

March 23, 1967

Mr. Robert Horman MacLean
Hanna and Brophy, Attorneys
444 Market Street
San Francisco, California

Dear Mr. MacLean:

I have just finished a long letter to Doctor Patterson, in which I have given him my view of the medical procedure that is required to deal effectively with cases of actual or merely alleged lead poisoning from the medico-legal viewpoint. My purpose in this may be disadvantageous to you, from a certain viewpoint, since I would hope to avoid any kind of litigation. However, in the present state of human affairs, while this is the goal, it is dubious that it can be achieved. The more likely outcome will be to provide evidence of the right sort at the right time, so as to involve you less frequently in ambiguous situations.

With this accomplished for the benefit of Dr. Patterson and, indirectly, General Motors, I have done my duty, and can now be satisfied to send a bill for my services. This is not to hasten the matter but to get it behind me before I forget all about it.

Let me say that it was a pleasure to have made your acquaintance and to have been associated with you in the legal action in this case. Such matters are not always so simple and straightforward in their handling.

Cordially yours,

Robert A. Kehoe, M.D.
Professor Emeritus of
Occupational Medicine

RAK:wp

P.S. I have no record of the proper designation of this case, and no recollection of the employee's name. If necessary, you can fill this in for me. Thanks.

RAK

N13160

March 23, 1967

To: Mr. Robert Norman MacLean
Hanna and Brophy, Attorneys
444 Market Street
San Francisco, California

For: Review of records and for testimony in a case
of alleged lead poisoning

March 11 and 13, 1967 . . . \$75.00

N13160.01

KE 0012490