

Message

---

**From:** Annie Dwyer [Annie.Dwyer@cei.org]  
**Sent:** 10/17/2017 12:07:52 PM  
**To:** Bennett, Tate [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=1fa92542f7ca4d01973b18b2f11b9141-Bennett, E]  
**CC:** Abboud, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b6f5af791a1842f1adcc088cbf9ed3ce-Abboud, Mic]  
**Subject:** Re: Press

Clean Power Plan oped by Marlo Lewis at Washington Examiner: <http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/repealing-the-clean-power-plan-will-benefit-all-americans/article/2637612>

"Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Scott Pruitt's proposal to repeal the so-called Clean Power Plan is the most notable step President Trump and his team have taken to date to end the Obama administration's unlawful and economically destructive war on affordable energy.

"Although there is no shortage of policy reasons to repeal the Clean Power Plan, which promises lots of economic pain for no discernible environmental gain, Pruitt is proposing repeal chiefly because the plan exceeds the legal authority delegated to the agency by Congress."

.....

"The Clean Power Plan is an unlawful power grab that forces American consumers to endure higher electric rates, fewer jobs, and a less competitive economy — and all for no detectable climate benefit. In pursuing repeal, the administration has clearly made the right call."

Annie Dwyer  
Vice President of Communications  
Competitive Enterprise Institute

**Ex. 6**

On Oct 16, 2017, at 8:46 PM, Bennett, Tate <[Bennett.Tate@epa.gov](mailto:Bennett.Tate@epa.gov)> wrote:

Thank you! Copying our comms team to update our tracker. Thanks again!

On Oct 16, 2017, at 8:41 PM, Annie Dwyer <[Annie.Dwyer@cei.org](mailto:Annie.Dwyer@cei.org)> wrote:

A couple more tweets from CEI President Kent Lassman  
<https://twitter.com/KentatCEI/status/920004910598557696>  
<https://twitter.com/KentatCEI/status/920005287049908227>

There will also be an oped on the Clean Power Plan decision in the Washington Examiner by CEI's Marlo Lewis tomorrow. Will go up at midnight.

---

**From:** Annie Dwyer  
**Sent:** Monday, October 16, 2017 1:31 PM  
**To:** 'Bennett, Tate' <[Bennett.Tate@epa.gov](mailto:Bennett.Tate@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Press

Below are some comments from CEI's William Yeatman – he's our expert on sue and settle. Bottom line—happy with this news; would love to see EPA also tackle the deadlines issue.

Tweet as well: <https://twitter.com/ceidotorg/status/919971810510172161>

Yeatman covered this issue in a [report back in August 2016](#). That's where the Obama administration numbers come from.

## EPA 'Sue and Settle' Directive Is Good First Step, Must Also Focus on Agency Deadlines

October 16, 2017

Competitive Enterprise Institute Senior Fellow William Yeatman responded to the Environmental Protection Agency's [directive issued today](#) by Administrator Scott Pruitt which is "designed to end 'sue and settle' practices within the Agency," according to a press release.

"While the transparency measures and spirit of cooperative federalism are welcome first steps, more needs to be done to address the underlying problem, which is EPA's woeful performance of its meeting mandatory deadlines for regulatory programs.

"We agree with Administrator Pruitt's goal of ensuring that the agency—and not special interests—establish federal policymaking priorities. To this end, the best thing Administrator Pruitt can do is shift resources within the agency away from discretionary actions and towards meeting its mandatory deadlines.

"During the Obama administration, for example, the EPA missed 84 percent out of more than 1,000 Clean Air Act deadlines by an average of 4.3 years. The problem is that the agency's failure to meet its legal responsibilities allows environmental special interests to sue and thereby dictate regulatory priorities to the EPA.

"Any solution to this problem must start with the EPA making timely performance of its responsibilities a priority, which the agency has yet to do under any administration. If the agency determines that it has insufficient resources to implement its deadlines, then the Administrator should come to Congress for relief."

- <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->For more on EPA's performance of deadlines, see Yeatman's paper [The EPA's Dereliction of Duty](#).
- <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->For more on how the agency could work with Congress if the EPA determines that it has too many deadlines, see the final section of this law review: [Deadline Citizen Suits: An Idea Whose Time Has Expired](#).

---

**From:** Bennett, Tate [<mailto:Bennett.Tate@epa.gov>]

**Sent:** Monday, October 16, 2017 12:18 PM

**To:** Annie Dwyer <[Annie.Dwyer@cei.org](mailto:Annie.Dwyer@cei.org)>

**Subject:** Press

If CEI does any on Sue and Settle, can you let me know? We are going to put everything in front of Pruitt. Even tweets!

Elizabeth Tate Bennett

Associate Administrator for Public Engagement & Environmental Education  
Office of the Administrator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
(202) 564-1460  
[Bennett.Tate@epa.gov](mailto:Bennett.Tate@epa.gov)