <th>HIA/DCC NO.</th> <th>NO. OF LOGS</th> <th>HOURS</th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table>		PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOGS	HOURS	1.					2.					3.					<table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <th>NO. OF LOGS</th> <th>HOURS</th> </tr> <tr><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td></tr> </table>		NO. OF LOGS	HOURS							(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC (X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM (P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN (C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOGS	HOURS																													
1.																																	
2.																																	
3.																																	
NO. OF LOGS	HOURS																																

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lawrence Sample #92</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>For the sample product</i>					
8. NET CONTENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABELING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Take 60-40%</i> <i>2. ...</i> <i>3. ...</i> <i>4. ...</i> <i>5. ... none observed</i> <i>6. ...</i> <i>7. ...</i> <i>8. ... 1-5%</i> <i>... by best estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>11/11</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>[Signature]</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	a. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lowry Sample #92</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM	6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION	
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Lowry Sample #92</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc 60-70% 2. ... 3. ... 4. ... 5. ... none observed 6. ... 7. ... 8. ... 1-3 % Percentage by weight estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>11/1</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>Stuart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	15. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO	PROBLEM	H/A/DCC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lowin Sample # 83</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☐ INTACT ☒ BROKEN ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD	5. RECEIVED FROM		6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CON-TENTS	☐ NOT APPLICABLE	☐ DECLARED _____		9. LABEL-ING	☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED
	☐ NOT DETERMINED	AMOUNT FOUND _____			☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED
	☐ UNITS EXAMINED	% OF DECLARED _____			☐ NONE
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc 10-70%</i> <i>2. Calcinate 10-15%</i> <i>3. Oil None observed</i> <i>4. Heavy Metal trace</i> <i>5. Silica None observed</i> <i>6. Crystalline</i> <i>7. 7% (unprocessed) talc</i> <i>8. 100% talc</i> <i>Product by best estimate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>3 Mar 74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>John D. Hart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULATIONS CHECKED	15. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION ☐		ACTIVITY ☐		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
PMS NO.		HIA/DCC NO.		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
PROBLEM		NO. OF LOTS		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
HOURS				(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
				(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	

ANALYST WORK SHEET		1. PRODUCT <i>Lowin Sample # 12</i>		2. SAMPLE NUMBER	
3. SEALS ☒ BROKEN ☐ INTACT ☐ NONE		4. DATE RECD		5. RECEIVED FROM	
6. DISTRICT OR DIVISION					
7. DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE <i>Talcum Powder Product</i>					
8. NET CON- TENTS ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐ NOT DETERMINED ☐ UNITS EXAMINED		☐ DECLARED _____ AMOUNT FOUND _____ % OF DECLARED _____		9. LABEL- ING ☐ ORIGINAL(S) SUBMITTED ☐ _____ COPIES SUBMITTED ☐ NONE	
10. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS <i>1. Talc 10-70% 2. Silicate 10-15% 3. STC none observed 4. Magnesium stearate 5. Zinc stearate none observed 6. Crystalline 7. 7% (impurities) - 21.10 8. 10% (impurities) - 21.10</i> <i>Residue by test indicate</i>					
11. RESERVE SAMPLE					
12. DATE REPORTED <i>11/14/74</i>		13a. ANALYST(s) NAME <i>L. B. Hart</i>		13b. NUMBER	13c. PC
				14. CALCULA- TIONS CHECKED	a. BY b. DATE
15. PROGRAM DATA					
OPERATION		ACTIVITY		METHOD (Check no more than two)	
☐		☐		(A) ☐ MACROSCOPIC (M) ☐ MICROSCOPIC	
☐		☐		(X) ☒ X-RAY (F) ☐ FIELD EXAM	
☐		☐		(P) ☐ PHY EXAM (B) ☐ BACT (O) ☐ ORGAN	
☐		☐		(C) ☐ CHEM (N) ☐ W/O ANAL (L) ☐ BIO	
PMS NO.	PROBLEM	HIA/DEC NO.	NO. OF LOTS	HOURS	
1.					
2.					
3.					