C. SENTO

M. L. Mullins (26-3) - General Offices ELSP

March 21, 1972

SUBJECT: PCB REMOVAL TECHNOLOGY

REFERENCE: MLM to GLJ, 2/23/72

TO: G. L. Jessee - 1060

cc: J. T. Bell
H. S. Bergen
J. T. Garrett
D. B. Hosmer
W. B. Papageorge
W. R. Richard

I have reviewed the Anniston PCB Abatement Program report and concur with Toby Bell's conclusion that removal of data on plant losses, flows, dates, etc. would render the report useless as well as requiring a great deal of someone's time.

Since we are the only manufacturer of PCB's, it seems logical to assume any conclusion drawn by MRC's study could be imposed on us at WGK, and possibly Anniston residuals. For the above reasons, I recommend that if we hear from MRC again on this subject, we indicate that the information is considered confidential and not suitable for the proposed use.

I have discussed this position with Bill Papageorge and Jack Garrett and they concur.

While this seems a severe approach to take with an arm of our company, I do not see how else we could adequately control the use of such information.

Please advise if I can be of any further assistance in this regard.

I am returning the PCB report to Toby Bell as I understand it is the only copy.

M. L. Mullins

/ms

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 2110

DSW 178538

WATER_PCB-SD0000067675

FROM (NAME & LOCATION) M. L. Mullins (2648) - General Offices ELSF

DATE March 21, 1972

SUBJECT PCB REMOVAL TECHNOLOGY

REFERENCE MLM to GLJ, 2/23/72

TO : G. L. Jessee - 1060

cc J. T. Bell
H. S. Bergen
J. T. Garrett
D. B. Hosmer
W. B. Papageorge
W. R. Richard

Agreed. Don't send note to [illegible]

I have reviewed the Anniston PCB Abatement Program report and concur with Toby Bell's conclusion that removal of data on plant losses, flows, dates, etc. would render the report useless as well as requiring a great deal of someone's time.

Since we are the only manufacturer of PCB's, it seems logical to assume any conclusion drawn by MRC's study could be imposed on us at WGK, and possibly Anniston residuals. For the above reasons, I recommend that if we hear from MRC again on this subject, we indicate that the information is considered confidential and not suitable for the proposed use.

I have discussed this position with Bill Papageorge and Jack Garrett and they concur.

While this seems a severe approach to take with an arm of our company, I do not see how else we could adequately control the use of such information.

Please advise if I can be of any further assistance in this regard.

I am returning the PCB report to Toby Bell as I understand it is the only copy.

M. L. Mullins

/ms

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 2110A

DSW 175921

WATER_PCB-SD0000067676