

ASBESTOSIS

EDITORIALS-ACTION ON ASCESTOS

THE NEW ENGLAND J. OF MEDICINE
VOL. 285 No 23, Dec. 2, 1971
pps. 1317-1318

ST0566734



The New England Journal of Medicine

Official Organ of
The Massachusetts Medical Society

Founded in 1812 as the NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL OF
MEDICINE AND SURGERY and continued in 1828 as Vol. 1 of
the BOSTON MEDICAL AND SURGICAL JOURNAL

PUBLISHED WEEKLY BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATIONS
OF THE MASSACHUSETTS MEDICAL SOCIETY

Claude E. Welch, M.D., *Chairman*
Alexander Marble, M.D. Saul S. Radovsky, M.D.
Samuel Proger, M.D. Leroy D. Vandam, M.D.

Joseph Garland, M.D., *EDITOR EMERITUS*

Franz J. Ingelfinger, M.D., *EDITOR*

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Jane F. Desforges, M.D. Ronald A. Malt, M.D.
Jan Koch-Weser, M.D. Arnold L. Smith, M.D.

George S. Richardson, M.D., *BOOK REVIEWS*

Robert O'Leary, *ASSISTANT EDITOR*

EDITORIAL BOARD

Robert E. Johnson, M.D. John W. Littlefield, M.D.
Norman G. Levinsky, M.D. Arthur A. Sasahara, M.D.
Francis D. Moore, M.D. Louis Weinstein, M.D.
Charles A. Sanders, M.D. Howard Bleich, M.D.
Jerome H. Shapiro, M.D. John R. David, M.D.
Ronald A. Arky, M.D. Edward A. Gaensler, M.D.
Dana L. Farnsworth, M.D. Brian MacMahon, M.D.
Isadore N. Rosenberg, M.D.

Milton C. Paige, Jr., *BUSINESS MANAGER*

AUTHORS preparing manuscripts for submission to the *Journal* should consult "Information for Authors." This material may be found in the first issue of every volume of the *Journal*, or it may be obtained from the *Journal* office. The entire manuscript, including references, should be typed double space, and all material, including figures, should be submitted in duplicate.

CASE REPORTS, usually published in the "Medical Intelligence" section, should include only the pertinent details and reference to articles reporting closely related cases. A very short case report or a description of a technic submitted as a "BRIEF RECORDING" should be limited to 500 words.

MATERIAL printed in the New England Journal of Medicine is covered by copyright. The *Journal* does not hold itself responsible for statements made by any contributor.

NOTICES should be received not later than noon on Monday, 24 days before date of publication.

REPRINTS: The *Journal* does not stock reprints of the articles published. Requests should be sent to the author.

ALTHOUGH all advertising material accepted is expected to conform to ethical medical standards, acceptance does not imply endorsement by the *Journal*.

SUBSCRIPTION PRICES (worldwide): \$15.00 per year (students, interns, residents \$7.50 per year). Rates are in U.S. funds only.

MICROFILM volumes available to regular subscribers through University Microfilms, 313 N. First Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106.

COMMUNICATIONS should be addressed to the New England Journal of Medicine, 10 Shattuck Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02115.

ACTION ON ASBESTOS

ASBESTOS workers are unintentional victims of industrial progress. They are at risk of pulmonary fibrosis, pleural calcification, mesotheliomas and lung cancer. Asbestos exposure is now widespread, involving not only air but also drinking water, wine and beer.¹ Fibers have been found in the lungs of people without industrial asbestos exposure. Whatever the exact nature of asbestos or ferruginous bodies may be, there is no doubt that electron microscopy of the lungs of urban dwellers has shown the presence of chrysotile asbestos.² Although there is no evidence of asbestos-linked disease in the general population at this time, the presence of asbestos in their lungs is disturbing. Asbestos is relatively resistant to destruction by most chemical and physical means and can float freely in the atmosphere for prolonged periods. Asbestos diseases have a long latent period, 20 years or more. We therefore believe that more sweeping decisions on the control of asbestos must be made now, on the basis of "reasonable probability" rather than after a delay for a precise definition of dose-response relations.

These thoughts are reinforced by the work of Murphy and associates in this issue of the *Journal* (p 1271). Their studies of pipe coverers involved in new ship construction include better than usual exposure data and indicate a high incidence of asbestosis (38 per cent after 20 years) at what have been previously regarded as "safe" dust concentrations. A second study of Murphy et al.³ reports two cases of pleural disease (one mesothelioma) in workers who sanded asbestos floor tiles. Since the degree of asbestos exposure was unknown, the authors simulated the working conditions and found concentrations of 1.3 fibers per milliliter of room air. This concentration is less than 1/5 of currently used threshold limit values. A fiber per milliliter of room air means that a worker breathing 15 liters per minute for eight hours inhales some 10⁷ fibers every work day. Although only a fraction of the inhaled fibers remains in the lung, these figures suggest that an alarming number will still accumulate over a 10-year period.

A recent Committee report prepared by a National Academy of Sciences panel⁴ has called for control of asbestos emissions at the source and for protection of those who are exposed in their occupations. The report also calls for further research on the biologic effects and the physical characteristics of asbestos fibers; such data are urgently needed "if a range of safe exposure is to be established with confidence." Although we endorse these recommendations, we want to underline the urgent need for technical controls based on existing knowledge. Epidemiologic monitoring, physical studies of asbestos and other fibers and analysis of their effects on cells and tissues are important and must be con-

2105066/35

tinued. But there is now a clear need for more decisive action on control measures and the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 sets the stage for such action.

Application of the technics of industrial hygiene must be accelerated. The present threshold limit value for asbestos should be lowered far below some recent proposals. National administrative mechanisms to initiate and implement action are available — the recently established National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and the National Advisory Committee on Occupational Safety and Health foremost among them. The Secretary of Labor, under the aforementioned Act, is charged with the setting of standards and has the right of entry into and inspection of places of employment. Thus, enforcement and regulatory mechanisms as well as advisory bodies have been established by law. Among the many problems that they face, asbestos should have high priority. Technologic developments are needed in many areas: sophisticated dust control; better and harmless asbestos substitutes; and improved monitoring of both the workers and their environment. Adequately devised tax policies could provide incentives for industry to clean the air and protect the workers. Such policies, by generating action in each plant where a risk exists, can rapidly and considerably amplify efforts on the national scale.

BERNARD GEE, M.D.

AREND BOUHUYS, M.D., Ph.D.

REFERENCES

1. Cunningham HM, Pontefract R: Asbestos fibres in beverages and drinking water. *Nature (Lond)* 232:332-333, 1971
2. Langer AM, Selikoff IJ, Sastre A: Chrysotile asbestos in the lungs of persons in New York City. *Arch Environ Health* 22:348-361, 1971
3. Murphy RL, Levine BW, Al Bazzaz FJ, et al: Floor tile installation as a source of asbestos exposure. *Am Rev Resp Dis* 104:576-580, 1971
4. Asbestos: The need for and feasibility of air pollution controls. Panel on Asbestos. Committee on Biologic Effects of Atmospheric Pollutants. Washington, DC, Division of Medical Sciences, National Research Council, 1971

ONE PRICE OF ACROPHILIA

MAN's view of mountains has varied over the centuries. To the ancient Greeks and many Asiatics, high mountains were the inviolable abode of the gods; Renaissance man feared them as the home of demons. Ruskin praised their beauty from below, and 19th-century alpinists sought peace in walks and climbs among them. The current fevered century regards mountains as challenges to be overcome, courtship of beauty has been replaced by conquest of a foe . . . and a new "disease" has resulted.

In this impatient and highly competitive society it is not surprising that so many climbers hurry to "bag" their peaks. Not only are their acrobatics more incredible, but the speed with which ever

higher altitudes are reached is quickening. Whereas Victorians experienced the same symptoms of mountain sickness as had been described in the 15th century, today's climbers have added a new entity to mountain sickness — high-altitude pulmonary edema.¹⁻³

This "physiologic disease" is directly caused by hypoxia, and probably by hypoxia alone. The clinical and radiologic picture is classic and similar to edema of other causes. High pulmonary-artery pressures have been demonstrated⁴ in individuals brought to hospital shortly after onset of severe high-altitude pulmonary edema (HAPE), developing as low as 11,000 feet. But why do not equally high pressures cause edema in other persons resident at altitude,⁵ or in some patients with chronic heart or lung disease? Pulmonary hypertension is obviously not the sole cause. No chemical abnormalities have been identified. Hypovolemia and tissue dehydration contrast sharply with the waterlogging of the lungs: is the condition due to too great a shift of blood from peripheral to pulmonary circulation, perhaps resulting from peripheral vasoconstriction?⁴ Cardiac output is reduced or normal, left atrial and pulmonary wedge pressures are generally low.^{4,6} It is postulated that precapillary arteriolar constriction^{4,7} in the lungs produces the condition — but how? The influence of local humoral changes and the contribution of the hypothalamus may be considerable — but have not yet been fully defined.³ Hypoxia is known to increase capillary permeability, as may be manifest by the occurrence of retinal hemorrhage during hypoxia from any cause,⁸ but the definitive studies remain to be done.

Whatever the pathogenesis, the condition has become increasingly common among people who go too high too fast, before the normal and marvelous acclimatization process can protect. The modern climber is usually in a hurry, flying from home to mountain base and thence rapidly by small plane to a landing above 10,000 feet. In the next few days he climbs — usually with a heavy pack — faster than adaptation can keep up, and trouble begins. His tickling cough becomes productive — often of frothy, bloody sputum. His companions hear his gurgles during sleep, he weakens and sinks to semi-consciousness sometimes in a few hours. Unless energetically treated early, he is likely to die.

Of course, not every dyspneic, coughing climber has pulmonary edema. Pneumonitis, pericarditis, hypertensive heart failure and other conditions probably account for half or more of the cases hastily called "pulmonary edema." As one examines the incidence among mountain climbers, one must be careful not to confuse the record by inaccurate diagnosis.

HAPE is a preventable disease — not an accident. Although physical conditioning does not prevent, a leisurely ascent does. The climber who

ST0566736