

SELF INSURERS
SERVICE

EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

JUL 21 1989

SELF-INSURERS SERVICE, INC. 111 EAST WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601 312 • 819 • 5100
FACSIMILE 312 • 819 • 5123

July 18, 1989

The Sherwin-Williams Company
101 Prospect Avenue, N.W.
Cleveland, Ohio 44115

Attention: Mr. Anthony J. Colangelo

RE: Widow of Deceased,
 vs. Sherwin-Williams
 File #: L9935-80-1
 Date of Accident: 5/15/81

Dear Mr. Colangelo:

Please be advised that I have recently had an opportunity to briefly review the above-stated matter.

I note that on May 12, 1989, Ms. Carver, of our office, spoke with you regarding consideration of a lump sum settlement to Mr. widow. I also note that in his June 16, 1989 correspondence, Mr. Mueller indicates that attorney has advised him that they would be interested in a lump sum type settlement.

As Mr. Mueller's June 16, 1989 correspondence indicates, we should be well aware of the fact that if has any intention of remarriage, she would only be entitled to a lump sum equal to two years compensation benefits. Therefore, if in fact she has intentions of remarrying at this time, or if in the near future she does, in fact, remarry, our settlement will have been unnecessary. However, we cannot predict these things.

Therefore, at your earliest convenience, kindly review this matter and indicate whether or not Sherwin-Williams would be interested in pursuing settlement negotiations with attorney. If so, kindly advise what settlement authority you wish to extend at this time.

N40329

0007-SWP-005801336

CONFIDENTIAL