FILE NAME: Johnson & Johnson (JAJ)

DATE: 1973 June 6

DOC#: JAJ072

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Memo RE Differences in Asbestos Content in Different Talc Sources

Johnson & Johnson
BABY PRODUCTS COMPANY

June 6, 1973

To: R. J. Mortimer
R. Miller
D. D. Johnston
H. G. Stolzer

Since Lamar's name came up in our discussion on Monday, I thought you would be interested in his appraisal of the talc potential of the Canadian ore body.

I am also attaching Tom Shelley's note of June 4th covering his discussions in England.

Note the use of concentration techniques in the drafted specification for the analysis of asbestos. Also, I have discussed with Shelley that the samples to be sent by Dr. Rolle will be the 20 recent samples of powder in which we found no tremolite-actinolite, by the optical technique.

Shelley reports that Pooley has found actinolite in our Vermont talc by his concentrating technique. Italian talc, by the same technique, appears to be free of amphiboles. I have sent the report referred of I. W. Sloan on to Roger Miller for their study.

D. R. Petterson

DRP/mm
Enc.
cc: W. Ashton

EXHIBIT
105

Protected Document–Subject to Protective Order