



Weinberg Consulting Group Inc.

2828 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 301
Washington, D.C. 20007

(202) 342-6513

September 7, 1983

Dr. Allan Ford
Mail Code E1SA
Monsanto Company
800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63167

Dear Al:

I have just completed the review of the first part of the literature search which we are conducting and think that this is a good point at which to write to both convey first impressions and discuss a major issue.

This first search was of three public data bases. "CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS," "MEDLINE," and "BIOLOGICAL ABSTRACTS" for the years 1979 through the present. The strategy of the search was to find all citations for dioxins, 2,4,5-T, 2,4-D, benzofurans, and, in addition, to find all references to chlorophenols in the two biological data bases. We found about 1000 separate citations. I have completed my first review of all of the citations. This involved reading the abstracts (where available) and the titles and key words where the abstracts were not available.

My first impression is that about 40% of all of the articles recovered deal with chlorinated phenols with no mention of dioxin. I have marked a number of these for your attention and will forward copies of these to you by the end of this week. Under my current assignment with Townely & Updyke, I am not reviewing these further. I would be interested in knowing, however, if we turn up anything which is of interest to you but is not in your file.

My second impression, and the one about which this letter is written, is, about 25 percent of the articles about dioxins deal with enzyme induction and subcellular receptor activities. It would appear that there is a significant amount of work going on in this area — much more work than one would consider if one reads just Poland's work.

Clearly there are two sites for which dioxin affinity is high, where dioxin is a significant tool in mapping the enzyme site and where dioxin is used to evaluate the characteristics of the receptor. Comparisons are being made with other similar enzyme inducers and other materials which affect the receptor site. I find these comparisons of great interest because a number of materials, hexobarbital for example, seem to cause some of the same subcellular effects but, and this is a big but, these materials do not have the usual sequelae alleged to follow dioxin and/or chlorinated phenols exposure. That is to say, there are many materials which have affinities for the same receptors which do not cause cancer or do not affect immunosensitivities, etc.

← remember
create
discuss

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.

023789

I am trying to compile all of the papers on receptor site activation and on enzyme induction that deal with dioxins, benzofurans, PCBs, DDT-like molecules, and chlorinated phenols. We should consider writing a summary of these. You must have a better view of the overall field of enzyme induction, of receptor activities, and of the effects such the changes in P-450 and related moieties, etc., before following Poland only.

This has been a long letter which may be summarized in 3 points:

- (a) there is a great deal of work underway in the areas of enzyme induction and receptor mapping which must be understood before adopting Poland as the guide;
- (b) the work indicates that these effects, (i.e., enzyme induction and receptor activation) may not signal any key sequelae or health effects and hence must be understood to be able to deal with Carnow; and
- (c) a review of these two areas is in order.

I hope this has been useful.

I hope to speak with you after you have reviewed this so that we can determine what is the next step.

Very truly yours,

WEINBERG CONSULTING GROUP Inc.
Myron S. Weinberg, Ph.D.

cc: Dr. William McCarville

CONFIDENTIAL
SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.

023790



Weinberg Consulting Group Inc.

2828 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 301
Washington, D.C. 20007

(202) 342-6513

September 7, 1983

Dr. Allan Ford
Mail Code E1SA
Monsanto Company
800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63167

Dear Al:

I am trying to learn more about three subjects which are relevant to the various dioxin-related cases with which we are dealing. I would solicit your help in getting more information on these.

The first deals with the use of chlorinated phenols contaminated by dioxin. It would appear that chlorinated phenols are widely used. Can you get me a list or a description of all of the uses to which chlorinated phenols are put? Are these used directly by the consumer? How widespread is dioxin contamination of these chlorinated phenols? Could the average consumer come in contact with either the chlorinated phenols and/or the dioxins contained therein? Finally, are chlorinated phenols formed during the chlorination of drinking water? To what extent does this happen? Does the EPA have standards for chlorinated phenols and similar compounds in drinking water?

The second area of interest is YUSHO, a disease described by either Japanese or Formosans as related to exposure to PCBs and/or dioxins. What do you have in your file on this? Have you built any literature on this? Do we know where the exposure comes from? Does it, perhaps, come from pesticide use? I would like your help in better understanding YUSHO.

The third area (and final for this letter but no means final for the program) is in understanding the role played by iron metabolism in disease associated with dioxin and/or chlorinated phenols. It would appear that there is a delicate balance of nutritional iron in reducing and/or enhancing any disease process associated with these materials. Are you aware of any references and/or literature discussing this? Have you hear of any considerations of this interaction by the various medical groups involved in this? I do not know how this will work as yet but I would feel it is something we should understand in these cases.

Al, I would appreciate any input you have on these subjects.

Talk to you soon.

Very truly yours,


Myron S. Weinberg, Ph.D.

CONFIDENTIAL
SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.

023791



Weinberg Consulting Group Inc.

2828 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 301
Washington, D.C. 20007

(202) 342-6513

**LAWYERS' WORK PRODUCT
PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL**

October 31, 1983

Dr. William Gaffey
Building "G"
Monsanto Company
800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63167


Dear Bill:

I am sure that you have seen the enclosed. Do you consider this as unique or are there other such reports which implicate working in a chlorophenol-producing and/or -using plant with lymphomata?

I would like your thoughts on this if you have the time.

Thanks for your help on this.

Very truly yours,


WEINBERG CONSULTING GROUP Inc.
Myron S. Weinberg, Ph.D.

MSW/vh

Enclosure

CONFIDENTIAL
SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.

C23813

extremities (10%), unspecified debility (3%), and speech troubles (2%). Combinations of disabilities were common. There was considerable variation between the assessment made by the G.P., the district nursing services, and the geriatric department regarding the incidence of these types of disabilities. The numbers cited correspond to the assessment made by the geriatric department.

Two-thirds of the referred pensioners wished for, or at least contemplated, a change of accommodation. Requests for allocation of accommodation in nursing-homes were met in about 50% of the cases, whereas only 23% of the requests for sheltered housing were met. After assessment, treatment and provision of necessary services and improvements in living conditions it was decided, in 51% of cases, that the referred pensioner could and should remain at home.

Almost all of the pensioners had been referred because of imminent or established breakdown in their ability to cope independently in their own homes. The figures demonstrate that geriatric assessment and consequent action help many elderly people to continue independent living outside institutions—and such assessments reduce (by half) the need for institutional care for frail elderly people.

Middelfart Sygehus,
5500 Middelfart, Denmark


R. KRAKAUER

SALTED FISH AND NASOPHARYNGEAL CARCINOMA IN SOUTHERN CHINESE

SIR,—The unusually high incidence of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (N.P.C.) in southern Chinese both in and outside China has been known for over fifty years and is thus likely to be the product of a traditional environment peculiar to southern Chinese. The age-specific incidence curves for southern Chinese of both sexes rises steeply after the age of 19–24 years. Ho,^{1–3} has suggested that the aetiology involves an interaction between a genetically determined susceptibility, early infection by the ubiquitous Epstein-Barr virus (E.B.V.), and consumption of a traditional preserved food by southern Chinese from early childhood. Salted fish, a traditional food frequently consumed during weaning and post-weaning periods, contains a variety of volatile nitrosamines,^{4–6} compounds which induce tumours in the nasal cavities and/or nasopharyngeal tube in animals.^{7–10} Carcinomas appeared in the nasal cavity and maxillary sinus of 4 of 22 albino rats fed salted fish for 12–24 months (ref. 11 and unpublished).

We have applied the Ames mutagenicity test¹² using the TA100 and TA98 strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* and mammalian microsomal (S-9 mix) activation to extracts of salted fish and to the urine of albino rats which had consumed such fish. Salted-fish extracts were prepared by mincing and suspension in dimethylsulphoxide (2 ml/g of fish) with vigorous shaking for 24 h and centrifugation at 10 000 rev/min for 10 min at room temperature. The Ames test was done with the supernatants.

Urine samples were collected for 72 h from 4 pairs of fish-consuming rats and 4 pairs of controls (matched for sex, age



Mutagenic activity of extracts of salted fish.

○ bacteria without S-9 mix; ● bacteria with S-9 mix;
△ bacteria with S-9 mix and Yahagi's¹⁴ procedure.

and body-weight). The test animals were fed daily with steamed cooked salted fish and fresh water, whereas the control rats were given fresh water and animal chow salted to the concentration found in the salted fish. Pooled urine from each pair of rats was concentrated about a 100-fold,¹³ dissolved in 4 ml dimethylsulphoxide, and subjected to the Ames test.

Mutagenic activity for both TA100 and TA98 was found in all the salted-fish preparations tested and all showed a dose-responsive curve (see figure). Since TA100 and TA98 detect different mutagens, there was probably more than one mutagen in the preparations tested. In most cases mutagenic activity was enhanced by liver microsomal activation, and in some cases also by preincubating the bacteria with S-9 mix at 25°C for 20 min before the test.¹⁴ This procedure causes selective enhancement of the activity of N-nitroso compounds, suggesting that some of the mutagens in the samples tested are N-nitroso compounds.

Mutagenic activity was also found in urine from the experimental rats with a dose-response relationship.

Our findings may explain the induction of carcinoma in rats fed with salted fish¹⁶ and the high incidence of N.P.C. in southern Chinese, although one must be cautious in extrapolating events in animals, especially under experimental conditions, to the human situation.

We thank the World Health Foundation (Hong Kong) and the Hong Kong Anti-Cancer Society for financial assistance.

Medical and Health Department,
Institute of Radiology and Oncology,
Queen Elizabeth Hospital,
Kowloon, Hong Kong

J. H. C. HO
D. P. HUANG

Department of Biochemistry,
University of Hong Kong

Y. Y. FONG

FAMILIAL AND SPORADIC HODGKIN'S DISEASE ASSOCIATED WITH OCCUPATIONAL WOOD EXPOSURE

SIR,—The aggregation of Hodgkin's disease in communities and families has suggested the influence of environmental risk factors, particularly of an infectious nature.¹ Evidence implicating industrial or chemical agents has been scarce, although an excess risk has been reported among woodworkers^{2,3} and organic chemists.⁴

An occupational exposure may have contributed to a fami-

1. Ho, H. C. *J. R. Coll. Surg. Edin.* 1975, 20, 223.
2. Ho, H. C. in *Cancer in Asia, Opportunity for Prevention, Detection and Treatment*. Gann Monograph on Cancer Research no. 18, p. 49. 1974.
3. Ho, J. H. C. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 1978, 4, 181.
4. Fong, Y. Y., Chan, W. C. *Nature*, 1973, 243, 421.
5. Fong, Y. Y., Chan, W. C. *Food Cosmet. Toxicol.* 1976, 14, 95.
6. Huang, D. P., Ho, J. H. C., Gough, T. A., Webb, K. S. *J. Food Safety*, 1977, 1, 1.
7. Cardesa, A., Pour, P., Haas, H., Althoff, J., Mohr, U. *Cancer*, 1976, 37, 346.
8. Althoff, J., Mohr, U., Page, N., Reznik, G. *J. natn Cancer Inst.* 1974, 53, 795.
9. Pour, P., Kruger, F. W., Cardesa, A., Althoff, J., Mohr, U. *J. natn Cancer Inst.* 1973, 41, 1019.
10. Haas, H., Mohr, U., Kruger, F. W. *J. natn Cancer Inst.* 1973, 51, 1295.
11. Huang, D. P., Saw, D., Teoh, T. B., Ho, J. H. C. Paper presented at the International Symposium on Etiology and Control of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma in Kyoto, April 1977 to be published.
12. Ames, B. N., McCann, J., Yamasaki, E. *Mutat. Res.* 1975, 31, 347.


13. Yamasaki, E., Ames, B. N. *Proc. natn Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 1977, 74, 3555.
14. Yahagi, T., Nagao, M., Seino, U., Matsushima, T., Sugimura, T., Okada, M. *Mutat. Res.* 1977, 48, 121.

1. Gutensohn, N., Cole, P. *Int. J. Cancer*, 1977, 19, 595.
2. Milham, S., Hesser, J. E. *Lancet*, 1967, ii, 136.
3. Grufterman, S., Duong, T., Cole, P. *J. natn Cancer Inst.* 1976, 57, 1193.
4. Olin, R. *Lancet*, 1976, ii, 916.

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.

C23814



Pedigree of a familial Hodgkin's disease kindred (N.C.I. Epidemiology Branch no. 323).

lial occurrence of Hodgkin's disease affecting three sibs and a first cousin (see figure). All cancers reported were histologically verified. Except for one child with isolated IgA deficiency (IV-1), detailed laboratory investigation of family members showed no abnormalities of immune function, chromosome structure, or Epstein-Barr virus titre. Two brothers with Hodgkin's disease (III-3, 4) had been employed by a fence-installation company for 15 and 12 years, respectively, and worked primarily with cedar wood products immersed in a fungicide/insecticide solution, pentachlorophenol (P.C.P.). The brothers prepared and applied the preservative solution by hand, without protective clothing, and the one sib still employed at the time of study had high levels of P.C.P. in the serum (1299 p.p.b.) and urine (90 p.p.b.). There were no similar exposures in the remaining two familial cases. Hodgkin's disease (nodular-sclerosis type) also developed in an unrelated 31-year-old employee of the same company (average number of workers 15).

Since a positive association between woodworking and Hodgkin's disease has not been consistently observed, we examined the occupational statements on death certificates in North Carolina counties with a significant proportion of the population employed in furniture-manufacturing and lumbering. In an earlier study,⁵ this approach was used to confirm the relationship between nasal cancer and woodworking previously reported in the U.K. Between 1956 and 1974, there were 167 deaths from Hodgkin's disease among white males. To each case, two control certificates chosen from other causes of death were matched by sex, race, county of death, age, and year of death. An excess risk was found only among occupational groups with wood and paper exposure. Matched triplet analysis revealed a relative risk of 1.4 (95% confidence interval 0.8-2.3). The major difference occurred for carpentry and

lumbering, with a relative risk of 4.2 (95% confidence interval 1.4-12.5).

Reports of Hodgkin's disease among workers in the wood industry have stimulated interest in various physical properties of wood.^{6,7} In the family under study, there was conspicuous exposure to chemical preservatives, notably P.C.P., which is extensively used in the lumber and wood industry (1969 world production 2.1×10^6 kg). However, only two of the four family members with Hodgkin's disease were occupationally exposed, suggesting the interplay between genetic and environmental determinants, as illustrated by the susceptibility to radiogenic cancers among persons with xeroderma pigmentosum, bilateral retinoblastoma, or the basal-cell naevus syndrome.⁸ However, P.C.P. easily escapes from the workplace into the general environment,⁹⁻¹⁰ especially water supplies, and is present in the urine of occupationally exposed and "normal" individuals.¹¹ P.C.P. is not known to be carcinogenic, but data are limited and commercial preparations usually contain structurally related compounds, like dioxin, which is carcinogenic in rodents.¹² Since P.C.P. is applied to lumber at many stages in its processing, beginning at the sawmill, unrecognised exposure may occur among many wood-utilising occupational groups. Thus, it may be that the association between occupational wood exposure and Hodgkin's disease (and perhaps nasal cancer) is related to the use of particular chemical preservatives.

We thank Dr Lyle Wong of the Hawaii Epidemiologic Studies Program for P.C.P. determinations on patient III-3.

Environmental Epidemiology Branch,
National Cancer Institute,
Bethesda, Maryland 20014, U.S.A.
Providence, Rhode Island

MARK H. GREENE
LOUISE A. BRINTON
JOSEPH F. FRAUMENI
RICHARD D'AMICO

CHROMOSOMAL DAMAGE AND HAIR DYES

SIR,—Having been asked by a U.S. Congressional committee to evaluate the quality of certain epidemiological studies of the possible carcinogenicity of hair dyes I read the July 15 paper by Dr Kirkland and colleagues (p. 124). For teaching physicians about research methodology and biostatistics this report could be used as a "museum of pathology", displaying a plethora of violations of fundamental scientific principles.

(1) The study cannot be reproduced because the procedure used to obtain the two groups under investigation is not described, except for a statement that they were "volunteers".

(2) In most such studies the number of controls equals (and often exceeds) the number of cases. Kirkland et al. do not explain why they compared 36 controls and 60 hair tinters.

(3) Having designed a study to test a hypothesis about the effects of hair dyes on tinters and non-tinters Kirkland et al. analysed the data and found no difference in the two groups. They then defined a new hypothesis, and, using the same data, constructed new sets of cases and controls. The results of this post hoc act of hypothesis generation are presented and interpreted as though they emerged from a valid act of hypothesis testing.

(4) The authors examined 100 cells in all of 96 people. I would expect the results to be expressed in terms of damaged people, but all the data are shown only for the 9600 cells counted. 132 chromatid breaks in 2800 cells of a group of 28 subjects (mean 0.047 breaks per cell) does not tell us whether 2 subjects each had 66 breaks and 26 had no breaks or whether the 28 subjects all had 4 or 5 breaks. Furthermore, the subsequent statistical analyses are improperly conducted as

6. Robinson, T. *Lancet*, 1972, ii, 771.
7. Sabine, J. R., Horton, B. J., Wicks, M. B. *J. natl Cancer Inst.* 1973, 50, 1237.
8. Strong, L. C. in *Genetics of Human Cancer* (edited by J. J. Mulvihill, R. W. Miller, and J. F. Fraumeni); p. 401.
9. Norup, B. *Water Res.* 1972, 6, 1585.
10. Fontaine, J. E., Joshipura, P. B., Keiher, P. *Nat. Acad. Sci.* 1976, 73, 185.
11. Cranmer, M., Freal, J. *Life Sci.* 1970, 9, 121.
12. Van Miller, J. P., Lawlich, J., Allen, J. R. *Chemosphere*, 1977, 6, 625.
13. Venitt, S., Kirkland, D. J., Searle, C. E., Harnden, D. G. *Lancet*, 1976, i, 124.

S. Brinton, L. A., Blot, W. J., Stone, B. J., Fraumeni, J. F. *Cancer Res.* 1977, 37, 3473.

CONFIDENTIAL
SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.

023815