

*Reprinted from The Journal of the American Medical Association
August 19, 1933, Vol. 101, pp. 583 and 584*

ETIOLOGY OF SILICOSIS

A. J. LANZA, M.D.

Assistant Medical Director, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company
NEW YORK

The Committee on Pneumoconiosis and the Committee on Standards of the American Public Health Association, at a joint meeting in November, 1932, adopted the following definition of silicosis:

Silicosis is a disease due to breathing air containing silica (SiO_2) characterized anatomically by generalized fibrotic changes and the development of miliary nodulation in both lungs, and clinically by shortness of breath, decreased chest expansion, lessened capacity for work, absence of fever, increased susceptibility to tuberculosis (some or all of which symptoms may be present) and by characteristic x-ray findings. The disease is divided arbitrarily into first, second and third stages for convenience of description and possible compensation purposes.

I wish to make clear that in this discussion we are concerned with true silicosis and not with other forms of pneumoconiosis due to the inhalation of dusts containing combined silica in the form of silicates or of organic dusts. Numerous dusts will produce a pneumoconiosis demonstrable by x-rays; but just as these other dust diseases of the lung differ in their exciting cause, so do they differ in the pathologic changes that characterize them. Silicosis is an important disease because it is widespread in industry, and, because, as a result of the peculiar susceptibility to tuberculosis which it induces, it is attended by a high rate of mortality.

DUST

The primary cause of silicosis, then, is free silica, inhaled in the form of dust. Extensive research and experimentation have clearly demonstrated that this

Read before the Section on Preventive and Industrial Medicine and Public Health, at the Eighty-Fourth Annual Session of the American Medical Association, Milwaukee, June 14, 1933.

dust, in order to achieve its harmful effects on the lung tissue, must be in a fine state of subdivision; that the dust particles must, in fact, be less than 10 microns in their greatest diameter. Most of the dust penetrating the alveoli is less than 5 microns in diameter.¹ It is, therefore, a dust invisible to the unaided eye, and its estimation, in any specific instance, depends on a definite technique of dust sampling and counting. In this country that technique has been defined and standardized by the United States Public Health Service.² Dust concentrations are measured in terms of million particles per cubic foot.

Silicosis is a disease that develops slowly—very slowly as a rule, most frequently over a period as long as ten years. It may develop in two years, perhaps even less. The rate of development is dependent largely on the dosage of silica, and this in turn is determined by three variables—the amount of dust in the inspired air, the amount of silica in the dust, and the extent of exposure. Obviously, exposure to a concentration of forty million particles per cubic foot, containing 90 per cent silica, for eight hours a day, day in and day out, will produce silicosis much more rapidly than the same degree of exposure for two days a week or daily exposure to ten million particles containing 40 per cent silica. Actually, as these factors may and do vary in different localities, so do they mold the clinical picture. This accounts for the occasional dogmatic and varying statements made by observers familiar only with the conditions prevalent in their own vicinity. It has been claimed by some observers that certain substances, which may be present in silica-containing dust but not in combination with the silica, may advance or retard the development of silicosis. The presence of clay and other inorganic substances has been stated to prevent the development of silicosis,³ while in other instances certain alkalis are credited with greatly speeding up silicosis, so that it may develop into a full blown case with fatal termination in the space of months rather than

1. McCrea, John: The Ash of Siliceous Lumps, Pub. 3, South African Institute for Medical Research, 1935; General Report of the Silica and Fluorine Prevention Com. S. A. 1916, appendix 7, pp. 131-134.
2. Katz, S. H.; Smith, G. W.; Myers, W. M.; Trost, L. J.; Lynch, M., and Greenberg, F.: Comparative Tests of Instruments for Determining Atmospheric Dust, U. S. Pub. Health Bull. 144, January, 1935.
3. Hildreth, Patrick: Exposure to Silica Dust Without the Occurrence of Silicosis, J. Indust. Hyg. 8: 481 (Nov.) 1926.

years.⁴ Further study is needed to evaluate these observations.

INFECTION

The distinguishing characteristic of silicosis is the extraordinary susceptibility to tuberculosis which it induces in its victims. Years ago, Dr. Summons⁵ of Bendigo, Australia, stated that the gold miners there who contracted silicosis died of tuberculosis and that observation has been confirmed in every part of the world where silicosis is prevalent. In my own experience I can recall but one patient who died from his pulmonary fibrosis. When a tuberculous infection is implanted on a siliceous lung, it intensifies and accelerates the formation of fibrotic tissue, and the pathologic vicious circle thus set up may continue for some time before the infection becomes clinically manifest. In other words, tuberculosis is more than a terminal infection in silicosis.

Studies made at the Picher Clinic by the United States Bureau of Mines and the Saranac Laboratory have shown that infection also occurs in siliceous lungs, as a result of a rather complex symbiotic union of the ordinary anaerobic bacteria of the upper respiratory tract, principally fusiform bacilli and Vincent's spirochetes. Research into this phase of silicosis is now being carried on at the experimental laboratories of the Bureau of Mines in Pittsburgh and at the Saranac Laboratory. Thus, the principal etiologic factor in silicosis is silica in the form of dust, with the added factor, in a great majority of all but early cases, of tubercle infection, with the rôle of other infections not as yet clearly established.

CONTRIBUTING CAUSES

I do not believe that individual susceptibility plays an appreciable part in the etiology of silicosis in normal

4. Chapman, E. M.: Acute Silicosis, J. A. M. A. 98:1439 (April 23) 1932.
5. Kessler, W. H.: Silicosis in the Abrasive Powder Industry, Am. Pub. Health A. 1931, p. 1391.
6. Hutchinson, H.: An Unusually Acute Form, J. A. M. A. 98:1414 (Oct. 22) 1932; discussion, J. A. M. A. 98:1466 (Nov. 12) 1932.
7. Cress, J. S.: Silicosis, Acute Form, J. A. M. A. 98:1414 (Oct. 22) 1932; discussion, J. A. M. A. 98:1466 (Nov. 12) 1932.
8. Summons, W. E.: Report of Miner's Phthisis submitted to Committee of the Bendigo Hospital, Bendigo, Victoria, 1907; quoted in Silicosis, Records of the International Conference at Johannesburg, August 1930, International Labour Office, Studies and Reports, Series F, No. 13, Geneva, 1930, p. 103.

