

Subject to Protective Order in
Ross v. Conoco, Inc., No. 90-4837 2299
14th Judicial District Court
Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana

MINUTES of the three hundred fifth meeting of the Board of Directors of the Chemical Manufacturers Association, Inc., held in the Georgetown Room, Washington Hilton Hotel, Washington, D.C., on Monday, October 21, 1985, at 1:30 p.m. There were present:

Directors:

George J. Sella, Jr. - Chairman	
Harold A. Sorgenti - Vice Chairman	
Robert A. Roland - President	
Lee K. Bailey	John W. Johnstone, Jr.
Dexter F. Baker	Robert D. Kennedy
Max S. Bass	Hans H. Kopper
Alan Belzer	Sidney M. Leahy
Arthur E. Biggs	Carl W. Lorentzen
Louis W. Cabot	H. Eugene McBrayer
Robert D. Cadieux	Keith R. McKennon
Carlyle G. Caldwell	Robert L. Mitchell
Paul W. Chellgren	L. John Polite, Jr.
W. H. Clark, Jr.	Seymour S. Preston, III
Harry Corless	Thomas E. Reilly, Jr.
Robert W. Davis	M. Whitson Sadler
Keith H. Edmondson	James F. Schorr
Robert B. Fell	Charles E. Stewart
F. Jack Fitzgerald	S. Jay Stewart
Robert C. Forney	J. R. Street
Alexander F. Giacco	Otto Sturzenegger
D. George Harris	Konrad Weis
Ray R. Irani	

Secretary:

Charles W. Van Vlack

Treasurer:

Gary C. Herrman

General Counsel:

David F. Zoll

By Invitation:

Peter R. Agnew, CMA
Stuart T. Allen, SOCMA, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company
Frank C. Berryman, Chevron Chemical Company
Timothy F. Burns, CMA
David W. Carroll, CMA
Geraldine V. Cox, CMA
Kenneth E. Davis, Rohm and Haas Company
Wells Denyes, Eastman Kodak Company
Myron T. Foveaux, CMA
E. D. Griffith, ARCO Chemical Company
Robert B. Hill, CMA
Jon C. Holtzman, CMA
E. Hamilton Hurst, Nalco Chemical Company
Graham Jackson, Nalco Chemical Company
Vincent J. Marchesani, ICI Americas Inc.
Leslie F. Nute, Dow Chemical U.S.A.
Michael A. Pierle, Monsanto Company

NON-RESPONSIVE MATERIAL REDACTED

CONFIDENTIAL

Subject to Protective Order in
Ross v. Conoco, Inc., No. 90-4837
14th Judicial District Court
Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana

CONFIDENTIAL

Subject to Protective Order in
Ross v. Conoco, Inc., No. 90-4837
14th Judicial District Court
Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana

NON-RESPONSIVE MATERIAL REDACTED

3. Litigation and Related Activities

Vinyl Chloride Litigation. CMA has intervened in a court suit brought by the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) challenging EPA's emission standards for vinyl chloride under section 112 of the Clean Air Act. This case will probably be the first to decide the meaning of the "ample margin of safety" test of section 112. NRDC contends that section 112 requires EPA to set "zero-risk, zero emissions" standards for all carcinogens. CMA and the American Petroleum Institute, who also intervened, are arguing in support of EPA's position in the case,* that the Agency is authorized to take cost and feasibility into account in setting emission standards under section 112. All briefs have now been filed. A decision is expected by the spring of 1986.

NON-RESPONSIVE MATERIAL REDACTED