

JOHNSON & JOHNSON RESEARCH FOUNDATION
New Brunswick, N. J.

file
TALC
- C.T.F.A.

To: R.F. Schwenker B. Semple W. Nashed
G.H. Lord G. Hildick-Smith R. Stites
J. Marshall T. H. Shelley L. Kaplan
E.R.L. Gaughran T. O. Oesterling P. Finkelstein
Subject: G. Lee

Notes from CTFA Pharmacology & Toxicology Committee &
Inter-Industry Aerosol Safety Committee Joint Meeting -
Scottsdale, Arizona - January 20, 1977

1. The representative from Colgate-Palmolive requested that the minutes from the last committee meeting (12/14/76) reflect the fact that his company is opposed to the inclusion of talc in the proposed CTFA particulate testing program. Based on the 1/11/77 letter from G. Lee, I requested that this subject be referred to the CTFA Talc Subcommittee before any decision is reached.
2. Dupont will argue for a delay in phase-out proposal for chlorofluorocarbons 11 and 12. They believe that ozone depletion estimates are overly pessimistic and that a good case can be made based on benefit-risk arguments.
3. The outlook for Propellants 22 and 142B as alternatives does not appear promising at this time. Both have been shown to be weak mutagens in the Ames assay. Dupont has decided not to make them available for use in consumer products until the issue has been clarified.
4. Methylene chloride has been shown by Stanford Research Institute to be a potent mutagen by the Ames test.
5. CTFA Pharmacology & Toxicology Committee to form a task force to review available data on long term safety of hydrocarbons (propane, butane, isobutane) for use as alternative propellant systems.
6. CTFA Pharmacology & Toxicology Committee Task Force is preparing a response to FDA's proposed good laboratory practice regulations for non-clinical laboratory facilities. Comments to FDA due March 21, 1977.


J. E. Willson

/ld

RECEIVED

JAN 28 1977

GEORGE LEE