

Message

From: Dunlap, David [David.Dunlap@kochps.com]
Sent: 5/26/2017 2:07:33 PM
To: Beck, Nancy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=168ecb5184ac44de95a913297f353745-Beck, Nancy]
Subject: RE: TSCA rules

Now if can just get the program its necessary funding? Ya know any ORD programs from which you could appropriate funds?

David D. Dunlap | Director, Environmental Regulatory Affairs
Koch Companies Public Sector

Culture eats strategy for breakfast – Peter Drucker

From: Beck, Nancy [mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 10:01 AM
To: Dunlap, David <David.Dunlap@kochps.com>
Subject: RE: TSCA rules

Sent by an external sender

Thanks for the input David! I totally agree with you that we wont be able to pull this off working alone!

Regards,
Nancy

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: Ex. 6
beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Dunlap, David [mailto:David.Dunlap@kochps.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 10:25 AM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>
Subject: TSCA rules

One general note for you as the Agency finalizes the risk assessment rule.

Simply put, the goal of TSCA is to manage risk from chemicals used in commerce. Everyone should agree on this. But this won't truly happen in any broad way at the minimum pace laid out in the legislation. For the LCSA to truly meet its potential and serve the public's needs (minimize risk without stifling innovation), TSCA must work with pace and efficiency. Not everyone may agree with this premise, but I certainly do. For this to happen the Agency must treat industry as an equal and trusted stakeholder and find cooperative (not coercive) ways to leverage industry's financial and knowledge base in a way that meets our common goal of low risk (for the intended use) and effective products/chemicals for the businesses and the public. A good start will be through an industry nomination process that encourages rather than penalizes or disadvantages industry generated risk assessments. New TSCA will only be successful if the Agency can incentivize industry to do much of the work in assessing both high and low risk chemicals.

Regards,

DDD

David D. Dunlap | Director, Environmental Regulatory Affairs
Koch Companies Public Sector

Culture eats strategy for breakfast – Peter Drucker

From: Beck, Nancy [<mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 17, 2017 10:25 AM
To: Dunlap, David <David.Dunlap@kochps.com>
Subject: RE: CDR

Sent by an external sender

Thank you.
This is not the first time I'm hearing concerns about CDR.

Nancy

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: Ex. 6
beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Dunlap, David [<mailto:David.Dunlap@kochps.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 17, 2017 10:22 AM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>
Subject: CDR

I remember now.

All stakeholders should be focused on making sure EPA gets accurate data. Without accurate data, EPA cannot make proper Section 5 and 6 decisions.

Data quantity does not equate to data QAULTY or even data useability!

DDD