

CHICAGO
SAN FRANCISCO
LOS ANGELES
MINNEAPOLIS
DETROIT
SEATTLE
ST. LOUIS
INDIANAPOLIS
ST. PAUL
PORTLAND
DULUTH
NEW ORLEANS
TULSA
OAKLAND
PHOENIX
MILWAUKEE
SAN DIEGO
KALAMAZOO
CLEVELAND

MARSH & McLENNAN

INCORPORATED
INSURANCE

70 PINE STREET
NEW YORK 10005

NEW YORK
BOSTON
PHILADELPHIA
BUFFALO
ATLANTA
MIAMI
SYRACUSE
ROCHESTER
CHARLESTON
RICHMOND
MONTREAL
TORONTO
VANCOUVER
CALGARY
EDMONTON
WINNIPEG
CARACAS
LONDON
BRUSSELS

October 31, 1966

Mr. L. Sarlo, Jr., Plant Manager
The Ruberoid Co.
Charles and Water Streets
Gloucester City, New Jersey 08030

Dear Mr. Sarlo:

Gloucester City, New Jersey
FIA File No. 3702

Attached are copies of an Inspection Report prepared by Mr. R. L. Hodge, Field Representative of the Factory Insurance Association as a result of his visit to the location during the latter part of September, 1966.

It is pleasing to note that no new recommendations were submitted as a result of that inspection however, one recommendation 60-2 has been carried forward and we note from the comment annexed after it that it is to be taken care of.

We note from the comments section of the report that Building No. 9 has been razed and that progress is being made toward the new Building No. 21.

We understand that a maintenance list was left with you during this inspection and we trust that all items will be taken care of in the usual manner.

Should you have any comments or questions pertaining to any aspect of this report, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

MARSH & McLENNAN

By: 

Anthony Darns



AD:mbh

CC:

Mr. D. L. Heil, Vice President, The Ruberoid Co., 733 Third Avenue, NYC
Mr. J. J. Connolly, Ins. Mgr., The Ruberoid Co., 733 Third Avenue, NYC
The Plant Engineer, The Ruberoid Co., Gloucester City, New Jersey
Mr. V. Page, Director of Engineering, The Ruberoid Co., South Board Brook, NJ

11/10/66 50

1574
PC-AF



INSPECTION REPORT

Conferred with

Mr. L. Sarlo, Plt. Mgr.

EASTERN REGIONAL OFFICE
OF WASHINGTON STREET
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06108

Property of THE RUBEROID COMPANY
WATER STREET
SOUTH OF SIXTH STREET
GLOUCESTER CITY, NEW JERSEY

CONFIDENTIAL

This report should be made available only to authorized persons.

File No. 3702
Key File No. 1206
Inspector R. L. Hodge
Date Sept. 26, 27, 1966 Hrs 17 1/2

SPRINKLERS: ATE
ARRANGEMENT OF PIPES & HEADS: Good. Spacing Standard.

DRY PIPE SYSTEMS: Dry
VALVES: All Satisfactory All Found open. Drains tested? Yes
DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION: Given Red Tag used? Yes
SELF-INSPECTIONS: Good-Daily-Weekly Records kept? Yes
YARD HYDRANTS: Good HOSE: 1400 Ft. Tested: 8-26-64 Good
PORTABLE FIRE EQUIPMENT: IS Adequate Condition: Good
PRIVATE FIRE BRIGADE: Good Trained: Monthly
PUBLIC FIRE DEPT.: Fair-Volunteer Alarm by FA Box at plant & phone
WATER FLOW ALARMS: Local Tested: 9-27-66 Good

WATCHMAN SERVICE: Portable Clock Satisfactory? Yes
ROUNDS: Hourly nights & bi-hourly days Station 24
SUPERVISORY COVERS: None

PLANT OPERATION: 5-7 Days: 7 am to 7 am
3 Shifts operating

MAINTENANCE: Good
CLEANLINESS: Good
WELDING & CUTTING PRACTICES: Good Tag used? Yes
ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT: Good Maintenance: Good
SMOKING REGULATIONS: Yes Well enforced? Yes
CLASS OF RISK: Wood and Paper Products
PRODUCT: Industrial Paper Felts & Asbestos Pipe
CONSTRUCTION: Mostly 1 & 2 Stories. 52% Brick on steel, part corrugated asbestos steel frame; 48% noncombustible.
FIRE AREAS: Large for hazard involved. Windstorm Anchorage? Good
CUT-OFFS: Fair Firearm: Good
SPECIAL HAZARDS: Asbestos fibre, wood flour, bag cutting, rag, paper and log storage.
Radioactive Isotopes: None High Filing: None
EXPOSURE: Moderate Protected:

If there are any questions concerning the recommendations on this report or you have alternate solutions for them, please contact us.

SCENT
RANGES
VD
COMMENTS

Annual tests of the gravity tank water supply were witnessed at this inspection with results indicated below. Also, at this time capacity tests were witnessed on both fire pumps with results shown below.

Building No. 9 has been razed and construction is progressing on the previously reported Building No. 21 being erected on this area. It is understood that sprinkler plans for this new building will be submitted to the FIA for review and approval prior to installation. At the time of this inspection the concrete block walls were being erected.

Plans have been dropped for the construction of the brick office building reported on the previous inspection report.

WATER SUPPLIES: Good	Fire Dept. Conn. ONE	Source	G.P.M.	Location	Resid.	Location	Tested:	
PUBLIC WATER: Not connected								
TANKS: Gravity 1-50,000 gallon 98 ft. above HLS.		82	1300	Hydt.#7	70	Bldg.#2 Riser	9-30-66 RLH	
		Source	G.P.M.	Press.	R.P.M.	Slip	Cond.	Tested:
		121	1532	104	1750	-	Good	9-30-66 RLH
		100	1044	100	74	16	Fair	" "
FIRE PUMPS: 1-1500 gpm auto. elec. cent. and 1-1000 gpm manual steam pump taking suction from well supplied by gravity feed from Delaware River. Service pumps available by opening valve. 75-90 lbs. pressure.								
Insured over by RLH		A 13 B 13 C 13						
DATE 9-30-66		Highest Spr. 50 ft.						

This report remains the sole and exclusive property of the Factory Insurance Association, and any reproduction or distribution thereof to unauthorized persons, without the written permission of the Association, is unlawful.

15

PC-A1

It has been indicated that the previously reported new kerosene handling system is still under consideration with no definite plans to date.

It is understood that the outside-water motor alarm on sprinkler Risers Nos. 3 and 3 Addition will be placed in proper working order in the immediate future.

While there are no recommendations to submit as a result of this inspection it is understood that the minor maintenance items discussed with Mr. Sarlo will receive the usual prompt attention.

The cooperation extended at the time of this inspection was greatly appreciated.

INSPECTOR'S
RECOMMENDATIONS

New Recommendations

None

Recommendation Continued from Previous Report

60-2 Provide explosion relief venting for the silo, separators and bucket and screw conveyor enclosures. Venting in the ratio of 1 sq. ft. of relief area for 33 cu. ft. of volume should be provided where possible, where this cannot be done, provide the maximum relief area.
(To do)

(66-0)

mm

15
PC-AF